NOTE

This Microfiche meets the quality requirements of the American National Standard for Microfiche of Documents (ANSI PH5.9-1975). However, because of imperfections in the document from which it was made, it contains images that are illegible or difficult to read. The source document for this microfiche is the best copy that could be obtained prior to filming.

CIS

Congressional Information Service, Inc
Washington, D.C

99TH CONGRESS
2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT
99-1001

NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987

OCTOBER 14, 1986.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. ASPIN, from the committee of conference,
submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany S. 2638]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 2638) to authorize appropriations for military functions of the Department of Defense and to prescribe military personnel levels for such Department for fiscal year 1987, to revise and improve military compensation programs, to improve defense procurement procedures, to authorize certain construction at military installations for fiscal year 1987, to authorize appropriations for national security programs of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1987, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the text of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the House amendment insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE

This Act may be cited as the "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1987".

SEC. 2. ORGANIZATION

This Act is divided into four divisions as follows:

(1) Division A—Department of Defense Authorizations.
(2) Division B—Military Construction Authorizations.
(3) Division C—Other National Defense Authorizations.
(4) Division D—Child Nutrition Programs.

H203-12

64-458 0 — 86

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 100. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS

(a) SHORT TITLE.—*This division may be cited as the "Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1987".*

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS OF DIVISION.—*The table of contents of this division is as follows:*

Sec. 100. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

PART A—FUNDING AUTHORIZATIONS

Sec. 101. Army.
Sec. 102. Navy and Marine Corps.
Sec. 103. Air Force.
Sec. 104. Defense Agencies.
Sec. 105. Reserve components.
Sec. 106. Extension of authority provided the Secretary of Defense in connection with the NATO Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) program.
Sec. 107. Multiyear contracts for fiscal year 1987.
Sec. 108. Air Force fighter competition.

PART B—ARMY PROGRAM LIMITATIONS

Sec. 121. Testing of Bradley Fighting Vehicle.
Sec. 122. Other limitations on Army procurement.

PART C—NAVY PROGRAM LIMITATIONS

Sec. 131. DDG-51 destroyer program.
Sec. 132. Basic Point Defense Missile System on amphibious vessels.
Sec. 133. Rolling Airframe Missile Program.

PART D—AIR FORCE PROGRAM LIMITATIONS

Sec. 141. Advanced Technology Bomber.
Sec. 142. Prohibition on using funds authorized for the Advanced Technology Bomber and the Advanced Cruise Missile programs for any other purpose and limitation on the B-1B bomber fleet to 100 aircraft.
Sec. 143. C-17 Aircraft Program.
Sec. 144. Advanced Medium-Ranged Air-to-Air Missile Program.
Sec. 145. T-46 Aircraft Program.

PART E—CHEMICAL WEAPONS

Sec. 151. Authorization of appropriations for chemical demilitarization program.
Sec. 152. Limitation on the expenditure of funds for the BIGEYE binary chemical bomb.
Sec. 153. Chemical weapons, agents, or components at Lexington-Bluegrass Depot.
Sec. 154. Report on chemical weapons demilitarization program.
Sec. 155. Technical amendment to Public Law 99-145 relating to binary chemical munitions.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

PART A—AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS AND PROGRAM LIMITATIONS

Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.
Sec. 202. Limitation on Copperhead Guided Projectile.
Sec. 203. Limitations on funds for the Navy.
Sec. 204. Limitations on funds for the Air Force.

Sec. 205. Limitation on funds for Defense Agencies.
Sec. 206. Other limitations.
Sec. 207. Advanced Anti-Armor Weapon System.
Sec. 208. Electronic Warfare Master Plan.
Sec. 209. Limitation on testing electromagnetic pulse.

PART B—STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE

Sec. 211. Fiscal year 1987 funding level for Strategic Defense Initiative.
Sec. 212. Tactical ballistic missile defense.
Sec. 213. Limitation on establishment of a federally funded research and development center for the Strategic Defense Initative Program.
Sec. 214. Report on projected costs of SDI program.
Sec. 215. Report on Strategic Defense Initiative deployment schedule.
Sec. 216. Effect of Strategic Defense Initiative on compliance with the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.
Sec. 217. Report on the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.

PART C—BALANCED TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE

Sec. 221. Conventional Defense Initiative.
Sec. 222. Balanced Technology Initiative.

PART D—MISCELLANEOUS

Sec. 231. Limitation on testing of anti-satellite weapons.
Sec. 232. ICBM modernization.
Sec. 233. Restriction on use of research and development funds for grants to educational institutions.
Sec. 234. Coordination of research activities of Department of Defense.
Sec. 235. Cooperative medical research with the Veterans' Administration.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

PART A—AUTHORIZATIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS

Sec. 301. Operation and maintenance funding.
Sec. 302. Working capital funds.
Sec. 303. Authorization of appropriations for assistance to Pan American Games.
Sec. 304. Transportation of livestock feed.
Sec. 305. Authority for payments to NASA for space shuttle services.

PART B—PROGRAM CHANGES AND PERMANENT LAW CHANGES

Sec. 311. Contract personnel to be included in budget justification.
Sec. 312. Procurement of certain bakery and dairy products outside the United States.
Sec. 313. Functions of military commissaries; purchase of alcoholic beverages by nonappropriated fund instrumentalities.
Sec. 314. Authority for Secretary of Defense to accept gifts for the defense dependents' education system.
Sec. 315. Renovation of facilities.
Sec. 316. Prohibition of purchase of petroleum products from companies producing oil in Angola.
Sec. 317. Prohibition of contracts for the performance of certain Army ammunition activities.
Sec. 318. National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice.
Sec. 319. Prohibition on joint use of Gray Army Airfield with civil aviation.
Sec. 320. Report on proposed regulations relating to movement of household goods and cargo.

PART C—HUMANITARIAN AND OTHER ASSISTANCE

Sec. 331. Extension of authorization for humanitarian assistance.
Sec. 332. Extension of authority of Secretary of Defense to transport humanitarian relief supplies to certain countries.
Sec. 333. Humanitarian and civic assistance provided in conjunction with military operations.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

PART A—ACTIVE FORCES

Sec. 401. End strengths for active forces.
Sec. 402. Extension of quality control on enlistments into the Army.
Sec. 403. Strength of active duty officer corps.

PART B—RESERVE FORCES

Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.
Sec. 412. End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the Reserve components.
Sec. 413. Accounting for certain authorized reserve component members.

PART C—MILITARY TRAINING

Sec. 421. Military training student loads.

TITLE V—DEFENSE PERSONNEL POLICY

PART A—ACTIVE FORCES

Sec. 501. Assignment of active-duty members outside the United States.
Sec. 502. Service of members on State and local juries.
Sec. 503. Extension of expiring authority for spot promotions of Navy lieutenants.
Sec. 504. Repeal of requirement regarding enlistment of women in the Air Force during fiscal year 1987.
Sec. 505. Authority to exempt physicians at Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences from reductions in retired pay.
Sec. 506. Treatment of excess leave upon reenlistment
Sec. 507. Termination of gender-based distrinctions in promotions of officers of the Naval Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve.
Sec. 508. Senate confirmation of certain general and flag officer positions.
Sec. 509. Position of Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps.
Sec. 510. Technical correction relating to personnel administration at Air Force Institute of Technology.
Sec. 511. Temporary increase in the number of general and flag officers authorized to be on active duty in three- and four-star grades.
Sec. 512. Study on staffing of critical wartime medical specialities.
Sec. 513. Study of representation of religious faiths in the Armed Forces.

PART B—RESERVE FORCES

Sec. 521. Increased Presidential authority to augment active forces with the Selected Reserve.
Sec. 522. Active duty of National Guard.
Sec. 523. Treatment of single parents enlisting in Reserve components of the Armed Forces.
Sec. 524. Active-duty status of reserve component members in a captive status.

PART C—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL

Sec. 531. Waiver of civilian personnel ceilings for fiscal year 1987.
Sec. 532. Prohibition on managing civilian personnel by end-strengths during fiscal year 1987.
Sec. 533. Prohibition on controlling personnel not funded by Government.
Sec. 534. Reduction in number of years person must be off active duty before appointment as Service Secretary.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

PART A—PAY AND ALLOWANCES

Sec. 601. Military pay raise for fiscal year 1987.
Sec. 602. Authority to pay ROTC members in advance for field training.
Sec. 603. Reimbursement for accomodations in place of quarters.
Sec. 604. Pay, allowances, and benefits for members of the reserve components.

PART B—TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION

Sec. 611. Transportation of motor vehicles for members making permanent changes of station.
Sec. 612. Coordination of permanent change of station moves with school year.
Sec. 613. Authorization limit on funds for PCS travel.
Sec. 614. Reimbursement for actual lodging expenses plus per diem for members entitled to travel allowances.
Sec. 615. Transportation and travel allowances for escorts for certain dependents.
Sec. 616. Travel expenses for overseas dependents requiring medical care in certain circumstances.
Sec. 617. Per diem for dependents receiving transportation allowance.
Sec. 618. Modification of family separation allowance.
Sec. 619. Improved dislocation allowance.
Sec. 620. Transportation and storage of household goods.

PART C—BONUSES AND SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

Sec. 631. Enhanced aviation officer continuation pay.
Sec. 632. Inclusion of aviation cadets under aviation career incentive pay.
Sec. 633. Special authority relating to the payment of Selected Reserve enlistment bonus.
Sec. 634. Special pay for members proficient in foreign languages.

PART D—BENEFITS FOR SURVIVORS AND FORMER SPOUSES

Sec. 641. Court-ordered survivor annuities for former spouses.
Sec. 642. Annuity for a dependent child.
Sec. 643. Age at which remarriage terminates spouse survivor benefit.
Sec. 644. Revision of definition of disposable retired pay for purposes of court orders.
Sec. 645. Revision of open period to elect former spouse and child coverage.
Sec. 646. Extension of medical benefits for certain former spouses.

PART E—MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS

Sec. 651. Tuition assistance for Army reserve component officers.
Sec. 652. Benefits for dependents of certain sentenced, discharged, or dismissed members.
Sec. 653. Improved employment opportunities for military spouses.
Sec. 654. Retirement credit for certain former National Guard technicians.
Sec. 655. Meal reimbursement for nonprofit youth groups residing at military installations.
Sec. 656. Limited use of commissary stores by members of the Selected Reserve.

PART F—ADMINISTRATION OF PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Sec. 661. Enhanced method for determining true costs of military retirement.
Sec. 662. Authority to pay bank charges in the event of Government error in mandatory direct deposit of members' pay.
Sec. 663. Cost reductions for fiscal year 1987.

TITLE VII—HEALTH-CARE MANAGEMENT REFORM

Sec. 701. Improvement of military health-care delivery system.
Sec. 702. CHAMPUS reform initiative.
Sec. 703. CHAMPUS catchment areas.
Sec. 704. Medical information systems acquisition.
Sec. 705. Confidentiality of medical quality assurance records.
Sec. 706. Use of Public Health Service hospitals as facilities of the uniformed services.
Sec. 707. Limitation on dental insurance program.

TITLE VIII—UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE

Sec. 801. Short title; references to Uniform Code of Military Justice.
Sec. 802. Defense of lack of mental responsibility.
Sec. 803. Application for enlisted members to serve on court-martial.
Sec. 804. Court-martial jurisdiction over Reserve members.
Sec. 805. Statute of limitations.
Sec. 806. Time for defense post-trial submissions.
Sec. 807. Detail of judge advocates.

Sec. 808. Effective date.

TITLE IX—PROCUREMENT POLICY REFORM

Sec. 900. Short title.

PART A—MANAGEMENT OF THE ACQUISITION PROCESS

Sec. 901. Duties and precedence of Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition.
Sec. 902. Establishment of position of Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition.
Sec. 903. Other senior civilian acquisition officials.
Sec. 904. Enhanced program stability for major defense acquisition programs.
Sec. 905. Defense enterprise programs.
Sec. 906. Milestone authorization of defense enterprise programs.
Sec. 907. Preference for nondevelopmental items.
Sec. 908. Requirements relating to undefinitized contractual actions.
Sec. 909. Competitive prototype strategy requirement for major defense acquisition programs.
Sec. 910. Testing of certain weapon systems and munitions.
Sec. 911. Goals for increased use of multiyear contracting authority in fiscal year 1988.
Sec. 912. Federally funded research and development centers.

PART B—REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE ACQUISITION PROCESS

Sec. 921. Small business set-asides.
Sec. 922. Thresholds for certain requirements relating to small purchases.
Sec. 923. Requirements relating to procedures other than competitive procedures.
Sec. 924. Evaluation factors in award of contracts.
Sec. 925. Computation of contract bid prices.
Sec. 926. Prices for spare or repair parts sold commercially.
Sec. 927. Allocation of overhead to parts to which contractor has added little value.
Sec. 928. Clarification of requirements to mark supplies to identify suppliers and sources.

PART C—PROCUREMENT PERSONNEL POLICY

Sec. 931. Conflict-of-interest in defense procurement.
Sec. 932. Plan for enhancement of professionalism of acquisition personnel.
Sec. 933. Educational requirements for acquisition personnel.
Sec. 934. Plan for coordination of defense acquisition educational programs.

PART D—REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

Sec. 941. Codification and extension of prohibition on persons convicted of defense-contract related felonies and related criminal penalty on defense contractors.
Sec. 942. Protection of contractor employees from reprisal for disclosure of certain information.
Sec. 943. Revision of work measurement provisions.

PART E—MISCELLANEOUS

Sec. 951. Contracting with firms owned or controlled by governments that support terrorism.
Sec. 952. Truth-in-Negotiations Act Amendments.
Sec. 953. Rights in technical data.
Sec. 954. Recovery of costs to provide technical data.
Sec. 955. Comparable budgeting for similar systems.
Sec. 956. Funding of procurement technical assistance programs serving distressed areas.
Sec. 957. Subcontractor information to be provided to procurement outreach centers.

PART F—MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS

Sec. 961. Selected Acquisition Reports.
Sec. 962. Report on efforts to increase defense contract awards to Indian-owned businesses.

Sec. 963. Report on increased geographic distribution on defense contractors.

TITLE X—MATTERS RELATING TO ARMS CONTROL

Sec. 1001. Sense of the Congress relating to SALT II compliance.
Sec. 1002. Sense of the Congress on nuclear testing.
Sec. 1003. Report by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on United States noncompliance with existing strategic offensive arms agreements.
Sec. 1004. Sense of Congress expressing support for a central role for nuclear risk reduction centers.

TITLE XI—MATTERS RELATING TO NATO

Sec. 1101. Modernization of defense capabilities of countries of NATO's southern flank.
Sec. 1102. NATO cooperative logistic support agreements.
Sec. 1103. Cooperative projects.
Sec. 1104. Acquisition and cross-servicing agreements.
Sec. 1105. Cooperative research and development with non-NATO allies and other friendly countries.

TITLE XII—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

PART A—MANAGEMENT OF CERTAIN PROCUREMENT MATTERS

Sec. 1201. Contracts for overhaul, repair, and maintenance of naval vessels.
Sec. 1202. Handling of hazardous waste generated during repair or maintenance of naval vessels.
Sec. 1203. Limitation on transfer of certain technical data packages.
Sec. 1204. Requirements concerning transportation of members of the Armed Forces by chartered aircraft.
Sec. 1205. Fuel sources for heating systems on military installations.
Sec. 1206. Review of the security administration in defense industry of Department of Defense special access programs.
Sec. 1207. Contract set-aside requirements.
Sec. 1208. Manpower estimates for major defense acquisition programs.

PART B—ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY

Sec. 1221. Increase in threshold applicable to statutory contracting-out procedures.
Sec. 1222. Prohibition on contracts for performance of firefighting and security functions.
Sec. 1223. Contracting out the performance of Department of Defense supply and service functions.
Sec. 1224. Reports on savings or costs from increased use of civilian personnel.

TITLE XIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

PART A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Sec. 1301. Transfer authority.
Sec. 1302. Authorization of appropriations for civilian pay and contingencies.
Sec. 1303. Authorization of appropriations for foreign currency purchases.
Sec. 1304. Special Defense Acquisition Fund.
Sec. 1305. Limitation on obligation of fiscal year 1985 and fiscal year 1986 funds.
Sec. 1306. Applicability of limitations on fiscal year 1987 obligations.
Sec. 1307. Reports on unobligated balances.
Sec. 1308. Report on defense budgeting and contract procedures for inflation.
Sec. 1309. Debt collection.
Sec. 1310. Contingent reduction of authorization of appropriations.

PART B—SPECIAL OPERATIONS MATTERS

Sec. 1311. Special operations forces.
Sec. 1312. Special operations airlift.

PART C—AUTHORIZATION OF PAYMENT OF CERTAIN EXPENSES WITH RESPECT TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Sec. 1321. Authority to pay expenses of developing countries for participation in combined military exercises.
Sec. 1322. Authority to pay certain expenses of defense personnel of foreign countries.

PART D—MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS

Sec. 1331. Army National Guard reporting.
Sec. 1332. Submarine overhaul study.
Sec. 1333. Report on landing area for AV-8B Harrier aircraft on Iowa-class battleship.
Sec. 1334. Report on cleanup of National Presto Industries.

PART E—TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS

Sec. 1341. Technical correction to Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985.
Sec. 1342. Technical corrections to provisions enacted by Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986.
Sec. 1343. Clerical amendments.

PART F—MISCELLANEOUS

Sec. 1351. Limitation on source of funds for Nicaraguan democratic resistance.
Sec. 1352. Budget accounting for new space shuttle.
Sec. 1353. Prompt reporting of intelligence on terrorist threats.
Sec. 1354. Use of marine mammals for national defense purposes.
Sec. 1355. Reimbursement for incidental expenses incurred while providing voluntary services.
Sec. 1356. Defense of legal malpractice suits.
Sec. 1357. Off-post rental housing lease indemnity pilot program.
Sec. 1358. Wage rate for certain Corps of Engineers employees.
Sec. 1359. Reimbursement for transferred defense industrial reserve equipment.
Sec. 1360. Extension of exemption for DOD polygraph test.
Sec. 1361. Identification of facilities for the detention of certain aliens.
Sec. 1362. Correctional facilities at Fort Riley, Kansas.
Sec. 1363. Minuteman Education Program.
Sec. 1364. Foreign espionage activities in the United States.
Sec. 1365. Civil Air Patrol.
Sec. 1366. Amendment of Military Selective Service Act to provide eligibility for benefits to certain persons who fail to register.
Sec. 1367. Correction of effects of contamination at Rocky Mountain Arsenal.
Sec. 1368. Sense of Congress regarding the death of Lieutenant Colonel Arthur D. Nicholson, Junior.
Sec. 1369. Deadline for appointment of members of Commission on Merchant Marine and Defense.
Sec. 1370. Availability of nuclear non-proliferation information to Department of Defense.
Sec. 1371. Nuclear winter study and report.
Sec. 1372. Sale of two naval vessels.
Sec. 1373. Drug interdiction.
Sec. 1374. Grants to the Henry M. Jackson Foundation.

TITLE XIV—BARRY GOLDWATER SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION PROGRAM

Sec. 1401. Short title.
Sec. 1402. Findings.
Sec. 1403. Definitions.
Sec. 1404. Establishment of the Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Foundation.
Sec. 1405. Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Awards.
Sec. 1406. Stipends.
Sec. 1407. Scholarship conditions.
Sec. 1408. Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Fund.
Sec. 1409. Expenditures from the Fund.
Sec. 1410. Executive secretary.
Sec. 1411. Administrative provisions.
Sec. 1412. Authorization of appropriations.

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

Part A—Funding Authorizations

SEC. 101. ARMY

(a) Authorization of Appropriations.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for procurement for the Army as follows:

(1) For aircraft, $2,725,500,000.

(2) For missiles, $2,150,900,000.

(3) For weapons and tracked combat vehicles, $3,888,100,000.

(4) For ammunition, $2,126,100,000.

(5) For other procurement, $5,112,753,000, of which—

(A) $787,200,000 is for tactical and support vehicles;

(B) $3,083,953,000 is for communications and electronics equipment; and

(C) $1,355,500,000 is for other support equipment.

(b) Limitation on Obligations.—The maximum amount that may be obligated from a procurement account of the Army for fiscal year 1987 is the amount authorized to be appropriated for such account under subsection (a).

SEC. 102. NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

(a) Aircraft.—(1) Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for procurement of aircraft for the Navy for fiscal year 1987 in the amount of $9,828,069,000.

(2) The maximum amount that may be obligated for procurement of aircraft for the Navy for fiscal year 1987 is the amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraph (1).

(b) Weapons.—(1) Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 in the total amount of $5,449,968,000 for procurement of weapons (including missiles and torpedoes) for the Navy.

(2) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for procurement of weapons (including missiles and torpedoes) for the Navy for fiscal year 1987 may not be obligated in excess of the following amounts:

(A) For missile programs, $4,587,563,000.

(B) For torpedo programs:

For the MK-48 torpedo program, $254,770,000.

For the MK-46 torpedo program, $97,861,000.

For the MK-60 CAPTOR mine program, $30,000,000.

For the MK-50 torpedo program, $94,937,000.

For the antisubmarine rocket (ASROC) program, $13,597,000.

For the vertical Launched ASROC program, $74,289,000.

For the modification of torpedoes and related equipment, $97,705,000.

For the torpedo support equipment program, $32,496,000.

For the antisubmarine warfare range support program, $20,114,000.

(C) For other weapons:

For the MK-15 close-in weapon system program, $105,606,000.

For the MK-75 76-millimeter gun mount program, $14,875,000.

For other weapons programs, $72,721,000.

(D) For spares and repair parts, $150,734,000.

(c) Shipbuilding and Conversion.—(1) Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for shipbuilding and conversion for the Navy in the total amount of $10,607,100,000.

(2) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for shipbuilding and conversion for fiscal year 1987 may not be obligated in excess of the following amounts:

For the Trident submarine program, $1,446,400,000.

For the SSN-688 nuclear attack submarine program, $2,250,800,000.

For the SSN-21 nuclear attack submarine program, $454,300,000.

For the aircraft carrier service life extension program (SLEP), $83,500,000.

For the CG-47 Aegis cruiser program, $2,[illegible]5,600,000.

For the DDG-51 guided missile destroyer program, $2,470,100,000.

For the LHD-1 amphibious assault ship program, $35,000,000.

For the TAO-187 fleet oiler program, $259,000,000.

For the TAGOS ocean surveillance ship program, $148,100,000.

For the AOE fast combat support ship program, $499,000,000.

For the AO (Jumbo) conversion program, $32,000,000.

For the oceanographic research ship program, $33,000,000.

For the strategic sealift and sealift enhancement ready reserve program, $48,500,000.

For the TACS auxiliary crane ship program, $61,100,000.

For service craft and landing craft, $58,900,000.

For ship contract design, $69,400,000.

For outfitting and post delivery, $393,900,000.

(d) Other Procurement, Navy.—(1) Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for other procurement for the Navy in the amount of $5,826,942,000.

(2) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for other procurement for the Navy for fiscal year 1987 may not be obligated in excess of the following amounts:

(A) For the ship support equipment program, $1,002,366,000.

(B) For the communications and electronics equipment program, $1,944,630,000.

(C) For aviation support equipment, $820,692,000.

(D) For the ordnance support equipment program, $1,169,120,000.

(E) For civil engineering support equipment, supply support equipment, and command support equipment, $708,442,000.

(F) For spares and repair parts, $302,392,000.

(e) Marine Corps.—(1) Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for procurement for the Marine Corps in the amount of $1,477,408,000.

(2) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for procurement for the Marine Corps for fiscal year 1987 may not be obligated

in excess of the amount authorized to be appropriated in paragraph (1).

(f) P-3 AIRCRAFT.—(1) Of the amount appropriated to the Navy for fiscal year 1987 for procurement of P-3C aircraft, not more than $120,000,000 is available for modification of existing P-3 aircraft.

(2) From funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Navy for procurement of aircraft for fiscal year 1987, the Secretary of the Navy may not obligate more than a total of $359,400,000 for—

(A) procurement of P-3C aircraft; and

(B) modifications to existing P-3 aircraft.

(3) Of the P-3 aircraft procured by the Navy with funds appropriated to the Navy for procurement of aircraft for fiscal year 1986 or 1987, the Secretary of the Navy may use two such aircraft to carry out obligations of the United States under the classified Maritime Surveillance Agreement of 1986.

SEC. 103. AIR FORCE

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for procurement of aircraft and missiles and for other procurement for the Air Force as follows:

(1) For aircraft, $17,018,632,000.

(2) For missiles, $7,570,472,000.

(3) For other procurement, $9,180,923,000, of which—

(A) $1,101,029,000 is for munitions and associated support equipment;

(B) $300,196,000 is for vehicular equipment;

(C) $2,461,159,000 is for electronics and telecommunications equipment; and

(D) $5,588,539,000 is for other base maintenance and support equipment.

(b) LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS.—The maximum amount that may be obligated from a procurement account of the Air Force for fiscal year 1987 is the amount authorized to be appropriated for such account under subsection (a) plus, in the case of the appropriation account "Other Procurement, Air Force", the amount of $77,900,000.

SEC. 104. DEFENSE AGENCIES

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for the Defense Agencies in the amount of $1,418,660,000.

(b) LIMITATION OF OBLIGATION.—Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for procurement for fiscal year 1987 for the Defense Agencies may not be obligated in excess of the amount authorized to be appropriated in subsection (a).

SEC. 105. RESERVE COMPONENTS

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for procurement of aircraft, vehicles, communications equipment, and other miscellaneous equipment for the reserve components of the Armed Forces as follows:

For the Army National Guard, $147,500,000.

For the Air National Guard, $50,000,000.
For the Army Reserve, $90,000,000.
For the Naval Reserve, $61,000,000.
For the Air Force Reserve, $150,000,000.
For the Marine Corps Reserve, $65,000,000.

(b) AUTHORIZATIONS IN ADDITION TO OTHER AMOUNTS.—The authorizations of appropriations contained in subsection (a) are in addition to any other amounts authorized to be appropriated by this or any other Act

(c) FY87 LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS FOR UNBUDGETED ITEMS.—Of the amount appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 for procurement, not more than $563,500,000 may be obligated or expended for procurement of equipment for the reserve components of the Armed Forces (including the National Guard) for items not included in the President's budget for fiscal year 1987, as set forth in the budget justification documents submitted to Congress in support of the budget request for the Department of Defense.

(d) FUTURE BUDGET REQUESTS FOR GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT.—Section 114 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101(a) and amended by section 110(b) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) In each budget submitted by the President to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, amounts requested for procurement of equipment for the reserve components of the armed forces (including the National Guard) shall be set forth separately from other amounts requested for procurement for the armed forces.".

SEC. 106. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN AUTHORITY PROVIDED THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE IN CONNECTION WITH THE NATO AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS) PROGRAM

Effective on October 1, 1986, section 103(a) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1982 (Public Law 97-86; 95 Stat. 1100), is amended by striking out "fiscal year 1986" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "fiscal year 1987".

SEC. 107. MULTIYEAR CONTRACTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987

(a) ARMY.—Subject to subsections (d) and (e), the Secretary of the Army may enter into a multiyear contract under section 2306(h) of title 10, United States Code, for the following programs:

(1) UH-60A Blackhawk airframe.
(2) EH-60A Quick Fix airframe.
(3) Patriot missile system.
(4) Stinger air defense missile system.

(b) NAVY.—(1) Subject to subsection (d), the Secretary of the Navy may enter into a multiyear contract under section 2306(h) of title 10, United States Code, for the following programs:

(A) HARM missile system.
(B) MK-45 gun mounts.
(C) MK-6 ammunition hoists.

(2) The Secretary of the Navy may not enter into a multiyear contract for the procurement of the F/A-18 aircraft.

(c) Air Force.—Subject to subsection (d), the Secretary of the Air Force may enter into a multiyear contract under section 2306(h) of title 10, United States Code, for the Defense Support Program.

(d) Limitations on Authorized Multiyear Procurements.—The Secretary concerned may not enter into a multiyear contract authorized by this section for a program unless the total anticipated cost for the program over the period of the contract is no more than 88 percent of the total anticipated cost of carrying out such program through annual contracts. In the case of the Defense Support Program, the preceding sentence shall be applied by substituting "80 percent" for "88 percent".

(e) Stinger Missile.—(1) The Secretary of the Army may not enter into a contract for the multiyear procurement of the Stinger air defense missile until—

(A) the Secretary—

(i) obtains and evaluates bids for a competitive second source for such missile; and

(ii) certifies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that acquisition of such missile from more than one source would be more costly than a multiyear procurement program from a single source; and

(B) the Comptroller General of the United States evaluates the competitive proposals on the basis of which the Secretary made the decision referred to in subparagraph (A)(ii) and submits his evaluation of the estimates to such committees.

(2) The Comptroller General shall submit his evaluation under paragraph (1)(B) not later than 60 days after the date on which the certification of the Secretary under paragraph (1)(A) is received by such committees.

SEC. 108. AIR FORCE FIGHTER COMPETITION

(a) Multiyear Contracts.—Subject to provisions of appropriations Acts, the Secretary of the Air Force may award a multiyear contract, that employs economic order quantity procurement, for the purchase of Air Defense Aircraft in accordance with section 2306(h) of title 10, United States Code, without prior notice to Congress if the results of the competitive source selection demonstrate that—

(1) a multiyear contract will yield significant savings over the amount that would have resulted under an annual contract with the selected offeror; and

(2) those savings have a positive present value.

(b) Cancellation Ceiling.—Subject to provisions of appropriations Acts, the cancellation ceiling associated with the first year of a multiyear contract under subsection (a) may be carried as an unfunded contingent liability subject to section 2306(h)(5) of title 10, United States Code.

Part B—Army Program Limitations

SEC. 121. TESTING OF BRADLEY FIGHTING VEHICLE

(a) Required Testing.—The Secretary of Defense shall require that testing of the Bradley Fighting Vehicle (including live-fire testing and testing of the operational combat performance) and evaluation of that testing are carried out in accordance with this section.

(b) Test Plan for Survivability Enhancements and Operational Combat Performance.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan for the testing and evaluation of the Bradley Fighting Vehicle required by subsection (a). The plan shall include testing of—

(A) a version of the vehicle configured to include the survivability enhancements for the vehicle proposed by the Army (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Army vehicle"); and

(B) a version of the vehicle configured to include the concept of the so-called "minimum casualty vehicle" (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "minimum casualty vehicle").

(2) The plan developed under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A plan for—

(i) live-fire testing of the survivability of the Army vehicle and testing of the operational combat performance of such vehicle; and

(ii) evaluation of such testing.

(B) A plan for—

(i) live-fire testing of the survivability of the minimum casualty vehicle and testing of the operational combat performance of such vehicle; and

(ii) evaluation of such testing.

(C) A plan for comparing the testing and evaluation under subparagraph (A) with the testing and evaluation under subparagraph (B).

(3) The plan under paragraph (1) shall be developed to ensure that the testing of the Bradley Fighting Vehicle is the most realistic and suitable testing, at a reasonable cost, for evaluation of both vehicles.

(4) The aspects of the plan developed under paragraph (1) relating to operational combat performance shall be developed in consultation with the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation of the Department of Defense. The aspects of the plan relating to survivability enhancements shall be developed in consultation the Director of Defense Research and Engineering and the National Academy of Sciences.

(c) Certification of Plan.—(1) After developing the plan required by subsection (b), the Secretary shall certify to Congress that the plan for the testing of both versions of the Bradley Fighting Vehicle (including the conditions for the testing) is the most realistic and suitable plan, at a reasonable cost, for evaluation of the survivability and the likely operational combat performance of the Army vehicle and the minimum casualty vehicle.

(2) The Comptroller General shall—

(A) review all materials of the Department of Defense used to develop the plan with respect to which the certification under paragraph (1) is made; and

(B) submit to the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives a report giving the assessment of the Comptroller General as to the realism and suitability of the plan (including the conditions for the testing set forth in the plan) for evaluation of the sur-

vivability and the likely operational combat performance of the Army vehicle and the minimum casualty vehicle.

(d) Conduct of Testing.—The Secretary of the Army shall test both versions of the Bradley Fighting Vehicle in accordance with the plan (including the conditions for the testing set forth in the plan) with respect to which the certification was made.

(e) Review and Oversight.—(1) The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation of the Department of Defense shall review the results of the operational testing of the vehicle. The Director of Defense Research and Engineering shall review the results of the survivability testing of the vehicle.

(2) The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation, the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, and the Comptroller General (or members of their respective staffs) shall each observe the conduct of the testing.

(f) Reports.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the results of the testing of the Bradley Fighting Vehicle under this section. The report shall include such findings and recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(2) The Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report on the testing of the Bradley Fighting Vehicle. The report shall include—

(A) the opinion of the Comptroller General on the adequacy of the testing and on the test results; and

(B) the opinion of the Comptroller General (to the extent appropriate) on the conclusions and recommendations of the Secretary set forth in the report under paragraph (1).

SEC. 122. OTHER LIMITATIONS ON ARMY PROCUREMENT

(a) 120-Millimeter Mortar Program.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (3), funds appropriated or otherwise available for procurement for the Army may not be obligated for procurement of 120-millimeter mortars manufactured or assembled outside the United States or for procurement of ammunition manufactured outside the United States for 120-millimeter mortars.

(2) Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 1987 for procurement for the Army may not be obligated for procurement of 120-millimeter mortars until the Secretary of the Army conducts a cost-effectiveness analysis of potential domestic sources for the manufacture of such mortars.

(3) Paragraph (1) does not apply to procurement of mortars required—

(A) for testing, evaluation, or type classification; or

(B) for equipping the Ninth Infantry Division.

(b) Motorcycles.—Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 1987 for procurement for the Army may not be obligated for procurement of motorcycles until the Secretary of the Army certifies to Congress that the acquisition strategy of the Army for procurement of motorcycles includes consideration of—

(1) life-cycle costs;

(2) safety;

(3) maintenance; and

(4) durability.

(c) Air-to-Air Stinger Missile.—Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 1987 for procurement of aircraft for the Army may not be obligated for the modification program for the air-to-air Stinger missile until the Secretary of the Army—

(1) establishes a comprehensive acquisition plan for procurement of air-to-air Stinger missiles for Army aircraft; and

(2) submits a report on such plan to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives.

(d) Aquila Remotely Piloted Vehicle.—None of the funds appropriated to the Army pursuant to this title may be obligated or expended for the procurement of the Aquila Remotely Piloted Vehicle until—

(1) the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation of the Department of Defense completes a comprehensive assessment of the Aquila system; and

(2) the Secretary of the Army certifies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives that—

(A) the Aquila system has satisfactorily demonstrated that it meets or exceeds all performance criteria established for the system by the Army; and

(B) the Secretary has negotiated a contract that—

(i) provides for procurement of the entire system, and

(ii) limits the overall liability of the United States under the contract.

(e) Mobile Subscriber Equipment Program.—The total program acquisition cost for the communications and electronics equipment program of the Army known as the Mobile Subscriber Equipment Program (as such program exists on the date of the enactment of this Act) may not exceed $4,300,000,000. If the total program acquisition cost for such program (as it exists on the date of the enactment of this Act) is determined at any time to exceed such amount, the Secretary of the Army shall cancel the program.

Part C—Navy Program Limitations

SEC. 131. REPEAL OF LIMITATION ON DDG-51 DESTROYER PROGRAM

Section 102(h) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525; 98 Stat. 2501), is repealed.

SEC. 132. BASIC POINT DEFENSE MISSILE SYSTEM ON AMPHIBIOUS VESSELS

The Secretary of the Navy shall maintain in an operational status the Basic Point Defense Missile System on any amphibious vessel equipped on the date of the enactment of this Act with such system until a follow-on system, of comparable capability, is available for immediate replacement of the system on such vessel. This section does not apply to a vessel that is retired.

SEC. 133. ROLLING AIRFRAME MISSILE PROGRAM

(a) Required Certification.—(1) None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Navy for fiscal year 1987 for procurement may be obligated or expended in connection with procurement of the Rolling Airframe Missile program until the Secretary of

Defense certifies in writing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives that—

(A) the total amount expended by the Navy for research, development, test, and evaluation for such program will not exceed $219,700,000;

(B) the Secretary will terminate the program if at any time he determines that the total Navy research and development cost for the program will exceed the amount specified in subparagraph (A);

(C) the development contractor for the program has offered the Department of Defense a firm fixed-price contract option with no expiration date for an initial quantity of 500 missiles (integrated with the required Government-furnished components and tested to the complete missile level) which will result in a recurring missile unit flyaway cost that does not exceed $145,000 (based on fiscal year 1986 dollars);

(D) the recurring missile unit flyaway cost for a minimum of 4,900 missiles will not exceed $100,000 (based on fiscal year 1986 dollars);

(E) the design of the Rolling Airframe Missile and its unique support equipment are complete and the system performance has not been degraded from the original development specifications (as contained in Navy Decision Coordinating Paper No. SO-167-AA);

(F) the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation of the Department of Defense has approved a test and evaluation master plan for the Rolling Airframe Missile program; and

(G) the contractor for the production contract for the program has agreed to provide to the United States, at no additional cost to the United States or to the Federal Republic of Germany, a complete data package containing no manufacturing or proprietary data rights of the contractor in conjunction with a license to the United States under which rights are granted to enable a second source contractor designated by the United States to manufacture and sell to the United States or the Federal Republic of Germany on a royalty-free basis, and to enable the United States and the Federal Republic of Germany to use, on a royalty-free basis, the Ex-44 Guided Missile Round Pack consisting of guidance and control section, tail assembly, and canister.

(2) If the Secretary of Defense fails to make the certification described in paragraph (1) before April 1, 1987, the Secretary shall terminate the program effective on that date.

(b) Repeal.—Subsection (d) of section 205 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 608), is repealed.

Part D—Air Force Program Limitations

SEC. 141. ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY BOMBER

(a) Acquisition Strategy.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report describing the acquisition strategy of the Secretary for the Advanced Technology Bomber program.

(2) Except as provided in subsection (b), the acquisition strategy described in the report shall include an analysis of alternatives for limiting program costs during production, including the following:

(A) Alternative sources for major systems of the Advanced Technology Bomber.

(B) Alternative sources for major subsystems of the bomber.

(C) Competition during the integration and assembly of the bomber.

(D) A limitation on the total program cost for 132 Advanced Technology Bomber aircraft.

(3) The report under paragraph (1) shall include the recommendations of the Secretary with respect to the choice and timing of such alternatives.

(4) The report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted not later than the date on which the President submits the budget to Congress for fiscal year 1988.

(b) EXCEPTION FOR COMPETITIVE ALTERNATIVE SOURCE REQUIREMENT.—(1) The Secretary of Defense need not recommend the implementation of any of the alternatives if the Secretary determines that the application of those alternatives to control program costs would—

(A) increase the total cost of the program;

(B) result in unacceptable delays in fulfilling the needs of the Department of Defense; or

(C) be adverse to the national security interests of the United States.

(2) If the Secretary makes a determination under paragraph (1), the report of the Secretary under subsection (a) shall include—

(A) a notice of such determination; and

(B) documentation in support of such determination, including comparative cost and schedule estimates and other background material.

(c) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR FY87.—The Secretary of Defense may not obligate funds appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for the Advanced Technology Bomber in an amount greater than the amount appropriated for such program for fiscal year 1986 until the Secretary submits the report described in subsection (a).

(d) REVISIONS TO ACQUISITION STRATEGY.—After the report required by subsection (a) is submitted, the Secretary of Defense may not implement a revision to the acquisition strategy described in the report until—

(1) the Secretary submits to the committees named in that subsection a report describing the proposed revision; and

(2) a period of 60 days passes after the date on which the report on the revision is received by the committees.

(e) FINANCIAL ANALYSIS.—(1) In determining for purposes of subsection (a) the appropriate amount for a limitation on the total program cost for the development and production of 132 Advanced Technology Bomber aircraft, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a detailed financial analysis on the projected cost of such development and production.

(2) Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report setting forth the results of the analysis required under paragraph (1), including the

current estimate of the projected total cost for the development and production of 132 Advanced Technology Bomber aircraft.

(3) The Secretary shall submit a copy of the report under paragraph (2) to the Comptroller General of the United States for review. The Secretary shall make available to the Comptroller General such additional data and information as the Comptroller General requires for the purposes of such review. A report by the Comptroller General concerning data and information provided under this paragraph may, consistent with the classification of such report, be provided to Congress. Any such report shall be prepared with due regard to the sensitivity of the information received from the Secretary in such manner as will avoid disclosure of data or information which could adversely affect ongoing contract negotiations or the national security.

SEC. 142. PROHIBITION ON USING FUNDS AUTHORIZED FOR THE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY BOMBER AND THE ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE PROGRAMS FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE AND LIMITATION ON THE B-1B BOMBER FLEET TO 100 AIRCRAFT

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the capabilities inherent in the technologies associated with the Advanced Technology Bomber and the Advanced Cruise Missile Program are a critical national security asset for maintaining an adequate and credible deterrent posture;

(2) such technologies and programs should be developed as rapidly as feasible in order to produce and deploy advanced systems which will complicate the military planning of the Soviet Union and as a consequence enhance the deterrent posture of the United States;

(3) such technologies and programs should be funded at the levels authorized in this Act; and

(4) all the funds appropriated for such programs should be fully used for such programs.

(b) ATB AND ACM PROGRAMS.—Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 to carry out the Advanced Technology Bomber Program may not be used for any other purpose. Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 to carry out the Advanced Cruise Missile Program may not be used for any other purpose.

(c) B-1B AIRCRAFT.—Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense may not be used for procurement (including procurement of long-lead items, materials, systems, or subsystems) of the B-1-B bomber aircraft beyond the 100 such bombers authorized by law before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 143. C-17 AIRCRAFT PROGRAM

Of the funds appropriated to the Air Force for procurement of the C-17 aircraft for fiscal year 1987, not more than $64,000,000 may be obligated before April 15, 1987.

SEC. 144. ADVANCED MEDIUM-RANGED AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE PROGRAM

(a) CONDITIONS FOR LOW-RATE PRODUCTION.—Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 1987 for procurement of missiles for the Air Force may not (except as provided under subsection (c)) be obligated for low-rate production procurement of the Advanced Medium-Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM) until—

(1) the Secretary of the Air Force evaluates the results of the flight tests of the performance of the missile in comparison with the Milestone IIIA Low Rate Initial Production Go-Ahead decision criteria contained in the November 27, 1985, Appendix 1 to Annex B of the AMRAAM Decision Coordinating Paper; and

(2) the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report describing such test results and the Secretary's evaluation of such test results under paragraph (1).

(b) TESTING OF PRODUCIBILITY ENHANCEMENTS.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall test producibility enhancements for such missile before such enhancements are incorporated into production missiles.

(c) LIMITATION ON LONG-LEAD PROCUREMENT.—Of the amount described in subsection (a), not more than $170,000,000 may be obligated for long-lead procurement of such missiles without regard to the limitation in such subsection.

(d) TOTAL PROCUREMENT COST.—The cost of procurement of such missile may not exceed $7,000,000,000 (in fiscal year 1984 dollars), based upon procurement of 24,000 missiles. The amount of the limitation in the preceding sentence may be adjusted to reflect the effects of congressional funding actions on the established program. Notice of any such adjustment shall be provided to Congress in the next report submitted with respect to such missile program under section 2432 of title 10, United States Code, relating to Selected Acquisition Reports.

SEC. 145. T-46 AIRCRAFT PROGRAM

(a) COMPETITION FOR TRAINER AIRCRAFT.—(1) No funds may be obligated or expended for the procurement of the T-46 trainer aircraft until the Secretary of the Air Force conducts a competition evaluating the cost and performance of the following:

(A) The proposed T-46A trainer aircraft.

(B) The existing T-37 trainer aircraft.

(C) An upgraded T-37 trainer aircraft.

(D) Any other aircraft capable of meeting Air Force training requirements.

(2) The competition described in paragraph (1) shall include a fly-off between the T-37, T-46, and any other candidate aircraft for such competition.

(3) Any funds appropriated to the Air Force for fiscal year 1986 for the T-46 aircraft program and which remain available for obligation may be used for the purpose of conducting the competition referred to in paragraph (1).

(b) LIMITATION ON FISCAL YEAR 1987 FUNDS.—Funds appropriated to the Air Force for fiscal year 1987 may not be used for procurement of the T-46 aircraft.

PART E—CHEMICAL WEAPONS

SEC. 151. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 1987 for the destruction of lethal chemical agents and muni-

tions in accordance with section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 747), in the amount of $120,100,000.

(b) Limitation of Obligation.—Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for procurement for fiscal year 1987 for the program described in subsection (a) may not be obligated in excess of the amount authorized to be appropriated in that subsection.

SEC. 152. LIMITATION ON THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FOR THE BIGEYE BINARY CHEMICAL BOMB

(a) Limitation on Fiscal Year 1987 Funds.—Before October 1, 1987, funds appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for procurement of the BIGEYE binary chemical bomb may not be obligated—

(1) for procurement (including procurement of components) of such bomb; or

(2) for assembly of such bomb.

(b) Limitation on Final Assembly.—Before October 1, 1988, funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may not be obligated or expended for the final assembly of complete BIGEYE binary chemical bombs.

(c) Limitation on Fiscal Year 1986 Funds for Production Facilities.—(1) Of the funds appropriated for fiscal year 1986 for production facilities for the BIGEYE binary chemical bomb, not more than $90,000,000 may be obligated or expended. None of such amount may be obligated or expended until the President certifies to Congress that—

(1) production of the BIGEYE binary chemical bomb is in the national security interests of the United States; and

(2) the design, planning, and environmental requirements for such facilities have been satisfied.

(d) GAO Monitoring and Report.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall provide for the involvement of the Comptroller General in monitoring the operational testing of the BIGEYE bomb.

(2) After any such testing is completed, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on such testing. The report shall include an assessment of such testing and any comments the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

(e) Report on Long-Range Standoff Chemical Munitions.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the military requirements for long-range stand-off chemical weapons. The report shall address the military advantages and disadvantages of such weapons and the potential of such weapons to complement the currently planned binary chemical weapon systems.

(2) Such report shall be submitted not later than March 15, 1987.

SEC. 153. CHEMICAL WEAPONS, AGENTS, OR COMPONENTS AT LEXINGTON-BLUEGRASS DEPOT

(a) Prohibition on Shipments to Depot.—No chemical weapons, agents, or components used in chemical weapons may be shipped into the Lexington-Bluegrass Depot in Richmond, Kentucky, for any purpose, including disposal.

(b) Prohibition on Future Use of Depot.—After disposal of the chemical weapons stockpile stored at the Lexington-Bluegrass Depot, as required by section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authoriza-

tion Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145), the depot may not be used for assembly, construction, testing, storage, or disposal of any chemical or biological weapon.

(c) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the provisions of subsection (a) or (b) if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is in the national security interest of the United States.

SEC. 154. REPORT ON CHEMICAL WEAPONS DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

(a) REPORT REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the chemical weapons demilitarization program required by section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 747). The report shall describe—

(1) methods for carrying out such program that would optimize safety considerations; and

(2) methods for carrying out such program that would optimize cost-effectiveness considerations.

(b) DEADLINE FOR REPORT.—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than February 1, 1987

SEC. 155. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT TO PUBLIC LAW 99–145 RELATING TO BINARY CHEMICAL MUNITIONS

Section 1411(b)(1) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 745), is amended by striking out "North Atlantic Council" and inserting in lieu thereof "Defense Planning Committee of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization meeting in permanent session".

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

PART A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS AND PROGRAM LIMITATIONS

SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for the use of the Armed Forces for research, development, test, and evaluation in amounts as follows:

(1) For the Army, $4,712,729,000.

(2) For the Navy (including the Marine Corps), $9,294,106,000.

(3) For the Air Force, $15,019,084,000.

(4) For the Defense Agencies, $7,189,633,000, of which—

(A) $133,800,000 is authorized for the activities of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense, Test and Evaluation; and

(B) $11,300,000 is authorized for the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation.

(b) LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS.—The maximum amount that may be obligated from an account for which funds are authorized to be appropriated in subsection (a) is the amount authorized to be appropriated for such account under that subsection plus any amount authorized for such account under subsection (b) plus the following:

(1) For the Army, $5,830,000.

(2) For the Navy, $72,000.

(3) For the Air Force, $2,400,000.

SEC. 202. LIMITATION ON COPPERHEAD GUIDED PROJECTILE

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR SECOND SOURCE.—The Secretary of the Army may not enter into a contract for any product improvement or modification to the Copperhead Guided Projectile until the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a written certification that—

(1) a competition for a second source for production of such projectile has been conducted; and

(2) a second source for production of such projectile has been selected.

(b) PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT OR MODIFICATION.—For purposes of subsection (a), a product improvement or modification is any change to the production configuration of the Copperhead Guided Projectile in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) EXPIRATION OF LIMITATION.—The limitation in subsection (a) expires upon the enactment of a law making appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1988.

SEC. 203. LIMITATIONS ON FUNDS FOR THE NAVY

(a) V-22 OSPREY AIRCRAFT.—Of the funds authorized in section 201 for the Navy, not more than $386,871,000 is available for the V-22 Osprey aircraft. Not more than one-half of such amount may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of the Navy certifies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives in writing that—

(1) such aircraft is capable of performing the antisubmarine warfare mission currently performed by the S-3A aircraft; and

(2) such aircraft is the most cost effective aircraft to perform that mission.

(b) MARINE CORPS PEGASUS ENGINE.—Of the funds appropriated pursuant to authorizations in this title, not less than $8,000,000 shall be used for safety and reliability improvements for the Marine Corps AV-8B aircraft Pegasus engine program.

(c) AVIONICS RACK FOR S-3 AIRCRAFT.—The Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act a report on the manner in which the Navy proposes to correct whatever deficiencies exist in the avionics rack for the S-3 aircraft.

(d) RANKINE CYCLE ENERGY RECOVERY (RACER) SYSTEM.—Of the funds appropriated to the Navy for research, development, test, and evaluation for fiscal year 1987, the sum of $20,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of Defense for evaluation of the Rankine Cycle Energy Recovery (RACER) system and such testing as the Secretary determines necessary.

(e) LOW-COST SEEKER MISSILE.—Of the funds authorized in section 201 for the Navy, $39,704,000 is available only for the Low-Cost Seeker Missile program.

(f) TRIDENT II PROGRAM.—None of the funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 for the Trident II missile development program or for the procurement of Trident II missiles and related support equipment may be used for any other purpose. In achieving any undistributed reduction required to be made in programs, projects, or activities for which funds have been appropri-

ated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987, the Secretary of the Navy may not reduce the amount of funds available for the program and equipment described in the preceding sentence.

(g) FUNDS FOR LIBYAN LESSONS-LEARNED EQUIPMENT MODIFICATION.—Of the amount appropriated for research, development, test, and evaluation for the Navy for fiscal year 1987, $50,000,000 may be obligated or expended for programs directly related to the Libyan lessons-learned study, subject to the condition that none of the funds may be obligated or expended for such programs until 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Navy submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report explaining the purposes for which the funds are proposed to be used.

SEC. 204. LIMITATIONS ON FUNDS FOR THE AIR FORCE

(a) SHORT-RANGE ATTACK MISSILE.—None of the funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 for research, development, test, and evaluation may be obligated or expended for the purpose of full-scale development of a new or modified short-range attack missile (SRAM) until the Secretary of Defense submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report concerning the short-range attack missile that includes the following:

(1) Data and other information sufficient to compare—

(A) the total program cost, and the effectiveness, of the minimum modification of the existing motors for such missile; with

(B) the total program cost, and the effectiveness, of the development of a new short-range attack missile;

(2) Data and other information sufficient to compare—

(A) the total program cost, and the effectiveness, of the modification of an existing warhead for the short-range attack missile; with

(B) the total program cost, and the effectiveness, of the development of an all new warhead for the short-range attack missile.

(3) The recommendation of the Secretary with respect to the most cost-effective missile and warhead configuration for the short-range attack missile.

(b) LOW-COST SEEKER MISSILE.—Of the funds authorized in section 201 for the Air Force, $17,375,000 is available only for the Low-Cost Seeker Missile program.

(c) SPACE DEFENSE SYSTEM.—Of the amount authorized in section 201 for the Air Force, not more than $200,000,000 is available for the Space Defense System. None of such amount may be used for the production verification of the Miniature Homing Vehicle.

(d) PAVE TIGER/SEEK SPINNER SYSTEM.—Of the funds authorized in section 201 for the Air Force, $80,000,000 is available only for facilitization for production of the Pave Tiger/Seek Spinner System.

SEC. 205. LIMITATION ON FUNDS FOR DEFENSE AGENCIES

(a) MEDICAL APPLICATION OF FREE ELECTRON LASER.—Of the amount authorized in section 201 for the Defense Agencies (including the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization), $15,000,000 may

be used only for the application of Free-Electron Lasers for medical research and material.

(b) LASER PANTOGRAPHY.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for the Defense Agencies for Microwave/Millimeter Wave Monolithic Integrated Circuit Technology efforts, $4,000,000 is available only for the Laser Pantography project.

(c) STRATEGIC TECHNOLOGY.—Of the funds authorized in section 201 for the Defense Agencies, $240,620,000 is available only for the Strategic Technology program. Of such amount, $10,000,000 is available only for independent research in the field of ocean science and related fields to provide an assessment of the possibilities of nonacoustic detection of submarines.

SEC. 206. OTHER LIMITATIONS

(a) ADVANCED FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM/MARINE INTEGRATED FIRE AND AIR SUPPORT SYSTEMS, ARMY/NAVY.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), none of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 for research, development, test, and evaluation may be obligated or expended for the development of the Army Advanced Artillery Tactical Data System or the Marine Integrated Fire and Support System (MIFASS) until—

(A) a single program is selected for both the Army and the Marine Corps; or

(B) the Secretary of Defense certifies to Congress, before December 16, 1986, that continuation of both programs is operationally sound and less costly to the United States than the development of a common system for use by both the Army and Marine Corps.

(2) Of the funds referred to in paragraph (1), not more than $3,000,000 may be obligated without regard to the limitation in such paragraph for operational testing of the Marine Integrated Fire and Support System.

(b) ADVANCED TACTICAL AIRCRAFT AND ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY FIGHTER.—(1) The Secretary of the Navy may not obligate funds for the full-scale development of the Navy Advanced Tactical Aircraft program until the Secretary of Defense certifies to Congress that the design selected for the aircraft will accommodate essential Air Force-peculiar requirements for such aircraft.

(2) The Secretary of the Air Force may not obligate funds for the full-scale development of the Air Force Advanced Technology Fighter program until the Secretary of Defense certifies to Congress that the design selected for the aircraft will accommodate essential Navy-peculiar requirements for such aircraft.

(3) After September 30, 1987, funds appropriated to the Department of Defense may not be obligated for the Advanced Tactical Aircraft program of the Navy or the Advanced Technology Fighter program of the Air Force unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to Congress that—

(A) the requirements for both such programs have been finalized; and

(B) such requirements, as finalized, have been agreed to by the Secretary of the Navy, the Secretary of the Air Force, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

SEC. 207. ADVANCED ANTI-ARMOR WEAPON SYSTEM

(a) FEASIBILITY DEMONSTRATION OF CANDIDATE SYSTEMS.—Of the funds authorized in section 201 for the Army, $48,735,000 is authorized to be appropriated for the Advanced Anti-Armor Weapon System Medium (AAWS-M) program, but funds may be obligated for such program only if—

(1) the Secretary of the Army begins a prototype feasibility demonstration of not more than three candidate systems for such program, including a true fire-and-forget candidate;

(2) the feasibility demonstration for such a system is capable of being completed within 27 months after the date of the enactment of this Act;

(3) the official responsible for test planning and evaluation for the feasibility demonstration is the Director of Test and Evaluation of the Department of Defense; and

(4) the actual conduct of the feasibility demonstration is carried out jointly by the Army and the Marine Corps.

(b) REQUIREMENT FOR SATISFACTION OF SERVICE ANTI-ARMOR REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense may not authorize the initiation of full-scale engineering development of the winning AAWS-M candidate until—

(1) the Secretary of the Army certifies to the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives that the winning system meets the anti-armor requirement of the Army; and

(2) the Secretary of the Navy, upon recommendation of the Commandant of the Marine Corps, certifies to such Committees that the winning system meets the anti-armor requirement of the Marine Corps.

SEC. 208. ELECTRONIC WARFARE MASTER PLAN

(a) ELECTRONIC WARFARE CONSOLIDATION.—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition shall prepare an Electronic Warfare Master Plan providing policy guidance in electronic warfare to the Secretaries of the military departments. The master plan shall be coordinated with the policy and fiscal guidance of the Secretary of Defense. The Under Secretary shall submit the master plan to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives.

(b) OBLIGATION LIMITATION.—Not more than 80 percent of the amount appropriated to each of the military departments for fiscal year 1987 for research, development, test, and evaluation of electronic warfare systems may be obligated until the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition transmits to the committees the Electronic Warfare Master Plan prepared under subsection (a).

(c) AMOUNTS AUTHORIZED.—Of the amounts appropriated to the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation for fiscal year 1987, not less than $100,000,000 shall be available to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition for research, development, test, and evaluation of electronic warfare systems.

SEC. 209. LIMITATION ON TESTING ELECTROMAGNETIC PULSE

During fiscal year 1987, the Secretary of the Navy may not carry out a demonstration test of an electromagnetic pulse in the Chesapeake Bay, Maryland and Virginia, in connection with the Electro-

magnetic Pulse Radiation Environment Simulator Program for Ships.

PART B—STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE

SEC. 211. FISCAL YEAR 1987 FUNDING LEVEL FOR STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE

Of the amount authorized in section 201 for research, development, test, and evaluation for the Defense Agencies, not more than $3,213,000,000 is available for the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) program.

SEC. 212. JOINT DEVELOPMENT OF ANTITACTICAL BALLISTIC MISSILE SYSTEM

Of the funds available for the Strategic Defense Initiative under section 211, not more than $50,000,000 shall be available for the joint development, on a matching fund basis, of an anti-tactical ballistic missile system for deployment with NATO allies and other countries that the United States has invited to participate in the Strategic Defense Initiative Program.

SEC. 213. LIMITATION ON ESTABLISHMENT OF A FEDERALLY FUNDED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER FOR THE STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE PROGRAM

(a) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of Defense may not obligate or expend any funds for the purpose of operating a Federally funded research and development center that is established for the support of the Strategic Defense Initiative Program after the date of the enactment of this Act unless—

(1) the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report with respect to such proposed center that provides the information described in subsection (b); and

(2) funds are specifically authorized to be appropriated for such purpose after the date of the enactment of this Act in an Act other than—

(A) an appropriations Act; or

(B) a continuing resolution.

(b) CONTENT OF REPORT.—A report submitted under subsection (a)(1) with respect to a proposed center shall include a discussion of—

(1) the ability of existing Federally funded research and development centers, Federal research laboratories, and private contractors to perform the objectives of technological integration and evaluation required by the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization;

(2) the comparative cost of having the proposed work performed by—

(A) the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization;

(B) Federally funded research and development centers in existence on the date of the enactment of this Act;

(C) by Federal research laboratories;

(D) by private contractors; or

(E) by such center;

(3) whether such center is intended to be—

(A) primarily a study and analysis center; or

(B) primarily a system engineering/system integration center;

(4) whether such center will be required or authorized to enter into contracts under which research projects would be performed by other Federally funded research and development centers, Federal research laboratories, or private contractors;

(5) whether the contract to operate such center will be awarded on a competitive basis;

(6) whether proposals with respect to the operation of such center—

(A) will be considered by the appropriate Defense Agency; and

(B) will be subjected to review by persons to be elected by the National Academy of Sciences;

(7) whether such center will be designed to prevent even the possibility of an appearance of a conflict of interest—

(A) by prohibiting any officer, employee, or member of the governing body of such center from holding any position with—

(i) the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization; or

(ii) a private contractor that has a substantial interest in the development of the Strategic Defense Initiative; and

(B) by prohibiting more than one-half of the members of the governing body of the proposed Federally Funded Research Center from simultaneously holding any position with the Strategic Defense Initiative Advisory Committee or any similar body which provides technological, scientific, or strategic advice to the Department of Defense about the Strategic Defense Initiative;

(8) whether other actions will be taken to avoid possible conflict of interest situations within such center;

(9) the role of the Department of Defense in—

(A) the selection of the staff of such center; and

(B) the internal organization of such center; and

(10) whether a prescribed minimum percentage of the annual budget of such center will be set aside for research to be conducted independently of the Department of Defense.

(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall also submit a report to Congress providing an analysis of the items in subsection (b) as appropriate.

SEC. 214. REPORT ON PROJECTED COSTS OF SDI PROGRAM

Section 223(b) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 613), is amended by adding at the end the following: "The Secretary shall include in such report the following information:

"(1) The cost goals or cost objectives for the production and deployment of a Strategic Defense Initiative System determined on the basis of capabilities expected to be developed in the future and the cost goals or cost objectives for the individual components of such system (determined on the basis of capabilities expected to be developed in the future).

"(2) The estimated cost for the production and deployment of the Strategic Defense Initiative System referred to in paragraph (1) and determined on the basis of prices in effect and capabilities in existence at the time of the preparation of the report and the estimated cost for the production and deployment of the individual components of such system (determined on the basis of prices in effect and capabilities in existence at the time of the preparation of the report).".

SEC. 215. REPORT ON STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE DEPLOYMENT SCHEDULE

(a) REPORT REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report detailing what Strategic Defense Initiative technologies can be developed or deployed within the next 5 to 10 years to defend against significant military threats and help accomplish critical military missions. The missions to be considered include—

(1) defending United States Armed Forces abroad and United States allies against tactical ballistic missiles, particularly new and highly accurate Soviet shorter range ballistic missiles armed with conventional, chemical, or nuclear warheads;

(2) defending against a limited but militarily effective Soviet attack aimed at disrupting the National Command Authority and other valuable military assets;

(3) providing sufficient warning and tracking information to defend or effectively evade possible Soviet attacks against military satellites including those in high orbits; and

(4) providing early warning and attack assessment information and the necessary survivable command, control, and communication to defend against possible Soviet conventional or strategic attacks.

(b) ADDITIONAL MATERIAL TO BE INCLUDED.—The report shall—

(1) identify any other significant near-term military mission that the application of Strategic Defense Initiative technologies might help accomplish;

(2) list what specific program elements of the Strategic Defense Initiative are pertinent to these applications;

(3) estimate initial operating capability dates for the systems needed to accomplish these missions;

(4) estimate the level of funding necessary for each program to reach these operating capability dates; and

(5) estimate the survivability and cost effectiveness at the margin of these systems against current and projected Soviet threats.

(c) DEADLINE FOR REPORT.—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than March 15, 1987.

SEC. 216. EFFECT OF STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE ANTI-BALLISTIC MISSILE TREATY

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The President's Commission on Strategic Forces declared in its report to the President, dated March 21, 1984, that "One of the most successful arms control agreements is the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty of 1972".

(2) The Secretary of State has stated that the "ABM Treaty requires consultations, and the President has explicitly recog-

nized that any ABM-related deployments arising from research into ballistic missile defenses would be a matter for consultations and negotiations between the Parties".

(3) The Secretary of State declared on October 14, 1985, that "our research program has been structured and, as the President has reaffirmed, will continue to be conducted in accordance with a restrictive interpretation of the treaty's obligations".

(4) The President has determined that the Krasnoyarsk radar is a violation of the ABM Treaty.

(5) The Krasnoyarsk radar therefore erodes the integrity of the ABM Treaty and is a matter of serious concern.

(b) CONGRESSIONAL DECLARATIONS.—The Congress therefore declares—

(1) that it fully supports the declared policy of the President that a principal objective of the United States in negotiations with the Soviet Union on nuclear and space arms is to reverse the erosion of the Treaty between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Limitation of Anti-Ballistic Missile Systems, signed on May 26, 1972 (commonly referred to as the "ABM Treaty"); and

(2) that action by the Congress in approving funds in this Act for research on the Strategic Defense Initiative—

(A) does not express or imply an intention on the part of Congress that the United States should abrogate, violate, or otherwise erode such treaty; and

(B) does not express or imply any determination or commitment on the part of Congress that the United States develop, test, or deploy ballistic missile strategic defense weaponry that would contravene such treaty.

SEC. 217. REPORT ON THE ANTI-BALLISTIC MISSILE TREATY

(a) REPORT ON LESS RESTRICTIVE INTERPRETATION.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report concerning the effect of the less restrictive interpretation of the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty on the Strategic Defense Initiative program.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report shall include the following:

(1) An analysis of the ramifications of the less restrictive interpretation on the development under the Strategic Defense Initiative program, of strategic defenses, including comprehensive strategic defense systems, and more limited defenses designed to protect vital United States military and command and control assets, based on "other physical principles". This analysis should compare research and development programs pursued under both the restrictive and less restrictive interpretations of such treaty, including a comparative analysis of—

(A) the overall cost of the research and development programs,

(B) the schedule of the research and development programs, and

(C) the level of confidence attained in the research and development programs with respect to supporting a full-scale engineering development decision in the early 1990's.

(2) A list of options under the less restrictive interpretation of such treaty that meet one or more of the following objectives:

(A) Reduction of the overall development cost.

(B) Advancement of the schedule for a full-scale engineering development decision.

(C) Increase in the level of confidence in the results of the research by the original full-scale development date.

(c) DEADLINE FOR REPORT.—The report under subsection (a) shall submitted not later than February 1, 1987.

PART C—BALANCED TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE

SEC. 221. CONVENTIONAL DEFENSE INITIATIVE

(a) IN GENERAL.—In this Act, Congress commences a program of Conventional Defense Initiatives to provide an emphasis on improving the conventional weapons of the Armed Forces (and the testing of such weapons) and to enhance cooperation with the other member nations of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization. The initiative is intended to improve the fighting power and survivability of the combat forces of the United States and to raise the threshold for nuclear war.

(b) AMOUNT AUTHORIZED.—Of the amount authorized in section 201 for the Army, Navy, and Air Force, $162,323,000 is available only for the Conventional Defense Initiatives program.

SEC. 222. BALANCED TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE

(a) EARMARKING FOR CERTAIN CONVENTIONAL PROGRAMS.—(1) Of the amount authorized in section 201 for Defense Agencies, not less than $300,000,000 shall be used to expand research on innovative concepts and methods of enhancing conventional defense capabilities. Such research and development efforts shall emphasize the following:

(A) Armor/anti-armor initiatives.

(B) Defenses against armed helicopters.

(C) Hypervelocity missiles for ground combat use.

(D) Defense against anti-ship missiles, including those with "stealth" characteristics.

(E) "Smart" mines for both land and ocean warfare.

(F) Lightweight, air transportable vehicles with anti-armor capabilities for rapid transport to remote areas.

(G) Improved conventional anti-submarine warfare munitions.

(H) "Smart" standoff munitions and submunitions for aircraft delivery outside of lethal air defense ranges.

(2) The amount specified in paragraph (1) is in addition to the amount specified for the Strategic Defense Initiative in section 211 and for the Conventional Defense Initiative Program under section 221 and is made available subject to the following conditions:

(A) That the Director of Defense Research and Engineering apportion the funds among the research, development, test, and evaluation accounts of the Army, the Navy, the Air Force, and the Defense Agencies on a merit basis, taking into consideration ongoing technology research and exploitation opportunities.

(B) That no portion of any undistributed reduction be applied against the funds appropriated for purposes of paragraph (1)

and that no portion of any undistributed reduction be applied against any program, project, or activity for which additional funds are provided under subparagraph (A).

(C) That no portion of the funds provided for in paragraph (1) be applied to any program, project, or activity in support of the Strategic Defense Initiative.

(b) FUNDS FOR CONVENTIONAL DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY BASE.—Of the amount authorized in section 201 for Defense Agencies, not less than $153,000,000 shall be applied to restoration of the conventional defense technology base. Such amount is in addition to the amounts specified in subsection (a) and in sections 211 and 221 and is made available subject to the following conditions:

(1) That the Director of Defense Research and Engineering apportion the funds among the research, development, test, and evaluation accounts of the Army, the Navy, the Air Force, and Defense Agencies on a merit basis, either to augment ongoing but underfunded basic and exploratory research or to establish new basic or exploratory research programs.

(2) That no portion of such funds be applied to any program, project, or activity in support of the Strategic Defense Initiative.

(3) That no portion of any undistributed reduction made in any research, development, test, and evaluation account be applied against the technology base activities of any military department or Defense Agency in a greater proportion than the share of that department's or Agency's technology base as a proportion of the total research, development, test, and evaluation funds made available to that department or Agency for fiscal year 1987.

(4) That no portion of any undistributed reduction be applied against any program, project, or activity which has received additional funds under this subsection.

(c) REPORT.—Within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of Defense Research and Engineering shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the implementation of this section. Such report shall include—

(1) the allocation by project of the amounts specified in subsections (a)(1) and (b);

(2) the identification of other ongoing research and development projects that should be included in a balanced defense technology effort to improve conventional defenses;

(3) the identification of specific research projects which were funded in fiscal years before fiscal year 1987 by the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization which could significantly enhance the conventional defense capabilities of the United States (or command, control, communications, and intelligence capabilities associated with conventional defense capabilities) and which will no longer be funded by such office;

(4) for each program, project, or activity for which funds have been allocated under subsection (a)(1), or which is identified under clause (2) or (3) of this subsection, a five-year funding description sufficient to maintain significant progress in such program, project, or activity, including the major milestones and

projected dates of accomplishment for such program, project, or activity; and

(5) the funding that would be required for each of the next five fiscal years to restore by the end of fiscal year 1992 the defense technology base to the proportion of total research, development, test, and evaluation that such base was, on average, during the period from 1971 through 1980, and the effect of implementing that funding on the research, development, test, and evaluation defense programs of the United States.

(d) RESTRICTION ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.—None of the funds provided under subsections (a)(1) and (b) may be obligated until—

(1) the report required by subsection (c) is submitted; and

(2) a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the report is received by the committees.

PART D—MISCELLANEOUS

SEC. 231. LIMITATION ON TESTING OF ANTI-SATELLITE WEAPONS

(a) ASAT TESTING MORATORIUM.—The Secretary of Defense may not carry out a test of the Space Defense System (anti-satellite weapon) against an object in space until the President certifies to Congress that the Soviet Union has conducted, after the date of the enactment of this Act, a test against an object in space of a dedicated anti-satellite weapon.

(b) EXPIRATION.—The prohibition in subsection (a) expires on October 1, 1987.

SEC. 232. ICBM MODERNIZATION

(a) REPORT BY THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE ON ICBM MODERNIZATION.—At the same time the Secretary of Defense submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives the report on the intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) modernization program required by section 1231(c) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (Public Law 98–94; 97 Stat. 614), the Secretary shall submit to such Committees a statement containing the basis of the Secretary's recommendation to the President, and any decisions of the President, regarding the following matters:

(1) The configuration of a small intercontinental ballistic missile in terms of weight, number of warheads, and production schedule.

(2) The selected options for more survivable follow-on basing modes and basing locations for MX (Peacekeeper) missiles.

(3) The advisability of going forward with one or more selected basing modes to a full scale engineering development decision.

(b) LIMITATION ON DEPLOYMENT OF PEACEKEEPER (MX) MISSILE; DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL ICBM.—The limitations contained in sections 206 and 1231 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (Public Law 98-94; 97 Stat. 614), on the deployment of the MX missile and the development of a small intercontinental ballistic missile shall cease to apply when full-scale engineering development of a small mobile intercontinental ballistic missile begins.

(c) LIMITATIONS ON FUNDING.—Of the amounts appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for the ICBM Modernization Program—

(1) $120,000,000 shall be available for research and development on follow-on basing options;

(2) $290,000,000 shall be available for research and development of the Peacekeeper (MX) missile; and

(3) $1,200,000,000 shall be available for research and development of a small mobile intercontinental ballistic missile and basing for such missile.

SEC. 233. RESTRICTION ON USE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FUNDS FOR GRANTS TO EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS

The Secretary of Defense may not make a grant or contribution to any educational institution from funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 for research, development, test, and evaluation unless the Secretary determines—

(1) that the purpose of the grant or contribution has a potential relationship to a military function or operation; and

(2) that the grant or contribution is based on the technical merit of the proposed research that best satisfies the requirements of the Department of Defense.

SEC. 234. COORDINATION OF RESEARCH ACTIVITIES OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to strengthen coordination among Department of Defense research facilities and other organizations in the Department of Defense.

(b) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that centralized coordination of the collection and dissemination of technological data among research facilities and other organizations within the Department of Defense is necessary—

(1) to ensure that personnel of the Department are currently informed about emerging technology for defense systems; and

(2) to avoid unnecessary and costly duplication of research staffs and projects.

(a) IMPROVED COORDINATION AND COMMUNICATION OF DEFENSE RESEARCH ACTIVITIES.—(1) Chapter 139 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2364. Coordination and communication of Defense research activities

"(a) COORDINATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TECHNOLOGICAL DATA.—The Secretary of Defense shall promote, monitor, and evaluate programs for the communication and exchange of technological data—

"(1) among the Defense research facilities, combatant commands, and other organizations that are involved in developing for the Department of Defense the technological requirements for new items for use by combat forces; and

"(2) among Defense research facilities and other offices, agencies, and bureaus in the Department that are engaged in related technological matters.

"(b) FUNCTIONS OF DEFENSE RESEARCH FACILITIES.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure, to the maximum extent practicable—

"(1) that Defense research facilities are assigned broad mission requirements rather than specific hardware needs;

"(2) that appropriate personnel of such facilities are assigned to serve as consultants on component and support system standardization;

"(3) that the managers of such facilities have broad latitude to choose research and development projects;

"(4) that technology position papers prepared by Defense research facilities are readily available to all combatant commands and to contractors who submit bids or proposals for Department of Defense contracts; and

"(5) that, in order to promote increased consideration of technological issues early in the development process, any position paper prepared by a Defense research facility on a technological issue relating to a major weapon system, and any technological assessment made by such facility in the case of such component, is made a part of the records considered for the purpose of making milestone O, I, and II decisions.

"(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'Defense research facility' means a Department of Defense facility which performs or contracts for the performance of—

"(A) basic research; or

"(B) applied research known as exploratory development.

"(2) The term 'milestone O decision' means a decision made within the Department of Defense that there is a mission need for a new major weapon system and that research and development is to begin to meet such need.

"(3) The term 'milestone I decision' means [a]/[the] selection by an appropriate official of the Department of Defense of a new major weapon system concept and a program for demonstration and validation of such concept.

"(4) The term 'milestone II decision' means approval by an appropriate official of the Department of Defense for the full-scale development of a new major weapon system.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2364. Coordination and communication of Defense research activities.".

SEC. 235. COOPERATIVE MEDICAL RESEARCH WITH VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION

(a) FUNDING.—Of the amount authorized in section 201 for the Defense Agencies, $20,000,000 is available only for cooperative medical research to be administered by the Secretary of Defense and the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs.

(b) DEADLINE.—Not later than 30 days after the enactment of a law making appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987, the Secretary of Defense shall make available to the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs the amount referred to in subsection (a).

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Part A—Authorizations of Appropriations

SEC. 301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING

(a) Authorization of Appropriations.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance in amounts as follows:

For the Army, $20,025,891,000.
For the Navy, $23,164,784,000.
For the Marine Corps, $1,794,200,000.
For the Air Force, $18,894,186,000.
For the Defense Agencies, $7,979,380,000.
For the Army Reserve, $770,300,000.
For the Naval Reserve, $887,400,000.
For the Marine Corps Reserve, $64,200,000.
For the Air Force Reserve, $922,100,000.
For the Army National Guard, $1,734,603,000.
For the Air National Guard, $1,790,400,000.
For the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice, $4,316,000.
For Defense Claims, $144,400,000.
For the Court of Military Appeals, $3,200,000.
For Environmental Restoration, Defense, $385,900,000.

(b) Additional Authorization for Army National Guard.—In addition to the amounts authorized in subsection (a), there are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary for the Army National Guard for—

(1) armory operating costs;

(2) modification or repair of work space for fulltime National Guard personnel; and

(3) partial Federal funding for major repair and renovation of National Guard facilities.

(c) Authorization Upon Appropriation for High Priority Programs.—Any amounts appropriated in excess of the amounts authorized in subsection (a) for high priority readiness programs are hereby authorized.

SEC. 302. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

(a) Authorization of Appropriations.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working-capital funds in amounts as follows:

For the Army Stock Fund, $230,138,000.
For the Navy Stock Fund, $379,570,000.
For the Marine Corps Stock Fund, $31,233,000.
For the Air Force Stock Fund, $200,410,000.
For the Defense Stock Fund, $66,949,000.

(b) Authorization Upon Appropriation for High Priority Programs.—Any amounts appropriated in excess of the amounts authorized in subsection (a) for high priority readiness programs are hereby authorized.

SEC. 303. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR ASSISTANCE TO PAN AMERICAN GAMES

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for assistance to the Tenth International Pan American Games in accordance with section 304 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 583), in the amount of $15,000,000.

SEC. 304. TRANSPORTATION OF LIVESTOCK FEED

The Secretary of Defense may authorize the use during 1986 of National Guard equipment and personnel for transportation (including airlift) of livestock feed to drought-stricken areas of the United States.

SEC. 305. AUTHORITY FOR PAYMENTS TO NASA FOR SPACE SHUTTLE SERVICES

(a) Authority.—The Secretary of Defense may make payments during fiscal year 1987 to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration for space shuttle services in advance of the receipt of such services. Any such payments—

(1) may be made only from funds appropriated to the Air Force for operation and maintenance for fiscal year 1987 and only to the extent provided in appropriations Acts;

(2) may not be made until 30 days after the date on which the report required in subsection (b) is received by the Congress; and

(3) may not exceed $268,800,000.

(b) Report.—Not later than December 31, 1986, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of the Congress a report containing a ten-year plan setting forth the schedule for planned payments by the Department of Defense to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration for space shuttle services and the schedule for the provision of such services.

PART B—PROGRAM CHANGES AND PERMANENT LAW CHANGES

SEC. 311. CONTRACT PERSONNEL TO BE INCLUDED IN BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

Section 2203 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentences: "The Secretary of Defense shall provide that the budget justification documents for such budget include information on the number of employees of contractors estimated to be working on contracts of the Department of Defense during the fiscal year for which the budget is submitted. Such information shall be set forth in terms of employee-years or such other measure as will be uniform and readily comparable with civilian personnel of the Department of Defense.".

SEC. 312. PROCUREMENT OF CERTAIN BAKERY AND DAIRY PRODUCTS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(a) Procurement of Bakery and Dairy Products.—Chapter 143 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2422. Bakery and dairy products: procurement outside the United States

"(a) The Secretary of Defense may authorize any element of the Department of Defense that procures bakery and dairy products for

use by the armed forces outside the United States to procure any products described in subsection (b) through the use of procedures other than competitive procedures.

"(b) The products referred to in subsection (a) are bakery or dairy products produced by the Army and Air Force Exchange Service in a facility outside the United States that began operating before July 1, 1986.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2422. Bakery and dairy products: procurement outside the United States.".

SEC. 313. FUNCTIONS OF MILITARY COMMISSARIES; PURCHASE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES BY NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 147 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sections:

"§2486. Commissary stores: merchandise that may be sold; uniform surcharges

"(a) Commissary stores are similar to commercial grocery stores and may sell merchandise similar to that sold in commercial grocery stores.

"(b) Merchandise sold in commissary stores may include items in the following categories:

"(1) Health and beauty aids.
"(2) Meat and poultry.
"(3) Fish and seafood.
"(4) Produce.
"(5) Food and non-food grocery items.
"(6) Bakery goods.
"(7) Dairy products.
"(8) Tobacco products.
"(9) Delicatessen items.
"(10) Frozen foods.
"(11) Other categories designated in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of a military department and approved by the Secretary of Defense.

"(c) An adjustment of or surcharge on sales prices in commissary stores under section 2484(b) or 2685(a) of this title or for any other purpose shall be applied as a uniform percentage of the sales price of all merchandise sold in commissary stores.

"§2487. Commissary stores: limitations on release of sales information

"(a) In order to protect commercially valuable information, the Secretary of a military department, except as provided in subsection (b), may not release to the public those portions of computer data generated by electronic scanners used in military commissaries, and those portions of reports generated by such scanners, that contain the following information:

"(1) The unit prices of items sold.
"(2) The number of units of items sold.

"(b) Information subject to subsection (a) may be released under a written agreement. Any such agreement shall require payment for such information and shall specify the amount of such payment.

"(c) Amounts received by the United States under an agreement described in subsection (b) with respect to a commissary shall be deposited in the Commissary Trust Revolving Fund of the military department under which the commissary is operated.

"§2488. Nonappropriated fund instrumentalities: purchase of alcoholic beverages

"(a) The Secretary of Defense shall provide that—

"(1) covered alcoholic beverage purchases made for resale on a military installation located in the United States shall be made from the most competitive source, price and other factors considered, except that

"(2) in the case of malt beverages and wine purchased for resale on a military installation located in the contiguous States, such purchases shall be made from, and delivery shall be accepted from, a source within the State in which the military installation concerned is located.

"(b) If a military installation located in the contiguous States is located in more than one State, a source of supply in any State in which the installation is located shall be considered for the purposes of subsection (a)(2) to be a source within the State in which the installation is located.

"(c) In this section:

"(1) The term 'covered alcoholic beverage purchases' means purchases of alcoholic beverages by a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense with nonappropriated funds.

"(2) The term 'State' includes the District of Columbia.".

(b) EXEMPTION FROM WRITTEN NOTICE REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2305(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end of paragraph (4) the following new subparagraph:

"(E) Subparagraph (D) does not apply with respect to the award of a contract for the acquisition of perishable subsistence items.".

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new items:

"2486. Commissary stores: merchandise that may be sold; uniform surcharges.
"2487. Commissary stores: limitation on release of sales information.
"2488. Nonappropriated fund instrumentalities: purchase of alcoholic beverages.".

SEC. 314. AUTHORITY FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TO ACCEPT GIFTS FOR THE DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' EDUCATION SYSTEM

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 155 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2605. Acceptance of gifts for defense dependents' education system

"(a) The Secretary of Defense may accept, hold, administer, and spend any gift (including any gift of an interest in real property) made on the condition that it be used in connection with the operation or administration of the defense dependents' education system provided for under the Defense Dependents' Education Act of 1978

(20 U.S.C. 921 et seq.). The Secretary may pay all necessary expenses in connection with the acceptance of a gift under this subsection.

"(b) There is established in the Treasury a fund to be known as the 'Department of Defense Dependents' Education Gift Fund'. Gifts of money, and the proceeds of the sale of property, received under subsection (a) shall be deposited in the fund. The Secretary may disburse funds deposited under this subsection for the benefit or use of the defense dependent's education system, subject to the terms of the gift.

"(c) Subsection (c) of section 2601 of this title applies to property that is accepted under subsection (a) in the same manner that such subsection applies to property that is accepted under subsection (a) of that section.

"(d)(1) Upon request of the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of the Treasury may—

"(A) retain money, securities, and the proceeds of the sale of securities, in the Department of Defense Dependents' Education Gift Fund; and

"(B) invest money and reinvest the proceeds of the sale of securities in that fund in securities of the United States or in securities guaranteed as to principal and interest by the United States.

"(2) The interest and profits accruing from those securities shall be deposited to the credit of the fund and may be disbursed as provided in subsection (b).

"(e) In this section, the term 'gift' includes a devise of real property or a bequest of personal property.

"(f) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2605. Acceptance of gifts for defense dependents' education system.".

SEC. 315. RENOVATION OF FACILITIES

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end of subchapter I the following new section:

"§2810. Renovation of facilities

"(a) The Secretary concerned may carry out renovation projects that combine maintenance, repair, and minor construction projects for an entire single-purpose facility, or one or more functional areas of a multipurpose facility, using funds available for operations and maintenance.

"(b) The amount obligated on such a renovation project may not exceed the maximum amount specified by law for a minor construction project under section 2805 of this title.

"(c) Construction of new facilities or additions to existing facilities may not be carried out under the authority of this section.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2810. Renovation of facilities.".

SEC. 316. PROHIBITION OF PURCHASE OF ANGOLAN PETROLEUM PRODUCTS FROM COMPANIES PRODUCING OIL IN ANGOLA

(a) General Rule.—The Secretary of Defense may not enter into a contract with a company for the purchase of petroleum products which originated in Angola if the company (or a subsidiary or partnership of the company) is engaged in the production of petroleum products in Angola.

(b) Waiver Authority.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the limitation in subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that such action is in the best interest of the United States.

(c) Petroleum Product Defined.—For purposes of this section, the term "petroleum product" means—

"(1) natural or synthetic crude;

"(2) blends of natural or synthetic crude; and

"(3) products refined or derived from natural or synthetic crude or from such blends.

(d) Effective Date.—This section shall take effect six months after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 317. PROHIBITION OF CONTRACTS FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF CERTAIN ARMY AMMUNITION ACTIVITIES

(a) Prohibition of Contracting.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army may not enter into a contract for the performance by contractor personnel of functions performed by employees of the Department of Defense at the Crane Army Ammunition Activity, Crane, Indiana, or the McAlester Army Ammunition Plant, McAlester, Oklahoma.

(b) Exception.—The prohibition in subsection (a) does not apply to a contract (or the renewal of a contract) for the performance of a function that on the date of the enactment of this Act is under contract for performance by contractor personnel.

SEC. 318. NATIONAL BOARD FOR THE PROMOTION OF RIFLE PRACTICE

(a) Personnel Services.—Section 4308 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(c) The Secretary may provide personnel services (in addition to pay and non-travel related allowances for members of the armed forces) in carrying out the authority of the Secretary under this section and sections 4310 through 4312 of this title.".

(b) Allowances for Competitors at National Matches.—Section 4313 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out "draw not more than" in subsection (a) and all that follows through the end of the subsection and inserting in lieu thereof "be paid a subsistence allowance in such amount as the Secretary of the Army shall prescribe."; and

(2) by striking out "of five cents a mile" in subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof "in such amount as the Secretary of the Army shall prescribe".

SEC. 319. PROHIBITION ON JOINT USE OF GRAY ARMY AIRFIELD WITH CIVIL AVIATION

The Secretary of the Army may not enter into an agreement to allow joint use of the Robert Gray Army Airfield at Fort Hood, Texas, with civil aviation.

SEC. 320. REPORT ON PROPOSED REGULATIONS RELATING TO MOVEMENT OF HOUSEHOLD GOODS AND CARGO

(a) REPORT REQUIREMENT.—The regulations of the Military Traffic Management Command described in subsection (b) may not become effective until—

(1) the Secretary of the Army submits to Congress a report with respect to such regulations that includes a discussion of—

(A) the cost savings expected as a result of implementation of such regulations; and

(B) the increased quality of services expected as a result of such implementation; and

(2) 90 days of continuous session of Congress elapse after the report is received.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF REGULATIONS.—The regulations referred to in subsection (a) are the regulations contained in the International Through Government Bill of Lading Rate Solicitation, volume 54, that concern—

(1) elimination of the so-called "me-too" rate filing cycle;

(2) elimination of the rate cancellation cycles;

(3) mandatory use of Government-owned containers; and

(4) changes in storage-in-transit charges.

(c) COMPUTATION OF TIME PERIOD.—For purposes of subsection (a)(2)—

(1) the continuity of a session of Congress is broken only by an adjournment of the Congress sine die; and

(2) days on which either House is not in session because of an adjournment of more than three days to a day certain are excluded in the computation of such 90-day period.

PART C—HUMANITARIAN AND OTHER ASSISTANCE

SEC. 331. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION FOR HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE

(a) TRANSPORTATION, ADMINISTRATION, AND DISTRIBUTION OF HUMANITARIAN RELIEF SUPPLIES TO AFGHAN REFUGEES.—Section 305 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 617), is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" before "There" in subsection (a);

(2) by inserting "and for fiscal year 1987 the sum of $10,000,000" after "sum of $10,000,000" in subsection (a);

(3) by adding at the end of subsection (a) the following new paragraph:

"(2) Of the funds appropriated by the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1986 (as contained in section 101(b) of Public Law 99–190; 99 Stat. 1189), for operation and maintenance for the Air Force, $7,000,000 shall remain available for obligation until September 30, 1987, for the purpose described in paragraph (1) (including providing transportation of excess nonlethal supplies of the Department of Defense made available for humanitarian relief purposes under section 2547 of title 10, United States Code). Such funds shall be in addition to funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization in paragraph (1)."; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

"(d) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER FUNDS.—The Secretary of Defense is authorized to transfer to the Secretary of State not more than

$3,000,000 of the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization in this section for fiscal year 1987 to provide for (1) paying administrative costs of providing the transportation described in subsection (a), and (2) providing for the acquisition of transportation assets for the distribution of supplies outside the United States to accomplish the purposes of this section.

"(e) Availability of Funds.—Amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization in subsection (a) shall remain available until expended, to the extent provided in appropriation Acts.".

(b) Reports.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives two reports, one of which shall be submitted not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and the other not later than June 1, 1987. Each such report shall contain (as of the date on which the report is submitted) the following information:

(1) The total amount of funds obligated for humanitarian relief under section 305 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 617) (as amended by subsection (a)).

(2) The number of scheduled and completed flights for purposes of providing humanitarian relief under section 305 of such Act.

(3) A description of any transfer (including to whom the transfer is made) of excess nonlethal supplies of the Department of Defense made available for humanitarian relief purposes under section 2547 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 332. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TO TRANSPORT HUMANITARIAN RELIEF SUPPLIES TO CERTAIN COUNTRIES

Section 1540(a) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525; 98 Stat. 2637), is amended by striking out "fiscal years 1985 and 1986" and inserting in lieu thereof "fiscal year 1987".

SEC. 333. HUMANITARIAN AND CIVIC ASSISTANCE PROVIDED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A MILITARY OPERATION

(a) In General.—(1) Part I of subtitle A of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new chapter:

"CHAPTER 20—HUMANITARIAN AND CIVIC ASSISTANCE PROVIDED IN CONJUNCTION WITH MILITARY OPERATIONS

"Sec.
"401. Armed forces participation in humanitarian and civic assistance activities.
"402. Approval of the Secretary of State.
"403. Payment of expenses.
"404. Annual report to Congress.
"405. Definition of humanitarian and civic assistance.
"406. Expenditure limitation.

"§401. Armed forces participation in humanitarian and civic assistance activities

"(a) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of a military department may carry out humanitarian

and civic assistance activities in conjunction with authorized military operations of the armed forces in a country if the Secretary concerned determines that the activities will promote—

"(1) the security interests of both the United States and the country in which the activities are to be carried out; and

"(2) the specific operational readiness skills of the members of the armed forces who participate in the activities.

"(b) Humanitarian and civic assistance activities carried out under this chapter shall complement, and may not duplicate, any other form of social or economic assistance which may be provided to the country concerned by any other department or agency of the United States. Such activities shall serve the basic economic and social needs of the people of the country concerned.

"(c) Humanitarian and civic assistance may not be provided under this chapter (directly or indirectly) to any individual, group, or organization engaged in military or paramilitary activity.

"§402. Approval of the Secretary of State

"Humanitarian and civic assistance may not be provided under this chapter to any foreign country unless the Secretary of State specifically approves the provision of such assistance.

"§403. Payment of expenses

"(a) Expenses incurred as a direct result of providing humanitarian and civic assistance under this chapter to a foreign country shall be paid for out of funds specifically appropriated for such purpose.

"(b) Nothing in this chapter may be interpreted to preclude the incurring of minimal expenditures by the Department of Defense for purposes of humanitarian and civic assistance out of funds other than funds appropriated pursuant to subsection (a).

"§404. Annual report to Congress

"The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate and to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report, not later than March 1 of each year, on activities carried out under this chapter during the preceding fiscal year. The Secretary shall include in each such report—

"(1) a list of the countries in which humanitarian and civic assistance activities were carried out during the preceding fiscal year;

"(2) the type and description of such activities carried out in each country during the preceding fiscal year; and

"(3) the amount expended in carrying out each such activity in each such country during the preceding fiscal year.

"§405. Definition of humanitarian and civic assistance

"In this chapter, the term 'humanitarian and civic assistance' means—

"(1) medical, dental, and veterinary care provided in rural areas of a country;

"(2) construction of rudimentary surface transportation systems;

"(3) well drilling and construction of basic sanitation facilities; and

"(4) rudimentary construction and repair of public facilities.

"§406. Expenditure limitation

"Not more than $16,400,000 may be obligated or expended for the purposes of this chapter during fiscal years 1987 through 1991.".

(2) The tables of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A of such title and at the beginning of part I of such subtitle are each amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"20. Humanitarian and Civic Assistance Provided in Conjunction With Military Operations .... 401".

(b) Expenditure Limitation for Fiscal Year 1987.—Not more than $3,000,000 may be obligated or expended for the purposes of chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), during fiscal year 1987.

TITLE IV—PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS AND RELATED MATTERS

Part A—Active Forces

SEC. 401. END STRENGTHS FOR ACTIVE FORCES

The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for active-duty personnel as of September 30, 1987, as follows:

(1) The Army, 780,800.
(2) The Navy, 587,000.
(3) The Marine Corps, 199,600.
(4) The Air Force, 606,850.

SEC. 402. QUALITY CONTROL ON ENLISTMENTS INTO THE ARMY

(a) Permanent Requirement for Minimum Percentage of High-School Graduates.—Chapter 333 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§3262. Army: percentage of high-school graduates

"Of the males with no prior military service who are enlisted or inducted into the Army during any fiscal year, the number who are not high-school graduates may not exceed, as of the end of the fiscal year, 35 percent of all such persons.".

(b) Clerical Amendment.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"3262. Army: percentage of high-school graduates.".

SEC. 403. STRENGTH OF ACTIVE DUTY OFFICER CORPS

(a) Reduction in Size of Officer Corps.—On and after each of the dates set forth in column 1 of the following table, the total number of commissioned officers serving on active duty in the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps (excluding officers in categories specified in subsection (b)) may not exceed the percentage, set forth in column 2 opposite such date, of the total number of commissioned officers serving on active duty as of September 30, 1986 (excluding officers in categories specified in subsection (b)):

Column 1 ***On and after:***	*Column 2* ***Percentage of total commissioned officers serving on active duty as of September 30, 1986:***
September 30, 1987	*99*
September 30, 1988	*97*
September 30, 1989	*94*

(b) Exclusions.—In computing the authorized strength of commissioned officers under subsection (a), officers in the following categories shall be excluded:

(1) Reserve officers—

(A) on active duty for training;

(B) on active duty under section 265, 270(b), 672a, 3033, 3496, 5251, 5252, 8033, or 8496 of title 10, United States Code, or under section 708 of title 32, United States Code;

(C) on active duty under section 672(d) of title 10, United States Code, in connection with organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the reserve components or the National Guard;

(D) on active duty to pursue special work;

(E) ordered to active duty under section 673b of title 10, United States Code; or

(F) on full-time National Guard duty.

(2) Retired officers on active duty under a call or order to active duty for 180 days or less.

(3) Reserve or retired officers on active duty under section 10(b)(2) of the Military Selective Service Act (50 U.S.C. App. 460(b)(2)) for the administration of the Selective Service System.

(c) Apportionment of Reductions by Secretary of Defense.—The Secretary of Defense shall apportion the reductions in the number of commissioned officers serving on active duty required by subsection (a) among the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps. Not later than February 1 of each fiscal year in which reductions are required under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the manner in which the reductions have been or are to be apportioned for that fiscal year and for the next fiscal year for which such reductions are required.

PART B—RESERVE FORCES

SEC. 411. END STRENGTHS FOR SELECTED RESERVE

(a) In General.—The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for selected reserve personnel of the reserve components as of September 30, 1987, as follows:

(1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 452,681.

(2) The Army Reserve, 318,011.

(3) The Naval Reserve, 149,486.

(4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 43,470.

(5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 113,767.

(6) The Air Force Reserve, 79,562.

(7) The Coast Guard Reserve, 12,850.

(b) Waiver Authority.—The Secretary of Defense may vary an end strength prescribed by subsection (a) by not more than 2 percent.

(c) ADJUSTMENTS.—The end strengths prescribed by subsection (a) for the Selected Reserve of any reserve component shall be proportionately reduced by—

(1) the total authorized strength of units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component which are on active duty (other than for training) at the end of the fiscal year; and

(2) the total number of individual members not in units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component who are on active duty (other than for training or for unsatisfactory participation in training) without their consent at the end of the fiscal year.

Whenever such units or such individual members are released from active duty during any fiscal year, the end strength prescribed for such fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of such reserve component shall be proportionately increased by the total authorized strength of such units and by the total number of such individual members.

(c) AMENDMENT TO SECTION 115 OF TITLE 10.—Paragraph (1) of section 115(a) of title 10, United States Code (as designated by section 110 of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–433)), is amended to read as follows:

"(1) Congress shall authorize the end strength as of the end of each fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of each reserve component of the armed forces. No funds may be appropriated for any fiscal year to or for the use of the Selected Reserve of any reserve component of the armed forces unless the end strength for the Selected Reserve of that component for that fiscal year has been authorized by law.".

SEC. 412. END STRENGTHS FOR RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Within the end strengths prescribed in section 411, the reserve components of the Armed Forces are authorized, as of September 30, 1987, the following number of Reserves to be serving on full-time active duty or, in the case of members of the National Guard, on full-time National Guard duty for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the reserve components or the National Guard:

(1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 24,731.

(2) The Army Reserve, 12,407.

(3) The Naval Reserve, 21,476.

(4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 1,745.

(5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 8,169.

(6) The Air Force Reserve, 665.

(b) COUNTING OF PERSONNEL IN CERTAIN RESERVE CALL-UP SITUATIONS.—(1) Chapter 39 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 685 the following new section:

"§686. Reserves on active duty: duties; funding

"(a) During a period that members of a reserve component are serving on active duty pursuant to an order under section 673 or 673b of this title, members of reserve components serving on active duty may perform duties in connection with either such section.

"(b) Funds available for the pay and allowances of Reserves referred to section 678 of this title shall be available for the pay and allowances of such Reserves who perform duties in connection with section 673 or 673b of this title under the authority of subsection (a).".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"686. Reserves on active duty: duties; funding.".

SEC. 413. ACCOUNTING FOR CERTAIN AUTHORIZED RESERVE COMPONENT MEMBERS

Section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code (as designated by section 110(b) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–433)), is amended—

(1) by striking out the text of paragraph (1)(A) and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "Congress shall authorize the end strength as of the end of each fiscal year for each component of the armed forces for (i) active-duty personnel who are to be paid from funds appropriated for active-duty personnel, and (ii) active-duty personnel and full-time National Guard duty personnel who are to be paid from funds appropriated for reserve personnel. Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is in the national interest, the end strength authorized pursuant to clause (i) of the preceding sentence for a fiscal year may be increased by a number equal to not more than 0.5 percent of the end strength authorized for such component for that fiscal year and the end strength authorized pursuant to clause (ii) of that sentence for a fiscal year may be increased by a number equal to not more than 2 percent of such end strength authorized for such component for that fiscal year. No funds may be appropriated to or for the use of active-duty personnel or full-time National Guard duty personnel of any component of the armed forces unless the end strength for such personnel of that component for that fiscal year has been authorized by law.";

(2) in paragraph (1)(B)—

(A) by striking out "for 180 days or less" in clause (v); and

(B) by adding at the end the following new clause:

"(vii) Members on full-time National Guard duty for 180 days or less."; and

(3) in paragraph (3)(D)—

(A) by striking out "and" at the end of clause (iii);

(B) by striking out the period at the end of clause (iv) and inserting in lieu thereof "; and"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new clause:

"(v) an analysis of the number of officers and enlisted members serving on active duty for training as of the last day of the preceding fiscal year under orders specifying an aggregate period in excess of 180 days and an estimate for the current fiscal year of the number that will be ordered to such duty, tabulated by the following categories:

"(I) Recruit and specialized training.

"(II) Flight training.

"(III) Professional training in military and civilian institutions.

"(IV) Officer acquisition training.".

PART C—MILITARY TRAINING

SEC. 421. MILITARY TRAINING STUDENT LOADS

(a) IN GENERAL.—For fiscal year 1987, the components of the Armed Forces are authorized average military training student loads as follows:

(1) The Army, 76,758.

(2) The Navy, 72,483.

(3) The Marine Corps, 19,433.

(4) The Air Force, 43,911.

(5) The Army National Guard of the United States, 18,262.

(6) The Army Reserve, 15,858.

(7) The Naval Reserve, 3,493.

(8) The Marine Corps Reserve, 3,944.

(9) The Air National Guard of the United States, 3,566.

(10) The Air Force Reserve, 2,127.

(b) ADJUSTMENTS.—The average military student loads for the Army, the Navy, the Marine Corps, and the Air Force and the reserve components authorized in subsection (a) for fiscal year 1987 shall be adjusted consistent with the personnel strengths authorized in parts A and B. Such adjustment shall be apportioned among the Army, the Navy, the Marine Corps, and the Air Force and the reserve components in such manner as the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe.

TITLE V—DEFENSE PERSONNEL POLICY

PART A—ACTIVE FORCES

SEC. 501. ASSIGNMENT OF ACTIVE-DUTY MEMBERS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

The text of section 671 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(a) A member of the armed forces may not be assigned to active duty on land outside the United States and its territories and possessions until the member has completed the basic training requirements of the armed force of which he is a member.

"(b) In time of war or a national emergency declared by Congress or the President, the period of required basic training (or its equivalent) may not be less than 12 weeks.".

SEC. 502. SERVICE OF MEMBERS ON STATE AND LOCAL JURIES

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 49 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§982. Members: service on State and local juries

"(a) A member of the armed forces on active duty may not be required to serve on a State or local jury if the Secretary concerned determines that such service—

"(1) would unreasonably interfere with the performance of the member's military duties; or

"(2) would adversely affect the readiness of the unit, command, or activity to which the member is assigned.

"(b) A determination by the Secretary concerned under this section is conclusive.

"(c) The Secretary concerned shall prescribe regulations for the administration of this section.

"(d) In this section, the term 'State' includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and each territory of the United States.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"982. Members: service on State and local juries.".

SEC. 503. EXTENSION OF EXPIRING AUTHORITY FOR SPOT PROMOTIONS OF NAVY LIEUTENANTS

Section 5721(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1986" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1987".

SEC. 504. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT REGARDING ENLISTMENT OF WOMEN IN THE AIR FORCE DURING FISCAL YEAR 1987

Section 551(a) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98–525; 98 Stat. 2530), is amended by striking out "the period beginning on October 1, 1986" and all that follows through the end of the subsection and inserting in lieu thereof "fiscal year 1988, not less than 22 percent shall be women.".

SEC. 505. AUTHORITY TO EXEMPT PHYSICIANS AT UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES FROM REDUCTIONS IN RETIRED PAY

Section 2113(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(f)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) The Board may exempt, at any time, a physician who is a member of the faculty from the restrictions in subsections (a), (b), and (c) of section 5532 of title 5, if the Board determines that such exemption is necessary to recruit or retain well-qualified physicians for the faculty of the University. An exemption granted under this paragraph shall terminate upon any break in employment with the University by a physician of three days or more. An exemption granted under this paragraph to a person shall apply to the retired pay of such person beginning with the first month after the month in which the exemption is granted. Not more than two exemptions may be in effect under this paragraph at any time.".

SEC. 506. TREATMENT OF EXCESS LEAVE UPON REENLISTMENT

(a) AUTHORITY TO CARRY OVER EXCESS LEAVE.—Section 701 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) A member who has taken leave in excess of that authorized by this section and who is being discharged or released from active duty for the purpose of accepting an appointment or a warrant in an armed force, or of entering into an enlistment or an extension of an enlistment in an armed force, may elect to have excess leave of up to 30 days or the maximum number of days of leave that could be earned in the new term of service, whichever is less, carried over

to that new term of service to count against leave that will accrue on the new term of service. A member shall be required, at the time of his discharge or release from active duty, to pay for excess leave not carried over under this subsection.".

(b) AUTHORIZATION FOR PAY AND ALLOWANCES FOR CARRIED OVER EXCESS LEAVE.—Section 502(b) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting "and section 701(h) of title 10" after "subsection (a) of this section".

SEC. 507. TERMINATION OF GENDER-BASED DISTINCTIONS IN PROMOTIONS OF OFFICERS OF THE NAVAL RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 549 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out sections 5896 through 5899 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"§5896. Recommendations for promotion by selection boards

"(a) A selection board convened under this chapter shall recommend for promotion to the next higher grade those officers considered by the board whom the board, giving due consideration to the needs of the Navy or Marine Corps for officers with particular skills, considers best qualified for promotion within each competitive category considered by the board.

"(b) A selection board convened under this chapter may not recommend an officer for promotion unless—

"(1) the officer receives the recommendation of a majority of the members of the board; and

"(2) a majority of the members of the board finds that the officer is fully qualified for promotion.

"(c) Except as otherwise provided by law, an officer of the Naval Reserve or the Marine Corps Reserve may not be promoted to a higher grade under this chapter unless the officer is considered and recommended for promotion to that grade by a selection board convened under this chapter.

"§5897. Reports of selection boards

"Each selection board convened under this chapter shall submit to the Secretary of the Navy a written report, signed by each member of the board, containing a list of the names of the officers recommended for promotion and certifying (1) that the board has carefully considered the record of each officer whose name was furnished to the board under section 5895 of this title, and (2) that, in the opinion of a majority of the members of the board, the officers recommended for promotion by the board are best qualified for promotion to meet the needs of the Navy or the Marine Corps from among those officers whose names were furnished to the selection board.

"§5898. Action on reports of selection boards

"(a) If, after reviewing the report of a selection board submitted under section 5897 of this title, the Secretary of the Navy determines that the board has acted contrary to law or regulation, the Secretary shall return the report to the board for further proceedings. Upon receipt of a report returned by the Secretary under this subsection, the selection board (or a subsequent selection board convened under this chapter for the same grade and competitive category) shall con-

duct such proceedings as may be necessary in order to revise the report and shall resubmit the report, as revised, to the Secretary in accordance with section 5897 of this title.

"(b) The Secretary of the Navy shall, after final review of the report, submit it, together with the Secretary's recommendations, to the Secretary of Defense for transmittal to the President for approval, modification, or disapproval.

"(c) The name of an officer recommended for promotion by a selection board may be removed from the report of the selection board only by the President. Such action may be taken at any time before the promotion of the officer.

"(d) Upon approval by the President of the report of a selection board, the names of the officers recommended for promotion by the selection board (other than any name removed by the President) may be disseminated to the armed force concerned. If those names have not been sooner disseminated, those names (other than the name of any officer whose promotion the Senate failed to confirm) shall be promptly disseminated to the armed force concerned upon confirmation by the Senate.

"(e) Except as authorized or required by this section, proceedings of a selection board convened under this chapter may not be disclosed to any person not a member of the board.

"§5899. Eligibility for consideration for promotion: running mates

"An officer is in the promotion zone and is eligible for consideration for promotion to the next higher grade by a selection board convened under this chapter when that officer's running mate is in or above the promotion zone established for that officer's present grade under chapter 36 of this title.".

(b) CLERICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 549 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 5896 through 5899 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"5896. Recommendations for promotion by selection boards.
"5897. Reports of selection boards.
"5898. Actions on reports of selection boards.
"5899. Eligibility for consideration for promotion: running mates.".

(2) Section 5903 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking out "(a)" at the beginning of such section; and

(B) by striking out subsection (b).

(3) Subsection (a) of section 5905 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"(a) The name of an officer recommended for promotion by a selection board may be removed from the report of the selection board only by the President. Such action may be taken at any time before the promotion of the officer.".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to selection boards convened on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 508. SENATE CONFIRMATION OF CERTAIN GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER POSITIONS

(a) DEAN OF ACADEMIC BOARD OF UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY.—Section 4335 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out the last sentence of subsection (b); and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(c) The Dean of the Academic Board has the grade of brigadier general while serving in such position, with the benefits authorized for regular brigadier generals of the Army, if appointed to that grade by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. However, the retirement age of an officer so appointed is that of a permanent professor of the Academy.".

(b) DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL AND ASSISTANT JUDGE ADVOCATES GENERAL OF THE NAVY.—Section 5149 of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking out the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "There is a Deputy Judge Advocate General of the Navy who is appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, from among judge advocates of the Navy and Marine Corps who have the qualifications prescribed for the Judge Advocate General."; and

(B) by striking out in the second sentence "rank and" and "rank or";

(2) by striking out the second sentence of subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "While so serving, a judge advocate who holds a grade lower than rear admiral (lower half) shall hold the grade of rear admiral (lower half), if he is appointed to that grade by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate."; and

(3) by striking out the second sentence of subsection (c) and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "While so serving, a judge advocate who holds a grade lower than brigadier general shall hold the grade of brigadier general, if he is appointed to that grade by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.".

(c) DEAN OF THE FACULTY OF THE UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY.—Section 9335(b) of such title is amended to read as follows:

"(b) The Dean has the grade of brigadier general while serving in such position, with the benefits authorized for regular brigadier generals of the Air Force, if appointed to that grade by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. However, the retirement age of an officer so appointed is that of a permanent professor of the Academy.".

(d) ATTENDING PHYSICIAN TO THE CONGRESS.—(1)(A) Chapter 34 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§600a. Attending Physician to the Congress

"While serving as Attending Physician to the Congress, a Reserve who holds a reserve grade lower than major general or rear admiral

shall hold the reserve grade of major general or rear admiral, as appropriate, if appointed to that grade by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.".

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"600a. Attending Physician to the Congress.".

(2) Section 1374 of such title is amended—

(A) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f); and

(B) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection (e):

"(e) Unless entitled to a higher grade under another provision of law, a reserve commissioned officer who is transferred to the Retired Reserve after having served in the position of Attending Physician to the Congress is entitled to be placed on the retired list established by section 1376(a) of this title in the grade held by such officer while serving in such position.".

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The second undesignated paragraph relating to the Office of the Attending Physician under the heading "JOINT ITEMS" in chapter V of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1973 (Public Law 92–607; 86 Stat. 1509), is repealed.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply only with respect to appointments or details made on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 509. POSITION OF STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE TO THE COMMANDANT OF THE MARINE CORPS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 506 of title 10, United States Code (as enacted by section 513 of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–433)), is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§5046. Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps

"(a) An officer of the Marine Corps who is a judge advocate and a member of the bar of a Federal court or the highest court of a State or territory and who has had at least eight years of experience in legal duties as a commissioned officer may be detailed as Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps. While so serving, a judge advocate who holds a grade lower than brigadier general shall hold the grade of brigadier general if appointed to that grade by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

"(b) An officer retiring from the position of Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps, after serving at least three years in that position, shall be retired in the highest grade in which that officer served on active duty satisfactorily, as determined by the Secretary of the Navy.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"5046. Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 5046 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply only with respect to appoint-

ments as Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps made on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) Transition Provision.—Notwithstanding section 1370(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, an officer serving in the position of Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps, or an equivalent position, on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, if retired after having served in such position (or equivalent position) at least three years, including any service in such position (or its equivalent) before such date, shall be retired in the highest grade in which the officer served on active duty satisfactorily, as determined by the Secretary of the Navy.

SEC. 510. TECHNICAL CORRECTION RELATING TO PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION AT AIR FORCE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Section 9314(b)(2)(B) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "rates of basic".

SEC. 511. TEMPORARY INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS AUTHORIZED TO BE ON ACTIVE DUTY IN THREE- AND FOUR-STAR GRADES

During fiscal year 1987, the numbers of officers authorized under section 525(b) of title 10, United States Code, to be on active duty in grades above major general and rear admiral are increased as follows:

(1) Air Force Generals.—The number of officers of the Air Force authorized to be serving on active duty in the grade of general is increased by one.

(2) Navy Vice Admirals.—The number of officers of the Navy authorized to be serving on active duty in a grade above rear admiral is increased by three. None of the additional officers in grades above rear admiral by reason of this paragraph may be in the grade of admiral.

(3) Marine Corps General Officers.—The number of officers of the Marine Corps authorized to be serving on active duty in grades above major general is increased by two. Only one additional officer in a grade above major general by reason of this paragraph may be in the grade of general.

SEC. 512. STUDY ON STAFFING OF CRITICAL WARTIME MEDICAL SPECIALITIES

(a) Study.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study of possible actions which could be taken by the Department of Defense or by law to improve staffing of critical wartime medical specialities (including physician, nurse, and enlisted specialities) in both the active and reserve components. The study shall address the desirability of at least the following actions:

(1) Extending the mandatory retirement age of medical personnel in the active and reserve components.

(2) Extending the age limitations on enlistments and on officer accessions for medical personnel into the active and reserve components.

(3) Improving Department of Defense marketing techniques for attracting medical personnel in critical wartime medical specialities in the active and reserve components.

(4) Establishing alternative participation requirements for medical personnel in the reserve components.

(b) Report.—Not later than March 1, 1987, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the findings of the study conducted under subsection (a). The report shall include specific recommendations for legislative and administrative action with respect to the best methods for improving the staffing of critical wartime medical specialities in both the active and reserve components.

SEC. 513. STUDY OF REPRESENTATION OF RELIGIOUS FAITHS IN THE ARMED FORCES

(a) Study.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a study of the faith composition of the chaplains of the Armed Forces. Such study shall include the following:

(1) A statistical listing of the faith composition of the Armed Forces and of the Corps of Chaplains, to include an analysis of how the number of adherents to faith groups is determined.

(2) An analysis of the benefits and detriments to the Armed Forces of using the demographic distribution of faiths among members of the Armed Forces as a guide to the faith distribution within the Corps of Chaplains.

(3) An analysis of the time devoted by chaplains to such responsibilities as administrative tasks, conduct of religious services, and counseling, with particular emphasis on the time devoted to faith-specific tasks and to non-faith-specific tasks.

(4) An analysis of the personnel policies for managing the Corps of Chaplains (including the separation of chaplains for failure to achieve promotion), and the effect of these policies upon the faith composition of the Corps of Chaplains, to include a statistical analysis of the effect on the current faith distribution within the Armed Forces today if all those chaplains involuntarily released from duty during fiscal years 1980 through 1985 had been retained on active duty until fiscal year 1986.

(5) An analysis of the benefits and detriments of using grade retentions, continuation boards, and standby tours of duty for reserve chaplains for the purpose of altering the faith composition of the Corps of Chaplains.

(6) An explanation of the manner by which chaplains are recruited and the role of organized faith groups in assisting or retarding the recruitment or retention of chaplains.

(b) Views of Faith Group Offices.—To the maximum extent possible, the Secretary shall seek the views of faith group offices that deal with chaplains. Any written comment received from any such office shall be appended to the report under subsection (c).

(c) Report.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the study under subsection (a). The report shall include each of the matters described in paragraphs (1) through (6) of such subsection and shall be submitted no later than January 9, 1987.

Part B—Reserve Forces

SEC. 521. INCREASED PRESIDENTIAL AUTHORITY TO AUGMENT ACTIVE FORCES WITH THE SELECTED RESERVE

(a) Number of Members That May Be Ordered to Active Duty Involuntarily.—Subsection (c) of section 673b of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "100,000" inserting in lieu thereof "200,000".

(b) Authority To Extend Period of Call-Up.—Such section is ~~further~~ amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(i) When a unit of the Selected Reserve, or a member of the Selected Reserve not assigned to a unit organized to serve as a unit of the Selected Reserve, is ordered to active duty under this section and the President determines that an extension of the service of such unit or member on active duty is necessary in the interests of national security, he may authorize the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Transportation with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy to extend the period of such order to active duty for a period of not more than 90 additional days. Whenever the President exercises his authority under this subsection, he shall immediately notify Congress of such action and shall include in the notification a statement of reasons for the action. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed as limiting the authorities to terminate the service of units or members ordered to active duty under this section under subsection (g).".

(c) Technical Amendments.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by striking out "Reserve component" in subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof "reserve component";

(2) by striking out "Armed Forces" in subsection (e) and inserting in lieu thereof "armed forces";

(3) by striking out "the Speaker" in subsection (f) and all that follows through "Senate" and inserting in lieu thereof "Congress"; and

(4) by striking out "a concurrent resolution of the Congress" in subsection (g)(2) and inserting in lieu thereof "law".

SEC. 522. CONSENT OF GOVERNOR FOR CERTAIN ACTIVE DUTY OF NATIONAL GUARD

Section 672 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) The consent of a Governor described in subsections (b) and (d) may not be withheld (in whole or in part) with regard to active duty outside the United States, its territories, and its possessions, because of any objection to the location, purpose, type, or schedule of such active duty.".

SEC. 523. TREATMENT OF SINGLE PARENTS ENLISTING IN RESERVE COMPONENTS OF THE ARMED FORCES

(a) In General.—In determining under section 510 of title 10, United States Code, whether a person who is applying to enlist in a reserve component of the Armed Forces upon discharge or release from active duty is qualified for enlistment as a Reserve of an Armed Force, the Secretary concerned may not disqualify the person because the person is a single parent if—

(1) the person is otherwise qualified for enlistment;

(2) the person became a single parent while serving on active duty; and

(3) the person's status as a single parent was not a factor in the person's discharge or release from active duty.

(b) Requirements Not To Be More Stringent.—The Secretary concerned shall provide that requirements imposed on a person described in subsection (a) with respect to parenthood shall not be more stringent than those imposed on a member who becomes a single parent during the term of the member's enlistment. The Secretary concerned may include a requirement for an acceptable written agreement with respect to child care as a prerequisite to enlistment.

(c) Single Parent Defined.—In this section, the term "single parent" means a person who is not married and who has custody of a child under the age of 18 pursuant to a court order.

(d) Expiration.—This section shall expire on September 30, 1988.

SEC. 524. ACTIVE-DUTY STATUS OF RESERVE COMPONENT MEMBERS IN A CAPTIVE STATUS

(a) Authority for Involuntary Call to Active Duty.—Section 672 of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 522), is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g)(1) A member of a reserve component may be ordered to active duty without his consent if the Secretary concerned determines that the member is in a captive status. A member ordered to active duty under this section may not be retained on active duty, without his consent, for more than 30 days after his captive status is terminated.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section. Such regulations shall apply uniformly among the armed forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary. A determination for the purposes of this subsection that a member is in a captive status shall be made pursuant to such regulations.

"(3) In this section, the term 'captive status' means the status of a member of the armed forces who is in a missing status (as defined in section 551(2) of title 37) which occurs as the result of a hostile action and is related to the member's military status.".

(b) Effective Date.—Section 672(g) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), does not authorize a member of a reserve component to be ordered to active duty for a period before the date of the enactment of this Act.

Part C—Civilian Personnel

SEC. 531. WAIVER OF CIVILIIAN PERSONNEL CEILINGS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987

The provisions of section 115(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code (as designated and amended by section 110(b) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), shall not apply with respect to fiscal year 1987 or with respect to the appropriation of funds for that year.

SEC. 532. PROHIBITION ON MANAGING CIVILIAN PERSONNEL BY END-STRENGTHS DURING FISCAL YEAR 1987

(a) Prohibition.—During fiscal year 1987, the civilian personnel of the Department of Defense may not be managed on the basis of

any end-strength, and the management of such personnel during that fiscal year shall not be subject to any constraint or limitation (known as an "end-strength") on the number of such personnel who may be employed on the last day of such fiscal year.

(b) FY87 Reports.—Not later than February 1, 1987, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the experience of the Department of Defense during fiscal years 1986 and 1987 (to the date of the report) concerning the management of civilian personnel of the Department without a congressionally imposed civilian end strength and with a statutory prohibition on the management during those fiscal years of such civilian personnel by end strength. Each such report shall include the views of the Secretary with respect to the desirability of managing such personnel in such a manner.

(c) Reports.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives quarterly reports on the obligation of funds appropriated for civilian personnel of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987. Each report shall include—

(A) for each appropriation account, the amounts authorized and appropriated for such personnel for fiscal year 1987;

(B) for each appropriation account and for the entire Department—

(i) the actual number of such personnel employed, and the amount of funds obligated for such personnel, as of the end of each month of the fiscal year quarter described in the report; and

(ii) the projected number of such personnel to be employed, and the amount of funds that will be obligated for such personnel, as of the end of fiscal year 1987.

SEC. 533. PROHIBITION ON CONTROLLING PERSONNEL NOT FUNDED BY GOVERNMENT

Section 129 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–433)), is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a)" at the beginning of the text of such section; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(b) The number of, and the amount of funds available to be paid to, indirectly funded Government employees of the Department of Defense may not be—

"(1) subject to any constraint or limitation on the number of such personnel who may be employed on the last day of a fiscal year;

"(2) managed on the basis of any end-strength; or

"(3) controlled under any policy of the Secretary of a military department for control of civilian manpower resources.

"(c) In this section, the term 'indirectly funded Government employees' means civilian employees of the Department of Defense—

"(1) who are employed by industrial-type activities or commercial-type activities described in section 2208 of this title; and

"(2) whose salaries and benefits are funded from sources other than appropriated funds.".

SEC. 534. REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF YEARS PERSON MUST BE OFF ACTIVE DUTY BEFORE APPOINTMENT AS SERVICE SECRETARY

Sections 3013(a)(2), 5013(a)(2), and 8013(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code (as added by sections 501, 511, and 521, respectively of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), are amended by striking out "10 years" and inserting in lieu thereof "five years".

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Part A—Pay and Allowances

SEC. 601. MILITARY PAY RAISE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987

(a) Waiver of Section 1009 Adjustment.—Any adjustment required by section 1009 of title 37, United States Code, in elements of compensation of members of the uniformed services to become effective during fiscal year 1987 shall not be made.

(b) Three Percent Increase in Basic Pay, Basic Allowance for Quarters, and Basic Allowance for Subsistence.—The rates of basic pay, basic allowance for subsistence, and basic allowance for quarters of members of the uniformed services are increased by 3 percent effective on January 1, 1987.

(c) Three Percent Increase in Cadet and Midshipman Pay.—Effective January 1, 1987, section 203(c)(1) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "$461.40" and inserting in lieu thereof "$494.40".

SEC. 602. AUTHORITY TO PAY ROTC MEMBERS IN ADVANCE FOR FIELD TRAINING

(a) Authority.—Section 1006 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(j) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned, not more than one month's pay may be paid in advance to a member of the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps who is ordered to field training or a practice required under section 2109 of title 10.".

(b) Effective Date.—Subsection (j) of title 37, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to pay payable for months beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 603. REIMBURSEMENT FOR ACCOMMODATIONS IN PLACE OF QUARTERS

(a) Limitation on Amount Available for Reimbursements During Fiscal Years 1987 Through 1991.—Effective as of October 1, 1986, section 7572(b)(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(3) The total amount of reimbursement under this subsection may not exceed $1,421,000 for fiscal year 1986 and $1,657,000 for each of the fiscal years 1987 through 1991.".

(b) Extension of Authority.—Effective as of October 1, 1986, section 3 of Public Law 96-357 (10 U.S.C. 7572 note) is amended by striking out "September 30, 1986" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1991".

SEC. 604. PAY, ALLOWANCES, AND BENEFITS FOR MEMBERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS

(a) MEDICAL AND DENTAL CARE.—(1) Section 1074a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§1074a. Medical and dental care: members on duty other than active duty for a period of more than 30 days

"(a) Under joint regulations prescribed by the administering Secretaries, the following persons are entitled to the benefits described in subsection (b):

"(1) Each member of a uniformed service who incurs or aggravates an injury, illness, or disease in the line of duty while performing—

"(A) active duty for a period of 30 days or less; or

"(B) inactive-duty training.

"(2) Each member of a uniformed service who incurs or aggravates an injury, illness, or disease while traveling directly to or from the place at which that member is to perform or has performed—

"(A) active duty for a period of 30 days or less; or

"(B) inactive-duty training.

"(b) A person described in subsection (a) is entitled to—

"(1) the medical and dental care appropriate for the treatment of the injury, illness, or disease of that person until the resulting disability cannot be materially improved by further hospitalization or treatment; and

"(2) subsistence during hospitalization.

"(c) A member is not entitled to benefits under this section if the injury, illness, or disease, or aggravation of an injury, illness, or disease described in subsection (a)(2), is the result of the gross negligence or misconduct of the member.".

(2) The item relating to section 1074a in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 55 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"1074a. Medical and dental care: members on duty other than active duty for a period of more than 30 days.".

(b) PAY AND ALLOWANCES FOR DISABLED MEMBERS.—Section 204 of title 37, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Subsections (g) and (h) are amended to read as follows:

"(g) A member of a reserve component of a uniformed service is entitled to the pay and allowances provided by law or regulation for a member of a regular component of a uniformed service of corresponding grade and length of service whenever such member—

"(1) is called or ordered to active duty for a period of more than 30 days; and

"(2) is physically disabled in line of duty from injury, illness, or disease.

"(h)(1) A member of a reserve component of a uniformed service is entitled, upon request, to a portion of the monthly pay and allowances provided by law or regulation for a member of a regular component of a uniformed service of corresponding grade and length of service for each month for which the member demonstrates a loss of

income from non-military compensation as a result of an injury, illness, or disease incurred or aggravated—

"(A) in line of duty while performing active duty for a period of 30 days or less;

"(B) in line of duty while performing inactive-duty training (other than work or study in connection with a correspondence course of an armed force or attendance in an inactive status at an educational institution under the sponsorship of an armed force or the Public Health Service); or

"(C) while traveling directly to or from that duty or training.

"(2)(A) Subject to subparagraphs (B) and (C), pay and allowances paid under paragraph (1) shall be in an amount which offsets the loss of income from non-military compensation.

"(B) Pay and allowances may not be paid under paragraph (1) to a member who is enrolled in any other income protection insurance plan to the extent that such payment would result in total benefits to the member of more than the demonstrated loss of income from non-military compensation.

"(C) The total amount of pay and allowances paid under paragraph (1) and compensation paid under section 206(a) of this title for any period may not exceed the amount of pay and allowances provided by law or regulation for a member of a regular component of a uniformed service of corresponding grade and length of service for that period.

"(3) Pay and allowances may be paid under paragraph (1)—

"(A) for a period of not more than six months; or

"(B) for a longer period, if the Secretary concerned determines that it is in the interests of fairness and equity to do so.

"(4) A member is not entitled to benefits under this subsection if the injury, illness, disease, or aggravation of an injury, illness, or disease described in paragraph (1)(C) is the result of the gross misconduct of the member.

"(5) Regulations with respect to procedures for paying pay and allowances under paragraph (1) shall be prescribed—

"(A) by the Secretary of Defense for the armed forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary; and

"(B) by the Secretary of Transportation for the Coast Guard when the Coast Guard is not operating as a service in the Navy.".

(2) Subsection (i) is repealed.

(3) Subsection (j) is redesignated as subsection (i).

(c) INACTIVE-DUTY TRAINING PAY FOR DISABLED MEMBERS.—Section 206(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "entitled to basic pay" the second place it appears and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "entitled to basic pay—

"(1) for each regular period of instruction, or period of appropriate duty, at which the member is engaged for at least two hours, including that performed on a Sunday or holiday;

"(2) for the performance of such other equivalent training, instruction, duty, or appropriate duties, as the Secretary may prescribe; or

"(3) for a regular period of instruction that the member is scheduled to perform but is unable to perform because of physi-

cal disability resulting from an injury, illness, or disease incurred or aggravated—

"(A) in line of duty while performing—

"(i) active duty for a period of 30 days or less; or

"(ii) inactive-duty training; or

"(B) while traveling directly to or from that duty or training (unless such injury, illness, disease, or aggravation of an injury, illness, or disease is the result of the gross negligence or misconduct of the member).".

(d) RETIREMENT FOR DISABILITY.—(1) Sections 1204 and 1206 of title 10, United States Code, are amended by striking out "resulting from an injury".

(2)(A) The heading of section 1204 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"§1204. Members on active duty for 30 days or less: retirement".

(2) The heading of section 1205 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"§1205. Members on active duty for 30 days or less: temporary disability retired list".

(3) The heading of section 1206 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"§1206. Members on active duty for 30 days or less: separation".

(4) The items relating to sections 1204, 1205, and 1206 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 61 of such title are amended to read as follows:

"1204. Members on active duty for 30 days or less: retirement.
"1205. Members on active duty for 30 days or less: temporary disability retired list.
"1206. Members on active duty for 30 days or less: separation.".

(e) DEATH BENEFITS.—(1) Section 1475(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "from an injury incurred by him after December 31, 1956," in paragraph (3).

(2) Section 1476 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking out subsection (a) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(a)(1) Except as provided in section 1480 of this title, the Secretary concerned shall pay a death gratuity to or for the survivors prescribed in section 1477 of this title of each person who dies within 120 days after discharge or release from—

"(A) active duty; or

"(B) inactive-duty training (other than work or study in connection with a correspondence course of an armed force or attendance, in an inactive status, at an educational institution under the sponsorship of an armed force or the Public Health Service).

"(2) A death gratuity may be paid under paragraph (1) only if the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs determines that the death resulted from an injury or disease incurred or aggravated during—

"(A) the active duty or inactive-duty training described in paragraph (1); or

"(B) travel directly to or from such duty.";

(B) by striking out subsection (b); and

(C) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (b) and (c), respectively.

(3) Section 1481(a) of such title is amended—

(A) by striking out paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(2) a member of a reserve component of an armed force who dies while—

"(A) on active duty;

"(B) performing inactive-duty training;

"(C) performing authorized travel directly to or from active duty or inactive-duty training; or

"(D) hospitalized or undergoing treatment for an injury, illness, or disease incurred or aggravated while on active duty or performing inactive-duty training;"; and

(B) by striking out paragraph (3).

(f) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(A) Sections 3687, 3721, 3722, 6148, 8687, 8721, and 8722 are repealed.

(B)(i) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 353 is amended by striking out the item relating to section 3687.

(ii) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 355 is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 3721 and 3722.

(iii) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 561 is amended by striking out the item relating to section 6148.

(iv) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 853 is amended by striking out the item relating to section 8687.

(v) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 855 is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 8721 and 8722.

(C) Sections 1076(a)(2)(B) and 1086(c)(2)(B) are amended by striking out "injury or illness" and inserting in lieu thereof "injury, illness, or disease".

(D) Sections 3723 and 8723 are amended by striking out "was injured, or contracted a disease," and inserting in lieu thereof "incurred an injury, illness, or disease,".

(2)(A) Sections 318, 319, 320, and 321 of title 32, United States Code, are repealed.

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 of such title is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 318, 319, 320, and 321.

(g) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to persons who, after the date of enactment of this Act, incur or aggravate an injury, illness, or disease or die.

PART B—TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION

SEC. 611. TRANSPORTATION OF MOTOR VEHICLES FOR MEMBERS MAKING PERMANENT CHANGES OF STATION

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 2634 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "in the case of" and all that follows through "debarkation" in paragraph (4) and inserting in lieu thereof "by other surface transportation"; and

(2) by striking out ", or his designee," in the second sentence.

(b) REVISION TO DEFINITION OF CHANGE OF PERMANENT STATION.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(b) In this section:

"(1) The term 'change of permanent station' means the transfer or assignment of a member of the armed forces from a permanent station inside the continental United States to a permanent station outside the continental United States or from a permanent station outside the continental United States to another permanent station. It also includes an authorized change in home port of a vessel, or a transfer or assignment between two permanent stations in the continental United States when the member cannot, because of injury or the conditions of the order, drive the motor vehicle between the permanent duty stations.

"(2) The term 'continental United States' does not include Alaska.".

SEC. 612. COORDINATION OF PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION MOVES WITH SCHOOL YEAR

The Secretary of each military department shall establish procedures to ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable within operational and other military requirements, permanent change of station moves for members of the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary who have dependents in elementary or secondary school occur at times that avoid disruption of the school schedules of such dependents.

SEC. 613. LIMITATION ON AMOUNT FOR PCS MOVES DURING FISCAL YEAR 1987

The total amount authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 for permanent change of station moves may not exceed $2,533,760,000.

SEC. 614. REIMBURSEMENT FOR ACTUAL LODGING EXPENSES PLUS PER DIEM FOR MEMBERS ENTITLED TO TRAVEL ALLOWANCES

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 404(d) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "per diem in place of subsistence in an amount not more than $50 determined by the Secretaries concerned to be sufficient" in paragraph (1)(B) and inserting in lieu thereof "payment in lieu of subsistence as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection in an amount sufficient";

(2) by striking out "to be" in paragraph (1)(B) after "travel is"; and

(3) by striking out paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(2) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretaries concerned, a member of a uniformed service entitled to travel and transportation allowances under subsection (a) of this section is entitled to any of the following:

"(A) A per diem allowance at a rate not to exceed that established by the Secretaries concerned.

"(B) Reimbursement for the actual and necessary expenses of official travel not to exceed an amount established by the Secretaries concerned.

"(C) A combination of payments described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph.

"(3) A per diem allowance or maximum amount of reimbursement established for purposes of paragraph (2) of this subsection shall be established, to the extent feasible, by locality.

"(4) For travel consuming less than a full day, the payment prescribed by regulation under paragraph (2) of this subsection shall be allocated in such manner as the Secretaries concerned prescribe.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—(1) The amendments made by subsection (a) shall become effective on such date as the President makes a certification that payment of lodging expenses and per diem provided under such amendments would result in overall savings to the United States. If such amendments become effective, they shall apply with respect to travel performed on and after the date of the certification by the President.

(2) The President shall cause to be published in the Federal Register a certification made by him under paragraph (1).

SEC. 615. TRANSPORTATION AND TRAVEL ALLOWANCES FOR ESCORTS FOR CERTAIN DEPENDENTS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 7 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§431. Travel and transportation: members escorting certain dependents

"(a) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned, a member of a uniformed service may be provided round trip transportation and travel allowances for travel performed or to be performed under competent orders as an escort for the member's dependent when travel by the dependent is authorized by competent authority and the dependent is incapable of traveling alone because of age, mental or physical incapacity, or other extraordinary circumstances.

"(b) Whenever possible, the Military Airlift Command or Military Sealift Command shall be used, on a space-required basis, for the travel authorized by this section.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"431. Travel and transportation: members escorting certain dependents.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 431 of title 37, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to travel performed after September 30, 1986.

SEC. 616. TRAVEL EXPENSES FOR OVERSEAS DEPENDENTS REQUIRING MEDICAL CARE IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1040(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out the comma after "attendants" and all that follows in the third sentence and inserting in lieu thereof a period and the following: "In addition to transportation of a dependent at the expense of the United States authorized under this subsection, reasonable travel expenses incurred in connection with the transportation of the dependent may be paid at the expense of the United States. Travel expenses authorized by this section may

include reimbursement for necessary local travel in the vicinity of the medical facility involved. The transportation and travel expenses authorized by this section may be paid in advance.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply only to travel performed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 617. PER DIEM FOR DEPENDENTS RECEIVING TRANSPORTATION ALLOWANCE

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 406 of title 37, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) The first sentence of subsection (e) is amended by striking out ", as the case may be," and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "(as the case may be), plus a per diem,".

(2) Subsection (f) is amended by inserting after "member" the following: ", plus a per diem for the member's dependents,".

(3) Subsection (g)(1) is amended by inserting after "404(c) of this title" the following: ", and to a per diem for his dependents".

(4) Subsection (j) is amended by inserting after "household effects," the following: "plus a per diem for the member's dependents,".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to travel performed after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 618. MODIFICATION OF FAMILY SEPARATION ALLOWANCE

(a) DENIAL OF ALLOWANCE TO CERTAIN MEMBERS.—(1) Section 427(b) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)";

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively;

(3) by designating the second sentence as paragraph (2) and striking out "clause (2) or (3)" in that sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (1)"; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

"(3) A member who elects to serve a tour of duty unaccompanied by his dependents at a permanent station to which the movement of his dependents is authorized at the expense of the United States under section 406 of this title is not entitled to an allowance under this subsection. The Secretary concerned may waive the preceding sentence in situations in which it would be inequitable to deny the allowance to the member because of unusual family or operational circumstances.".

(b) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Notwithstanding the amendments made by subsection (a), a member who on September 30, 1986, was assigned to a permanent station to which the movement of his dependents was authorized at the expense of the United States under section 406 of title 37, United States Code, and who elected to serve a tour of duty at that station unaccompanied by his dependents, shall, until he departs that station as a result of a change of permanent station, be entitled to receive the allowance authorized by section 427(b) of such title without regard to paragraph (3) of such section, as added by subsection (a).

SEC. 619. IMPROVED DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE

(a) In General.—Section 407 of title 37, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§407. Travel and transportation allowances: dislocation allowance

"(a) Except as provided in subsections (b), (c), and (d) of this section and under regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned, a member of a uniformed service is entitled to a dislocation allowance equal to the basic allowance for quarters for two months as provided for the member's pay grade and dependency status in section 403 of this title if—

"(1) the member's dependents actually make an authorized move in connection with the member's change of permanent station, including—

"(A) a move to join the member at the member's duty station after an unaccompanied tour of duty when the member's next tour of duty is an accompanied tour at the same station; and

"(B) a move to a location designated by the member after an accompanied tour of duty when the member's next tour of duty is an unaccompanied tour at the same duty station;

"(2) the member's dependents actually move pursuant to section 405a(a), 406(e), 406(h), or 554 of this title;

"(3) the member's dependents actually move from their place of residence under circumstances described in section 406a of this title; or

"(4) the member is without dependents and—

"(A) actually moves to a new permanent station where not assigned to quarters of the United States; or

"(B) actually moves from a place of residence under circumstances described in section 406a of this title.

If a dislocation allowance is paid under clause (3) or (4)(B), the member is not entitled to a dislocation allowance under clause (1).

"(b) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned, whenever a member is entitled to a dislocation allowance under subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4)(B) of this section, the member is also entitled to a second dislocation allowance equal to the basic allowance for quarters for two months as provided for a member's pay grade and dependency status in section 403 of this title if, subsequent to the member or member's dependents actually moving from their place of residence under circumstances described in section 406a of this title, the member or member's dependents complete that move to a new location and then actually move from that new location to another location also under circumstances described in section 406a of this title. If a second dislocation allowance is paid under this subsection, the member is not entitled to a dislocation allowance under subsection (a)(1) of this section in connection with those moves.

"(c) A member is not entitled to more than one dislocation allowance during a fiscal year unless—

"(1) the Secretary concerned finds that the exigencies of the service require the member to make more than one change of permanent station during the fiscal year;

"(2) the member is ordered to a service school as a change of permanent station;

"(3) the member's dependents are covered by section 405a(a), 406(e), 406(h), or 554 of this title; or

"(4) the member or the member's dependents are covered by subsection (a)(3), (a)(4)(B), or (b) of this section.

This subsection does not apply in time of national emergency declared after April 1, 1975, or in time of war.

"(d) A member is not entitled to payment of a dislocation allowance when ordered from his home to the first duty station or from the last duty station to his home.

"(e) For purposes of this section, a member whose dependents may not make an authorized move in connection with a change of permanent station is considered a member without dependents.".

(b) Effective Date.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply only to moves which commence on or after that date.

SEC. 620. TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE OF HOUSEHOLD GOODS

(a) Administration of Storage of Excess Household Goods.—Section 406(d) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the second sentence the following new sentence: "In the event a member's baggage and household effects exceed such maximum weight limitation, the Secretary concerned, if requested to do so by the member, may pay the costs for the nontemporary storage of that excess weight and collect the amount paid from the member's pay and allowances, or collect the amount in such other manner as the Secretary concerned determines appropriate.".

(b) Early Return Shipment of Household Goods and Privately Owned Motor Vehicles From Overseas.—(1) Section 406 of such title is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (l) as subsection (m); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (k) the following new subsection (l):

"(l) Under uniform regulations prescribed by the Secretaries concerned, a member with dependents who is ordered to make an overseas permanent change of station and who, in anticipation of his dependents accompanying him overseas, ships baggage and household effects to that overseas station, may be authorized a return shipment of the baggage and household effects if, after the shipment, the member's dependents are unable to accompany him overseas and the Secretary concerned determines that such inability was unexpected and uncontrollable.".

(2) Section 2634 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 611, is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) When the Secretary concerned makes a determination under section 406(l) of title 37 that the dependents of a member on a permanent change of station are unable to accompany the member to an overseas duty station because of unexpected and uncontrollable circumstances, and the member shipped a motor vehicle pursuant to this section in anticipation of a dependent accompanying the member to the new duty station, the member may reship or transship such motor vehicle in accordance with this section.".

(c) Effective Dates.—(1) The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to members whose baggage and household goods enter

nontemporary storage on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The amendments made by subsection (b) shall apply only with respect to members whose dependents are unable to accompany them to an overseas permanent duty station because of circumstances arising on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

PART C—BONUSES AND SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

SEC. 631. ENHANCED AVIATION OFFICER CONTINUATION PAY

(a) SERVICE FOR QUALIFYING.—Section 301b(c) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "less than seven years" in the third sentence of paragraph (3), and inserting in lieu thereof "less than eight years"; and

(2) by striking out paragraph (4).

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply to payments made for periods beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act under agreements entered into under section 301b of title 37, United States Code.

SEC. 632. INCLUSION OF AVIATION CADETS UNDER AVIATION CAREER INCENTIVE PAY

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 301a(a)(6) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out "and" at the end of clause (A);

(B) by striking out the period at the end of clause (B) and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon and "and"; and

(C) by inserting after clause (B) the following new clause:

"(C) 'officer' includes an individual enlisted, and designated, as an aviation cadet under section 6911 of title 10.".

(2) Section 301a(b)(1) of such title is amended by striking out "an officer in pay grades O-1 through O-10" and inserting in lieu thereof "a member".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply only with respect to those members of the Armed Forces who are aviation cadets on or after the date of the enactment of this Act. Service as an aviation cadet before that date shall not be counted for any purpose under section 301a of title 37, United States Code.

SEC. 633. SPECIAL AUTHORITY RELATING TO THE PAYMENT OF SELECTED RESERVE ENLISTMENT BONUS

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary concerned may pay an enlistment bonus under section 308c of title 37, United States Code, to any person who enlisted in the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve of an Armed Force during the period beginning on October 1, 1985, and ending on November 8, 1985, if such person—

(1) has not been paid an enlistment or reenlistment bonus under section 308c or 308b of title 37, United States Code, since that period; and

(2) enlisted in the good faith belief (as determined by the Secretary concerned) that he would be paid an enlistment bonus under section 308c of title 37, United States Code.

(b) DEFINITION.—As used in subsection (a), the term "Secretary concerned" has the meaning provided in section 101(5) of title 37, United States Code.

SEC. 634. SPECIAL PAY FOR MEMBERS PROFICIENT IN FOREIGN LANGUAGES

(a) AUTHORITY FOR SPECIAL PAY.—(1) Chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§316. Special pay: foreign language proficiency pay

"(a) A member of the armed forces—

"(1) who is entitled to basic pay under section 204 of this title;

"(2) who has been certified by the Secretary concerned within the past 12 months to be proficient in a foreign language identified by the Secretary of Defense as being a language in which it is necessary to have personnel proficient because of national defense considerations; and

"(3) who—

"(A) is qualified in a military specialty requiring such proficiency;

"(B) received training, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned, designed to develop such proficiency;

"(C) is assigned to military duties requiring such a proficiency; or

"(D) is proficient in a foreign language for which the Department of Defense may have a critical need (as determined by the Secretary of Defense),

may be paid special pay under this section in addition to any other pay or allowance to which the member is entitled.

"(b) The monthly rate for special pay under subsection (a) shall be determined by the Secretary concerned and may not exceed $100.

"(c)(1) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned, when a member of a reserve component who is entitled to compensation under section 206 of this title meets the requirements for special pay authorized in subsection (a), except the requirement prescribed in subsection (a)(1), the member may be paid an increase in compensation equal to one-thirtieth of the monthly special pay authorized under subsection (b) for a member who is entitled to basic pay under section 204 of this title.

"(2) A member eligible for increased compensation under paragraph (1) shall be paid such increase—

"(A) for each regular period of instruction, or period of appropriate duty, in which he is engaged for at least two hours, including instruction received or duty performed on a Sunday or holiday; and

"(B) for each period of performance of such other equivalent training, instruction, duty, or appropriate duties, as the Secretary concerned may prescribe.

"(3) This subsection does not apply to a member who is entitled to basic pay under section 204 of this title.

"(d) This section shall be administered under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense for the armed forces under his jurisdiction and by the Secretary of Transportation for the Coast Guard when the Coast Guard is not operating as a service in the Navy.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"316. Special pay: foreign language proficiency pay.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 316 of title 37, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to pay periods beginning on or after that date.

(c) LIMITATIONS ON OBLIGATIONS.—Not more than $7,300,000 may be obligated or expended for the payment of the special pay authorized by section 316 of title 37, United States Code (as added by this section), during fiscal year 1987, and not more than $9,000,000 may be obligated or expended for such purpose during fiscal year 1988.

PART D—BENEFITS FOR SURVIVORS AND FORMER SPOUSES

SEC. 641. COURT-ORDERED SURVIVOR ANNUITIES FOR FORMER SPOUSES

(a) GENERAL RULE.—Paragraph (4) of section 1450(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(4) A court order may require a person to elect (or to enter into an agreement to elect) under section 1448(b) of this title to provide an annuity to a former spouse (or to both a former spouse and child).".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 1448(b)(5) of such title is amended by inserting "(A) whether the election is being made pursuant to the requirements of a court order, or (B)" after "setting forth".

(2) Paragraph (2) of section 1450(f) of such title is amended—

(A) by striking out "enters into" and all that follows through "pursuant to such agreement" and inserting in lieu thereof "is required by a court order to elect under section 1448(b) of this title to provide an annuity to a former spouse (or to both a former spouse and child), or who enters into a written agreement (whether voluntary or required by a court order) to make such an election, and who makes an election pursuant to such order or agreement,";

(B) by striking out "in a case in which such agreement" at the beginning of subparagraph (A) and inserting in lieu thereof "in a case in which the election is required by a court order, or in which an agreement to make the election";

(C) by striking out "relating to the agreement to make such election" and inserting in lieu thereof "relating to such election, or the agreement to make such election,"; and

(D) by striking out "in which such agreement" at the beginning of subparagraph (B) and inserting in lieu thereof "of a written agreement that".

(3) Paragraph (3)(A) of such section is amended—

(A) by striking out "voluntary" both places it appears;

(B) by inserting "or if such person is required by a court order to make such an election," after "applicable State law" the first place it appears; and

(C) by inserting "requires such election or" after "on its face, which".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section apply to court orders issued on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 642. ANNUITY FOR A DEPENDENT CHILD

(a) REPEAL OF COMMON-ACCIDENT LIMITATION.—(1) Subsection (d)(2) of section 1448 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "if the member and the member's spouse die as a result of a common accident" and inserting in lieu thereof "if there is no surviving spouse or if the member's surviving spouse subsequently dies".

(2) Subsection (f)(2) of such section is amended by striking out "if the person and the person's spouse die as a result of a common accident" and inserting in lieu thereof "if there is no surviving spouse or if the person's surviving spouse subsequently dies".

(b) AMOUNT OF ANNUITY FOR DISABLED CHILD OVER AGE 62.—(1) Subsection (a) of section 1451 of such title is amended—

(A) by inserting "or is a dependent child" in paragraphs (1)(A) and (2)(A) after "under 62 years of age"; and

(B) by inserting "(other than a dependent child)" in paragraphs (1)(B) and (2)(B) after "beneficiary".

(2) Subsection (c)(1) of such section is amended—

(A) by inserting "or is a dependent child" in subparagraph (A) after "under 62 years of age"; and

(B) by inserting "(other than a dependent child)" in subparagraph (B) after "receiving the annuity".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply only to claims arising on or after March 1, 1986. The amendments made by subsection (b) shall apply to payments for periods after February 28, 1986.

SEC. 643. AGE AT WHICH REMARRIAGE TERMINATES SPOUSE SURVIVOR BENEFIT

(a) REDUCTION FROM AGE 60 TO AGE 55.—Section 1450(b) of such title is amended by striking out "age 60" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "age 55".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to remarriages that occur on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, but only with respect to payments for periods after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 644. REVISION OF DEFINITION OF DISPOSABLE RETIRED PAY FOR PURPOSES OF COURT ORDERS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1408(a)(4) of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out "(other than" and all that follows through "title)" in the matter preceding subparagraph (A); and

(2) by striking out subparagraph (E) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(E) in the case of a member entitled to retired pay under chapter 61 of this title, are equal to the amount of retired pay of the member under that chapter computed using the percent-

age of the member's disability on the date when the member was retired (or the date on which the member's name was placed on the temporary disability retired list); or".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to court orders issued after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 645. REVISION OF OPEN PERIOD TO ELECT FORMER SPOUSE AND CHILD COVERAGE

Section 716(b) of the Survivor Benefit Plan Amendments of 1985 (title VII of Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 674) is amended—

(1) by striking out "the date of the enactment of this Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "March 1, 1986,";

(2) by striking out ", within the one-year period beginning on that date of enactment,"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Such an election must be made—

"(1) not later than March 1, 1987, in the case of a person who made the election to provide an annuity for a former spouse before November 8, 1985; and

"(2) not later than the end of the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1987, in the case of a person who made the election to provide an annuity for a former spouse during the period beginning on November 8, 1985, and ending on February 28, 1986.".

SEC. 646. EXTENSION OF MEDICAL BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN FORMER SPOUSES

Section 645(c) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98–525; 98 Stat. 2549), is amended by striking out "during the two-year period" and inserting in lieu thereof "until the later of (1) April 1, 1988, and (2) the last day of the two-year period".

PART E—MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS

SEC. 651. TUITION ASSISTANCE FOR ARMY RESERVE COMPONENT OFFICERS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2007 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "on active duty" in subsection (a)(3) after "a commissioned officer"; and

(2) by adding at the end of the following new subsection:

"(c)(1) Subject to paragraph (2) and (3), the Secretary of the Army may pay not more than 75 percent of the charges of an educational institution for the tuition or expenses of an officer in the Selected Reserve of the Army National Guard or the Army Reserve for education or training of such officer in a program leading to a baccalaureate degree.

"(2) The Secretary may not pay charges under paragraph (1) for tuition or expenses of an officer unless the officer agrees to remain a member of the Selected Reserve for at least four years after completion of the education or training for which the charges are paid.

"(3) The Secretary may not pay charges under paragraph (1)—

"(A) for a warrant officer; or

"(B) for an officer on active duty or full-time National Guard duty.".

(b) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987.—The total amount obligated by the Secretary of the Army under subsection (c) of section 2007 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), during fiscal year 1987 may not exceed $3,000,000.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsection (c) of section 2007 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 652. BENEFITS FOR DEPENDENTS OF CERTAIN SENTENCED, DISCHARGED, OR DISMISSED MEMBERS

(a) TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION OF DEPENDENTS.—Paragraph (2)(A) of section 406(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(2)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B) of this paragraph, a member who—

"(i) is separated from the service or released from active duty; and

"(ii) on the date of his separation from the service or release from active duty, has not served on active duty for a period of time equal to at least 90 percent of the period of time for which he initially enlisted or otherwise initially agreed to serve,

may be provided transportation under this subsection for his dependents only by transportation in kind by the least expensive mode of transportation available or by a monetary allowance that does not exceed the cost to the Government of such transportation in kind.".

(b) TRANSPORTATION OF HOUSEHOLD EFFECTS.—Section 406(h) of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(1) If the Secretary concerned determines that it is in the best interests of a member described in paragraph (2) or the member's dependents and the United States, the Secretary may, when orders directing a change of permanent station for the member concerned have not been issued, or when they have been issued but cannot be used as authority for the transportation of the member's dependents, baggage, and household effects—

"(A) authorize the movement of the member's dependents, baggage, and household effects at the station to an appropriate location in the United States or its possessions or, if the dependents are foreign nationals, to the country of the dependents' origin and prescribe transportation in kind, reimbursement therefor, or a monetary allowance in place thereof, as the case may be, plus a per diem, as authorized under subsection (a) or (b); and

"(B) in the case of a member described in paragraph (2)(A), authorize the transportation of one motor vehicle that is owned by the member (or a dependent of the member) and is for his dependents' personal use to that location by means of transportation authorized under section 2634 of title 10."; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) A member referred to in paragraph (1) is a member who—

"(A) is serving at a station outside the United States or in Hawaii or Alaska;

"(B) receives an administrative discharge under other than honorable conditions; or

"(C) is sentenced by a court-martial—

"(i) to be confined for a period of more than 30 days,

"(ii) to receive a dishonorable or bad-conduct discharge, or

"(iii) to be dismissed from a uniformed service,

if the sentence is approved under section 860(c)(2) of title 10.".

(c) MEDICAL CARE AT DOD FACILITIES.—Section 1076 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e)(1) Subject to paragraph (3), if—

"(A) a member of a uniformed service receives a dishonorable or bad-conduct discharge or is dismissed from a uniformed service as a result of a court-martial conviction for an offense involving abuse of a dependent of the member, as determined in accordance with regulations prescribed by the administering Secretary for such uniformed service; and

"(B) the abused dependent needs medical or dental care for an injury or illness resulting from the abuse,

the administering Secretary may, upon request of the abused dependent, furnish medical or dental care to the dependent for the treatment of such injury or illness in facilities of the uniformed services.

"(2) Subject to paragraph (3), upon request of any dependent of a member of a uniformed service punished for an abuse described in paragraph (1)(A), the administering Secretary for such uniformed service may furnish medical care in facilities of the uniformed services to the dependent for the treatment of any adverse health condition resulting from such dependent's knowledge of (A) the abuse, or (B) any injury or illness suffered by the abused person as a result of such abuse.

"(3) Medical and dental care furnished to a dependent of a member of the uniformed services in facilities of the uniformed services under paragraph (1) or (2)—

"(A) shall be limited to the health care prescribed by section 1077 of this title;

"(B) shall be subject to the availability of space and facilities and the capabilities of the medical and dental staff; and

"(C) shall terminate 1 year after the date on which the member is discharged or dismissed from a uniformed service as described in paragraph (1)(A).".

(d) CHAMPUS.—Section 1079 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(l)(1) Contracts entered into under subsection (a) shall also provide for medical care for dependents of former members of the uniformed services who are authorized to receive medical and dental care under section 1076(e) of this title in facilities of the uniformed services.

"(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3), medical care in the case of a dependent described in section 1076(e) shall be furnished under the same conditions and subject to the same limitations as medical

care furnished under this section to spouses and children of members of the uniformed services described in the first sentence of subsection (a).

"(3) Medical care may be furnished to a dependent pursuant to paragraph (1) only for an injury, illness, or other condition described in section 1076(e) of this title.".

(e) EFFECTIVE DATES.—(1) The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply only with respect to members discharged or released from active duty on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2)(A) The amendments made by subsection (b) shall apply with respect to dependents about whom a determination by the Secretary concerned is made on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) In the case of a member described in section 406(h)(2)(c) of such title 37 (as added by subsection (b)), the benefits provided for the dependents of the member shall accrue on the date that the sentence is approved under section 860 of title 10, United States Code.

(3) The amendment made by subsection (c) shall apply only with respect to dependents who request medical or dental care on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(4) The amendment made by subsection (d) shall apply only with respect to care furnished under section 1079 of title 10, United States Code, on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 653. IMPROVED EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR MILITARY SPOUSES

Section 806(b)(2) of the Military Family Act of 1985 (title VIII of Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 680) is amended by striking out "GS-7" and inserting in lieu thereof "GS-4".

SEC. 654. RETIREMENT CREDIT FOR CERTAIN FORMER NATIONAL GUARD TECHNICIANS

(a) CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT CREDIT.—A period of service performed under section 709 of title 32, United States Code (or under a prior corresponding provision of law), before January 1, 1969, which would not otherwise be creditable under subchapter III of chapter 83 of title 5, United States Code, because of the antepenultimate sentence of section 8332(b) of such title, shall be considered creditable service under such subchapter, notwithstanding such sentence, in the case of an individual described in subsection (b).

(b) COVERED INDIVIDUALS.—Subsection (a) applies in the case of an individual who—

(1) before the end of the 14-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, files appropriate written application with the Office of Personnel Management in accordance with regulations under subsection (c);

(2) at the time of filing application under paragraph (1), is employed by the United States and is subject to subchapter III of chapter 83 of title 5, United States Code (other than under section 8344 of such title); and

(3) before the date of the separation on which entitlement to an annuity under subchapter III of chapter 83 of title 5, United States Code, is based, makes an appropriate deposit under section 8334(c) of such title with respect to the period of service involved, based on the percentage of basic pay for such service

which would be required under such section if such service had been performed as an employee under such subchapter.

(c) REGULATIONS.—The Office of Personnel Management shall prescribe regulations to carry out subsection (a). Such regulations shall be prescribed not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 655. MEAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR NONPROFIT YOUTH GROUPS RESIDING AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS

Section 1011(b) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting after "military installation" in the second sentence the following: "or when residing at a military installation pursuant to an agreement in effect on June 30, 1986,".

SEC. 656. PERIOD FOR USE OF COMMISSARIES BY MEMBERS OF SELECTED RESERVE

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§1052. Period for use of commissary stores: eligibility attributable to active duty for training

"(a) PERIOD FOR USE OF ELIGIBILITY.—If, under regulations establishing eligibility for use of commissary stores, a member of the armed forces accrues eligibility to use commissary stores of the Department of Defense by reason of the performance of active duty for training, the Secretary concerned shall authorize the member to have one year from the date on which the member performs active duty for training to use a day of eligibility for using commissary stores attributable to the performance of that duty.

"(b) LIMITATION.—A member may not use commissary stores by reason of this section for more than 14 days in any period of 365 days.

"(c) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary concerned shall prescribe regulations, subject to the approval of the Secretary of Defense, to carry out this section.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"1052. Period for use of commissary stores: eligibility attributable to active duty for training.".

(b) REPEAL OF TEST PROGRAM.—Section 1013 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (Public Law 98-94; 97 Stat. 665), is repealed.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 1051 of title 10, United States Code, as added by the amendments made by subsection (a), and the repeal made by subsection (b), shall apply only with respect to active duty for training performed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

PART F—ADMINISTRATION OF PERSONNEL BENEFITS

SEC. 661. ENHANCED METHOD FOR DETERMINING TRUE COSTS OF MILITARY RETIREMENT

(a) USE OF DUAL PERCENTAGE DETERMINATIONS.—Section 1465(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out

the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "That amount shall be the sum of the following:

"(A) The product of—

"(i) the current estimate of the value of the single level percentage of basic pay to be determined under subsection (c)(1)(A) at the time of the next actuarial valuation under subsection (c); and

"(ii) the total amount of basic pay expected to be paid during that fiscal year to members of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard) on active duty (other than active duty for training) or full-time National Guard duty (other than full-time National Guard duty for training only).

"(B) The product of—

"(i) the current estimate of the value of the single level percentage of basic pay and of compensation (paid pursuant to section 206 of title 37) to be determined under subsection (c)(1)(B) at the time of the next actuarial valuation under subsection (c); and

"(ii) the total amount of basic pay and of compensation (paid pursuant to section 206 of title 37) expected to be paid during that fiscal year to members of the Ready Reserve of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard and other than members on full-time National Guard duty other than for training) who are not otherwise described in subparagraph (A)(ii).".

(2) Section 1465(c)(1) of such title is amended by striking out the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "Each actuarial valuation of such programs shall include—

"(A) a determination (using the aggregate entry-age normal cost method) of a single level percentage of basic pay for members of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard) on active duty (other than active duty for training) or full-time National Guard duty (other than full-time National Guard duty for training only); and

"(B) a determination (using the aggregate entry-age normal cost method) of a single level percentage of basic pay and of compensation (paid pursuant to section 206 of title 37) for members of the Ready Reserve of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard and other than members on full-time National Guard duty other than for training) who are not otherwise described by subparagraph (A).

Such single level percentages shall be used for the purposes of subsection (b) and section 1466(a) of this title.".

(b) PAYMENTS INTO MILITARY RETIREMENT FUND BASED ON DUAL DETERMINATIONS.—The first sentence of section 1466(a) of such title is amended by striking out "the amount that is" and all that follows in such sentence and inserting in lieu thereof" the amount that is the sum of the following:

"(1) The product of—

"(A) the level percentage of basic pay determined using all the methods and assumptions approved for the most recent (as of the first day of the current fiscal year) actuarial valuation under section 1465(c)(1)(A) of this title (except

that any statutory change in the military retirement and survivor benefit systems that is effective after the date of that valuation and on or before the first day of the current fiscal year shall be used in such determination); and

"(B) the total amount of basic pay paid that month to members of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard) on active duty (other than active duty for training) or full-time National Guard duty (other than full-time National Guard duty for training only).

"(2) The product of—

"(A) the level percentage of basic pay and of compensation (paid pursuant to section 206 of title 37) determined using all the methods and assumptions approved for the most recent (as of the first day of the current fiscal year) actuarial valuation under section 1465(c)(1)(B) of this title (except that any statutory change in the military retirement and survivor benefit systems that is effective after the date of that valuation and on or before the first day of the current fiscal year shall be used in such determination); and

"(B) the total amount of basic pay and of compensation (paid pursuant to section 206 of title 37) paid that month to members of the Ready Reserve of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard and other than members on full-time National Guard duty other than for training) who are not otherwise described in paragraph (1)(B).".

(c) DEVELOPMENT AND SUBMISSION OF DATA ON RESERVE COMPONENTS.—Not later than September 30, 1987, the Secretary of each military department shall develop the data required pursuant to Department of Defense Instruction Number 7730.54 (dated May 7, 1986) and submit such data to the Secretary of Defense. In accordance with such instruction, such data shall include the following with respect to each member of a reserve component:

(1) Date of initial entry into military service.

(2) Total days of active Federal military service.

(3) Years creditable for Reserve retirement under chapter 67 of title 10, United States Code.

(4) Reserve component accumulated total creditable retirement points earned during the member's most recently completed retirement year.

(5) Reserve component accumulated paid points earned during the member's most recently completed retirement year.

(6) Reserve component total accumulated creditable points toward retirement earned during the member's career.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall apply to payments required to be made under section 1466(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (b), for months beginning on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 662. AUTHORITY TO PAY BANK CHARGES IN THE EVENT OF GOVERNMENT ERROR IN MANDATORY DIRECT DEPOSIT OF MEMBERS' PAY

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 656), is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§1053. Relief for expenses because of error in mandatory direct deposit of pay

"(a) A member of the armed forces who, by law or regulation, is required to participate in a program for the automatic deposit of pay to a financial institution may be reimbursed for overdraft charges levied by the financial institution when such charges result from an administrative or mechanical error on the part of the Government that causes such member's pay to be deposited late or in an incorrect amount or manner.

"(b) Reimbursements under this section shall be made from appropriations available for the pay and allowances of members of the armed force concerned.

"(c) The Secretaries concerned shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section, including regulations for the manner in which reimbursement under this section is to be made.

"(d) In this section, the term 'financial institution' has the meaning given that term in section 3332 of title 31.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"1053. Relief for expenses because of error in mandatory direct deposit of pay.".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 1053 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply only with respect to charges levied as a result of errors occurring on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 663. COST REDUCTIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987

(a) SELECTIVE REENLISTMENT BONUS.—During fiscal year 1987, the Secretary concerned may not pay more than 50 percent of an amount paid to any person under section 308 of title 37, United States Code, in a lump sum.

(b) RESERVE UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING.—During fiscal year 1987, the amount spent for reserve unit and individual training may not exceed $5,084,500,000.

TITLE VII—HEALTH-CARE MANAGEMENT REFORM

SEC. 701. IMPROVEMENT OF MILITARY HEALTH-CARE DELIVERY SYSTEM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sections:

"§1096. Military-civilian health services partnership program

"(a) RESOURCES SHARING AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense may enter into an agreement providing for the sharing of resources between facilities of the uniformed services and facilities of a civilian health care provider or providers that the Secretary contracts with under section 1079, 1086, or 1097 of this title if the Secretary determines that such an agreement would result in the delivery of health care to which covered beneficiaries are entitled under this chapter in a more effective, efficient, or economical manner.

"(b) ELIGIBLE RESOURCES.—An agreement entered into under subsection (a) may provide for the sharing of—

"(1) personnel (including support personnel);

"(2) equipment;

"(3) supplies; and

"(4) any other items or facilities necessary for the provision of health care services.

"(c) COMPUTATION OF CHARGES.—A covered beneficiary, with respect to care provided to such beneficiary in facilities of the uniformed services under a sharing agreement entered into under subsection (a), shall pay—

"(1) in the case of a dependent, the charges prescribed by section 1078 of this title; and

"(2) in the case of a member or former member entitled to retired or retainer pay, the charges prescribed by section 1075 of this title.

"§1097. Contracts for medical care for retirees, dependents, and survivors: alternative delivery of health care

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense, after consulting with the other administering Secretaries, may contract for the delivery of health care to which covered beneficiaries are entitled under this chapter. The Secretary may enter into a contract under this section with any of the following:

"(1) Health maintenance organizations.

"(2) Preferred provider organizations.

"(3) Individual providers, individual medical facilities, or insurers.

"(4) Consortiums of such providers, facilities, or insurers.

"(b) SCOPE OF COVERAGE UNDER HEALTH CARE PLANS.—A contract entered into under this section may provide for the delivery of—

"(1) selected health care services;

"(2) total health care services for selected covered beneficiaries; or

"(3) total health care services for all covered beneficiaries who reside in a geographical area designated by the Secretary.

"(c) CHARGES FOR HEALTH CARE.—The Secretary of Defense may prescribe by regulation a premium, deductible, copayment, or other charge for health care provided under this section.

"§1098. Incentives for participation in cost-effective health care plans

"(a) WAIVER OF LIMITATIONS AND COPAYMENTS.—Subject to subsections (b) and (c), the Secretary of Defense, with respect to any plan contracted for under the authority of section 1079 or 1097 of this title, may waive, in whole or in part—

"(1) any limitation set out in the second sentence of section 1079(a) of this title; or

"(2) any requirement for payment by the patient under section 1079(b) or 1086(b) of this title.

"(b) DETERMINATION AND REPORT.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may waive a limitation or requirement as authorized by subsection (a) if the Secretary determines that during the period of the waiver such a plan will—

"(A) be less costly to the Government than a plan subject to such limitations or payment requirements; or

"(B) provide better services than those provided by a plan subject to such limitations or payment requirements at no additional cost to the Government.

"(2) The Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report with respect to a waiver under paragraph (1), including a comparison of costs of and benefits available under—

"(A) a plan with respect to which the limitations and payment requirements are waived; and

"(B) a plan with respect to which there is no such waiver.

"(3) A waiver under paragraph (1) may not take effect until the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary submits the report required by paragraph (2) with respect to such waiver.

"§1099. Health care enrollment system

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF SYSTEM.—The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall establish a system of health care enrollment for covered beneficiaries who reside in the United States.

"(b) DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM.—Such system shall—

"(1) allow covered beneficiaries to elect a health care plan from eligible health care plans designated by the Secretary of Defense; or

"(2) if necessary in order to ensure full use of facilities of the uniformed services in a geographical area, assign covered beneficiaries who reside in such area to such facilities.

"(c) HEALTH CARE PLANS AVAILABLE UNDER SYSTEM.—A health care plan designated by the Secretary of Defense under the system described in subsection (a) shall provide all health care to which a covered beneficiary is entitled under this chapter. Such a plan may consist of any of the following:

"(1) Use of facilities of the uniformed services.

"(2) The Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services.

"(3) Any other health care plan contracted for by the Secretary of Defense.

"(4) Any combination of the plans described in paragraphs (1), (2), and (3).

"(d) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.

"§1100. Military Health Care Account

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF ACCOUNT.—(1) There is hereby established in the Department of Defense an account to be known as the 'Military Health Care Account'. All sums appropriated to carry out the functions of the Secretary of Defense with respect to the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services shall be appropriated to the account.

"(2) Amounts appropriated to the account shall remain available until obligated or expended under subsection (b) or (c).

"(b) OBLIGATION OF AMOUNTS FROM ACCOUNT BY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—The Secretary of Defense may obligate or expend funds *from the account for purposes of entering into a contract under section 1079, 1086, 1092, or 1097 of this title to the extent amounts are available in the account.*

"(c) Allocation of Amounts in Account for Provision of Medical Care by Service Secretaries.—(1) The Secretary of a military department shall, before the beginning of a fiscal year quarter, provide to the Secretary of Defense an estimate of the amounts necessary to pay for charges for benefits under the program for covered beneficiaries under the jurisdiction of the Secretary for that quarter.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense shall, subject to amounts provided in advance in appropriation Acts, make available to each Secretary of a military department the amount from the account that the Secretary of Defense determines is necessary to pay for charges for benefits under the program for covered beneficiaries under the jurisdiction of such Secretary for that quarter.

"(d) Expenditure of Amounts From Account by Service Secretaries.—The Secretary of a military department shall provide medical and dental care to covered beneficiaries under the jurisdiction of the Secretary for a fiscal year quarter from amounts appropriated to the Secretary and from amounts from the account made available for that quarter to the Secretary by the Secretary of Defense. If the Secretary of a military department exhausts the amounts from the account made available to the Secretary for a fiscal year quarter, the Secretary shall transfer to the account from amounts appropriated to the Secretary an amount sufficient to provide medical and dental care to covered beneficiaries under the jurisdiction of the Secretary for the remainder of the fiscal year quarter.

"(e) Regulations.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.

"(f) Definitions.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'account' means the Military Health Care Account established in subsection (a).

"(2) The term 'program' means the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services.

"§1101. Diagnosis-related groups

"(a) Establishment of DRGs.—The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall establish by regulation the use of diagnosis-related groups as the primary criteria for allocation of resources to facilities of the uniformed services.

"(b) Exception for Mobilization Missions.— Diagnosis-related groups shall not be used to allocate resources to the facilities of the uniformed services to the extent that such resources are required by such facilities for mobilization missions.

"(c) Content of Regulations.—(1) Such regulations shall establish a system of diagnosis-related groups similar to the system established under section 1886(d)(4) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395ww(d)(4)). Such regulations shall include the following:

"(1) A classification of inpatient treatments by diagnosis-related groups and a similar classification of outpatient treatment.

"(2) A methodology for classifying specific treatments within such groups.

"(3) An appropriate weighting factor for each such diagnosis-related group which reflects the relative resources used by a facility of a uniformed service with respect to treatments classified within that group compared to treatments classified within other groups.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new items:

"1096. Military-civilian health services partnership program.
"1097. Contracts for medical care for retirees, dependents, and survivors: alternative delivery of health care.
"1098. Incentives for participation in cost-effective health care plans.
"1099. Health care enrollment system.
"1100. Military Health Care Account.
"1101. Diagnosis-related groups.".

(b) DEFINITIONS.—Section 1072 of title 10 United State Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out " 'Uniformed services' means" in paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof "The term 'uniformed services' means";

(2) by striking out " 'Dependent', with respect to" in paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof "The term 'dependent', with respect to";

(3) by striking out " 'Administering Secretaries' means" in paragraph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof "The term 'administering Secretaries' means"; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

"(4) The term 'Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services' means the program authorized under sections 1079 and 1086 of this title and includes contracts entered into under section 1091 or 1097 of this title and demonstration projects under section 1092 of this title.

"(5) The term 'covered beneficiary' means a beneficiary under this chapter other than a beneficiary under section 1074(a) of this title.".

(c) REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—(1) Not later than July 1, 1987, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report detailing—

(A) any plans to establish or implement a system of health care enrollment (other than as required under section 702(a)(2)(C)) under section 1099(a) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)); and

(B) the plan of the Secretary for completing the implementation of such system.

(2) The Secretary shall submit to Congress—

(1) not later than May 1, 1987, a report on plans of the Secretary for establishing diagnosis-related groups for inpatient services under section 1100(a) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)); and

(2) not later than May 1, 1988, a report on plans of the Secretary for establishing diagnosis-related groups for outpatient services under such section.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations as required by section 1099(d) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsec-

tion (a)(1)) to implement the system of health care enrollment for covered beneficiaries—

(A) on October 1, 1987, with respect to—

(i) covered beneficiaries included in the demonstration project required under section 702; and

(ii) facilities of the uniformed services located in the geographical area covered by the demonstration project; and

(B) not later than September 30, 1990, for all other covered beneficiaries and facilities of the uniformed services.

(2) The Secretary may not assign covered beneficiaries to facilities of the uniformed services, as authorized by section 1099(b)(2) of such title (as added by subsection (a)(1)), before October 1, 1990.

(3) Section 1100 of such title (as added by subsection (a)(1)) shall take effect on October 1, 1987.

(4) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations as required by section 1101(a) of such title (as added by subsection (a)(1)) to take effect—

(A) in the case of inpatient treatments, after September 30, 1987; and

(B) in the case of outpatient treatments, after September 30, 1988.

SEC. 702. CHAMPUS REFORM INITIATIVE

(a) DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a project designed to demonstrate the feasibility of improving the effectiveness of the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS) through the competitive selection of contractors to financially underwrite the delivery of health care services under the program.

(2) The demonstration project required by paragraph (1)—

(A) shall begin not later than September 30, 1988, and continue for not less than one year;

(B) shall include not more than one-third of covered beneficiaries; and

(C) shall include a health care enrollment system that meets the requirements specified in section 1099 of title 10, United States Code (as added by section 701(a)(1)).

(3)(A) The Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the development of the demonstration project required by paragraph (1). Such report shall include—

(i) a description of the scope and structure of the project;

(ii) an estimate of the costs of the care to be provided under the project; and

(iii) a description of the health care enrollment system included in the project.

(B) The report required by subparagraph (A) shall be submitted—

(i) not later than 60 days before the initiation of the project, if the project is to be restricted to a contiguous area of the United States; or

(ii) not later than 60 days before a solicitation for bids or proposals with respect to such project is issued, if the project will not be restricted to a contiguous area of the United States.

(b) STUDY OF HEALTH CARE ALTERNATIVES.—(1) The demonstration project required by subsection (a)(1) shall include a study of—

(A) methods to guarantee the maintenance of competition among providers of health care to persons under the jurisdiction of the Secretary;

(B) the merits of the use of a voucher system or a fee schedule for provision of health care to such persons; and

(C) methods to guarantee that community hospitals are given equal consideration with other health care providers for provision of health care services under contracts with the Department of Defense.

(2) The Secretary shall submit to Congress a report discussing the matters evaluated in the study required by paragraph (1) before the end of the 90-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) PHASED IMPLEMENTATION OF CHAMPUS REFORM INITIATIVE.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may proceed with implementation of the CHAMPUS reform initiative, to be carried out in two phases during a period of not less than two years, if—

(A) the Secretary determines, based on the results of the demonstration project required by subsection (a)(1), that such initiative should be implemented;

(B) not less than one year elapses after the date on which the demonstration project required by subsection (a)(1) is initiated; and

(C) 90 days elapse after the date on which the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report that includes—

(i) a description of the results of the demonstration project;

(ii) a description of any changes the Secretary intends to make in the initiative during the proposed implementation; and

(iii) a comparison of the costs of providing health care under CHAMPUS with the costs of providing health care under the demonstration project and the estimated costs of providing health care under the CHAMPUS reform initiative if fully implemented.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "CHAMPUS reform initiative" means the competitive selection of contractors to financially underwrite the delivery of health care services under the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services.

(2) The term "Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services" has the meaning given such term in section 1072(4) of title 10, United States Code (as added by section 701(b)).

(3) The term "covered beneficiary" has the meaning given such term in section 1072(5) of title 10, United States Code (as added by section 701(b)).

SEC. 703. CHAMPUS CATCHMENT AREAS

Section 1079(a)(7) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "pays for at least 75 percent of the services" and inserting in lieu thereof "provides primary coverage for the services".

SEC. 704. MEDICAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS ACQUISITION

(a) LIMITATION ON ACQUISITION OF MEDICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may not acquire a medical information system for use in all military medical treatment facilities until—

(A) the testing required by subsection (b) is completed;

(B) the report required by subsection (d)(2) is submitted; and

(C) 30 days elapse after the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives the report described in paragraph (2).

(2) The report required by paragraph (1)(B) shall be submitted after the completion of the testing required by subsection (b) and shall include—

(A) an evaluation of the competing medical information systems, based on the extended benchmark test, operational test, and incremental price and delivery schedules described in subsection (b);

(B) an evaluation of the hospital management computer system of the Veterans' Administration known as the Veterans' Administration Decentralized Hospital Computer Program based on the demonstration project described in subsection (c); and

(C) the decision of the Secretary with respect to acquiring a medical information system for use in all military medical treatment facilities.

(b) TESTING OF MEDICAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS.—(1) The Secretary shall carry out an extended benchmark test of competing medical information systems using the testing specifications described in Solicitation No. DAHC 26-85-R-0009 (relating to acquisition of the Composite Health Care System).

(2) The Secretary shall carry out an operational test of competing medical information systems. Such test shall—

(A) be conducted in medium-to-large military medical treatment facilities; and

(B) shall be not less than nine months and not more than one year in duration.

(3) Each vendor that submits a medical information system for testing under paragraphs (1) and (2) shall provide to the Secretary incremental price and delivery schedules for each function described in I, II, IID, and III of Solicitation No. DAHC26-85-R-0009. Such schedules shall be provided before the end of the one-year period beginning on the date on which the operational test described in paragraph (2) is initiated.

(c) VETERANS' ADMINISTRATION DECENTRALIZED HOSPITAL COMPUTER PROGRAM.—(1) The Secretary shall continue to carry out the demonstration project required by section 1203 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 718), with respect to the Veterans' Administration Decentralized Hospital

Computer Program. Such project shall be completed not later than October 1, 1987.

(2) Section 1203(c) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986, is amended—

(A) by striking out "available"; and

(B) by inserting "that are available at least three months before the date on which the demonstration project is expected to be completed" after "software)".

(d) EVALUATION AND REPORT BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—The Comptroller General shall—

(1) monitor the testing required by subsection (b); and

(2) submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report evaluating such testing before the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date that such testing is completed.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "medical information system" means a computer-based information system that—

(A) receives data normally recorded concerning patients;

(B) creates and maintains from such data a computerized medical record for each patient; and

(C) provides access to data for patient care, hospital administration, research, and medical care resource planning.

(2) The term "military medical treatment facility" means a hospital, medical center, or clinic under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of a military department or the Secretary of Defense.

(3) The term "medium-to-large military medical treatment facility" means a military medical treatment facility with 150 or more beds.

(4) The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Defense.

SEC. 705. CONFIDENTIALITY OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE RECORDS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 701), is further amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§1102. Confidentiality of medical quality assurance records: qualified immunity for participants

"(a) CONFIDENTIALITY OF RECORDS.—Medical quality assurance records created by or for the Department of Defense as part of a medical quality assurance program are confidential and privileged. Such records may not be disclosed to any person or entity, except as provided in subsection (c).

"(b) PROHIBITION ON DISCLOSURE AND TESTIMONY.—(1) No part of any medical quality assurance record described in subsection (a) may be subject to discovery or admitted into evidence in any judicial or administrative proceeding, except as provided in subsection (c).

"(2) A person who reviews or creates medical quality assurance records for the Department of Defense or who participates in any proceeding that reviews or creates such records may not be permitted or required to testify in any judicial or administrative proceeding with respect to such records or with respect to any finding, recommendation, evaluation, opinion, or action taken by such person or

body in connection with such records except as provided in this section.

"(c) AUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE AND TESTIMONY.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), a medical quality assurance record described in subsection (a) may be disclosed, and a person referred to in subsection (b) may give testimony in connection with such a record, only as follows:

"(A) To a Federal executive agency or private organization, if such medical quality assurance record or testimony is needed by such agency or organization to perform licensing or accreditation functions related to Department of Defense health care facilities or to perform monitoring, required by law, of Department of Defense health care facilities.

"(B) To an administrative or judicial proceeding commenced by a present or former Department of Defense health care provider concerning the termination, suspension, or limitation of clinical privileges of such health care provider.

"(C) To a governmental board or agency or to a professional health care society or organization, if such medical quality assurance record or testimony is needed by such board, agency, society, or organization to perform licensing, credentialing, or the monitoring of professional standards with respect to any health care provider who is or was a member or an employee of the Department of Defense.

"(D) To a hospital, medical center, or other institution that provides health care services, if such medical quality assurance record or testimony is needed by such institution to assess the professional qualifications of any health care provider who is or was a member or employee of the Department of Defense and who has applied for or been granted authority or employment to provide health care services in or on behalf of such institution.

"(E) To an officer, employee, or contractor of the Department of Defense who has a need for such record or testimony to perform official duties.

"(F) To a criminal or civil law enforcement agency or instrumentality charged under applicable law with the protection of the public health or safety, if a qualified representative of such agency or instrumentality makes a written request that such record or testimony be provided for a purpose authorized by law.

"(G) In an administrative or judicial proceeding commenced by a criminal or civil law enforcement agency or instrumentality referred to in subparagraph (F), but only with respect to the subject of such proceeding.

"(2) With the exception of the subject of a quality assurance action, the identity of any person receiving health care services from the Department of Defense or the identity of any other person associated with such department for purposes of a medical quality assurance program that is disclosed in a medical quality assurance record described in subsection (a) shall be deleted from that record or document before any disclosure of such record is made outside the Department of Defense. Such requirement does not apply to the release of information pursuant to section 552a of title 5, United States Code.

"(d) Disclosure for Certain Purposes.—(1) Nothing in this section shall be construed as authorizing or requiring the withholding from any person or entity aggregate statistical information regarding the results of Department of Defense medical quality assurance programs.

"(2) Nothing in this section shall be construed as authority to withhold any medical quality assurance record from a committee of either House of Congress, any joint committee of Congress, or the General Accounting Office if such record pertains to any matter within their respective jurisdictions.

"(e) Prohibition on Disclosure of Record or Testimony.—A person or entity having possession of or access to a record or testimony described by this section may not disclose the contents of such record or testimony in any manner or for any purpose except as provided in this section.

"(f) Exemption From Freedom of Information Act.—Medical quality assurance records described in subsection (a) may not be made available to any person under section 552 of title 5.

"(g) Limitation on Civil Liability.—A person who participates in or provides information to a person or body that reviews or creates medical quality assurance records described in subsection (a) shall not be civilly liable for such participation or for providing such information if the participation or provision of information was in good faith based on prevailing professional standards at the time the medical quality assurance program activity took place.

"(h) Application to Information in Certain Other Records.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as limiting access to the information in a record created and maintained outside a medical quality assurance program, including a patient's medical records, on the grounds that the information was presented during meetings of a review body that are part of a medical quality assurance program.

"(i) Regulations.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to implement this section.

"(j) Definitions.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'medical quality assurance program' means any activity carried out before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this section by or for the Department of Defense to assess the quality of medical care, including activities conducted by individuals, military medical or dental treatment facility committees, or other review bodies responsible for quality assurance, credentials, infection control, patient care assessment (including treatment procedures, blood, drugs, and therapeutics), medical records, health resources management review and identification and prevention of medical or dental incidents and risks.

"(2) The term 'medical quality assurance record' means the proceedings, records, minutes, and reports that emanate from quality assurance program activities described in paragraph (1) and are produced or compiled by the Department of Defense as part of a medical quality assurance program.

"(3) The term 'health care provider' means any military or civilian health care professional who, under regulations of a military department, is granted clinical practice privileges to

provide health care services in a military medical or dental treatment facility or who is licensed or certified to perform health care services by a governmental board or agency or professional health care society or organization.

"(k) PENALTY.—Any person who willfully discloses a medical quality assurance record other than as provided in this section, knowing that such record is a medical quality assurance record, shall be fined not more than $3,000 in the case of a first offense and not more than $20,000 in the case of a subsequent offense.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter (as amended by sections 701 and 702) is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"1102. Confidentiality of medical quality assurance records: qualified immunity for participants.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 1102 of title 10, Untied States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply to all records created before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act by or for the Department of Defense as part of a medical quality assurance program.

(c) RESTRICTION ON USE OF INFORMATION OBTAINED DURING CERTAIN EPIDEMIOLOGIC-ASSESSMENT INTERVIEWS.—(1) Information obtained by the Department of Defense during or as a result of an epidemiologic-assessment interview with a serum-positive member of the Armed Forces may not be used to support any adverse personnel action against the member.

(2) For purposes of paragraph (1):

(A) The term "epidemiologic-assessment interview" means questioning of a serum-positive member of the Armed Forces for purposes of medical treatment or counseling or for epidemiologic or statistical purposes.

(B) The term "serum-positive member of the Armed Forces" means a member of the Armed Forces who has been identified as having been exposed to a virus associated with the acquired immune deficiency syndrome.

(C) The term "adverse personnel action" includes—

(i) a court-martial;

(ii) non-judicial punishment;

(iii) involuntary separation (other than for medical reasons);

(iv) administrative or punitive reduction in grade;

(v) denial of promotion;

(vi) an unfavorable entry in a personnel record;

(vii) a bar to reenlistment; and

(viii) any other action considered by the Secretary concerned to be an adverse personnel action.

SEC. 706. USE OF PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE HOSPITALS AS FACILITIES OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES

Section 1252(e) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (42 U.S.C. 248d(e)), is amended by striking out "December 31, 1987" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "December 31, 1988".

SEC. 707. LIMITATION ON DENTAL INSURANCE PROGRAM

(a) AMOUNT OF PREMIUM.—Subsection (b)(2) of section 1076a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(2) A member enrolled in a plan under this section shall pay a premium of not more than $10 per month for the member and the family of the member.".

(b) ANNUAL COST OF PROGRAM.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) The Secretary of Defense may not spend more than $105,000,000 (in fiscal year 1986 dollars) for a plan under this section during any fiscal year.".

(c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Of amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for Operations and Maintenance, Defense Agencies, not more than $18,000,000 may be used for the purpose of providing a dental insurance program under section 1076a of title 10, United States Code (as amended by this section).

TITLE VIII—UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE

SEC. 801. SHORT TITLE; REFERENCES TO UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This title may be cited as the "Military Justice Amendments of 1986".

(b) REFERENCES TO UCMJ.—Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this title an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

SEC. 802. DEFENSE OF LACK OF MENTAL RESPONSIBILITY

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Subchapter VII is amended by inserting after section 850 (article 50) the following new section (article):

"§850a. Art. 50a. Defense of lack of mental responsibility

"(a) It is an affirmative defense in a trial by court-martial that, at the time of the commission of the acts constituting the offense, the accused, as a result of a severe mental disease or defect, was unable to appreciate the nature and quality or the wrongfulness of the acts. Mental disease or defect does not otherwise constitute a defense.

"(b) The accused has the burden of proving the defense of lack of mental responsibility by clear and convincing evidence.

"(c) Whenever lack of mental responsibility of the accused with respect to an offense is properly at issue, the military judge, or the president of a court-martial without a military judge, shall instruct the members of the court as to the defense of lack of mental responsibility under this section and charge them to find the accused—

"(1) guilty;

"(2) not guilty; or

"(3) not guilty only by reason of lack of mental responsibility.

"(d) Subsection (c) does not apply to a court-martial composed of a military judge only. In the case of a court-martial composed of a military judge only, whenever lack of mental responsibility of the accused with respect to an offense is properly at issue, the military judge shall find the accused—

"(1) guilty;

"(2) not guilty; or

"(3) not guilty only by reason of lack of mental responsibility.

"(e) Notwithstanding the provisions of section 852 of this title (article 52), the accused shall be found not guilty only by reason of lack of mental responsibility if—

"(1) a majority of the members of the court-martial present at the time the vote is taken determines that the defense of lack of mental responsibility has been established; or

"(2) in the case of a court-martial composed of a military judge only, the military judge determines that the defense of lack of mental responsibility has been established.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 850 (article 50) the following new item:

"850a. 50a. Defense of lack of mental responsibility.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 850a of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a)(1), shall apply only to offenses committed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 803. APPLICATION FOR ENLISTED MEMBERS TO SERVE ON COURT-MARTIAL

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 825(c)(1) (article 25(c)(1)) is amended by striking out "has requested in writing" and inserting in lieu thereof "has requested orally on the record or in writing".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply only to a case in which arraignment is completed on or after the effective date of this title.

SEC. 804. COURT-MARTIAL JURISDICTION OVER RESERVE MEMBERS

(a) JURISDICTION OVER RESERVE MEMBERS UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.—(1) Paragraph (3) of section 802(a) (article 2(a)) is amended to read as follows:

"(3) Members of a reserve component while on inactive-duty training, but in the case of members of the Army National Guard of the United States or the Air National Guard of the United States only when in Federal service.".

(2) Section 802 (article 2) is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d)(1) A member of a reserve component who is not on active duty and who is made the subject of proceedings under section 815 (article 15) or section 830 (article 30) with respect to an offense against this chapter may be ordered to active duty involuntarily for the purpose of—

"(A) investigation under section 832 of this title (article 32);

"(B) trial by court-martial; or

"(C) nonjudicial punishment under section 815 of this title (article 15).

"(2) A member of a reserve component may not be ordered to active duty under paragraph (1) except with respect to an offense committed while the member was—

"(A) on active duty; or

"(B) on inactive-duty training, but in the case of members of the Army National Guard of the United States or the Air National Guard of the United States only when in Federal service.

"(3) Authority to order a member to active duty under paragraph (1) shall be exercised under regulations prescribed by the President.

"(4) A member may be ordered to active duty under paragraph (1) only by a person empowered to convene general courts-martial in a regular component of the armed forces.

"(5) A member ordered to active duty under paragraph (1), unless the order to active duty was approved by the Secretary concerned, may not—

"(A) be sentenced to confinement; or

"(B) be required to serve a punishment consisting of any restriction on liberty during a period other than a period of inactive-duty training or active duty (other than active duty ordered under paragraph (1)).".

(b) CONTINUED AMENABILITY TO JURISDICTION.—Section 803 (article 3) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) A member of a reserve component who is subject to this chapter is not, by virtue of the termination of a period of active duty or inactive-duty training, relieved from amenability to the jurisdiction of this chapter for an offense against this chapter committed during such period of active duty or inactive-duty training.".

(c) AUTHORITY TO ADMINISTER OATHS.—Section 936 (article 136) is amended by inserting "or performing inactive-duty training" in subsections (a) and (b) after "active duty".

(d) ARTICLES TO BE EXPLAINED.—The text of section 937 (article 137) is amended to read as follows:

"(a)(1) The sections of this title (articles of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) specified in paragraph (3) shall be carefully explained to each enlisted member at the time of (or within six days after)—

"(A) the member's initial entrance on active duty; or

"(B) the member's initial entrance into a duty status with a reserve component.

"(2) Such sections (articles) shall be explained again—

"(A) after the member has completed six months of active duty or, in the case of a member of a reserve component, after the member has completed basic or recruit training; and

"(B) at the time when the member reenlists.

"(3) This subsection applies with respect to sections 802, 803, 807–815, 825, 827, 831, 837, 838, 855, 877–934, and 937–939 of this title (articles 2, 3, 7–15, 25, 27, 31, 37, 38, 55, 77–134, and 137–139).

"(b) The text of the Uniform Code of Military Justice and of the regulations prescribed by the President under such Code shall be made available to a member on active duty or to a member of a reserve component, upon request by the member, for the member's personal examination.".

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall apply only to an offense committed on or after the effective date of this title.

SEC. 805. STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

(a) REVISION OF STATUTE OF LIMITATION.—Subsections (a), (b), and (c) of section 843 (article 43) are amended to read as follows:

"(a) A person charged with absence without leave or missing movement in time of war, or with any offense punishable by death, may be tried and punished at any time without limitation.

"(b)(1) Except as otherwise provided in this section (article), a person charged with an offense is not liable to be tried by court-martial if the offense was committed more than five years before the receipt of sworn charges and specifications by an officer exercising summary court-martial jurisdiction over the command.

"(2) A person charged with an offense is not liable to be punished under section 815 of this title (article 15) if the offense was committed more than two years before the imposition of punishment.

"(c) Periods in which the accused is absent without authority or fleeing from justice shall be excluded in computing the period of limitation prescribed in this section (article)."

(b) TIME FOR REINSTATEMENT OF CHARGES.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g)(1) If charges or specifications are dismissed as defective or insufficient for any cause and the period prescribed by the applicable statute of limitations—

"(A) has expired; or

"(B) will expire within 180 days after the date of dismissal of the charges and specifications,

trial and punishment under new charges and specifications are not barred by the statute of limitations if the conditions specified in paragraph (2) are met.

"(2) The conditions referred to in paragraph (1) are that the new charges and specifications must—

"(A) be received by an officer exercising summary court-martial jurisdiction over the command within 180 days after the dismissal of the charges or specifications; and

"(B) allege the same acts or omissions that were alleged in the dismissed charges or specifications (or allege acts or omissions that were included in the dismissed charges or specifications)."

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to an offense committed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 806. TIME FOR REQUEST FOR POST-TRIAL SUBMISSIONS

(a) EXTENSION OF TIME FOR SUBMISSIONS.—Subsection (b) of section 860 (article 60) is amended—

(1) by striking out paragraph (3);

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3) and inserting a comma in that paragraph after "case"; and

(3) by striking out paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(1) The accused may submit to the convening authority matters for consideration by the convening authority with respect to the findings and the sentence. Except in a summary court-martial case, such a submission shall be made within 10 days after the accused has been given an authenticated record of trial and, if applicable, *the recommendation of the staff judge advocate or legal officer under subsection (d). In a summary court-martial case, such a submission shall be made within seven days after the sentence is announced.*

"(2) If the accused shows that additional time is required for the accused to submit such matters, the convening authority or other person taking action under this section, for good cause, may extend the applicable period under paragraph (1) for not more than an additional 20 days.".

(b) RECOMMENDATIONS OF STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE.—Subsection (c)(2) of such section is amended by striking out "and, if applicable, under subsection (d),".

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) in the third sentence, by striking out "who shall have five days from the date of receipt in which to submit any matter in response" and inserting in lieu thereof "who may submit any matter in response under subsection (b)"; and

(2) by striking out the fourth sentence.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply in cases in which the sentence is adjudged on or after the effective date of this title.

SEC. 807. DETAIL OF JUDGE ADVOCATES

(a) REPRESENTATION OF UNITED STATES INTERESTS.—Section 806 (article 6) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d)(1) A judge advocate who is assigned or detailed to perform the functions of a civil office in the Government of the United States under section 973(b)(2)(B) of this title may perform such duties as may be requested by the agency concerned, including representation of the United States in civil and criminal cases.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of Transportation with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, shall prescribe regulations providing that reimbursement may be a condition of assistance by judge advocates assigned or detailed under section 973(b)(2)(B) of this title.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a)—

(1) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) may not be construed to invalidate an action taken by a judge advocate, pursuant to an assignment or detail under section 973(b)(2)(B) of title 10, United States Code, before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 808. EFFECTIVE DATE

Except as provided in sections 802(b), 805(c), and 807(b), this title and the amendments made by this title shall take effect on the earlier of—

(1) the last day of the 120-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act; or

(2) the date specified in an Executive order for such amendments to take effect.

SEC. 900. SHORT TITLE

This title may be cited as the "Defense Acquisition Improvement Act of 1986".

PART A—MANAGEMENT OF THE ACQUISITION PROCESS

SEC. 901. DUTIES AND PRECEDENCE OF UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR ACQUISITION

Section 133 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), is amended to read as follows:

"§133. Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition

"(a) There is an Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, appointed from civilian life by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Under Secretary shall be appointed from among persons who have an extensive management background in the private sector.

"(b) Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition shall perform such duties and exercise such powers relating to acquisition as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, including—

"(1) supervising Department of Defense acquisition;

"(2) establishing policies for acquisition (including procurement, research and development, logistics, developmental testing, and contract administration) for all elements of the Department of Defense;

"(3) establishing policies of the Department of Defense for maintenance of the defense industrial base of the United States; and

"(4) the authority to direct the Secretaries of the military departments and the heads of all other elements of the Department of Defense with regard to matters for which the Under Secretary has responsibility.

"(c) The Under Secretary—

"(1) is the senior procurement executive for the Department of Defense for the purposes of section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3));

"(2) is the Defense Acquisition Executive for purposes of regulations and procedures of the Department providing for a Defense Acquisition Executive; and

"(3) to the extent directed by the Secretary, exercises overall supervision of all personnel (civilian and military) in the Office of the Secretary of Defense with regard to matters for which the Under Secretary has responsibility, unless otherwise provided by law.

"(d)(1) The Under Secretary shall prescribe policies to ensure that audit and oversight of contractor activities are coordinated and carried out in a manner to prevent duplication by different elements of the Department.

"(2) In carrying out this subsection, the Under Secretary shall consult with the Inspector General of the Department of Defense.

"(3) Nothing in this subsection shall affect the authority of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to establish audit policy for the Department of Defense under the Inspector General Act of 1978 and otherwise to carry out the functions of the Inspector General under that Act.

"(e)(1) With regard to all matters for which he has responsibility by law or by direction of the Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition takes precedence in the Department of Defense after the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense.

"(2) With regard to all matters other than matters for which he has responsibility by law or by direction of the Secretary of Defense, the Under Secretary takes precedence in the Department of Defense after the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, and the Secretaries of the military departments.".

SEC. 902. ESTABLISHMENT OF POSITION OF DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR ACQUISITION

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF POSITION.—(1) Chapter 4 of title 10, United States Code (as amended by title I of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), is amended by inserting after section 133 the following new section:

"§133a. Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition

"(a) There is a Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, appointed from civilian life by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

"(b) The Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition shall assist the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition in the performance of his duties. The Deputy Under Secretary shall act for, and exercise the powers of, the Under Secretary when the Under Secretary is absent or disabled.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 133 the following new item:

"133a. Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition.".

(b) PAY GRADE.—Section 5314 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition.".

SEC. 903. OTHER SENIOR CIVILIAN ACQUISITION OFFICIALS

(a) PRECEDENCE OF UNDER SECRETARY FOR POLICY.—Section 134 of title 10, United State Code (as designated by section 105 of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), is amended by inserting "the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition," in subsection (c) after "Deputy Secretary of Defense,".

(b) FUNCTIONS AND GRADE OF DIRECTOR OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING.—(1) Section 135 of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 105 of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), is amended—

(A) by striking out subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(b) Except as otherwise prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, the Director of Defense Research and Engineering shall perform such duties relating to research and engineering as the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition may prescribe."; and

(B) by striking out subsection (c).

(2)(A) Section 5314 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking out "Director of Defense Research and Engineering.".

(B) Section 5315 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following:

"Director of Defense Research and Engineering.".

(c) INDEPENDENCE AND STAFF OF DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION.—Section 138 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101(a), of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition" after "Secretary of Defense" in the first sentence of subsection (b);

(2) by inserting "and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition" after "Secretary of Defense" in subsection (b)(2);

(3) by inserting ", to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition," in subsection (b)(5) after "Secretary of Defense";

(4) by inserting ", to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition," in subsection (c) after "Secretary of Defense" the first place such term appears;

(5) by inserting "personally" in the first sentence of subsection (d) after "Secretary of Defense";

(6) by inserting ", the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition," in the second sentence of subsection (g)(1) after "Secretary of Defense"; and

(7) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(i) The Director shall have sufficient professional staff of military and civilian personnel to enable the Director to carry out the duties and responsibilities of the Director prescribed by law.".

(d) DIRECTOR OF OFFICE OF SMALL AND DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS UTILIZATION.—Section 15(k)(3) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(k)(3)) is amended by inserting ", except that in the case of the Department of Defense the Director of the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization shall be responsible to, and report directly to, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition".

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT FOR ARMED FORCES POLICY COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP.—Section 171(a) of title 10, United States Code is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (3) through (11) as paragraphs (4), (5), (6), (7), (9), (10), (11), (12), and (13), respectively;

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

"(3) the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition;"; and

(3) by striking out paragraph (7) (as so redesignated) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(7) the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy;

"(8) the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition;".

SEC. 904. ENHANCED PROGRAM STABILITY FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS

(a) PROGRAM STABILITY.—(1) Chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2434 (as redesignated by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)) the following new section:

"§2435. Enhanced program stability

"(a) BASELINE DESCRIPTION REQUIREMENT.—(1) The Secretary of a military department shall establish a baseline description for a major defense acquisition program under the jurisdiction of such Secretary—

"(A) before such program enters full-scale engineering development; and

"(B) before such program enters full-rate production.

"(2) A baseline description required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

"(A) In the case of the full-scale development stage—

"(i) a description of the performance goals for the weapons system to be acquired under the program;

"(ii) a description of the technical characteristics and configuration of such system;

"(iii) total development costs for such stage by fiscal year; and

"(iv) the schedule of development milestones.

"(B) In the case of the production stage—

"(i) a description of the performance of the weapons system to be acquired under the program;

"(ii) a description of the technical characteristics and configuration of such system

"(iii) number of end items by fiscal year;

"(iv) the schedule of development milestones;

"(v) testing;

"(vi) initial training;

"(vii) initial provisioning; and

"(viii) total procurement costs for such stage (including the cost of all elements included in the baseline description) by fiscal year, which may not exceed the amount of the independent cost estimate for that program submitted to the Secretary of Defense under section 2434 of this title.

"(b) PROGRAM DEVIATION REPORTS.—(1) The program manager of a major defense acquisition program shall immediately submit a program deviation report for such program to the Secretary of the military department concerned and to the senior procurement executive of such military department (designated pursuant to section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3))) if such manager determines at any time during the full-scale engineering development stage or the production stage that there is reasonable cause to believe that—

"(A) the total cost of completion of the program will be more than the amount specified in the baseline description established under subsection (a) for such stage;

"(B) any milestone specified in such baseline description will not be completed as scheduled; or

"(C) the system to be acquired under the program will not fulfill the description of performance, technical characteristics, or configuration specified in such baseline description.

"(2) The Secretary of the military department concerned shall, with respect to any major defense acquisition program for which a program deviation report is received under paragraph (1)—

"(A) establish a review panel to review such program; and

"(B) submit a report containing the program deviation report and the results of such review to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition before the end of the 45-day period beginning on the date that the program deviation report is submitted under paragraph (1).

"(c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term 'major defense acquisition program' has the meaning given that term in section 2432(a)(1) of this title.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2434 the following new item:

"2435. Enhanced program stability.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2435 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)), shall apply to major defense acquisition programs that enter full-scale engineering development or full-rate production after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 905. DEFENSE ENTERPRISE PROGRAMS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2435 (as added by section 904) the following new section:

"§2436. Defense enterprise programs

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct, through the Secretaries of the military departments, a program with respect to increasing the efficiency of the management structure of defense acquisition programs by reducing the number of officials through whom a program manager reports to the senior procurement executive of the military department concerned.

"(b) DESIGNATION OF PARTICIPATING PROGRAMS.—The Secretary of a military department may designate any defense acquisition program under the jurisdiction of the Secretary to participate in the program described in subsection (a). A program designated under this subsection shall be known as a 'defense enterprise program'.

"(c) GUIDELINES.—The Secretary of Defense shall issue guidelines governing the management of defense enterprise programs. Such guidelines shall include the following requirements:

"(1) The Secretary concerned shall designate a program executive officer for each program.

"(2) The program manager for each program shall report with respect to such program directly, without intervening review or approval, to the program executive officer for the program.

"(3) The program executive officer for a program shall report with respect to such program directly, without intervening review or approval, to the senior procurement executive of the

military department concerned designated pursuant to section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3)).

"(4) The program executive officer to whom a defense enterprise program manager reports shall evaluate the job performance of such manager on an annual basis. In conducting an evaluation under this paragraph, a program executive officer shall consider the extent to which the manager has achieved the objectives of the program for which the manager is responsible, including quality, timeliness, and cost objectives.

"(5) The manager of a defense enterprise program shall be authorized staff positions for a technical staff, including experts in business management, contracting, auditing, engineering, testing, and logistics.

"(d) APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS.—(1) Except as specified by the senior procurement executive of the military department concerned, a defense enterprise program shall not be subject to any regulation, policy, directive, or administrative rule or guideline relating to the acquisition activities of the Department of Defense other than the Federal Acquisition Regulation and the Department of Defense supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

"(2) Paragraph (1) shall not be construed to limit or modify the application of Federal legislation relating to the acquisition activities of the Department of Defense.

"(3) In this subsection the term 'Federal Acquisition Regulation' has the meaning given such term in section 2320(a)(4) of this title.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2435 (as added by section 904) the following new item:

"2436. Defense enterprise programs.".

(b) The Secretary of each military department shall designate for fiscal year 1988 not less than three defense acquisition programs under the jurisdiction of the Secretary to participate in the program described in section 2436(a) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)).

SEC. 906. MILESTONE AUTHORIZATION OF DEFENSE ENTERPRISE PROGRAMS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 144 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2436 (as inserted by section 905) the following new section:

"§2437. Defense enterprise programs: milestone authorization

"(a) DESIGNATION OF PARTICIPATING PROGRAMS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may designate defense enterprise programs in each military department (as designated by the Secretary of the military department under section 2436 of this title) to be considered for milestone authorization under subsection (b).

"(2) The Secretary may designate a defense enterprise program under paragraph (1) only if the program—

"(A) is ready to proceed into the full-scale engineering development stage or the full-rate production stage; or

"(B) is in either of such stages.

"(b) Submission of Baseline Descriptions.—Not later than the end of the 90-day period beginning on the date that a defense enterprise program is designated under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall—

"(1) in the case of a program that is subject to section 2435(a) of this title, submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives the baseline description for the program required to be submitted under such section;

"(2) in the case of a program that is not subject to such section—

"(A) establish a baseline description that meets the requirements of such section; and

"(B) submit the baseline description to such committees; and

"(3) request from Congress the authority to obligate funds in a single amount sufficient to carry out the stage for which the baseline description is submitted.

"(c) Milestone Authorization.—Congress shall authorize funds for the full-scale engineering development stage or the full-rate production stage of a program designated by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a) in a single amount sufficient to carry out that stage, but not for a period in excess of five years, if such program is approved by Congress to—

"(1) proceed into or complete the full-scale engineering development stage; or

"(2) proceed into or complete the full-rate production stage.

"(d) Program Deviations.—(1) If the Secretary of Defense receives a program deviation report under section 2435(b) of this title with respect to a defense enterprise program for which funds are authorized under subsection (b)—

"(A) the Secretary of Defense shall notify the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives of the receipt of such report before the end of the 15-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary receives such report; and

"(B) except as provided in paragraph (2), after the end of the 45-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary of Defense receives such report, the Secretary concerned may not obligate amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for purposes of carrying out the program.

"(2) Paragraph (1)(B) does not apply if the Secretary of Defense notifies Congress that the Secretary intends to—

"(A) convene a board to formally review the program; and

"(B) submit to Congress a revised baseline description for the program and the recommendations of the board convened under subparagraph (A) concurrent with the submission by the President of the budget for the next fiscal year under section 1105(a) of title 31.

"(3) The Secretary concerned may not obligate, for the purpose of carrying out a program described in paragraph (1) for which a program deviation report is received, amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for the fiscal year following the fiscal year during which the program deviation report

was received unless such amounts are authorized to be appropriated after the date on which such report was received.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2436 (as inserted by section 905) the following new item:

"2437. Defense enterprise programs: milestone authorization.".

(b) Initial Designation of Participating Programs.—The Secretary of Defense shall designate for fiscal year 1988 not less than three defense enterprise programs to be considered for milestone authorization under subsection (b). The Secretary shall make such designations as part of the budget submission of the Department of Defense for such fiscal year.

SEC. 907. PREFERENCE FOR NONDEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS

(a) In General.—(1) Chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2325. Preference for nondevelopmental items

"(a) Preference.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable—

"(1) requirements of the Department of Defense with respect to a procurement of supplies are stated in terms of—

"(A) functions to be performed;

"(B) performance required; or

"(C) essential physical characteristics;

"(2) such requirements are defined so that nondevelopmental items may be procured to fulfill such requirements; and

"(3) such requirements are fulfilled through the procurement of nondevelopmental items.

"(b) Implementation.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out this section through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, who shall have responsibility for its effective implementation.

"(c) Regulations.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.

"(d) Definition.—In this section, the term 'nondevelopmental item' means—

"(1) any item of supply that is available in the commercial marketplace;

"(2) any previously-developed item of supply that is in use by a department or agency of the United States, a State or local government, or a foreign government with which the United States has a mutual defense cooperation agreement;

"(3) any item of supply described in paragraph (1) or (2) that requires only minor modification in order to meet the requirements of the procuring agency; or

"(4) any item of supply that is currently being produced that does not meet the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), or (3) solely because the item—

"(A) is not yet in use; or

"(B) is not yet available in the commercial marketplace.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2325. Preference for nondevelopmental items.".

(3) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations as required by section 2325(c) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)), before the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) REMOVAL OF IMPEDIMENTS TO ACQUISITION OF NONDEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report—

(A) identifying actions taken, including training of personnel and changes in regulations and procurement procedures, to implement the requirements of section 2325 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1));

(B) identifying all statutes and regulations that are determined by the Secretary to impede the acquisition of nondevelopmental items by the Department of Defense; and

(C) recommending any legislation that the Secretary considers necessary or appropriate to promote maximum procurement of nondevelopmental items to fulfill the supply requirements of the Department of Defense.

(2) The Secretary shall take appropriate steps to remove any impediments identified by the Secretary under paragraph (1)(A) that are under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

(3) The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted before the end of the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) EVALUATION BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL.—(1) The Comptroller General shall conduct an independent evaluation of the actions taken by the Secretary of Defense to carry out the requirements of section 2325 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)).

(2) The Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the evaluation required by paragraph (1). Such report shall include—

(A) an analysis of the effectiveness of the actions taken by the Secretary to carry out the requirements of section 2325 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1));

(B) a description of any programs conducted to notify acquisition personnel of the Department of Defense of the requirements of such section and to train such personnel in the appropriate procedures for carrying out such section;

(C) a description of each law, regulation, and procedure which prevents or restricts maximum practicable use of nondevelopmental items to fulfill the supply requirements of the Department of Defense; and

(D) such recommendations for additional legislation as the Comptroller General considers necessary or appropriate to promote maximum procurement of nondevelopmental items to fulfill the supply requirements of the Department of Defense.

(3) The report required by paragraph (2) shall be submitted before the end of the two-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) DEFINITION.—For the purposes of subsections (b) and (c), the term "nondevelopmental items" has the meaning given such term in

section 2325(d) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)).

SEC. 908. REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO UNDEFINITIZED CONTRACTUAL ACTIONS

(a) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR UNDEFINITIZED CONTRACTUAL ACTIONS.—(1) On the last day of each six-month period described in paragraph (4), the Secretary of Defense (with respect to the Defense Logistics Agency) and the Secretary of each military department shall determine—

(A) the total amount of funds obligated for contractual actions during the six-month period;

(B) the total amount of funds obligated during the six-month period for undefinitized contractual actions; and

(C) the total amount of funds obligated during the six-month period for undefinitized contractual actions that are not definitized on or before the last day of such period.

(2) On the last day of each six-month period described in paragraph (4), the amount of funds obligated for undefinitized contractual actions entered into by the Secretary of Defense (with respect to the Defense Logistics Agency) or the Secretary of a military department during the six-month period that are not definitized on or before such day may not exceed 10 percent of the amount of funds obligated for all contractual actions entered into by the Secretary during the six-month period.

(3) If on the last day of a six-month period described in paragraph (4) the total amount of funds obligated for undefinitized contractual actions under the jurisdiction of a Secretary that were entered into during the six-month period exceeds the limit established in paragraph (2), the Secretary—

(A) shall, not later than the end of the 45-day period beginning on the first day following the six-month period, submit to the defense committees an unclassified report concerning—

(i) the amount of funds obligated for contractual actions under the jurisdiction of the Secretary that were entered into during the six-month period with respect to which the report is submitted; and

(ii) the amount of such funds obligated for undefinitized contractual actions; and

(B) except with respect to the six-month period described in paragraph (4)(A), may not enter into any additional undefinitized contractual actions until the date on which the Secretary certifies to Congress that such limit is not exceeded by the cumulative amount of funds obligated for undefinitized contractual actions under the jurisdiction of the Secretary that are not definitized on or before such date and were entered into—

(i) during the six-month period for which such limit was exceeded; or

(ii) after the end of such six-month period.

(4) This subsection applies to the following six-month periods:

(A) The period beginning on October 1, 1986, and ending on March 31, 1987.

(B) The period beginning on April 1, 1987, and ending on September 30, 1987.

(C) The period beginning on October 1, 1987, and ending on March 31, 1988.

(D) The period beginning on April 1, 1988, and ending on September 30, 1988.

(E) The period beginning on October 1, 1988, and ending on March 31, 1989.

(b) Oversight by Inspector General.—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall—

(1) periodically conduct an audit of contractual actions under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense (with respect to the Defense Logistics Agency) and the Secretaries of the military departments; and

(2) after each audit, submit to Congress a report on the management of undefinitized contractual actions by each Secretary, including the amount of contractual actions under the jurisdiction of each Secretary that is represented by undefinitized contractual actions.

(c) Waiver Authority.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the application of this section for urgent and compelling considerations relating to national security or public safety if the Secretary notifies the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives of such waiver before the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date that the waiver is made.

(d) Establishment of Requirements With Respect to Undefinitized Contractual Actions.—(1)(A) Chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2325 (as added by section 907) the following new section:

“§2326. Undefinitized contractual actions: restrictions

“(a) In General.—The head of an agency may not enter into an undefinitized contractual action unless the request to the head of the agency for authorization of the contractual action includes a description of the anticipated effect on requirements of the military department concerned if a delay is incurred for purposes of determining contractual terms, specifications, and price before performance is begun under the contractual action.

“(b) Limitations on Obligation and Expenditure of Funds.—(1) A contracting officer of the Department of Defense may not enter into an undefinitized contractual action unless the contractual action provides for agreement upon contractual terms, specifications, and price by the earlier of—

“(A) the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date on which the contractor submits a qualifying proposal to definitize the contractual terms, specifications, and price; or

“(B) the date on which the amount of funds obligated or expended under the contractual action is equal to more than 50 percent of the negotiated overall ceiling price for the contractual action.

“(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3), the contracting officer for an undefinitized contractual action may not expend with respect to such contractual action an amount that is equal to more than 50 percent of the negotiated overall ceiling price until the contractual terms, specifications, and price are definitized for such contractual action.

"(3) If a contractor submits a qualifying proposal (as defined in subsection (g)) to definitize an undefinitized contractual action before an amount equal to more than 50 percent of the negotiated overall ceiling price is expended on such action, the contracting officer for such action may not expend with respect to such contractual action an amount that is equal to more than 75 percent of the negotiated overall ceiling price until the contractual terms, specifications, and price are definitized for such contractual action.

"(4) This subsection does not apply to an undefinitized contractual action for the purchase of initial spares.

"(c) INCLUSION OF NON-URGENT REQUIREMENTS.—Requirements for spare parts and support equipment that are not needed on an urgent basis may not be included in an undefinitized contractual action for spare parts and support equipment that are needed on an urgent basis unless the head of the agency approves such inclusion as being—

"(1) good business practice; and

"(2) in the best interests of the United States.

"(d) MODIFICATION OF SCOPE.—The scope of an undefinitized contractual action under which performance has begun may not be modified unless the head of the agency approves such modification as being—

"(1) good business practice; and

"(2) in the best interests of the United States.

"(e) ALLOWABLE PROFIT.—The head of an agency shall ensure that the profit allowed on an undefinitized contractual action for which the final price is negotiated after a substantial portion of the performance required is completed reflects—

"(1) the possible reduced cost risk of the contractor with respect to costs incurred during performance of the contract before the final price is negotiated; and

"(2) the reduced cost risk of the contractor with respect to costs incurred during performance of the remaining portion of the contract.

"(f) APPLICABILITY.—This section does not apply to the Coast Guard or the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

"(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'undefinitized contractual action' means a new procurement action entered into by the head of an agency for which the contractual terms, specifications, or price are not agreed upon before performance is begun under the action. Such term does not include contractual actions with respect to the following:

"(A) Foreign military sales.

"(B) Purchases of less than $25,000.

"(C) Special access programs.

"(D) Congressionally-mandated long-lead procurement contracts.

"(2) The term 'qualifying proposal' means a proposal that contains sufficient information to enable the Department of Defense to conduct complete and meaningful audits of the information contained in the proposal and of any other information that the Department is entitled to review in connection with the contract, as determined by the contracting officer.".

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2325 (as added by section 907) the following new item:

"2326. Undefinitized contractual actions: restrictions.".

(2) Section 2326 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (d)(1)), applies to undefinitized contractual actions that are entered into after the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "undefinitized contractual action" has the meaning given such term in section 2325(g) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (d)(1)).

SEC. 909. COMPETITIVE PROTOTYPE STRATEGY REQUIREMENT FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF REQUIREMENT.—Chapter 139 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2364 (as added by section 234) the following new section:

"§2365. Competitive prototype strategy requirement: major defense acquisition programs

"(a) COMPETITIVE PROTOTYPE STRATEGY REQUIREMENT.—Except as provided in subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense shall require the use of a competitive prototype program strategy in the development of a major weapons system (or a subsystem of such system).

"(b) QUALIFYING STRATEGIES.—An acquisition strategy qualifies as a competitive prototype strategy if it—

"(1) requires that contracts be entered into with not less than two contractors, using the same combat performance requirements, for the competitive design and manufacture of a prototype system or subsystem for developmental test and evaluation;

"(2) requires that all systems or subsystems developed under contracts described in paragraph (1) be tested in a comparative side-by-side test that is designed to—

"(A) reproduce combat conditions to the extent practicable; and

"(B) determine which system or subsystem is most effective under such conditions; and

"(3) requires that each contractor that develops a prototype system or subsystem, before the testing described in subparagraph (B) is begun, submit—

"(A) cost estimates for full-scale engineering development and the basis for such estimates; and

"(B) production estimates, whenever practicable.

"(c) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to the development of a major weapons system (or subsystem of such system) after—

"(1) the Secretary submits to Congress—

"(A) written notification that use of a competitive prototype program strategy is not practicable with respect to such system or subsystem; and

"(B) a report that fully explains why use of such a strategy is not practicable, including cost estimates (and the bases for such estimates) comparing the total program cost

of the competitive prototype strategy with the total program cost of the alternative acquisition strategy; and

"(2) 30 days elapse after the Secretary submits the notification and report required by paragraph (1).

"(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'major weapons system' means a major weapons system that is acquired under a program that is a major defense acquisition program.

"(2) The term 'major defense acquisition program' means a Department of Defense acquisition program that—

"(A) is not a highly sensitive classified program (as determined by the Secretary of Defense); and

"(B) that is estimated by the Secretary of Defense to require an eventual total expenditure for research, development, test, and evaluation of more than $200,000,000 (based on fiscal year 1980 constant dollars).

"(3) The term 'subsystem of such system' means a collection of components (such as the propulsion system, avionics, or weapon controls) for which the prime contractors, major subcontractors, or government entities have responsibility for system integration.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2364 (as added by section 234) the following new item:

"2365. Competitive prototype strategy requirement: major defense acquisition programs.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2365 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)), shall apply to major weapons systems (as defined in subsection (c)(1) of such section) that enter the advanced development stage after September 30, 1986.

SEC. 910. TESTING OF CERTAIN WEAPON SYSTEMS AND MUNITIONS

(a) SURVIVABILITY AND LETHALITY TESTING AND OPERATIONAL TESTING.—(1) Chapter 139 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2365 (as added by section 909) the following new section:

"§2366. Major systems and munitions programs: survivability and lethality testing; operational testing

"(a) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide that—

"(1) a covered system may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production until realistic survivability testing of the system is completed in accordance with this section;

"(2) a major munition program or a missile program may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production until realistic lethality testing of the program is completed in accordance with this section; and

"(3) a major defense acquisition program may not proceed beyond low-rate initial production until initial operational test and evaluation of the program is completed in accordance with this section.

"(b) TEST GUIDELINES.—(1) Survivability and lethality tests required under subsection (a) shall be carried out sufficiently early in

the development phase of the system or program to allow any design deficiency demonstrated by the testing to be corrected in the design of the system, munition, or missile before proceeding beyond low-rate initial production.

"(2) In the case of a major defense acquisition program, no person employed by the contractor for the system being tested may be involved in the conduct of the operational test and evaluation required under subsection (a).

"(3) The costs of all tests required under that subsection shall be paid from funds available for the system being tested.

"(c) Waiver Authority.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the application of the survivability and lethality tests of this section to a covered system, munitions program, or missile program if the Secretary, before the system or program enters full-scale engineering development, certifies to Congress that live-fire testing of such system or program would be unreasonably expensive and impractical.

"(d) Waiver in Time of War or Mobilization.—In time of war or mobilization, the President may suspend the operation of any provision of this section.

"(e) Definitions.—In this section:

~~*"(1)*~~ *The term 'covered system' means a vehicle, weapon platform, or conventional weapon system—*

"(A) that includes features designed to provide some degree of protection to users in combat; and

"(B) that is a major system within the meaning of that term in section 2303(5) of this title.

"(2) The term 'major munitions program' means—

"(A) a munition program for which more than 1,000,000 rounds are planned to be acquired; or

"(B) a conventional munitions program that is a major system within the meaning of that term in section 2302(5) of this title.

"(3) The term 'major defense acquisition program' means—

"(A) a conventional weapons system that is a major system within the meaning of that term in section 2302(5) of this title; and

"(B) is designed for use in combat.

"(4) The term 'realistic survivability testing' means, in the case of a covered system, testing for vulnerability and survivability of the system in combat by firing munitions likely to be encountered in combat (or munitions with a capability similar to such munitions) at the system configured for combat, with the primary emphasis on testing vulnerability with respect to potential user casualties and taking into equal consideration the operational requirements and combat performance of the system.

"(5) The term 'realistic lethality testing' means, in the case of a major munitions program or a missile program, testing for lethality by firing the munition or missile concerned at appropriate targets configured for combat.

"(6) The term 'configured for combat', with respect to a weapon system, platform, or vehicle, means loaded or equipped with all dangerous materials (including all flammables and explosives) that would normally be on board in combat.

"(7) The term 'operational test and evaluation' has the meaning given that term in section 138(a)(2)(A) of this title.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2365 (as added by section 909) the following new item:

"2366. Major systems and munitions programs: survivability and lethality testing; operational testing.".

(b) Effective Date.—Section 2366 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), shall apply with respect to any decision to proceed with a program beyond low-rate initial production that is made—

(1) after May 31, 1987, in the case of a decision referred to in subsection (a)(1) or (a)(2) of such section; or

(2) after the date of the enactment of this Act, in the case of a decision referred to in subsection (a)(3) of such section.

(c) Time for Submission of Annual Report of Director (OT&E).—Subsection (g)(1) of section 138 of such title (as redesignated by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–433)) is amended by striking out "January 15" in the second sentence and all that follows through 'is prepared' and inserting in lieu thereof "10 days after the transmission of the budget for the next fiscal year under section 1105 of title 31".

SEC. 911. GOALS FOR INCREASED USE OF MULTIYEAR CONTRACTING AUTHORITY IN FISCAL YEAR 1988

(a) In General.—The Secretary of Defense shall take appropriate actions to ensure that the Department of Defense increases the use of multiyear contracting authority in fiscal year 1988.

(b) Multiyear Procurement Goal.—The total amount obligated under multiyear contracts (authorized by section 2306(h) of title 10, United States Code) for Department of Defense procurement program eligible for multiyear contracting during fiscal year 1988 should be an amount that is not less than 10 percent of the total obligational authority used by the Department of Defense for procurement programs of the Department during such fiscal year.

(c) Apportionment of Goal.—The Secretary of Defense shall specify the percentage of obligational authority of each military department and Defense Agency that is to be used for multiyear contracts to achieve the goal prescribed in subsection (b).

(d) Baseline Report.—Not later than January 1, 1987, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives a written report which contains—

(1) a list of all procurement programs which the Secretary determines to be procurement programs eligible for multiyear contracting that are subject to the requirements of section 2432 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–433));

(2) the Secretary's assessment of the feasibility and desirability of the multiyear procurement goal prescribed in subsection (b); and

(3) whether the Secretary expects to achieve the goal prescribed in subsection (b) for fiscal year 1988 and, if the Secretary does not expect to achieve such goal, the reasons why such goal will not be achieved.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section the term "procurement program eligible for multiyear contracting" means a procurement program of the Department of Defense—

(1) which satisfies the conditions of clauses (A) through (F) of section 2306(h)(1) of title 10, United States Code; and

(2) under which production of fully configured end items is planned for a period exceeding three fiscal years.

SEC. 912. FEDERALLY FUNDED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 139 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2366 (as added by section 910) the following new section:

"§2367. Use of Federally funded research and development centers

"(a) LIMITATION ON USE OF CENTERS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may not place work with a federally funded research and development center unless such work is within the purpose, mission, and general scope of effort of such center as established in the sponsoring agreement of the Department of Defense with such center.

"(b) EXCEPTION FOR APPLIED SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH.—This section does not apply to a federally funded research and development center that performs applied scientific research under laboratory conditions.

"(c) LIMITATION ON CREATION OF NEW CENTERS.—(1) The head of an agency may not obligate or expend amounts appropriated to the Department of Defense for purposes of operating a federally funded research center that was not in existence before June 2, 1986, until—

"(A) the head of the agency submits to Congress a report with respect to such center that describes the purpose, mission, and general scope of effort of the center; and

"(B) a period of 60 days beginning on the date such report is received by Congress has elapsed.

"(2) In this subsection, the term 'head of an agency' has the meaning given such term in section 2302(1) of this title.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2366 (as added by section 910) the following new item:

"2367. Use of Federally funded research and development centers.".

(b) GAO STUDY.—The Comptroller General shall conduct a study of the national defense role of federally funded research and development centers. Such study shall consider the following:

(1) The effectiveness of procedures in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act in ensuring that such centers are established on the basis of the criteria set forth in Office of Federal Procurement Policy Circular 84-1.

(2) The effectiveness of such procedures in ensuring that work placed with such centers is within the purpose, mission, and general scope of effort of such center as established in the sponsoring agreement with such center.

(3) The growth in the size of such centers during fiscal years 1982 through 1986, measured—

(A) in dollar value of work placed with such centers; and

(B) in man-years of effort required to complete work placed with such centers.

(4) The effect of the exemption of contracts with such centers from the competitive procedures required by section 2304 of title 10, United States Code.

(5) The relationship of such centers to their sponsors.

(c) GAO REPORT.—(1) The Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report on the study required by subsection (b). Such report shall include a discussion of each of the matters listed in subsection (b).

(2) The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

PART B—REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE ACQUISITION PROCESS

SEC. 921. SMALL BUSINESS SET-ASIDES

(a) PROPORTION OF CONTRACTS SET ASIDE DETERMINED ON INDUSTRY CATEGORY BASIS.—Section 15(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(a)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "in each industry category" in paragraph (3) after "Government", and

(2) by adding at the end the following new sentences: "For purposes of clause (3) of the first sentence of this subsection, an industry category is a discrete group of similar goods and services. Such groups shall be determined by the Administration in accordance with the four-digit standard industrial classification codes contained in the Standard Industrial Classification Manual published by the Office of Management and Budget, except that the Administration shall limit such an industry category to a greater extent than provided under such classification codes if the Administration receives evidence indicating that further segmentation for purposes of this paragraph is warranted due to special capital equipment needs or special labor or geographic requirements or to recognize a new industry. A market for goods or services may not be segmented under the preceding sentence due to geographic requirements unless the Government typically designates the area where work for contracts for such goods or services is to be performed and Government purchases comprise the major portion of the entire domestic market for such goods or services and, due to the fixed location of facilities, high mobilization costs, or similar economic factors, it is unreasonable to expect competition from business concerns located outside of the general areas where such concerns are located.".

(b) AWARDING OF CONTRACTS AT FAIR MARKET PRICES.—(1) Section 15(a) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 644(a)) is further amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "A contract may not be awarded under this subsection if the award of the contract would result in a cost to the awarding agency which exceeds a fair market price.".

(2) Section 8(a)(1)(A) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)(1)(A)) is amended by striking out the semicolon at the end and adding in lieu thereof the following: ". A contract may not be awarded under this subsection if the award of the contract would result in a cost to the awarding agency which exceeds a fair market price;".

(c) ASSURANCE AS TO COMPOSITION OF LABOR FORCE.—(1) Section 8(a) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 637(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(14)(A) A concern may not be awarded a contract under this subsection as a small business concern unless the concern agrees that—

"(i) in the case of a contract for services (except construction), at least 50 percent of the cost of contract performance incurred for personnel shall be expended for employees of the concern; and

"(ii) in the case of a contract for procurement of supplies (other than procurement from a regular dealer in such supplies), the concern will perform work for at least 50 percent of the cost of manufacturing the supplies (not including the cost of materials).

"(B) The Administrator may change the percentage under clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (A) if the Administrator determines that such change is necessary to reflect conventional industry practices among business concerns that are below the numerical size standard for businesses in that industry category. A percentage established under the preceding sentence may not differ from a percentage established under section 15(n).

"(C) The Administration shall establish, through public rulemaking, requirements similar to those specified in subparagraph (A) to be applicable to contracts for general and specialty construction and to contracts for any other industry category not otherwise subject to the requirements of such subparagraph. The percentage applicable to any such requirement shall be determined in accordance with subparagraph (B), except that such a percentage may not differ from a percentage established under section 15(n) for the same industry category.".

(2) Section 15 of such Act (15 U.S.C 644) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(o)(1) A concern may not be awarded a contract under this subsection as a small business concern unless the concern agrees that—

"(A) in the case of a contract for services (except construction), the concern will perform at least 50 percent of the cost of the contract with its own employees; and

"(B) in the case of a contract for procurement of supplies (other than procurement from a regular dealer in such supplies), the concern will perform work for at least 50 percent of the cost of manufacturing the supplies (not including the cost of materials).

"(2) The Administrator may change the percentage under subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1) if the Administrator determines that such change is necessary to reflect conventional industry practices among business concerns that are below the numerical size standard for businesses in that industry category.

"(3) The Administration shall establish, through public rulemaking, requirements similar to those specified in paragraph (1) to be

applicable to contracts for general and specialty construction and to contracts for any other industry category not otherwise subject to the requirements of such subparagraph.".

(d) EXPANSION OF ANNUAL PARTICIPATION GOALS.—Section 15(g) of such Act is amended—

(1) by striking out "having values of $10,000 or more" in the first sentence; and

(2) by adding at the end the following: "For the purpose of establishing goals under this subsection, the head of each Federal agency shall make consistent efforts to annually expand participation by small business concerns from each industry category in procurement contracts of the agency, including participation by small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals. The head of each Federal agency, in attempting to attain such participation, shall consider—

"(1) contracts awarded as the result of unrestricted competition; and

"(2) contracts awarded after competition restricted to eligible small business concerns under this section and under the program established under section 8(a).".

(e) DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION CONCERNING APPLICANTS FOR PROCUREMENT SET-ASIDES.—Section 15 of such Act is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(p)(1) Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), the head of any Federal agency shall, within five days of the agency's decision to set aside a procurement for small business concerns under this section, provide the names and addresses of the small business concerns expected to respond to the procurement to any person who requests such information.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense may decline to provide information under paragraph (1) in order to protect national security interests.

"(3) The head of a Federal agency is not required to release any information under paragraph (1) that is not required to be released under section 552 of title 5, United States Code.".

(f) REVIEW OF SIZE STANDARDS.—Section 3 of the Small Business Act is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "SEC. 3. (a)"; and

(2) by adding at the end of subsection (a) the following:

"(2)(A) The Small Business Administration shall establish a program for the review of the size standards for eligibility of business concerns in the industry categories described in subparagraph (B) for a procurement restricted to small business concerns under section 8(a) or 15(a).

"(B) Subparagraph (A) shall apply only to business concerns in the following industry categories:

"(i) Construction.

"(ii) Architectural and engineering services (including surveying and mapping services).

"(iii) Ship building and ship repair.

"(iv) Refuse systems and related services.

"(3) If the Administrator determines, on the basis of any such review, that contracts awarded under the set-aside programs under such sections exceed 30 percent of the dollar value of the total con-

tract awards for that industry category, as determined under the last sentence of section 15(a)(3), the Administrator shall adjust the size standards for such industry category establishing eligibility for a set-aside program to a size that will likely reduce the number of contracts which may be set aside to approximately 30 percent of the value of contracts to be awarded under such sections.

"(4)(A) An interested person may petition the Administrator at any time to review an adjustment to a size standard made under paragraph (3) or any designation of an industry category made under section 15(a)(3) if the petitioner presents credible evidence that any such adjustment or designation—

"(i) is not likely to further the purposes of paragraph (3)(A) or section 15(a); and

"(ii) has caused the petitioner to suffer severe financial loss.

"(B) The Administrator shall render a final determination on any petition filed under subparagraph (A) before the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date that such petition is received by the Administration. Such determination shall be reviewable in the manner prescribed in chapter 7 of title 5, United States Code.

"(C) The Administrator shall prescribe regulations to carry out the provisions of this subsection.

"(5) The Administrator shall conduct a review under the program established under paragraph (2) at least once during every three years. Such review shall be completed and appropriate size standard adjustments made with the expiration of 180 days after each three-year review period.".

(g) EFFECTIVE DATES.—Except as otherwise provided in subsection (h), the amendments made by this section shall take effect on October 1, 1987.

(h) INITIAL REVIEW OF SIZE STANDARDS.—(1) Paragraph (2) of section 3(a) of the Small Business Act (as added by subsection (f)) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The first review conducted by the Administrator under such paragraph shall review the periods beginning on October 1, 1983, and ending on September 30, 1986, and shall be completed not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) If the Administrator of the Small Business Administration determines, on the basis of the review referred to in paragraph (2), that contracts awarded under the set-aside programs under sections 8(a) and 15(a) of the Small Business Act in any industry category subject to that review exceed 30 percent of the dollar value of the total contract awards for that industry category, as determined in accordance with the last sentence of section 15(a)(3) of such Act, the Administrator shall propose adjustments to the size standards for such industry category establishing eligibility for a set-aside program to a size that will likely reduce the number of contracts which may be set aside to approximately 30 percent of the value of contracts to be awarded under such sections. The Administrator shall publish such proposed adjustments in the Federal Register for public comment. The Administrator may not issue final regulations implementing revised size standards for an industry category under section 3(a)(3) of such Act (as added by subsection (f)) until October 1, 1987.

(i) Report on Effect of Amendments Made by This Section.—(1) The Administrator of the Small Business Administration shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and the Committees on Small Business of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the amendments made by this section. The report shall include the Administrator's views on the advisability and feasibility of implementing such amendments.

(2) The report shall also include—

(A) the Administrator's findings and determinations under the review of size standards for businesses that qualify as small businesses carried out pursuant to section 3(a)(2)(B) of the Small Business Act (as amended by subsection (f));

(B) a determination of whether or not the amendments made by subsection (f) will further the interests of the set-aside program; and

(C) recommendations for furthering the interests described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) in a more efficient manner than provided in such amendments.

(3) In preparing the report required by paragraph (1), the Administrator of the Small Business Administration shall seek the views of all affected agencies of the Government and the views of the public, including the views of business concerns of all sizes and of trade, business, and professional organizations. The views of such agencies, and a summary of the views of the public, shall be included in the report.

(4) The report shall be submitted not later than July 15, 1987.

(j) Limitation Respecting Great Lakes Naval Training Center.—Of the total dollar amount of the contracts awarded for fiscal year 1987 for construction and/or refuse systems and related services at Great Lakes Naval Training center, Illinois, not more than 30 percent of such dollar amount may be under contracts awarded through the so-called small business set-aside programs under sections 8 and 15 of the Small Business Act.

SEC. 922. THRESHOLDS FOR CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO SMALL PURCHASES

(a) Small Business Act Notice Thresholds.—Section 8(e)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(e)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking out "$10,000" both places such term appears and inserting in lieu thereof "$25,000";

(B) by striking out "or" at the end of clause (i);

(C) by striking out the comma at the end of clause (ii) and inserting in lieu thereof "; or";

(D) by inserting after clause (ii) the following new clause:

"(iii) solicit bids or proposals for a contract for property or services for a price expected to exceed $10,000, if there is not a reasonable expectation that at least two offers will be received from responsive and responsible offerors,"; and

(E) by striking out "and" at the end;

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph (B):

"(B) an executive agency intending to solicit bids or proposals for a contract for property or services shall post, for a period of not less than ten days, in a public place at the contracting office issuing the solicitation a notice of solicitation described in subsection (f)—

"(i) in the case of an executive agency other than the Department of Defense, if the contract is for a price expected to exceed $10,000, but not to exceed $25,000; and

"(ii) in the case of the Department of Defense, if the contract is for a price expected to exceed $5,000, but not to exceed $25,000; and".

(b) OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROCUREMENT POLICY ACT NOTICE THRESHOLDS.—Section 18(a)(1) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 416(a)(1)(A)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking out "$10,000" both places such term appears in and inserting in lieu thereof "$25,000";

(B) by striking out "or" at the end of clause (i);

(C) by striking out the comma at the end of clause (ii) and inserting in lieu thereof "; or";

(D) by inserting after clause (ii) the following new clause:

"(iii) solicit bids or proposals for a contract for property or services for a price expected to exceed $10,000, if there is not a reasonable expectation that at least two offers will be received from responsive and responsible offerors,"; and

(E) by striking out "and" at the end;

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph (B):

"(B) an executive agency intending to solicit bids or proposals for a contract for property or services shall post, for a period of not less than ten days, in a public place at the contracting office issuing the solicitation a notice of solicitation described in subsection (f)—

"(i) in the case of an executive agency other than the Department of Defense, if the contract is for a price expected to exceed $10,000, but not to exceed $25,000; and

"(ii) in the case of the Department of Defense, if the contract is for a price expected to exceed $5,000, but not to exceed $25,000; and".

(c) SMALL BUSINESS SET-ASIDES.—The first sentence of section 15(j) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644(j)) is amended by striking out "$10,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "25,000".

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Section 8(f) of the Small Business Act is amended by striking out "subsection (e)(1)(A)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (e)(1)".

(2) Section 18(b) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act is amended by striking out "subsection (a)(1)(A)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (a)(1)".

SEC. 923. REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO PROCEDURES OTHER THAN COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES

(a) LIMITED SOURCES.—Section 2304(c)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting "or only from a limited number of responsible sources" after "only one responsible source".

(b) UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS FOR SERVICE.—Subparagraph (A) of section 2304(d)(1) of such title is amended by striking out "a unique and innovative concept" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof "a concept—

"(i) that is unique and innovative or, in the case of a service, for which the source demonstrates a unique capability of the source to provide the service; and

"(ii) the substance of which is not otherwise available to the United States, and does not resemble the substance of a pending competitive procurement; and".

(c) FOLLOW-ON CONTRACTS.—Subparagraph (B) of such section is amended—

(1) by inserting ", or the continued provision of highly specialized services, such property or services may be deemed to be available only from the original source and may be procured through procedures other than competitive procedures" after "highly specialized equipment";

(2) by inserting a one-em dash after "would result in";

(3) by paragraphing clauses (i) and (ii) and aligning their margins so as to be cut in four ems;

(4) by striking out the comma after "competition" at the end of clause (i) and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon; and

(5) by striking out ", such property" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof a period.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—(1) The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to contracts for which solicitations are issued after the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The amendment made by subsection (b) shall apply with respect to contracts awarded on the basis of unsolicited research proposals after the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) The amendments made by subsection (c) shall apply with respect to follow-on contracts awarded after the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 924. EVALUATION FACTORS IN AWARD OF CONTRACTS

(a) EVALUATION FACTORS.—Subsection (a) of section 2305 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)(A)(i)—

(A) by striking out "(including price)";

(B) by inserting "(including price)" after "sealed bids"; and

(C) by inserting "(including cost or price)" after "competitive proposals"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) In prescribing the evaluation factors to be included in each solicitation for competitive proposals, the head of an agency shall clearly establish the relative importance assigned to the quality of *the services to be provided (including technical capability, management capability, and prior experience of the offeror).".*

(b) Conforming Amendment.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by inserting "cost or" in paragraph (4)(B) before "price".

(c) Effective Date.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to solicitations for sealed bids or competitive proposals issued after the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 925. COMPUTATION OF CONTRACT BID PRICES

(a) In General.—Section 2301(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "and" at the end of paragraph (5);

(2) by striking out the period at the end of paragraph (6) and inserting in lieu thereof "; and"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(7) the head of an agency, in issuing a solicitation for a contract to be awarded using sealed-bid procedures, not include in such solitation a clause providing for the evaluation of prices under the contract for options to purchase additional supplies or services under the contract unless the head of the agency has determined that there is a reasonable likelihood that the options will be exercised.".

(b) Effective Date.—Section 2301(a)(7) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), shall not apply to solicitations for sealed bids issued before the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 926. PRICES FOR SPARE OR REPAIR PARTS SOLD COMMERCIALLY

(a) Establishment of Commercial Pricing Requirement.—(1) Section 2323 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§2323. Commercial pricing for spare or repair parts

"(a) Limitation on Price of Commercially Available Parts.—Except in the case of an offer submitted with a written statement under subsection (b)(2) and except as provided in subsection (c), if the head of an agency, using procedures other than competitive procedures, enters into a contract with a contractor for the purchase of spare or repair parts which the contractor also offers for sale to the general public, the price charged the United States for such parts under the contract may not exceed the lowest commercial price charged by the contractor in sales of such parts during a period described in subsection (b)(1).

"(b) Requirements for Inclusion in Offer.—The head of an agency, with respect to an offeror who submits an offer to the head of an agency to enter into a contract for the supply of spare or repair parts under a contract awarded using procedures other than competitive procedures, and who also offers such parts for sale to the general public, shall require that the offeror—

"(1) certify in such offer that, to the best of the knowledge and belief of the offeror, the price proposed in the offer does not exceed the lowest commercial price at which such offeror sold such parts during the most recent regular monthly, quarterly, or other period for which sales data are reasonably available; or

"(2) submit with such offer a written statement—

"(A) specifying the amount of the difference between the price proposed in the offer and the lowest commercial price at which such offeror sold such parts during a period described in paragraph (1); and

"(B) providing a justification for that difference.

"(c) EXCEPTION TO LIMITATION.—Subsections (a) and (b) do not apply in the case of a contract with respect to which the contracting officer includes in the file on the contract a written determination by such officer that the use of the lowest commercial price with respect to such contract is not appropriate because of—

"(1) national security considerations; or

"(2) significant differences between the terms of the commercial sales of the parts to be acquired under such contract and the terms of such contract, including differences in—

"(A) quantity;

"(B) quality;

"(C) delivery requirements; or

"(D) other terms and conditions.

"(d) AUDITING.—(1) In order to verify any certification or statement made under subsection (b) with respect to a contract, the contracting officer who awards such contract (or any representative of the contracting officer who is an employee of the United States or a member of the armed forces), during the time period specified in paragraph (2), may examine and audit all records of sales (including contract terms and conditions) maintained by or for the contractor that are directly pertinent to sales by the contractor of spare or repair parts identical to those covered by the contract during the period covered by such certification or statement.

"(2) The head of an agency shall require an offeror who submits a certification or written statement under subsection (b) to make available the records, books, data, and documents described in paragraph (1) for examination, audit, or reproduction for the purposes of such paragraph during the three-year period beginning on the date that the offeror submits such certification or statement to such head of an agency.

"(3) The authority provided by this subsection is in addition to the authority of the head of an agency under section 2306a of this title.

"(e) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of Transportation and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section. Such regulations may not require the disclosure or submission of any data related to any element underlying the price of a commercial product not otherwise required by law.

"(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'spare or repair part' means any individual piece, part, subassembly, or component which is furnished for the logistic support or repair of an end item and not as an end item itself.

"(2) The term 'lowest commercial price' means the lowest price at which a sale was made to the general public of a par-

ticular part. Such term does not include the price at which a sale was made—

"(A) to any agency of the United States;

"(B) to any person for resale by such person after such person performs a service or function in connection with such part that increases the cost of the part, unless the agency procuring the part can demonstrate that the agency is procuring the part before such service or function has been performed by any such person;

"(C) to a subsidiary, affiliate, or parent business organization of the contractor, or any other branch of the same business entity;

"(D) to any person at a price that, for the purpose of making a donation, has been substantially discounted below the fair market value or regular price of such part; or

"(E) to a customer located outside the United States.

"(g) APPLICABILITY.—This section does not apply to a contract entered into using simplified small purchase procedures established under section 2304(g) of this title.".

(2) The item relating to section 2323 at the beginning of chapter 137 is amended to read as follows:

"2323. Commercial pricing for spare or repair parts.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Regulations prescribed under section 2323(e) of title 10, United States Code (as amended by subsection (a)(1)), shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 927. ALLOCATION OF OVERHEAD TO PARTS TO WHICH CONTRACTOR HAS ADDED LITTLE VALUE

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2304 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(i)(1) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe by regulation the manner in which the Department of Defense negotiates prices for supplies to be obtained through the use of procedures other than competitive procedures, as defined in section 2302(2) of this title.

"(2) The regulations required by paragraph (1) shall—

"(A) specify the incurred overhead a contractor may appropriately allocate to supplies referred to in that paragraph; and

"(B) require the contractor to identify those supplies which it did not manufacture or to which it did not contribute significant value.

"(3) Such regulations shall not apply to an item of supply included in a contract or subcontract for which the price is based on established catalog or market prices of commercial items sold in substantial quantities to the general public.".

(b) DEADLINE.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the regulations required by section 2304(i) of such title (as added by subsection (a)) not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) REPEAL.—Section 1245 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525, 98 Stat. 2609), is repealed.

SEC. 928. CLARIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS TO MARK SUPPLIES TO IDENTIFY SUPPLIERS AND SOURCES

(a) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN COMMERCIAL ITEMS.—Section 2384(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively;

(2) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)";

(3) by inserting "(other than a contract described in paragraph (2))" after "delivery of supplies"; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply to a contract that requires the delivery of supplies that are commercial items sold in substantial quantities to the general public if the contract—

"(A) provides for the acquisition of such supplies by the Department of Defense at established catalog or market prices; or

"(B) is awarded through the use of competitive procedures.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to contracts entered into after the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

PART C—PROCUREMENT PERSONNEL POLICY

SEC. 931. CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST IN DEFENSE PROCUREMENT

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 141 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2397a the following new sections:

"§2397b. Certain former Department of Defense procurement officials: limitations on employment by contractors

"(a)(1) Subject to subsections (c) and (d), a person who is a former officer or employee of the Department of Defense or a former or retired member of the armed forces may not accept compensation from a contractor during the two-year period beginning on the date of such person's separation from service in the Department of Defense if—

"(A) on a majority of the person's working days during the two-year period ending on the date of such person's separation from service in the Department of Defense, the person performed a procurement function (relating to a contract of the Department of Defense) at a site or plant that is owned or operated by the contractor and that was the principal location of such person's performance of that procurement function;

"(B) the person performed, on a majority of the person's working days during such two-year period, procurement functions relating to a major defense system and, in the performance of such functions, participated personally and substantially, and in a manner involving decisionmaking responsibilities, with respect to a contract for that system through contact with the contractor; or

"(C) during such two-year period the person acted as a primary representative of the United States—

"(i) in the negotiation of a Department of Defense contract in an amount in excess of $10,000,000 with the contractor; or

"(ii) in the negotiation of a settlement of an unresolved claim of the contractor in an amount in excess of $10,000,000 under a Department of Defense contract.

"(2) In the application of paragraph (1) to a former officer or employee of the Department of Defense or a former or retired member of the armed forces, a person's status as a contractor shall be determined as of the date of the separation from service in the Department of Defense of the officer or employee or member or former member involved.

"(b)(1) Any person who knowingly violates subsection (a)(1) shall be subject to a civil fine, in an amount not to exceed $250,000, in a civil action brought by the United States in the appropriate district court of the United States.

"(2) Any person who knowingly offers or provides any compensation to another person, and who knew or should have known that the acceptance of such compensation is or would be in violation of subsection (a)(1), shall be subject to a civil fine, in an amount not to exceed $500,000, in a civil action brought by the United States in the appropriate district court of the United States.

"(c) This section does not apply to any person with respect to—

"(1) duties described in clause (A) or (B) of subsection (a)(1) which were performed while such person was serving—

"(A) in a civilian position for which the rate of pay is less than the minimum rate of pay payable for grade GS-13 of the General Schedule; or

"(B) as a member of the armed forces in a pay grade below pay grade O-4; or

"(2) duties described in clause (C) of subsection (a)(1) which were performed while such person was serving—

"(A) in a civilian position for which the rate of pay is less than the minimum rate of pay payable for a Senior Executive Service position; or

"(B) as a member of the armed forces in a pay grade below pay grade O-7.

"(d) This section does not prohibit any person from accepting compensation from any contractor that, during the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year in which such compensation is accepted, was not a Department of Defense contractor or was a contractor under Department of Defense contracts in a total amount less than $10,000,000.

"(e)(1) Any person may, before accepting any compensation, request the appropriate designated agency ethics official to advise such person on the applicability of this section to the acceptance of such compensation. For purposes of the preceding sentence, the appropriate designated agency ethics official is the designated agency ethics official of the agency in which such person was serving at the time such person separated from service in the Department of Defense.

"(2) A request for advice under paragraph (1) shall contain all information that is relevant to a determination by the designated agency ethics official on such request.

"(3) Not later than 30 days after the date on which a designated agency ethics official receives a request for advice under paragraph (1), such official shall issue a written opinion on the applicability of this section to the acceptance of compenstion covered by the request.

"(4) If a designated agency ethics official, on the basis of a complete disclosure as required by paragraph (2), states in a written opinion furnished to any person under this subsection that this section is inapplicable to the acceptance of compensation by such

person from a contractor in a particular case, there shall be a conclusive presumption in favor of such person, for the purposes of this section, that the person's acceptance of such compensation in such case is not a violation of subsection (a)(1).

"(f) In this section:

"(1) The term 'compensation' includes any payment, gift, benefit, reward, favor, or gratuity—

"(A) which is provided, directly or indirectly, for services rendered by the person accepting such payment, gift, benefit, reward, favor, or gratuity; and

"(B) which is valued in excess of $250 at the prevailing market price.

"(2)(A) The term 'contractor' means a person—

"(i) that contracts to supply the Department of Defense with goods or services;

"(ii) that controls or is controlled by a person described in clause (i); or

"(iii) that is under common control with a person described in clause (i).

"(B) Such term does not include—

"(i) an affiliate or subsidiary of a person described in subparagraph (A) that is clearly not engaged in the performance of a Department of Defense contract; or

"(ii) a State or local government.

"(3) The term 'procurement function' includes, with respect to a contract, any function relating to—

"(A) the negotiation, award, administration, or approval of the contract;

"(B) the selection of a contractor;

"(C) the approval of changes in the contract;

"(D) quality assurance, operational and developmental testing, the approval of payment, or auditing under the contract; or

"(E) the management of the procurement program.

"(4) The term 'armed forces' does not include the Coast Guard.

"(5) The term 'major defense system' has the meaning given the term 'major system' in section 2302(5) of this title.

"(g) For the purposes of this section, a person who is a retired member or a former member of the armed forces shall be considered to have been separated from service in the Department of Defense upon the date of the person's discharge or release from active duty.

"§2397c. Defense contractors: requirements concerning former Department of Defense officials

"(a)(1) Each contract for the procurement of goods or services in excess of $100,000 entered into by the Department of Defense shall include a provision under which the contractor agrees not to provide compensation to a person if the acceptance of such compensation by such person would violate section 2397b(a)(1) of this title.

"(2) Such a contract shall also provide that if the contractor knowingly violates a contract provision required by paragraph (1) the contractor shall pay to the United States, as liquidated damages under the contract, an amount equal to the greater of—

"(A) $100,000; or

"(B) three times the amount of the compensation paid by the contractor to the person in violation of such contract provision.

"(b)(1)(A) Any contractor that was awarded one or more contracts by the Department of Defense during the preceding fiscal year in an aggregate amount of at least $10,000,000 that is subject during a calendar year to a contract provision described in subsection (a) shall submit to the Secretary of Defense, not later than April 1 of the next year, a written report covering the preceding calendar year. Each such report shall list the name of each person (together with other information adequate for the Government to identify the person) who—

"(i) is a former officer or employee of the Department of Defense or a former or retired member of the armed forces; and

"(ii) during the preceding calendar year was provided compensation by that contractor, if such compensation was provided within two years after such officer, employee, or member left service in the Department of Defense.

"(B) In the case of each person named in a report submitted under subparagraph (A), the report shall—

"(i) identify the agency in which the person was employed or served on active duty during the last two years of the person's service with the Department of Defense;

"(ii) state the person's job title and identify each major defense system, if any, on which the person performed any work with the Department of Defense during the last two years of the person's service with the Department;

"(iii) contain a complete description of any work that the person is performing on behalf of the contractor; and

"(iv) identify each major defense system on which the person has performed any work on behalf of the contractor.

"(2) A person who knowingly fails to file a report required by paragraph (1) shall be subject to an administrative penalty, not to exceed $10,000, imposed by the Secretary of Defense after an opportunity for an agency hearing on the record pursuant to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense. The determinations of the Secretary shall be included in such record. The determinations of the Secretary shall be subject to judicial review under chapter 7 of title 5.

"(3) The Secretary of Defense shall review each report under paragraph (1) for the purposes of (A) assessing the accuracy and completeness of the report, and (B) identifying possible violations of section 2397b(a)(1) of this title or of a contract provision required by subsection (a). The Secretary shall report any such possible violation to the Attorney General.

"(4) The Secretary shall make reports submitted under this subsection available to any Member of Congress upon request.

"(d) Subsection (g) of section 2397b of this title, and the definitions prescribed in subsection (f) of such section, apply to this section.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 141 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2397a the following new items:

"2397b. Certain former Department of Defense procurement officials: limitations on employment by contractors.
"2397c. Defense contractors: requirements concerning former Department of Defense officials.".

(b) REPEAL.—Section 921 of the Defense Procurement Improvement Act of 1985 (title IX of Public Law 99–145; 10 U.S.C. 2397a note) is repealed.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATES.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), this section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2)(A) The amendments made by this section—

(i) do not preclude the continuation of employment that began before the effective date of this section or the acceptance of compensation for such employment; and

(ii) do not, except as provided in subparagraph (B), apply to a person whose service in the Department of Defense terminates before the effective date of this section.

(B) Subparagraph (A)(ii) does not preclude the application of the amendments made by this section to a person with respect to service in the Department of Defense by such person on or after the effective date of this section.

SEC. 932. PLAN FOR ENHANCEMENT OF PROFESSIONALISM OF ACQUISITION PERSONNEL

(a) DEVELOPMENT OF PLAN.—The Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan for a personnel initiative designed to enhance the professionalism of, and career opportunities available to, acquisition personnel of the Department of Defense.

(b) REQUIREMENTS FOR PLAN.—The plan required to be developed under subsection (a) shall—

(1) include standards for the examination, appointment, classification, training, and assignment of acquisition personnel; and

(2) assess the feasibility and desirability of—

(A) the designation of certain acquisition positions of the Department of Defense as professional positions; and

(B) the establishment of an alternative personnel system that would—

(i) include acquisition positions that are designated as professional positions; and

(ii) include quality of performance as a factor in promotions for persons in such positions.

(c) REPORT.—(1) The Secretary shall submit to Congress a report—

(A) describing the plan developed under subsection (a); and

(B) recommending any changes in existing law that would facilitate the enhancement of the professionalism of, and career opportunities available to, acquisition personnel of the Department of Defense.

(2) The report required by subparagraph (A) shall be submitted not later than April 15, 1987.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The report required by subsection (c) shall be submitted not later than the end of the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 933. EDUCATIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ACQUISITION PERSONNEL

Section 1622(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "attended" and inserting in lieu thereof "completed".

SEC. 934. PLAN FOR COORDINATION OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

(a) COORDINATION PLAN.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing a plan for the coordination of educational programs managed by the Department of Defense for acquisition personnel of the Department.

(b) REQUIREMENTS FOR PLAN.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall provide for—

(1) the education of acquisition personnel of the Department of Defense through programs offered by the Department or through educational courses offered by organizations other than the Department;

(2) the education of acquisition personnel of the Department in various acquisition specialties, including contracting, logistics, quality, program management, systems engineering, production, and manufacturing; and

(3) the elimination of duplication of functions and courses by schools of the Department that provide educational courses for acquisition personnel of the Department.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than the end of the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

PART D—REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

SEC. 941. CODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF PROHIBITION ON PERSONS CONVICTED OF DEFENSE-CONTRACT RELATED FELONIES AND RELATED CRIMINAL PENALTY ON DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 141 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2408. Prohibition on persons convicted of defense-contract related felonies and related criminal penalty on defense contractors

"(a) PROHIBITION.—A person who is convicted of fraud or any other felony arising out of a contract with the Department of Defense shall be prohibited from working in a management or supervisory capacity on any defense contract, or serving on the board of directors of any defense contractor, for a period, as determined by the Secretary of Defense, of not less than one year from the date of the conviction.

"(b) CRIMINAL PENALTY.—A defense contractor shall be subject to a criminal penalty of not more than $500,000 if such contractor is convicted of knowingly—

"(1) employing a person under a prohibition under subsection (a); or

"(2) allowing such a person to serve on the board of directors of such contractor.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2408. Prohibition on persons convicted of defense-contract related felonies and related criminal penalty on defense contractors.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 932 of the Defense Procurement Improvement Act of 1985 (title IX of Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 699) is repealed.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2408 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)), shall apply with respect to employment or service on a board of directors after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 942. PROTECTION OF CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES FROM REPRISAL FOR DISCLOSURE OF CERTAIN INFORMATION

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 141 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2408 (as added by section 941) the following new section:

"§2409. Contractor employees: protection from reprisal for disclosure of certain information

"(a) PROHIBITION OF REPRISALS.—An employee of a defense contractor may not be discharged, demoted, or otherwise discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing to a Member of Congress or an authorized official of the Department of Defense or the Department of Justice information relating to a substantial violation of law related to a defense contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a defense contract).

"(b) INVESTIGATION OF COMPLAINTS.—A person who believes that the person has been subjected to a reprisal prohibited by subsection (a) may submit a complaint to the Inspector General of the Department of Defense. Unless the Inspector General determines that the complaint is frivolous, the Inspector General shall investigate the complaint and, upon completion of such investigation, submit a report of the findings of the investigation to the person, the contractor concerned, and the Secretary of Defense.

"(c) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section may be construed to authorize the discharge of, demotion of, or discrimination against an employee for a disclosure other than a disclosure protected by subsection (a) or to modify or derogate from a right or remedy otherwise available to the employee.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2408 (as added by section 941) the following new item:

"2409. Contractor employees: protection from reprisal for disclosure of certain information.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2409 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)), shall apply with respect to any reprisal action taken on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 943. REVISION OF WORK MEASUREMENT PROVISIONS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 2406 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§2406. Availability of cost and pricing records

"(a) REQUIREMENT.—(1) The head of an agency shall require a contractor under a covered contract with that agency to make available in a timely manner to any authorized representative of the

head of the agency records of the contractor's cost and pricing data described in subsection (b) with respect to work under the covered contract.

"(2) The head of the agency (or the representative of the head of the agency) shall be entitled to have access to records in the form and manner maintained by the contractor.

"(b) COVERED RECORDS.—Records covered by subsection (a) include (for a covered contract and end items under such a contract) the following:

"(1) Work measurement system data (and any revision to such data), including records of labor content expressed in standard hours of work content for—

(A) the contractor's proposal for the contract; and

(B) the contract as negotiated.

"(2) The costs described in subsection (c)—

"(A) as proposed by the contractor;

"(B) as negotiated by the contractor with the head of the agency; and

"(C) as incurred by the contractor.

"(3) Bills of material.

"(c) COVERED COSTS.—Costs referred to in subsection (b)(2) are—

"(1) labor costs;

"(2) material costs;

"(3) subcontract costs;

"(4) overhead costs;

"(5) general and administrative costs; and

"(6) fee or profit.

"(d) NATURE OF RECORDS TO BE MAINTAINED.—Nothing in this section shall require a contractor under a covered contract to—

"(1) collect or maintain additional data not otherwise collected or maintained by the contractor, or

"(2) maintain data in a form or manner different from that in which the contractor maintains such data.

"(e) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section. Such regulations shall specify the period for which records shall be covered by this section, which shall not be less than three years after final payment under the contract to which the records pertain.

"(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'head of an agency' means the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department.

"(2) The term 'covered contract' means a manufacturing contract—

"(A) that is awarded under a major defense acquisition program (as such term is defined in 2432(a) of this title); and

"(B) that is subject to the provisions of section 2306a of this title.

"(3) The term 'work measurement system data' means—

"(A) data generated from time standard setting, time monitoring, and variance analysis; and

"(B) such data described in subparagraph (A) as included in planning, cost estimating, and productivity improvement.

"(4) The term 'authorized representative' means a representative of the head of an agency who is an employee of the United States or a member of the armed forces.".

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 141 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"2406. Availability of cost and pricing records.".

(b) Applicability of Section.—Section 2406 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to—

(1) contracts entered into on or after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) contracts entered into before such date that are not completed before such date.

(c) Deadline for Regulations.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations as required by section 2406(e) of title 10, United States Code (as amended by subsection (a)(1)), not later than the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

PART E—MISCELLANEOUS

SEC. 951. CONTRACTING WITH FIRMS OWNED OR CONTROLLED BY GOVERNMENTS THAT SUPPORT TERRORISM

(a) Consideration of National Interests With Respect to Defense Contracts.—(1) Chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2326 (as added by section 908) the following new section:

"§2327. Contracts: consideration of national security objectives

"(a) Disclosure of Ownership or Control by a Foreign Government.—The head of an agency shall require a firm or a subsidiary of a firm that submits a bid or proposal in response to a solicitation issued by the Department of Defense to disclose in that bid or proposal any significant interest in such firm or subsidiary (or, in the case of a subsidiary, in the firm that owns the subsidiary) that is owned or controlled (whether directly or indirectly) by a foreign government or an agent or instrumentality of a foreign government, if such foreign government is the government of a country that the Secretary of State determines under section 6(j)(1)(A) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. 2405(j)(1)(A)) has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism.

"(b) Prohibition on Entering Into Contracts Against the Interests of the United States.—Except as provided in subsection (c), the head of an agency may not enter into a contract with a firm or a subsidiary of a firm if—

"(1) a foreign government owns or controls (whether directly or indirectly) a significant interest in such firm or subsidiary (or, in the case of a subsidiary, in the firm that owns the subsidiary); and

"(2) such foreign government is the government of a country that the Secretary of State determines under section 6(j)(1)(A) of

the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. 2405(j)(1)(A)) has repeatedly provided support for acts of international terrorism.

"(c) Waiver.—(1)(A) If the Secretary of Defense determines under paragraph (2) that entering into a contract with a firm or a subsidiary of a firm described in subsection (b) is not inconsistent with the national security objectives of the United States, the head of an agency may enter into a contract with such firm or subsidiary after the date on which such head of an agency submits to Congress a report on the contract.

"(B) A report under subparagraph (A) shall include the following:

"(i) The identity of the foreign government concerned.

"(ii) The nature of the contract.

"(iii) The extent of ownership or control of the firm or subsidiary concerned (or, if appropriate in the case of a subsidiary, of the firm that owns the subsidiary) by the foreign government concerned or the agency or instrumentality of such foreign government.

"(iv) The reasons for entering into the contract.

"(C) After the head of an agency submits a report to Congress under subparagraph (A) with respect to a firm or a subsidiary, such head of an agency is not required to submit a report before entering into any subsequent contract with such firm or subsidiary unless the information required to be included in such report under subparagraph (B) has materially changed since the submission of the previous report.

"(2) Upon the request of the head of an agency, the Secretary of Defense shall determine whether entering into a contract with a firm or subsidiary described in subsection (b) is inconsistent with the national security objectives of the United States. In making such a determination, the Secretary of Defense shall consider the following:

"(A) The relationship of the United States with the foreign government concerned.

"(B) The obligations of the United States under international agreements.

"(C) The extent of the ownership or control of the firm or subsidiary (or, if appropriate in the case of a subsidiary, of the firm that owns the subsidiary) by the foreign government or an agent or instrumentality of the foreign government.

"(D) Whether payments made, or information made available, to the firm or subsidiary under the contract could be used for purposes hostile to the interests of the United States.

"(d) Applicability.—This section does not apply to a contract for an amount less than $100,000.

"(2) This section does not apply to the Coast Guard or the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

"(e) Regulations.—The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State, shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section. Such regulations shall include a definition of the term 'significant interest'.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2326 (as added by section 908) the following new item:

"2327. Contracts: consideration of national security objectives.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 503 of the Military Retirement Reform Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-348; 100 Stat. 708) is repealed.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2327 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)(1)), shall apply to contracts entered into by the Secretary of Defense after the end of the 90-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 952. TRUTH-IN-NEGOTIATIONS ACT AMENDMENTS

(a) STRENGTHENING OF PREVENTION OF UNEARNED AND EXCESSIVE CONTRACTOR PROFITS.—Chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2306 the following new section:

"§2306a. Cost or pricing data: truth in negotiations

"(a) REQUIRED COST OR PRICING DATA AND CERTIFICATION.—(1) The head of an agency shall require offerors, contractors, and subcontractors to make cost or pricing data available as follows:

"(A) An offeror for a prime contract under this chapter to be entered into using procedures other than sealed-bid procedures shall be required to submit cost or pricing data before the award of the contract if the price of the contract to the United States is expected to exceed $100,000.

"(B) The contractor for a contract under this chapter shall be required to submit cost or pricing data before the pricing of a change or modification to the contract if the price adjustment is expected to exceed $100,000 (or such lesser amount as may be prescribed by the head of the agency).

"(C) An offeror for a subcontract (at any tier) of a contract under this chapter shall be required to submit cost or pricing data before the award of the subcontract if—

"(i) the price of the subcontract is expected to exceed $100,000; and

"(ii) the prime contractor and each higher-tier subcontractor have been required to make available cost or pricing data under this section.

"(D) The subcontractor for a subcontract covered by subparagraph (C) shall be required to submit cost or pricing data before the pricing of a change or modification to the subcontract if the price adjustment is expected to exceed $100,000 (or such lesser amount as may be prescribed by the head of the agency).

"(2) A person required, as an offeror, contractor, or subcontractor, to submit cost or pricing data under paragraph (1) (or required by the head of the agency concerned to submit such data under subsection (c)) shall be required to certify that, to the best of the person's knowledge and belief, the cost or pricing data submitted are accurate, complete, and current.

"(3) Cost or pricing data required to be submitted under paragraph (1) (or under subsection (c)), and a certification required to be submitted under paragraph (2), shall be submitted—

"(A) in the case of a submission by a prime contractor (or an offeror for a prime contract), to the contracting officer for the contract (or to a designated representative of the contracting officer); or

"(B) in the case of a submission by a subcontractor (or an offeror for a subcontract), to the prime contractor.

"(4) Except as provided under subsection (b), this section applies to contracts entered into by the head of an agency on behalf of a foreign government.

"(5) The head of the agency may waive the requirement under this subsection for a contractor, subcontractor, or offeror to submit cost or pricing data. For purposes of paragraph (1)(C)(ii), a contractor or subcontractor granted such a waiver shall be considered as having been required to make available cost or pricing data under this section.

"(b) Exceptions.—This section need not be applied to a contract or subcontract—

"(1) for which the price agreed upon is based on—

"(A) adequate price competition;

"(B) established catalog or market prices of commercial items sold in substantial quantities to the general public; or

"(C) prices set by law or regulation; or

"(2) in an exceptional case when the head of the agency determines that the requirements of this section may be waived and states in writing his reasons for such determination.

"(c) Authority To Require Cost or Pricing Data.—When cost or pricing data are not required to be submitted by subsection (a), such data may nevertheless be required to be submitted by the head of the agency if the head of the agency determines that such data are necessary for the evaluation by the agency of the reasonableness of the price of the contract or subcontract.

"(d) Price Reductions for Defective Cost or Pricing Data.—(1)(A) A prime contract (or change or modification to a prime contract) under which a certificate under subsection (a)(2) is required shall contain a provision that the price of the contract to the United States, including profit or fee, shall be adjusted to exclude any significant amount by which it may be determined by the head of the agency that such price was increased because the contractor (or any subcontractor required to make available such a certificate) submitted defective cost or pricing data.

"(B) For the purposes of this section, defective cost or pricing data are cost or pricing data which, as of the date of agreement on the price of the contract (or another date agreed upon between the parties), were inaccurate, incomplete, or noncurrent. If for purposes of the preceding sentence the parties agree upon a date other than the date of agreement on the price of the contract, the date agreed upon by the parties shall be as close to the date of agreement on the price of the contract as is practicable.

"(2) In determining for purposes of a contract price adjustment under a contract provision required by paragraph (1) whether, and to what extent, a contract price was increased because the contractor (or a subcontractor) submitted defective cost or pricing data, it shall be a defense that the United States did not rely on the defective data submitted by the contractor or subcontractor.

"(3) It is not a defense to an adjustment of the price of a contract under a contract provision required by paragraph (1) that—

"(A) the price of the contract would not have been modified even if accurate, complete, and current cost or pricing data had been submitted by the contractor or subcontractor because the contractor or subcontractor—

"(i) was the sole source of the property or services procured; or

"(ii) otherwise was in a superior bargaining position with respect to the property or services procured;

"(B) the contracting officer should have known that the cost and pricing data in issue were defective even though the contractor or subcontractor took no affirmative action to bring the character of the data to the attention of the contracting officer;

"(C) the contract was based on an agreement between the contractor and the United States about the total cost of the contract and there was no agreement about the cost of each item procured under such contract; or

"(D) the prime contractor or subcontractor did not submit a certification of cost and pricing data relating to the contract as required under subsection (a)(2).

"(4)(A) A contractor shall be allowed to offset an amount against the amount of a contract price adjustment under a contract provision required by paragraph (1) if—

"(i) the contractor certifies to the contracting officer (or to a designated representative of the contracting officer) that, to the best of the contractor's knowledge and belief, the contractor is entitled to the offset; and

"(ii) the contractor proves that the cost or pricing data were available before the date of agreement on the price of the contract (or price of the modification) and that the data were not submitted as specified in subsection (a)(3) before such date.

"(B) A contractor shall not be allowed to offset an amount otherwise authorized to be offset under subparagraph (A) if—

(i) the certification under subsection (a)(2) with respect to the cost or pricing data involved was known to be false when signed; or

(ii) the United States proves that, had the cost or pricing data referred to in subparagraph (A)(ii) been submitted to the United States before the date of agreement on the price of the contract (or price of the modification), the submission of such cost or pricing data would not have resulted in an increase in that price in the amount to be offset.

"(e) INTEREST AND PENALTIES FOR CERTAIN OVERPAYMENTS.—(1) If the United States makes an overpayment to a contractor under a contract with the Department of Defense subject to this section and the overpayment was due to the submission by the contractor of defective cost or pricing data, the contractor shall be liable to the United States—

"(A) for interest on the amount of such overpayment, to be computed—

"(i) for the period beginning on the date the overpayment was made to the contractor and ending on the date the contractor repays the amount of such overpayment to the United States; and

"(ii) at the current rate prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury under section 6621 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954; and

"(B) if the submission of such defective data was a knowing submission, for an additional amount equal to the amount of the overpayment.

"(2) Except as provided under subsection (d), the liability of a contractor under this subsection shall not be affected by the contractor's refusal to submit a certification under subsection (a)(2) with respect to the cost or pricing data involved.

"(f) RIGHT OF UNITED STATES TO EXAMINE CONTRACTOR RECORDS.—(1) For the purpose of evaluating the accuracy, completeness, and currency of cost or pricing data required to be submitted by this section with respect to a contract or subcontract, the head of the agency, acting through any authorized representative of the head of the agency who is an employee of the United States or a member of the armed forces, shall have the right to examine all records of the contractor or subcontractor related to—

"(A) the proposal for the contract or subcontract;

"(B) the discussions conducted on the proposal;

"(C) pricing of the contract or subcontract; or

"(D) performance of the contract or subcontract.

"(2) The right of the head of an agency under paragraph (1) shall expire three years after final payment under the contract or subcontract.

"(3) In this subsection, the term 'records' includes books, documents, and other data.

"(g) COST OR PRICING DATA DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'cost or pricing data' means all information that is verifiable and that, as of the date of agreement on the price of a contract (or the price of a contract modification), a prudent buyer or seller would reasonably expect to affect price negotiations significantly. Such term does not include information that is judgmental, but does include the factual information from which a judgment was derived.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Subsection (f) of section 2306 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"(f) So-called 'truth-in-negotiations' provisions relating to cost or pricing data to be submitted by certain contractors and subcontractors are provided in section 2306a of this title.".

(2) Section 934(a) of the Defense Procurement Improvement Act of 1985 (title IX of Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 700) is repealed.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The heading of section 2306 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§2306. Kinds of contracts".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 137 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 2306 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"2306. Kinds of contracts.
"2306a. Cost or pricing data: truth in negotiations.".

(d) EFFECTIVE DATES.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), section 2306a of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection

(a)), and the amendment and repeal made by subsection (b), shall apply with respect to contracts or modifications on contracts entered into after the end of the 120-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) Subsection (e) of such section shall apply with respect to contracts or modifications on contracts entered into after November 7, 1985.

SEC. 953. RIGHTS IN TECHNICAL DATA

(a) Rights in Technical Data.—Subsection (a) of section 2320 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(a)(1) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to define the legitimate interest of the United States and of a contractor or subcontractor in technical data pertaining to an item or process. Such regulations shall be included in regulations of the Department of Defense prescribed as part of the Federal Acquisition Regulation. Such regulations may not impair any right of the United States or of any contractor or subcontractor with respect to patents or copyrights or any other right in technical data otherwise established by law.

"(2) Such regulations shall include the following provisions:

"(A) In the case of an item or process that is developed by a contractor or subcontractor exclusively with Federal funds, the United States shall have the unlimited right to—

"(i) use technical data pertaining to the item or process; or

"(ii) release or disclose the technical data to persons outside the government or permit the use of the technical data by such persons.

"(B) Except as provided in subparagraphs (C) and (D), in the case of an item or process that is developed by a contractor or subcontractor exclusively at private expense, the contractor or subcontractor may restrict the right of the United States to release or disclose technical data pertaining to the item or process to persons outside the government or permit the use of the technical data by such persons.

"(C) Subparagraph (B) does not apply to technical data that—

"(i) constitutes a correction or change to data furnished by the United States;

"(ii) relates to form, fit, or function;

"(iii) is necessary for operation, maintenance, installation, or training (other than detailed manufacturing or process data); or

"(iv) is otherwise publicly available or has been released or disclosed by the contractor or subcontractor without restriction on further release or disclosure.

"(D) Notwithstanding subparagraph (B), the United States may release or disclose technical data to persons outside the Government, or permit the use of technical data by such persons, if—

"(i) such release, disclosure, or use—

"(I) is necessary for emergency repair and overhaul; or

"(II) is a release or disclosure of technical data (other than detailed manufacturing or process data) to, or use of such data by, a foreign government that is in the interest of the United States and is required for evaluational or informational purposes;

"(ii) such release, disclosure, or use is made subject to a prohibition that the person to whom the data is released or disclosed may not further release, disclose, or use such data; and

"(iii) the contractor or subcontractor asserting the restriction is notified of such release, disclosure, or use.

"(E) In the case of an item or process that is developed in part with Federal funds and in part at private expense, the respective rights of the United States and of the contractor or subcontractor in technical data pertaining to such item or process shall be agreed upon as early in the acquisition process as practicable (preferably during contract negotiations), based upon consideration of all of the following factors:

"(i) The statement of congressional policy and objectives in section 200 of title 35, the statement of purposes in section 2(b) of the Small Business Innovation Development Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 638 note), and the declaration of policy in section 2 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631).

"(ii) The interest of the United States in increasing competition and lowering costs by developing and locating alternative sources of supply and manufacture.

"(iii) The interest of the United States in encouraging contractors to develop at private expense items for use by the Government.

"(F) A contractor or subcontractor (or a prospective contractor or subcontractor) may not be required, as a condition of being responsive to a solicitation or as a condition for the award of a contract, to sell or otherwise relinquish to the United States any rights in technical data except—

"(i) rights in technical data described in subparagraph (C); or

"(ii) under the conditions described in subparagraph (D).

"(G) The Secretary of Defense may—

"(i) negotiate and enter into a contract with a contractor or subcontractor for the acquisition of rights in technical data pertaining to an item or process developed by such contractor or subcontractor exclusively at private expense if necessary to develop alternative sources of supply and manufacture; or

"(ii) agree to restrict rights of the United States in technical data pertaining to an item or process developed entirely or in part with Federal funds if the United States receives a royalty-free license to use, release, or disclose the data for purposes of the United States (including purposes of competitive procurement).

"(3) The Secretary of Defense shall define the terms 'developed' and 'private expense' in regulations prescribed under paragraph (1).

"(4) For purposes of this subsection, the term 'Federal Acquisition Regulation' means the single system of Government-wide procure-

ment regulations as defined in section 4(4) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(4)).".

(b) VALIDATION OF PROPRIETARY DATA RESTRICTIONS.—Subsections (a) and (b) of section 2321 of title 10, United States Code, are amended to read as follows:

"(a) A contract for supplies or services entered into by the Department of Defense which provides for the delivery of technical data shall provide that a contractor or subcontractor at any tier shall be prepared to furnish to the contracting officer a written justification for any restriction asserted by the contractor or subcontractor on the right of the United States to use such technical data.

"(b)(1) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that there is a thorough review of the appropriateness of any restriction on the right of the United States to release or disclose technical data delivered under a contract to persons outside the Government, or to permit the use of such technical data by such persons. Such review shall be conducted before the end of the three-year period beginning on the date on which final payment is made on a contract under which technical data is required to be delivered, or the date on which the technical data is delivered under such contract, whichever is later.

"(2)(A) If the Secretary determines, at any time before the end of the three-year period beginning on the date on which final payment is made on a contract under which technical data is required to be delivered, or the date on which the technical data is delivered under such contract, whichever is later, that a challenge to a restriction is warranted, the Secretary shall provide written notice to the contractor or subcontractor asserting the restriction. Such a determination shall be based on a finding by the Secretary that reasonable grounds exist to question the current validity of the asserted restriction and that the continued adherence to the asserted restriction by the United States would make it impracticable to procure the item competitively at a later time. Such notice shall—

"(i) state the specific grounds for challenging the asserted restriction;

"(ii) require a response within 60 days justifying the current validity of the asserted restriction; and

"(iii) state that evidence of a validation by the Department of Defense of a restriction identical to the asserted restriction within the three-year period preceding the challenge shall serve as justification for the asserted restriction if—

"(I) the validation occurred after a review of the validated restriction under this subsection; and

"(II) the validated restriction was asserted by the same contractor or subcontractor (or any licensee of such contractor or subcontractor) to which such notice is being provided.

"(B) Notwithstanding subparagraph (A), the United States may challenge a restriction on the release, disclosure, or use of technical data delivered under a contract at any time if such technical data—

"(i) is publicly available;

"(ii) has been furnished to the United States without restriction; or

"(iii) has been otherwise made available without restriction.".

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 1202 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (10 U.S.C. 2301 note), is amended—

(1) by inserting "and" at the end of paragraph (4);

(2) by striking out "; and" at the end of paragraph (5) and inserting in lieu thereof a period; and

(3) by striking out paragraph (6).

(d) DEADLINE FOR REVISION OF REGULATIONS.—(1) Proposed regulations under section 2320(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code (as amended by subsection (a)), shall be published in the Federal Register for comment not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) Proposed final regulations under such section shall be published in the Federal Register not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall apply to contracts for which solicitations are issued after the end of the 210-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 954. RECOVERY OF COSTS TO PROVIDE TECHNICAL DATA

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after section 2327 (as added by section 951) the following new section:

"§2328. Release of technical data

"(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall, if required to release technical data under section 552 of title 5 (relating to the Freedom of Information Act), release technical data to a person requesting such a release if the person pays all reasonable costs attributable to search and duplication.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations, pursuant to notice and receipt of public comment, specifying a uniform schedule of fees under this section.

"(b) DISPOSITION OF COSTS.—An amount received under this section—

"(1) shall be retained by the Department of Defense or the element of the Department of Defense receiving the amount; and

"(2) shall be merged with and available for the same purpose and the same time period as the appropriation from which the costs incurred in complying with requests for technical data were paid.

"(c) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense shall waive the payment of costs required by subsection (a) which are in an amount greater than the costs that would be required for such a release of information under section 552 of title 5 if—

"(1) the request is made by a citizen of the United States or a United States corporation, and such citizen or corporation certifies that the technical data requested is required to enable such citizen or corporation to submit an offer or determine whether it is capable of submitting an offer to provide the product to which the technical data relates to the United States or a contractor with the United States (except that the Secretary may require the citizen or corporation to pay a deposit in an amount

equal to not more than the cost of complying with the request, to be refunded upon submission of an offer by the citizen or corporation);

"(2) the release of technical data is requested in order to comply with the terms of an international agreement; or

"(3) the Secretary determines, in accordance with section 552(a)(4)(A) of title 5, that such a waiver is in the interests of the United States.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2327 (as added by section 971) the following new item:

"2328. Release of technical data.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect at the end of the 90-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 955. COMPARABLE BUDGETING FOR SIMILAR SYSTEMS

(a) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED IN ANNUAL DEFENSE BUDGETS.—In preparing the defense budget for any fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) specifically identify each common procurement weapon system included in the budget;

(2) take all feasible steps to minimize variations in procurement unit costs for any such system as shown in the budget requests of the different armed forces requesting procurement funds for the system; and

(3) identify and justify in the budget all such variations in procurement unit costs for common procurement weapon systems.

(b) ASSISTANT SECRETARY (COMPTROLLER).—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out this section through the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller).

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "defense budget" means the budget of the Department of Defense included in the President's budget submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31 United States Code, for a fiscal year.

(2) The term "common procurement weapon system" means a weapon system for which two or more of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps request procurement funds in a defense budget.

SEC. 956. FUNDING OF PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS SERVING DISTRESSED AREAS

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 2411 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§ 2411. Definitions

"In this chapter:

"(1) The term 'eligible entity' means any of the following:

"(A) A State.

"(B) A local government.

"(C) A private, nonprofit organization.

"(2) The term 'distressed area' means the area of a unit of local government (or such area excluding the area of any defined political jurisdiction within the area of such unit of local government) that—

"(A) has a per capita income of 80 percent or less of the State average; or

"(B) has an unemployment rate that is one percent greater than the national average for the most recent 24-month period for which statistics are available.

"(3) The term 'Secretary' means the Secretary of Defense acting through the Director of the Defense Logistics Agency.

"(4) The terms 'State' and 'local government' have the meaning given those terms in section 6302 of title 31.".

(b) SERVICE AREAS.—Section 2413(b) of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting "sponsor programs to" after "agree to";

(2) by inserting "under such programs" after "cost of furnishing such assistance";

(3) by striking out "an eligible entity that is a distressed entity" and inserting in lieu thereof "a program sponsored by such an entity that provides services solely in a distressed area"; and

(3) by inserting "with respect to such program" before the period.

SEC. 957. SUBCONTRACTOR INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED TO PROCUREMENT OUTREACH CENTERS

(a) CONTRACTORS TO FURNISH INFORMATION.—(1) Chapter 142 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by redesignating section 2416 as section 2417; and

(B) by inserting after section 2415 the following new section:

"§2416. Subcontractor information

"(a) The Secretary of Defense shall require that any defense contractor in any year shall provide to an eligible entity with which the Secretary has entered into a cooperative agreement under this chapter, on the request of such entity, the information specified in subsection (b).

"(b) Information to be provided under subsection (a) is a listing of the name of each appropriate employee of the contractor who has responsibilities with respect to entering into contracts on behalf of such contractor that constitute subcontracts of contracts being performed by such contractor, together with the business address and telephone number and area of responsibility of each such employee.

"(c) A defense contractor need not provide information under this section to a particular eligible entity more frequently than once a year.

"(d) In this section, the term 'defense contractor', for any year, means a person awarded a contract with the Department of Defense in that year for an amount in excess of $500,000.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by striking out the item relating to section 2416 and inserting in lieu thereof the following new items:

"2416. Subcontractor information.
"2417. Regulations.".

(b) Effective Date.—Section 2416 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall take effect on January 1, 1987.

Part F—Miscellaneous Reports

SEC. 961. SELECTED ACQUISITION REPORTS

(a) Revision of Reporting Requirements.—Section 2432 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), is amended—

(1) by adding at the end of subsection (a)(3) the following new sentence: "If for any fiscal year the funds appropriated, or the number of fully-configured end items to be purchased, differ from those programmed, the procurement unit cost shall be revised to reflect the appropriated amounts and quantities.";

(2) by striking out "$2,000,000" in subsection (a)(4) and inserting in lieu thereof "$40,000,000";

(3) by striking out "three-month" in subsection (b)(2)(B) and inserting in lieu thereof "six-month";

(4) by striking out paragraph (2) of subsection (c) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(2) Each Selected Acquisition Report for the first quarter of a fiscal year shall be designed to provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives the information such Committees need to perform their oversight functions. A change in the content of the Selected Acquisition Report for the first quarter of a fiscal year from the content as reported for the first quarter of the previous fiscal year may not be made until appropriate officials of the Department of Defense consult with such Committees regarding the proposed changes.";

(5) by inserting "that is produced at a rate of six units or more per year" in subsection (c)(3)(C) after "report" in the matter preceding clause (i); and

(6) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h)(1) Total program reporting under this section shall apply to a major defense acquisition program when funds have been appropriated for such and the Secretary of Defense has decided to proceed to full-scale engineering development of such program. Reporting may be limited to the development program as provided in paragraph (2) before a decision is made by the Secretary of Defense to proceed to full-scale engineering development if the Secretary notifies the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives of the intention to submit a limited report under this subsection not less than 15 days before a report is due under this section.

"(2) A limited report under this subsection shall include the following:

"(A) The same information, in detail and summarized form, as is provided in reports submitted under subsections (c)(1) and (c)(3) of section 2431 of this title.

"(B) Reasons for any change in the development cost and schedule.

"(C) The major contracts under the development program and the reasons for any cost or schedule variances under those contracts since the last Selected Acquisition Report.

"(D) The completion status of the development program expressed—

"(i) as the percentage that the number of years for which funds have been appropriated for the development program is of the number of years for which it is planned that funds will be appropriated for the program; and

"(ii) as the percentage that the amount of funds that have been appropriated for the development program is of the total amount of funds which it is planned will be appropriated for the program.

"(E) Program highlights since the last Selected Acquisition Report.

"(F) Other information as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

"(3) The submission requirements for a limited report under this subsection shall be the same as for quarterly Selected Acquisition Reports for total program reporting.".

(b) UNIT COST REPORTS.—Section 2433 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by inserting "(excluding Saturdays, Sundays, and legal public holidays)" after "days" both places such term appears in the second sentence; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) Reporting under this section shall not apply if a program has received a limited reporting waiver under section 2432(h) of this title.".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall take effect on January 1, 1987.

SEC. 962. REPORT ON EFFORTS TO INCREASE DEFENSE CONTRACT AWARDS TO INDIAN-OWNED BUSINESSES

(a) REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the efforts by the Department of Defense during fiscal years 1986 and 1987 to increase awards of defense contracts to Indian-owned businesses in accordance with the memorandum of understanding between the Department of Defense and the Small Business Administration of September 29, 1983. Such report shall include, to the maximum extent practicable, any data regarding the number and value of prime contracts awarded by the Department of Defense during such fiscal years to such businesses.

(b) DEADLINE.—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted no later than March 31, 1988.

(c) INDIAN-OWNED BUSINESS DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "Indian-owned business" means a firm owned and controlled by American Indians, including a tribally owned for-profit entity.

SEC. 963. REPORT ON INCREASED GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the actions taken by the Department of Defense during fiscal years 1985 and 1986 to increase contract competition and the national defense industrial base by increasing the partici-

pation in defense contracts of contractors in all geographic areas of the United States.

(2) Such report shall be submitted not later than March 31, 1987.

(b) Contents of Reports.—The report required by subsection (a)(1)—

(1) shall include a description of the use of procurement technical assistance centers, procurement conferences sponsored or supported by the Department of Defense, and any other Department of Defense programs conducted for the purpose of expanding the base of defense contractors; and

(2) shall categorize, by State and other appropriate geographic region, the actions described in the report.

TITLE X—ARMS CONTROL MATTERS

SEC. 1001. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS RELATING TO SALT II COMPLIANCE

(a) Continued Adherence to SALT II Numerical Sublimits.—It is the sense of the Congress that it is in the national security interests of the United States to continue voluntary compliance with the central numerical sublimits of the SALT II Treaty as long as the Soviet Union complies with such sublimits.

(b) Definition.—For purposes of this section, the central numerical sublimits of the SALT II Treaty include prohibitions on the deployment of the following:

(1) Launchers for more than 820 intercontinental ballistic missiles carrying multiple independently-targetable reentry vehicles.

(2) Launchers for an aggregate of more than 1,200 intercontinental ballistic missiles carrying multiple independently-targetable reentry vehicles and submarine-launched ballistic missiles carrying multiple independently-targetable reentry vehicles.

(3) An aggregate of more than 1,320 launchers described in paragraph (2) and heavy bombers equipped for air-launched cruise missiles capable of a range in excess of 600 kilometers.

SEC. 1002. SENSE OF THE CONGRESS ON NUCLEAR TESTING

(a) Findings.—The Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The United States is committed in the Limited Test Ban Treaty of 1963 and in the Non-Proliferation Treaty of 1968 to seek to achieve the discontinuance of all test explosions of nuclear weapons for all time.

(2) A comprehensive test ban treaty would promote the security of the United States by constraining the United States-Soviet nuclear arms competition and by strengthening efforts to prevent the proliferation of nuclear weapons.

(3) The Threshold Test Ban Treaty was signed in 1974 and the Peaceful Nuclear Explosions Treaty was signed in 1976, and both have yet to be considered by the full Senate for its advice and consent to ratification.

(4) The entry into force of the Peaceful Nuclear Explosions Treaty and the Threshold Test Ban Treaty will ensure full implementation of significant new verification procedures and so make completion of a comprehensive test ban treaty more probable.

(5) A comprehensive test ban treaty must be adequately verifiable, and significant progress has been made in methods for detection of underground nuclear explosions by seismological and other means.

(6) At present, negotiations are not being pursued by the United States and the Soviet Union toward completion of a comprehensive test ban treaty.

(7) The past five administrations have supported the achievement of a comprehensive test ban treaty.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that, at the earliest possible date, the President should—

(1) request the advice and consent of the Senate to ratification (with a report containing any plans the President may have to negotiate supplemental verification procedures, or if the President believes it necessary, any understanding or reservation on the subject of verification which should be attached to the treaty) of the Threshold Test Ban and Peaceful Nuclear Explosions Treaties, signed in 1974 and 1976, respectively; and

(2) propose to the Soviet Union the immediate resumption of negotiations toward conclusion of a verifiable comprehensive test ban treaty.

In accordance with international law, the United States shall have no obligation to comply with any bilateral arms control agreement with the Soviet Union that the Soviet Union is violating.

SEC. 1003. REPORT BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF ON UNITED STATES NON-COMPLIANCE WITH EXISTING STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE ARMS AGREEMENTS

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall submit to Congress a report containing a detailed assessment of—

(1) the military consequences to the United States of a policy decision by the United States to discontinue compliance with the major provisions of existing strategic offensive arms limitations agreements (including central numerical sublimits on strategic nuclear delivery vehicles in the SALT II accord) would have on the security of the United States; and

(2) the likely military responses of the Soviet Union to such a policy decision.

(b) MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED.—The assessment required by subsection (a) shall focus on what the likely Soviet military responses would be during the period between 1987 and 1996. In making such assessment, the Chairman shall specifically consider the following:

(1) The effect on the ability of United States strategic forces to accomplish their nuclear deterrent mission (including the effect on the survivability of United States strategic forces and on the ability of United States strategic forces to achieve required damage expectancies against Soviet targets) of any expansion of Soviet military capabilities undertaken in response to a United States decision to abandon compliance with existing strategic offensive arms agreements.

(2) The additional cost to the United States, above currently projected military expenditures for those periods for which such budget projections are available, of research, development, production, deployment, and annual operations and support for

any additional strategic forces required to counter any expansion in Soviet military capabilities undertaken in response to a United States decision to abandon compliance with existing strategic offensive arms agreements.

(3) Under average annual real growth projections in defense spending of 0 percent, 1 percent, 2 percent, and 3 percent, the percent of the annual defense budget in each year between fiscal year 1987 and fiscal year 1996 which would be consumed by increased United States strategic forces needed to counter the Soviet force expansions.

(4) The military effect on United States national security of the diversion the funds identified under paragraph (2) away from nonstrategic defense programs and to strategic programs to counter expanded Soviet strategic capabilities, including the military effect of such a diversion on the ability of United States conventional forces to meet the specific non-nuclear defense commitments of the United States as a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and under the 1960 Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security with Japan.

(5) The military implications for the United States of Soviet violations of offensive arms control agreements that have been determined.

(c) Report Requirements.—(1) The Chairman shall—

(A) include in the report required under subsection (a) the individual views of the other members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff; and

(B) submit such report in both classified and unclassified form.

(2) The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than December 19, 1986.

(e) Restriction on Obligation of Funds.—If the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff fails to submit the report required by subsection (a) before December 20, 1986, no funds may be obligated or expended, directly or indirectly, on or after such date by the Organization of the Joint Chiefs of Staff for any study or analysis to be conducted by a civilian contractor until such report is received by Congress.

SEC. 1004. SENSE OF CONGRESS EXPRESSING SUPPORT FOR A CENTRAL ROLE FOR NUCLEAR RISK REDUCTION CENTERS

(a) Congressional Statements.—The Congress—

(1) has expressed its prior support for the establishment of nuclear risk reduction centers; and

(2) supports the President's willingness to negotiate an agreement with the Soviet Union to establish such centers in each nation.

(b) Sense of Congress.—It is the sense of Congress that if an agreement on nuclear risk reduction centers is signed, the United States center should—

(1) be assigned the responsibility to serve as the center of activity for United States risk reduction activities under the agreement; and

(2) make recommendations to the Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs regarding additional risk reduction arrangements that might be proposed to the Soviet Union.

TITLE XI—MATTERS RELATING TO NATO AND OTHER ALLIES

SEC. 1101. MODERNIZATION OF DEFENSE CAPABILITIES OF COUNTRIES OF NATO'S SOUTHERN FLANK

Chapter 2 of part II of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2311 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"SEC. 516. MODERNIZATION OF DEFENSE CAPABILITIES OF COUNTRIES OF NATO'S SOUTHERN FLANK.

"(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER EXCESS DEFENSE ARTICLES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law and subject to subsection (b), during the fiscal years 1987 and 1988 the President may transfer to those member countries of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) on the southern flank of NATO which are eligible for United States security assistance and which are integrated into NATO's military structure such defense articles as the President determines necessary to help modernize the defense capabilities of such countries. Such articles may be transferred without cost to the recipient countries.

"(b) LIMITATIONS ON TRANSFERS.—The President may transfer defense articles under this section only if—

"(1) the equipment is drawn from existing stocks of the Department of Defense;

"(2) no funds available to the Department of Defense for the procurement of defense equipment are expended in connection with the transfer; and

"(3) the President determines that the transfer of the excess defense articles will not have an adverse impact on the military readiness of the United States.

"(c) NOTIFICATION TO COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.—The President may not transfer defense articles under this section until 30 days after he has notified the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives of the proposed transfer. This notification shall include a certification of the need for the transfer and an assessment of the impact of the transfer on the military readiness of the United States.

"(d) WAIVER OF REQUIREMENT FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF DOD EXPENSES.—Section 632(d) shall not apply with respect to transfers of defense articles under this section.

"(e) DEFINITION.—As used in subsection (a), the term 'member countries of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) on the southern flank of NATO' means Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain, and Turkey.".

SEC. 1102. NATO COOPERATIVE LOGISTIC SUPPORT AGREEMENTS

(a) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may enter into bilateral or multilateral Weapon System Partnership Agreements (as defined in subsection (g)) with one or more governments of other member countries of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization

(NATO) for the purpose of providing cooperative logistics support for the armed forces of the countries which are parties to the agreements. Such agreements may include provision for the transfer of logistics support, supplies, and services by the United States to the NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization and for the acquisition of logistics support, supplies, and services by the United States from such Organization.

(b) AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—Under the terms of a Weapon System Partnership Agreement entered into under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may—

(1) agree that the NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization may make contracts for supply and acquisition of logistics support in Europe for requirements of the United States, to the extent the Secretary determines that the procedures of such Organization governing such supply and acquisition are appropriate; and

(2) share the costs of set-up charges of facilities for use by the NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization to provide cooperative logistics support and share in the costs of establishing a revolving fund for initial acquisition and replenishment of supply stocks to be used by the NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization to provide cooperative logistics support.

(c) SHARING OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Each Weapon System Partnership Agreement shall provide for joint management by the participating countries and for the equitable sharing of the administrative costs incident to such agreement.

(d) APPLICATION OF CHAPTER 137.—Except as provided in this section, the provisions of chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, shall apply to contracts entered into by the Secretary of Defense for the acquisition of logistics support under a Weapon System Partnership Agreement.

(e) APPLICATION OF ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT.—The transfer of defense articles or defense services to member countries of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization and the NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization for the purposes of a Weapon System Partnership Agreement shall be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2751 et seq.).

(f) SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary of Defense under this section is in addition to the authority of the Secretary under chapter 138 of title 10, United States Code, and any other provision of law.

(g) DEFINITION.—For the purpose of this section, the term "Weapon System Partnership Agreement" means an agreement between the United States and one or more other NATO countries participating in the operation of the NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization that—

(1) is entered into pursuant to the terms of the charter of the NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization; and

(2) is for the common logistic support of a specific weapon system common to the participating countries.

SEC. 1103. COOPERATIVE PROJECTS

(a) ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT.—(1) Section 27 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2767) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)—

(i) by inserting ", in the case of an agreement with the North Atlantic Treaty Organization or with one or more member countries of that Organization," after "project' " in paragraph (1);

(ii) by striking out "and" at the end of paragraph (1);

(iii) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(iv) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

"(2) the term 'cooperative project', in the case of an agreement entered into under subsection (j), means a jointly managed arrangement, described in a written agreement among the parties, which is undertaken in order to enhance the ongoing multinational effort of the participants to improve the conventional defense capabilities of the participants and which provides—

"(A) for one or more of the other participants to share with the United States the costs of research on and development, testing, evaluation, or joint production (including follow-on support) of certain defense articles;

"(B) for concurrent production in the United States and in the country of another participant of a defense article jointly developed in accordance with subparagraph (A); or

"(C) for procurement by the United States of a defense article or defense service from another participant to the agreement; and";

(B) in subsection (f)(3), by inserting after "Government" the following: ", including an estimate of the costs as a result of waivers of section 21(e)(1)(A) and 43(b) of this Act,";

(C) in subsection (g), by striking out "Section" and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "In the case of a cooperative project with a North Atlantic Treaty Organization country, section,"; and

(D) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(j)(1) The President may enter into a cooperative project agreement with any friendly foreign country not a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization under the same general terms and conditions as the President is authorized to enter into such an agreement with one or more member countries of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization if the President determines that the cooperative project agreement with such country would be in the foreign policy or national security interests of the United States.

"(2) Not later than January 1 of each year, the President shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate and to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report specifying (A) the countries eligible for participation in such a cooperative project agreement under this subsection, and (B) the criteria used to determine the eligibility of such countries.".

(2) The heading for such section is amended to read as follows: "AUTHORITY OF PRESIDENT TO ENTER INTO COOPERATIVE PROJECTS WITH FRIENDLY FOREIGN COUNTRIES.—".

(b) TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—(1) Section 2407 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out "North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO)" in subsection (a)(1);

(B) by striking out "NATO" in subsection (c)(2); and

(C) by striking out "NATO" each place it appears in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (e).

(2)(A) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

"§2407. Acquisition of defense equipment under cooperative projects".

(B) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 141 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"2407. Acquisition of defense equipment under cooperative projects.".

SEC. 1104. ACQUISITION AND CROSS-SERVICING AGREEMENTS

(a) AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS WITH COUNTRIES OUTSIDE EUROPE.—Sections 2341 and 2342 of title 10, United States Code, are amended to read as follows:

"§2341. Authority to acquire logistic support, supplies, and services for elements of the armed forces deployed outside the United States

"Subject to section 2343 of this title and subject to the availability of appropriations, the Secretary of Defense may—

"(1) acquire from the Governments of North Atlantic Treaty Organization countries and from North Atlantic Treaty Organization subsidiary bodies logistic support, supplies, and services for elements of the armed forces deployed in Europe and adjacent waters; and

"(2) acquire from any government not a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization in which elements of the armed forces are deployed (or are to be deployed) logistic support, supplies, and services for elements of the armed forces deployed (or to be deployed) in such country or in the military region in which such country is located if that country—

"(A) has a defense alliance with the United States;

"(B) permits the stationing of members of the armed forces in such country or the homeporting of naval vessels of the United States in such country;

"(C) has agreed to preposition materiel of the United States in such country; or

"(D) serves as the host country to military exercises which include elements of the armed forces or permits other military operations by the armed forces in such country.

"§2342. Cross servicing agreements

"(a) Subject to section 2343 of this title and to the availability of appropriations, and after consultation with the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Defense may enter into any of the following agreements:

"(1) An agreement with the government of a North Atlantic Treaty Organization country (or with a North Atlantic Treaty Organization subsidiary body) under which—

"(A) the United States agrees to provide logistic support, supplies, and services to military forces of such country (or

subsidiary body) deployed in Europe and adjacent waters, in return for

"(B) the reciprocal provision of logistic support, supplies, and services by such country (or subsidiary body) to elements of the armed forces deployed in Europe and adjacent waters.

"(2) An agreement with the government of a country designated by the Secretary of Defense which is not a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization under which—

"(A) the United States agrees to provide logistic support, supplies, and services to the military forces of such country, in return for

"(B) the reciprocal provision of logistic support, supplies, and services by such country to elements of the armed forces deployed in such country or in the military region in which such country is located.

"(3) An agreement with the government of a country referred to in paragraph (1) or (2) under which—

"(A) the United States agrees to provide logistic support, supplies, and services to the military forces of such country, in return for

"(B) the reciprocal provision of support, supplies, and services for the armed forces from such country while the military forces of such country are stationed in North America or are performing military exercises or training in North America.

"(b) The Secretary of Defense may not designate a country for an agreement under this section—

"(1) unless the Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of State, determines that the designation of such country for such purpose is in the interest of the national security of the United States; and

"(2) in the case of a country which is not a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, notifies the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives at least 30 days before the date on which such country is designated by the Secretary under subsection (a).

"(c) The Secretary of Defense may not use the authority of this chapter to procure from any foreign government as a routine or normal source any goods or services reasonably available from United States commercial sources.

"(d) The Secretary shall prescribe regulations to ensure that contracts entered into under this chapter are free from self-dealing, bribery, and conflict of interests.".

(b) METHODS OF PAYMENT.—Section 2344(b) of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting "or other foreign country" after "country" in the material preceding subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1); and

(2) by inserting "or other foreign country" in paragraph (3) after "country".

(c) LIQUIDATION OF ACCRUED CREDITS AND LIABILITIES.—Section 2345 of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a)" before "Credits" at the beginning of such section; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(b) Payment-in-kind or exchange entitlements accrued as a result of acquisitions and transfers of logistic support, supplies, and services under authority of this chapter shall be satisfied within 12 months after the date of the delivery of the logistic support, supplies, or services.".

(d) LIMITATIONS ON AMOUNTS THAT MAY BE OBLIGATED OR ACCRUED BY THE UNITED STATES.—(1) Subsection (a) of section 2347 of such title is amended—

(A) by inserting "(1)" after "(a)"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) Except during a period of active hostilities in the military region affecting a country which is not a member of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, but with which the United States has one or more acquisition or cross-servicing agreements, the total amount of reimbursable liabilities that the United States may accrue under this chapter (before the computation of offsetting balances) with such country may not exceed $10,000,000 in any fiscal year, and of such amount not more than $2,500,000 in liabilities may be accrued for the acquisition of supplies (other than petroleum, oils, and lubricants). The $10,000,000 limitation specified in this paragraph is in addition to the limitation specified in paragraph (1).".

(2) Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(A) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) Except during a period of active hostilities in the military region affecting a country referred to in paragraph (1), the total amount of reimbursable credits that the United States may accrue under this chapter from such country (before computation of offsetting balances) may not exceed $10,000,000 in any fiscal year. Such limitation specified in this paragraph is in addition to the limitation specified in paragraph (1).".

(e) INVENTORIES OF SUPPLIES NOT TO BE INCREASED.—Section 2348 of such title is amended by striking out "to military forces of any North Atlantic Treaty Organization country or any North Atlantic Treaty Organization subsidiary body".

(f) DEFINITION.—Section 2350 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) 'Military region' means the geographical area of responsibility assigned to the commander of a unified combatant command (excluding Europe and adjacent waters).".

(g) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 2341 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 138 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"2341. Authority to acquire logistic support, supplies, and services for elements of the armed forces deployed outside the United States.".

SEC. 1105. COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT WITH MAJOR NON-NATO ALLIES

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds—

(1) that the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Cooperative Research and Development program instituted during fiscal year 1986 has served to increase cooperation in research and development among member countries of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization; and

(2) that additional benefits of cooperation in research and development might ensue from an extension of the program to include major non-NATO allies of the United States.

(b) CONGRESSIONAL REQUEST FOR COOPERATION ON RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.—The Congress urges and requests the President and the Secretary of Defense to pursue diligently opportunities for the United States and major non-NATO allies of the United States to cooperate—

(1) in research and development on defense equipment and munitions; and

(2) in the production of defense equipment.

(c) FUNDS FOR COOPERATIVE PROJECTS.—Of the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorizations of appropriations in section 201, up to $40,000,000 shall be available for cooperative research and development projects with major non-NATO allies.

(d) RESTRICTIONS.—(1) A memorandum of understanding (or other formal agreement) to conduct a cooperative research and development project under this section may not be entered into unless the Secretary of Defense determines that the proposed project enhances the ongoing multinational effort to improve conventional defense capabilities through the application of emerging technology.

(2) Each such cooperative project shall require sharing of the costs of research between the participants on an equitable basis.

(3) The Secretary may not delegate the authority to make a determination under paragraph (1) except to the Deputy Secretary of Defense or the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition.

(e) RESTRICTIONS ON PROCUREMENT OF EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES.—(1) In order to assure substantial participation on the part of major non-NATO allies in cooperative research and development projects, funds made available under subsection (c) for such projects may not be used to procure equipment or services from any foreign government, foreign research organization, or other foreign entity.

(2) A major non-NATO ally may not use any United States military or economic assistance grants, loans, or other funds for the purpose of making its contribution to a cooperative research and development program entered into with the United States under this section.

(f) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—Not later than January 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall jointly submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate and to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report—

(1) enumerating those countries eligible for participation with the United States in a cooperative research and development program authorized by this section; and

(2) specifying the criteria used in determining eligibility for the participation of such countries.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

(1) The term "major non-NATO ally" means a country designated as a major non-NATO ally for the purposes of this section by the Secretary of Defense with the concurrence of the Secretary of State.

(2) The term "cooperative research and development project" means a project involving joint participation by the United States and one or more major non-NATO allies under a memorandum of understanding (or other formal agreement) to carry out a joint research and development program—

(A) to develop new conventional equipment and munitions; or

(B) to modify existing military equipment to meet United States military requirements.

TITLE XII—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

PART A—MANAGEMENT OF CERTAIN PROCUREMENT MATTERS

SEC. 1201. CONTRACTS FOR OVERHAUL, REPAIR, AND MAINTENANCE OF NAVAL VESSELS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 7299a of title 10, United States Code (relating to construction of combatant and escort vessels and assignment of naval vessel projects), is amended by adding at the end the following new subsections:

"(c) In evaluating bids or proposals for a contract for the overhaul, repair, or maintenance of a naval vessel, the Secretary of the Navy shall, in determining the cost or price of work to be performed in an area outside the area of the homeport of the vessel, consider foreseeable costs of moving the vessel and its crew from the homeport to the outside area and from the outside area back to the homeport at the completion of the contract.

"(d)(1) Notwithstanding subsections (b) and (c), the Secretary may award a contract for short-term work for the overhaul, repair, or maintenance of a naval vessel only to a contractor that is able to perform the work at the homeport of the vessel, if the Secretary determines that adequate competition is available among firms able to perform the work at the homeport of the vessel.

"(2) In this subsection, the term 'short-term work' means work that will be for a period of six months or less.".

(b) REPEAL OF LIMITATION ON FY86 FUNDS.—Section 8104 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1986 (as contained in section 101(b) of Public Law 99-190 (99 Stat. 1221)), is repealed.

SEC. 1202. HANDLING OF HAZARDOUS WASTE GENERATED DURING REPAIR OR MAINTENANCE OF NAVAL VESSELS

(a) REQUIRED CONTRACT PROVISIONS.—Chapter 633 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§7311. Repair or maintenance of naval vessels: handling of hazardous waste

"(a) CONTRACTUAL PROVISIONS.—The Secretary of the Navy shall ensure that a contract entered into for repair or maintenance of a naval vessel includes the following provisions:

"(1) IDENTIFICATION OF HAZARDOUS WASTES.—Provisions identifying the type and amounts of hazardous wastes that are expected to be generated during the performance of the repair or maintenance.

"(2) COMPENSATION.—Provisions specifying that the contractor shall be compensated under the contract for work performed by the contractor for duties of the contractor specified under paragraph (3).

"(3) STATEMENT OF WORK.—Provisions mutually acceptable to the Navy and the contractor specifying the responsibilities of the Navy and of the contractor, respectively, for the removal, handling, storage, transportation, and disposal of hazardous wastes generated during the performance of the repair or maintenance.

"(b) RENEGOTIATION OF CONTRACT.—The Secretary of the Navy shall renegotiate a contract described in subsection (a) if—

"(1) the contractor, during the performance of repair or maintenance under the contract, discovers hazardous wastes different in type or amount from those identified in the contract; and

"(2) such hazardous wastes originated on the naval vessel on which the repair or maintenance is being performed.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"7311. Repair or maintenance of naval vessels: handling of hazardous waste.".

SEC. 1203. LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OF CERTAIN TECHNICAL DATA PACKAGES

(a) TECHNICAL DATA PACKAGES FOR PRODUCTION OF LARGE-CALIBER CANNON.—(1) Chapter 433 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§4542. Technical data packages for large-caliber cannon: prohibition on transfers to foreign countries; exception

"(a) GENERAL RULE.—Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense may not be used—

"(1) to transfer to a foreign country a technical data package for a defense item being manufactured or developed in an arsenal; or

"(2) to assist a foreign country in producing such a defense item.

"(b) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary of the Army may use funds appropriated to the Department of Defense to transfer a technical data package, or to provide assistance, described in subsection (a) if—

"(1) the transfer or provision of assistance is to a friendly foreign country (as determined by the Secretary of Defense in consultation with the Secretary of State);

"(2) the Secretary of the Army determines that such action—

"(A) would have a clear benefit to the preservation of the production base for the production of cannon at the arsenal concerned; and

"(B) would not transfer technology (including production techniques) considered unique to the arsenal concerned; and

"(3) the Secretary of Defense enters into an agreement with the country concerned described in subsection (c).

"(c) COPRODUCTION AGREEMENTS.—An agreement under this subsection shall be in the form of a Government-to-Government Memorandum of Understanding and shall include provisions that—

"(1) prescribe the content of the technical data package or assistance to be transferred to the foreign country participating in the agreement;

"(2) require that production by the participating foreign country of the defense item to which the technical data package or assistance relates be shared with the arsenal concerned;

"(3) subject to such exceptions as may be approved under subsection (d), prohibit transfer by the participating foreign country to a third party or country of—

"(A) any defense article, technical data package, technology, or assistance provided by the United States under the agreement; and

"(B) any defense article produced by the participating foreign country under the agreement; and

"(4) require the Secretary of Defense to monitor compliance with the agreement and the participating foreign country to report periodically to the Secretary of Defense concerning the agreement.

"(d) TRANSFERS TO THIRD PARTIES.—A transfer described in subsection (b)(3) may be made if—

"(1) the defense article, technical data package, or technology to be transferred is a product of a cooperative research and development program in which the United States and the participating foreign country were partners; or

"(2) the President—

"(A) complies with all requirements of section 3(d) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2753(d)) with respect to such transfer; and

"(B) certifies to Congress, before the transfer, that the transfer would provide a clear benefit to the production base of the United States for large-caliber cannon.

"(e) NOTICE AND REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—(1) The Secretary of the Army shall submit to Congress a notice of each agreement entered into under this section.

"(2) The Secretary shall submit to Congress a semi-annual report on the operation of this section and of agreements entered into under this section.

"(f) ARSENAL DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'arsenal' means a Government-owned, Government-operated defense plant that manufactures large-caliber cannon.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"4542. Technical data packages for large-caliber cannon: prohibition on transfers to foreign countries; exception.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 4542 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to funds appropriated for fiscal years after fiscal year 1986.

SEC. 1204. REQUIREMENTS CONCERNING TRANSPORTATION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES BY CHARTERED AIRCRAFT

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 157 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2640. Charter air transportation of members of the armed forces

"(a) REQUIREMENTS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may not enter into a contract with an air carrier for the charter air transportation of members of the armed forces unless the air carrier—

"(A) meets, at a minimum, the safety standards established by the Secretary of Transportation under title VI of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. App. 1421 et seq.);

"(B) has at least 12 months of experience operating services in air transportation that are substantially equivalent to the service sought by the Department of Defense; and

"(C) undergoes a technical safety evaluation.

"(2) For purposes of paragraph (1)(C), a technical safety evaluation—

"(A) shall include inspection of a representative number of aircraft; and

"(B) shall be conducted in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of Transportation.

"(b) INSPECTIONS.—The Secretary shall provide for inspections of each air carrier that contracts with the Department of Defense for the charter air transportation of members of the armed forces. The inspections shall be conducted in accordance with standards established by the Secretary, after consultation with the Secretary of Transportation, and shall include, at a minimum, the following:

"(1) An on-site capability survey of the air carrier conducted at least once every two years.

"(2) A performance evaluation of the air carrier conducted at least once every six months.

"(3) A preflight safety inspection of each aircraft conducted at any time during the operation of, but not more than 72 hours before, each internationally scheduled charter mission departing the United States.

"(4) A preflight safety inspection of each aircraft used for domestic charter missions conducted to the greatest extent practical.

"(5) Operational check-rides on aircraft conducted periodically.

"(c) COMMERCIAL AIRLIFT REVIEW BOARD.—The Secretary shall establish a Commercial Airlift Review Board within the Department of Defense. The Board shall consist of personnel from the Department of Defense and other Government personnel as may be appropriate. The duties of the Board shall be—

"(1) to make recommendations to the Secretary on suspension and reinstatement of air carriers under subsection (d);

"(2) to make recommendations to the Secretary on waivers under subsection (g); and

"(3) to carry out such other duties and make recommendations on such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

"(d) Suspension and Reinstatement.—(1) The Secretary shall establish guidelines for the suspension of air carriers under contract with the Department of Defense for the charter air transportation of members of the armed forces and for the reinstatement of air carriers that have been so suspended. The guidelines—

"(A) shall require the immediate determination of whether to suspend an air carrier if an aircraft of the air carrier is involved in a fatal accident; and

"(B) may require the suspension of an air carrier—

"(i) if the carrier is in violation of any order, rule, regulation, or standard prescribed under title VI of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. App. 1421 et seq.); or

"(ii) if an aircraft of the air carrier is involved in a serious accident.

"(2) The Commercial Airlift Review Board shall make recommendations to the Secretary on suspension and reinstatement under this subsection.

"(3) The Secretary shall include in each contract subject to this section the provisions on suspension and reinstatement established under this subsection.

"(e) Authority To Leave Unsafe Aircraft.—A representative of the Military Airlift Command, the Military Traffic Management Command, or such other agency as may be designated by the Secretary of Defense (or if there is no such representative reasonably available, the senior officer on board a chartered aircraft) may order members of the armed forces to leave a chartered aircraft if the representative (or officer) determines that a condition exists on the aircraft which may endanger the safety of the members.

"(f) FAA Information.—The Secretary shall request the Secretary of Transportation to provide to the Secretary a report on each inspection performed by Federal Aviation Administration personnel, and the status of corrective actions taken, on each aircraft of an air carrier under contract with the Department of Defense for the charter air transportation of members of the armed forces.

"(g) Waiver.—After considering recommendations by the Commercial Airlift Review Board, the Secretary may waive any provision of this section in an emergency.

"(h) Regulations.—The Secretary shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section, including requirements and identification of inspecting personnel with respect to preflight safety inspections required by subsection (b)(3).

"(i) Definitions.—In this section:

"(1) The terms 'air carrier', 'aircraft', 'air transportation', and 'charter air transportation' have the meanings given such terms by sections 101(3), 101(5), 101(10), and 101(15), respectively, of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. App. 1301(3), 1301(5), 1301(10), and 1301(15)).

"(2) The term 'members of the armed forces' means members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2640. Charter air transportation of members of the armed forces.".

(b) DEADLINE FOR REGULATIONS.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the regulations required by section 2640 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2640 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply only to contracts which are entered into on or after the date on which the regulations required by subsection (b) are prescribed.

SEC. 1205. FUEL SOURCES FOR HEATING SYSTEMS ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS; PROHIBITION ON CONVERTING CERTAIN HEATING FACILITIES

(a) REQUIREMENT AND RESTRICTION.—(1) Section 2690 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"§2690. Fuel sources for heating systems; prohibition on converting certain heating facilities

"(a)(1) The Secretary of the military department concerned shall provide that the primary fuel source to be used in any new heating system constructed on lands under the jurisdiction of the military department is the most cost effective fuel for that heating system over the life cycle of the system.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations for the determination of the life-cycle cost effectiveness of a fuel for the purposes of paragraph (1).

"(b) The Secretary of a military department may not convert a heating facility at a United States military installation in Europe from a coal-fired facility to an oil-fired facility, or to any other energy source facility, unless the Secretary—

"(1) determines that the conversion (A) is required by the government of the country in which the facility is located, or (B) is cost effective over the life cycle of the facility; and

"(2) submits to Congress notification of the proposed conversion and a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which Congress receives the notice.".

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 159 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"2690. Fuel sources for heating systems.".

(b) REPEAL OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS.—Sections 8022, 8070, and 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1986 (as contained in section 101(b) of Public Law 99-190; 99 Stat. 1207, 1214, and 1222), are repealed.

SEC. 1206. REVIEW OF THE SECURITY ADMINISTRATION IN DEFENSE INDUSTRY OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAMS

(a) REVIEW.—The Secretary of Defense shall direct the Director of the Defense Investigative Service to conduct a review of the security administration of Department of Defense special access programs at all Department of Defense contractors involved in such programs. The review shall include a review of the frequency and adequacy of security inspections of such contractors conducted by the Department of Defense.

(b) REPORT.—(1) The Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report setting forth the results of the review under subsection (a).

(2) The report shall—

(A) identify any shortcoming found to exist in security administration of Department of Defense special access programs at Department of Defense contractors involved in such programs and the actions being taken to correct each such shortcoming;

(B) include recommendations for improvement of Department of Defense oversight of special access programs, if the Secretary considers such improvement necessary; and

(C) include recommendations for such legislation as the Secretary determines is required to correct such deficiencies.

(3) The report shall be submitted in an unclassified form. It shall be submitted not later than May 1, 1987.

(c) DIS SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS.—After consulting with the Secretary of Defense, the Director of the Defense Investigative Service may conduct such security inspections of special access programs as the Director considers appropriate, unless otherwise directed by the Secretary of Defense.

SEC. 1207. CONTRACT GOAL FOR MINORITIES

(a) GOAL.—Except as provided in subsection (d), a goal of 5 percent of the amount described in subsection (b) shall be the objective of the Department of Defense in each of fiscal years 1987, 1988, and 1989 for the total combined amount obligated for contracts and subcontracts entered into with—

(1) small business concerns, including mass media, owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals (as defined by section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)) and regulations issued under such section), the majority of the earnings of which directly accrue to such individuals;

(2) historically Black colleges and universities; or

(3) minority institutions (as defined by the Secretary of Education pursuant to the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1221 et seq.)).

(b) AMOUNT.—The requirements of subsection (a) for any fiscal year apply to the combined total of the following amounts:

(1) Funds obligated for contracts entered into with the Department of Defense for such fiscal year for procurement.

(2) Funds obligated for contracts entered into with the Department of Defense for such fiscal year for research, development, test, and evaluation.

(3) Funds obligated for contracts entered into with the Department of Defense for such fiscal year for military construction.

(4) Funds obligated for contracts entered into with the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance.

(c) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—To attain the goal of subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall provide technical assistance services to potential contractors described in subsection (a). Such technical assistance shall include information about the program, advice about Department of Defense procurement procedures, instruction in *preparation of proposals, and other such assistance as the Secretary considers appropriate. If Department of Defense resources are inadequate to provide such assistance, the Secretary of Defense may enter into contracts with minority private sector entities with experience and expertise in the design, development, and delivery of technical assistance services to eligible individuals, business firms and institutions, defense acquisition agencies, and defense prime contractors. Department of Defense contracts with such entities shall be awarded annually, based upon, among other things, the number of minority small business concerns, historically Black colleges and universities, and minority institutions that each such entity brings into the program.*

(d) APPLICABILITY.—Subsection (a) does not apply—

(1) to the extent to which the Secretary of Defense determines that compelling national security considerations require otherwise; and

(2) if the Secretary making such a determination notifies Congress of such determination and the reasons for such determination.

(e) COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES AND ADVANCE PAYMENTS.—To attain the goal of subsection (a)—

(1) The Secretary of Defense shall exercise his utmost authority, resourcefulness, and diligence.

(2) To the extent practicable and when necessary to facilitate achievement of the 5 percent goal described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall make advance payments under section 2307 of title 10, United States Code, to contractors described in subsection (a).

(3) To the extent practicable and when necessary to facilitate achievement of the 5 percent goal described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may enter into contracts using less than full and open competitive procedures (including awards under section 8(a) of the Small Business Act), but shall pay a price not exceeding fair market cost by more than 10 percent in payment per contract to contractors or subcontractors described in subsection (a).

(4) To the extent practicable, the Secretary of Defense shall maximize the number of minority small business concerns, historically Black colleges and universities, and minority institutions participating in the program.

(f) PENALTIES FOR MISREPRESENTATION.—Whoever for the purpose of securing a contract or subcontract under subsection (a) misrepresents the status of any concern or person as a small business concern owned and controlled by a minority (as described in subsection (a)), shall be punished by a fine of not less than $10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both.

(g) ANNUAL REPORTS.—(1) Between May 1 and May 30 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the progress toward meeting the goal of subsection (a) during the current fiscal year.

(2) Between October 1 and October 10 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a final report on the progress of the Secretary with the goal of subsection (a) during the preceding fiscal year.

(3) The reports described in paragraphs (1) and (2) shall each include the following:

(A) A full explanation of any progress toward attaining the goal of subsection (a).

(B) A plan to achieve the goal, if necessary.

(C) A description of the percentage of contracts (actions), the total dollar amount (size of action), and the number of different entities relative to the attainment of the goal of subsection (a), separately for Black Americans, Native Americans, Hispanic Americans, Asian Pacific Americans, and other minorities.

(4) The reports required under paragraph (2) shall also include the following:

(A) The aggregate differential between the fair market price of all contracts awarded pursuant to subsection (e)(3) and the estimated fair market price of all such contracts had such contracts been entered into using full and open competitive procedures.

(B) Detailed information on failure to perform in accordance with contract cost and technical requirements by entities awarded contracts pursuant to subsection (a).

(C) An analysis of the impact that subsection (a) shall have on the ability of small business concerns not owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantage individuals to compete for contracts with the Department of Defense.

(5) The first report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted between May 1 and May 30, 1987.

(h) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section applies to each of fiscal years 1987, 1988, and 1989.

SEC. 1208. MANPOWER ESTIMATES FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS

(a) REQUIREMENT OF MANPOWER ESTIMATES.—Subsection (a) of section 2434 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986), is amended to read as follows:

"(a) REQUIREMENT FOR APPROVAL.—The Secretary of Defense may not approve the full-scale engineering development, or the production and deployment, of a major defense acquisition program unless—

"(1) an independent estimate of the cost of the program is first submitted to (and considered by) the Secretary; and

"(2) the Secretary submits a manpower estimate of the program to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives at least 90 days in advance of such approval.".

(b) DEFINITIONS.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(1) by inserting "DEFINITIONS.—" before "In this section";

(2) by striking out "(1) 'Major" and inserting in lieu thereof "(1) The term 'major";

(3) by striking out "(2) 'Independent" and inserting in lieu thereof "(2) The term 'independent";

(4) by striking out "(3) 'Cost" and inserting in lieu thereof "(3) The term 'cost"; and

(5) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) The term 'manpower estimate' means, with respect to a major defense acquisition program, an estimate of—

"(A) the total number of personnel (including military, civilian, and contractor personnel), expressed both in total personnel and man-years, that will be required to operate, maintain, and support the program upon full operational deployment and to train personnel to operate, maintain, and support the program upon full operational deployment;

"(B) the increases in military and civilian personnel end strengths that will be required for full operational deployment of the program above the end strengths authorized in the fiscal year in which such an estimate is submitted and the fiscal year or years in which such increases will be required; and

"(C) the manner in which such a program would be operationally deployed if no increases in military and civilian end strengths were authorized above the strengths authorized for the fiscal year in which such estimate is submitted.".

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

"§2434. Independent cost estimates; operational manpower requirements"

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 144 of such title (as enacted by section 101(a) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986) is amended to read as follows:

"2434. Independent cost estimates; operational manpower requirements".

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply to approvals of full-scale engineering development and to approvals of production and deployment of major defense acquisition programs made after December 31, 1986.

PART B—ECONOMY AND EFFICIENCY

SEC. 1221. INCREASE IN THRESHOLD APPLICABLE TO STATUTORY CONTRACTING-OUT PROCEDURES

Section 502(d) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1981 (10 U.S.C. 2304 note) is amended by striking out "40 or fewer" and inserting in lieu thereof "45 or fewer".

SEC. 1222. PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTS FOR PERFORMANCE OF FIREFIGHTING AND SECURITY FUNCTIONS

(a) FIREFIGHTING PROHIBITION.—(1) Chapter 159 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2693. Prohibition on contracts for performance of firefighting functions

"(a) Except as provided in subsection (b), funds appropriated to the Department of Defense may not be obligated or expended for the purpose of entering into a contract for the performance of firefighting functions at any military installation or facility.

"(b) The prohibition in subsection (a) does not apply—

"(1) to a contract to be carried out at a location outside the United States (including its commonwealths, territories, and possessions) at which members of the armed forces would have to be used for the performance of the function described in subsection (a) at the expense of unit readiness;

"(2) to a contract to be carried out on a Government-owned but privately operated installation; or

"(3) to a contract (or the renewal of a contract) for the performance of a function under contract on September 24, 1983.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2693. Prohibition on contracts for performance of firefighting functions.".

(b) One-Year Security-Guard Prohibition.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), funds appropriated to the Department of Defense may not be obligated or expended before October 1, 1987, for the purpose of entering into a contract for the performance of security-guard functions at any military installation or facility.

(2) The prohibition in paragraph (1) does not apply—

(A) to a contract to be carried out at a location outside the United States (including its commonwealths, territories, and possessions) at which military personnel would have to be used for the performance of the function described in paragraph (1) at the expense of unit readiness;

(B) to a contract to be carried out on a Government-owned but privately operated installation;

(C) to a contract (or the renewal of a contract) for the performance of a function under contract on September 24, 1983; or

(D) to a contract for the performance of security-guard functions if (i) the requirement for the functions arises after the date of the enactment of this Act, and (ii) the Secretary of Defense determines the functions can be performed by contractor personnel without adversely affecting installation security, safety, or readiness.

(c) Repeal.—Section 1233 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145, 99 Stat. 734), is hereby repealed.

SEC. 1223. CONTRACTING OUT THE PERFORMANCE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUPPLY AND SERVICE FUNCTIONS

(a) In General.—Except as otherwise provided by law, the Secretary of Defense shall procure each supply or service necessary for or beneficial to the accomplishment of the authorized functions of the Department of Defense (other than functions which the Secretary of Defense determines must be performed by military or government personnel) from a source in the private sector if such a source can provide such supply or service to the Department at a cost that is lower (after including any cost differential required by law, executive order, or regulation) than the cost at which the Department can provide the same supply or service.

(b) Cost Comparisions.—For the purpose of determining whether to contract with a source in the private sector for the performance of any Department of Defense function on the basis of a comparison of the costs of procuring supplies or services from such a source with

the costs of providing the same supplies or services by the Department of Defense, shall ensure that all costs considered, including the costs of quality assurance, technical monitoring of the performance of such function, liability insurance, employee retirement and disability benefits, and all other overhead costs, are realistic and fair.

SEC. 1224. REPORTS ON SAVINGS OR COSTS FROM INCREASED USE OF CIVILIAN PERSONNEL

(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever during a fiscal year to which this section applies the performance of any commercial or industrial type activity of the Department of Defense that is being performed by 50 or more employees of a private contractor is changed to performance by civilian employees of the Department of Defense, the Secretary of Defense shall maintain data in which a comparison is made of the estimated costs of (1) continued performance of such activity by private contractor employees, and (2) performance of such activity by civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

(b) SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT ON COSTS AND SAVINGS.—As soon as practicable after the end of the first six months, and after the end of the second six months, of a fiscal year to which this section applies, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report showing the estimated savings or loss to the United States, during the preceding six month period, that is reflected in the data maintained under subsection (a).

(c) APPLICABILITY OF SECTION.—This section shall apply only with respect to a fiscal year during which there is no statutory limit (commonly known as an "end strength") on the number of civilian employees that may be employed by the Department of Defense as of the last day of that fiscal year.

TITLE XIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

PART A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

SEC. 1301. TRANSFER AUTHORITY

(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—(1) Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this division between any such authorizations (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) The total amount of authorizations that the Secretary of Defense may transfer under the authority of this section may not exceed $2,000,000,000.

(b) LIMITATIONS.—The authority provided by this section to transfer authorizations—

(1) may only be used to provide authority for items that have a higher priority than the items from which authority is transferred; and

(2) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied authorization by Congress.

(c) Effect on Obligation Limitations.—A transfer made under the authority of this section—

(1) increases by the amount of the transfer the obligation limitation provided in this division on the account (or other amount) to which the transfer is made; and

(2) decreases by the amount of the transfer the obligation limitation provided in this division on the account (or other amount) from which the transfer is made.

(d) Notice to Congress.—The Secretary of Defense shall promptly notify Congress of transfers made under the authority of this section.

SEC. 1302. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR CIVILIAN PAY AND CONTINGENCIES

There are authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987, in addition to other amounts authorized to be appropriated in this Act, such sums as may be necessary—

(1) for unbudgeted increases in fuel costs;

(2) for unbudgeted increases as a result of inflation in the cost of activities authorized in this Act; and

(3) for unbudgeted amounts for salary, pay, retirement, and other employee benefits authorized by law for civilian employees of the Department of Defense whose compensation is provided for by funds authorized to be appropriated in this Act.

SEC. 1303. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR FOREIGN CURRENCY PURCHASES

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 the amount of $3,500,000 for the purchase of foreign currencies from the Treasury Department to pay expenses incurred in carrying out programs of the Department of Defense.

SEC. 1304. SPECIAL DEFENSE ACQUISITION FUND

(a) Increase in Size of Fund.—Section 114(c) of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 110(b) of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)), is amended by striking out "$1,000,000,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "$1,070,000,000".

(b) Budget Act Limitation.—New spending authority (as defined in section 401(c)(2) of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974) provided by the amendment made by subsection (a) shall be effective for any fiscal year only to the extent or in such amounts as are provided in Appropriation Acts.

SEC. 1305. LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF FISCAL YEAR 1985 AND FISCAL YEAR 1986 FUNDS

(a) Limitations.—Subject to subsection (b), funds appropriated for fiscal years 1985 and 1986 and remaining available for obligation may not be obligated as follows:

Account	*Fiscal year 1985 amount*	*Fiscal year 1986 amount*
Aircraft Procurement, Army	*$21,000,000*	*$110,800,000*
Missile Procurement, Army	*$21,400,000*	*$91,900,000*
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	*$43,900,000*	*$211,400,000*
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	*$3,900,000*	*$30,300,000*
Other Procurement, Army	*$15,600,000*	*$123,600,000*

Account	Fiscal year 1985 amount	Fiscal year 1986 amount
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	$86,300,000	$446,100,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy	$48,800,000	$215,600,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	$168,700,000	$367,500,000
Other Procurement, Navy	$16,100,000	$117,100,000
Procurement, Marine Corps	$18,200,000	$52,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	$212,500,000	$714,500,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force	$53,400,000	$326,400,000
Other Procurement, Air Force	$19,100,000	$181,100,000
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	$900,000	$17,900,000
Procurement, Defense Agencies	$4,000,000	$31,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	$1,900,000	$71,200,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	$2,800,000	$71,900,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	$6,000,000	$176,400,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense Agencies	$1,400,000	$84,700,000
Director of Test and Evaluation, Defense	$0	$700,000
Military Construction, Army	$6,800,000	$64,400,000
Military Construction, Navy	$6,800,000	$45,200,000
Military Construction, Air Force	$6,300,000	$43,200,000
Military Construction, Defense Agencies	$1,900,000	$5,300,000
Military Construction, Army National Guard	$0	$2,500,000
Military Construction, Air National Guard	$200,000	$3,300,000
Military Construction, Army Reserve	$0	$1,800,000
Military Construction, Naval Reserve	$0	$1,200,000
Military Construction, Air Force Reserve	$200,000	$1,800,000
North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure	$0	$8,000,000
Family Housing, Army	$0	$8,000,000
Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps	$400,000	$8,800,000
Family Housing, Air Force	$2,400,000	$12,300,000

(b) COORDINATION WITH RESCISSIONS.—A limitation contained in subsection (a) shall apply only to the extent, and in the amount by which, the amount of the limitation is greater than amounts rescinded (on an account-year basis) in laws appropriating funds for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 that are enacted before December 31, 1986.

SEC. 1306. APPLICABILITY OF LIMITATIONS ON FISCAL YEAR 1987 OBLIGATIONS

The limitations in sections 101(b), 102(a)(2), 102(b)(2), 102(c)(1), 102(c)(2), 102(d)(2), 102(e)(2), 103(b), 104(b), 106(b), and 201(c)—

(1) apply only to funds directly appropriated and funds transferred by provisions in appropriations Acts that specifically identify the funds transferred; and

(2) do not apply to obligations from an account that would be reimbursed from another account or from a source outside the Government.

SEC. 1307. REPORTS ON UNOBLIGATED BALANCES AND ON INFLATION BUDGETING

(a) PERIODIC REPORTS.—(1) Chapter 131 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sections:

"§2215. Reports on unobligated balances

"(a) REQUIRED REPORTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives reports (at the times specified under subsection (d)) containing an estimate of the amount of funds in each appropria-

tion account of the Department of Defense that at the time of the report—

"(1) is available for obligation; and

"(2) is in excess of the amount needed to carry out the programs for which the funds were appropriated.

"(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—Each report under subsection (a) shall include, set forth separately for each account, estimates of amounts attributable to—

"(1) inflation savings;

"(2) foreign currency savings;

"(3) excess working capital fund cash; and

"(4) all other savings.

"(c) UNANTICIPATED COST INCREASES.—Each such report shall also identify unanticipated cost increases resulting from adverse economic trends.

"(d) SUBMISSION OF REPORTS.—A report shall be submitted to Congress under this section not later than February 1, not later than April 25, and not later than August 15 of each year.

"§2216. Annual report on budgeting for inflation

"(a) REPORT ON VARIANCES.—(1) As part of the report required under section 2215 of this title to be submitted not later than February 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall, in the case of each budget account, include a comparison of—

"(A) the amounts appropriated to the Department of Defense to offset anticipated inflation, and

"(B) the amounts estimated, as of the date the report is submitted, to be necessary to offset anticipated inflation.

"(2) In making such estimate, the Secretary shall take into account actual inflation as of the date of such report.

"(b) REQUIREMENT FOR EXPLANATION OF VARIANCES.—The Secretary shall include in the report, in the case of each budget account, the following:

"(1) A discussion of the reasons for any variance between the amounts appropriated to offset anticipated inflation and the amounts estimated, as of the date of such report, to be necessary to carry out the programs for which the appropriations were made.

"(2) An identification of the sources of funds used or proposed to be used to offset any deficiency in the amount appropriated to offset anticipated inflation.

"(3) A description of the disposition or proposed disposition of any amount by which the amount appropriated to offset anticipated inflation exceeds the amount needed for such purpose.

"(c) FISCAL YEARS COVERED BY REPORT.—The comparison and discussion required by this section shall apply to funds available to or for the use of the Department of Defense in the fiscal year during which the report is submitted and in each of the two fiscal years preceding that fiscal year.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new items:

"2215. Reports on unobligated balances.
"2216. Annual report on budgeting for inflation.".

(b) Repeal.—Section 1407 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 745), is repealed.

(c) Effective Date.—(1) The first comparison and discussion under section 2216 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), shall be included in the first report under section 2215 of such title submitted in 1988 and shall include information relating only to fiscal year 1987.

(2) The comparison and discussion included in the first report under section 2215 of such title submitted in 1989 shall include information relating only to fiscal years 1987 and 1988.

SEC. 1308. REPORT ON DEFENSE BUDGETING AND CONTRACT PROCEDURES FOR INFLATION

(a) Report on Economic Price Adjustment Clauses.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the current practice of the Department of Defense of including in contracts entered into by the Department of Defense to carry out all or a portion of a program for which a Selected Acquisition Report is required an economic price adjustment clause to provide for adjustments in contract prices to compensate for inflation.

(b) Information To Be Included in Report.—The Secretary shall include in the report required by subsection (a) the following information:

(1) The number of contracts that were entered into by the Department of Defense during fiscal year 1986 to carry out all or a portion of a program for which a Selected Acquisition Report was required for any quarter during such fiscal year and that included an economic price adjustment clause referred to in subsection (a).

(2) A description of the different types of such contracts that were entered into during such fiscal year that included an economic price adjustment clause referred to in subsection (a).

(3) A description of the different types of economic price adjustment clauses used by the Department of Defense in such contracts and the reasons for using a particular type of such clause for a particular type of contract.

(c) Other Matters To Be Reported.—The Secretary shall also include in the report required by subsection (a) the following:

(1) An explanation of the methodology used by the Department of Defense for determining the inflation index to be used in calculating the inflation rate for various budget accounts of the Department of Defense and items within those accounts.

(2) The feasibility of providing to Congress an annual report on actual rates of inflation experienced under contracts of the Department of Defense that are entered into to carry out all or a portion of a program for which a Selected Acquisition Report is required at any time and that include an economic price adjustment clause to provide adjustments in contract prices to compensate for inflation.

(3) A discussion of the relationship between the rate of actual inflation experienced under contracts referred to in clause (2) and the rate of inflation determined under the methodology referred to in clause (1) for determining the inflation index to be

used in calculating the inflation rate for major weapon system accounts.

(d) Deadline for Report.—The report required under this section shall be submitted not later than February 1, 1987.

(e) Definition.—For purposes of this section, the term "Selected Acquisition Report" means a report referred to in section 2432 of title 10, United States Code (as redesignated by section 101 of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)).

SEC. 1309. DEBT COLLECTION

(a) In General.—Chapter 165 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2780. Debt collection

"(a)(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense shall enter into one or more contracts with a person for collection services to recover indebtedness owed to the United States (arising out of activities related to Department of Defense) that is delinquent by more than three months.

"(2) The authority of the Secretary to enter into a contract under this section for any fiscal year is subject to the availability of appropriations.

"(3) Any such contract shall provide that the person submit to the Secretary a status report on the person's success in collecting such debts at least once each six months. Section 3718 of title 31 shall apply to any such contract, to the extent not inconsistent with this subsection.

"(b) The Secretary shall disclose to consumer reporting agencies, in accordance with paragraph (1) of section 3711(f) of title 31, information concerning any debt described in subsection (a) of more than $100 that is delinquent by more than 31 days.".

(b) Clerical Amendment.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2780. Debt collection.".

SEC. 1310. CONTINGENT REDUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(a) Contingency.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this Act, the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act are reduced by the amounts specified in subsection (b) unless a law appropriating funds to or for the military functions of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 provides—

(1) that basic pay, basic allowance for quarters, and basic allowance for subsistence of members of the uniformed services are to be paid on the first day of the month following the month for which the pay and allowances are accrued; or

(2) a reduction in progress payments paid to Department of Defense contractors.

(b) Authorizations To Be Reduced.—The amounts authorized to be appropriated in the title of this Act specified in the following table in the left-hand column are reduced by the amount specified opposite such title in the right-hand column:

Authorizations in Which Reductions Are To Be Made	Amount of Reduction
Division A	
Title I (Procurement)	$3,008,000,000
Title II (Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation)	$1,174,000,000
Title III (Operations and Maintenance)	$1,602,000,000
Division B	
Title VI	$166,000,000
Division C	
Title I (Department of Energy National Security Programs)	$167,000,000

(c) CONTINGENT REDUCTION IN PAY INCREASE.—Subject to the condition specified in subsection (a), the percentage of the increase in basic pay, basic allowance for quarters, and basic allowance for subsistence for members of the uniformed services, and the increase in pay for cadets and midshipmen, specified in sections 601(b) and 601(c), respectively, of this Act is hereby reduced from 3 percent to 2 percent.

PART B—SPECIAL OPERATIONS MATTERS

SEC. 1311. SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES

(a) ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—Section 136(b) of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 106 of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986), is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) One of the Assistant Secretaries shall be the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict. He shall have as his principal duty the overall supervision (including oversight of policy and resources) of special operations activities (as defined in section 167(j) of this title) and low intensity conflict activities of the Department of Defense.".

(b) UNIFIED COMBATANT COMMAND.—(1) Chapter 6 of such title (as added by section 211 of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-433)) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§167. Unified combatant command for special operations forces

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—With the advice and assistance of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the President, through the Secretary of Defense, shall establish under section 161 of this title a unified combatant command for special operations forces (hereinafter in this section referred to as the 'special operations command'). The principal function of the command is to prepare special operations forces to carry out assigned missions.

"(b) ASSIGNMENT OF FORCES.—Unless otherwise directed by the Secretary of Defense, all active and reserve special operations forces of the armed forces stationed in the United States shall be assigned to the special operations command.

"(c) GRADE OF COMMANDER.—The commander of the special operations command shall hold the grade of general or, in the case of an officer of the Navy, admiral while serving in that position, without vacating his permanent grade. The commander of such com-

mand shall be appointed to that grade by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, for service in that position.

"(d) COMMAND OF ACTIVITY OR MISSION.—(1) Unless otherwise directed by the President or the Secretary of Defense, a special operations activity or mission shall be conducted under the command of the commander of the unified combatant command in whose geographic area the activity or mission is to be conducted.

"(2) The commander of the special operations command shall exercise command of a selected special operations mission if directed to do so by the President or the Secretary of Defense.

"(e) AUTHORITY OF COMBATANT COMMANDER.—(1) In addition to the authority prescribed in section 164(c) of this title, the commander of the special operations command shall be responsible for, and shall have the authority to conduct, all affairs of such command relating to special operations activities, including the following functions:

"(A) Developing strategy, doctrine, and tactics.

"(B) Training assigned forces.

"(C) Conducting specialized courses of instruction for commissioned and noncommissioned officers.

"(D) Validating requirements.

"(E) Establishing priorities for requirements.

"(F) Ensuring combat readiness.

"(G) Developing and acquiring special operations-peculiar equipment and acquiring special operations-peculiar material, supplies, and services.

"(H) Ensuring the interoperability of equipment and forces.

"(I) Formulating and submitting requirements for intelligence support.

"(J) Monitoring the promotions, assignments, retention, training, and professional military education of special operations forces officers.

"(2) The commander of such command shall be responsible for monitoring the preparedness of special operations forces assigned to other unified combatant commands to carry out assigned missions.

"(f) BUDGET.—In addition to the activities of a combatant command for which funding may be requested under section 166(b) of this title, the budget proposal of the special operations command shall include requests for funding for—

"(1) development and acquisition of special operations-peculiar equipment; and

"(2) acquisition of other material, supplies, or services that are peculiar to special operations activities.

"(g) INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES.—This section does not constitute authority to conduct any activity which, if carried out as an intelligence activity by the Department of Defense, would require—

"(1) a finding under section 662 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2422); or

"(2) a notice to the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives under section 501(a)(1) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 413).

"(h) Regulations.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations for the activities of the special operations command. Such regulations shall include authorization for the commander of such command to provide for operational security of special operations forces and activities.

"(i) Identification of Special Operations Forces.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), for the purposes of this section special operations forces are those forces of the armed forces that—

"(A) are identified as core forces or as augmenting forces in the Joint Chiefs of Staff Joint Strategic Capabilities Plan, Annex E, dated December 17, 1985;

"(B) are described in the Terms of Reference and Conceptual Operations Plan for the Joint Special Operations Command, as in effect on April 1, 1986; or

"(C) are designated as special operations forces by the Secretary of Defense.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense, after consulting with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the commander of the special operations command, may direct that any force included within the description in paragraph (1)(A) or (1)(B) shall not be considered as a special operations force for the purposes of this section.

"(j) Special Operations Activities.—For purposes of this section, special operations activities include each of the following insofar as it relates to special operations:

"(1) Direct action.

"(2) Strategic reconnaissance.

"(3) Unconventional warfare.

"(4) Foreign internal defense.

"(5) Civil affairs.

"(6) Psychological operations.

"(7) Counterterrorism.

"(8) Humanitarian assistance.

"(9) Theater search and rescue.

"(10) Such other activities as may be specified by the President or the Secretary of Defense.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"167. Unified combatant command for special operations forces.".

(c) Major Force Program Category.—The Secretary of Defense shall create for the special operations forces a major force program category for the Five-Year Defense Plan of the Department of Defense. The Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, with the advice and assistance of the commander of the special operations command, shall provide overall supervision of the preparation and justification of program recommendations and budget proposals to be included in such major force program category.

(d) Program and Budget Execution.—To the extent that there is authority to revise programs and budgets approved by Congress for special operations forces, such authority may be exercised only by the Secretary of Defense, after consulting with the commander of the special operations command.

(e) GRADE FOR COMMANDERS OF CERTAIN AREA SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMANDS.—The commander of the special operations command of the United States European Command, the United States Pacific Command, and any other unified combatant command that the Secretary of Defense may designate for the purposes of this section shall be of general or flag officer grade.

(f) BOARD FOR LOW INTENSITY CONFLICT.—Section 101 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 402) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) The President shall establish within the National Security Council a board to be known as the 'Board for Low Intensity Conflict'. The principal function of the board shall be to coordinate the policies of the United States for low intensity conflict.".

(g) DEPUTY ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS FOR LOW INTENSITY CONFLICT.—It is the sense of Congress that the President should designate within the Executive Office of the President a Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs to be the Deputy Assistant for Low Intensity Conflict.

(h) REPORTS.—(1) Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the plans of the Secretary for implementation of this section, including a description of the progress made on such implementation.

(2) Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall transmit to Congress a report on the capabilities of the United States to conduct special operations and engage in low intensity conflicts. The report shall include a description of the following:

(A) Deficiencies in such capabilities.

(B) Actions being taken throughout the executive branch to correct such deficiencies.

(C) The principal low intensity conflict threats to the interests of the United States.

(D) The actions taken and to be taken to implement this section.

(h) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 167 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (b)), shall be implemented not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(i) FUNDING FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987.—The Secretary of Defense may spend unobligated funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal years before fiscal year 1987 in such sums as necessary in order to carry out this section and section 167 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (b)), during fiscal year 1987.

SEC. 1312. SPECIAL OPERATIONS AIRLIFT

(a) MODIFICATION OF EXISTING AIRCRAFT.—Of the funds appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for modification of Air Force aircraft, $106,500,000 shall be available only for the modification of CH/HH-53 airframes to the "PAVE LOW" enhanced configuration.

(b) DEVELOPMENT OF HELICOPTER VARIANTS.—(1) The Secretary of the Army shall proceed, through full and open competition, with development of an MH-47 variant of the CH-47 helicopter. The Secretary shall be designated as the executive agent for a joint services

development program for a special operations/combat rescue variant of the HH/MH-60 helicopter.

(2) In conducting such development under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall ensure—

(A) that there is developed one baseline H-60 aircraft capable of operations from both land and shipboard;

(B) that there is maximum practicable logistics commonality among those aircraft to be procured by either the Army, Navy, or Air Force and that the contract for acquisition of the joint services aircraft assures maximum economic-order quantity benefits to the United States; and

(C) that there is maximum practicable commonality between the avionics architecture selected for the HH/MH-60 variant aircraft and the MH-47 variant aircraft.

(3) Upon successful development and test of the MH-47 aircraft, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on such development and testing. Such report shall include the Secretary's recommendation as to whether the replacement for the HH-53 aircraft as the long-range, strategic rotary-wing aircraft for the Armed Forces should be the MH-47 aircraft or a special operations/combat rescue variant of the CV-22A aircraft (or another advanced-technology aircraft). If the recommendation of the Secretary is in favor of the CV-22A aircraft (or another advanced-technology aircraft), the Secretary of the Army may justify in the budget planning process procurement of the MH-47 aircraft as necessary to fill immediately the heavy-lift mission requirements of the Special Operations Aviation Brigade of the Army.

(4) Upon successful development and test of the HH/MH-60 helicopter under paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing a detailed acquisition strategy for such helicopter. Such acquisition strategy shall—

(A) provide for timely and adequate procurement of the HH/MH-60 aircraft for the Special Operations Aviation Brigade of the Army and for aviation detachments assigned to individual Special Forces groups;

(B) provide for timely and adequate procurement of the HH/MH-60 aircraft for support of naval special warfare units and for fleet combat search and rescue missions; and

(C) provide for timely and adequate replacement for theater special operations/combat search and rescue HH-3 helicopters of the Air Force with the HH/MH-60 helicopter.

(c) REQUIREMENTS OF SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMANDER.—(1) The commander of the unified combatant command established pursuant to section 167 of title 10, United States Code (as added by section 1311), shall develop a plan to meet the immediate strategic special operations airlift requirements.

(2) The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the commander of such command, shall submit to Congress a report, no later than June 1, 1987, setting forth the funding requirements necessary to implement during fiscal year 1988 the plan developed under paragraph (1).

Part C—Authorization of Payment of Certain Expenses With Respect to Developing Countries

SEC. 1321. AUTHORITY TO PAY EXPENSES OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES FOR PARTICIPATION IN COMBINED MILITARY EXERCISES

(a) Authority To Pay Expenses.—(1) Chapter 101 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§2010. Participation of developing countries in combined exercises: payment of incremental expenses

"(a) The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State, may pay the incremental expenses of a developing country that are incurred by that country as the direct result of participation in a bilateral or multilateral military exercise if—

"(1) the exercise is undertaken primarily to enhance the security interests of the United States; and

"(2) the Secretary of Defense determines that the participation by such country is necessary to the achievement of the fundamental objectives of the exercise and that those objectives cannot be achieved unless the United States provides the incremental expenses incurred by such country.

"(b) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report each year, not later than March 1, containing—

"(1) a list of the developing countries for which expenses have been paid by the United States under this section during the preceding year; and

"(2) the amounts expended on behalf of each government.

"(c) The Secretary of Defense shall establish by regulation such accounting procedures as may be necessary to ensure that funds expended under this section are properly expended.

"(d) In this section, the term 'incremental expenses' means the reasonable and proper cost of the goods and services that are consumed by a developing country as a direct result of that country's participation in a bilateral or multilateral military exercise with the United States, including rations, fuel, training ammunition, and transportation. Such term does not include pay, allowances, and other normal costs of such country's personnel.

"(e) Not more than $13,400,000 may be obligated or expended for the purposes of this section during fiscal years 1987 through 1991.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2010. Participation of developing countries in combined exercises: payment of incremental expenses.".

(b) Expenditure Limitation for Fiscal Year 1987.—Not more than $1,600,000 may be obligated or expended for the purposes of section 2010 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), during fiscal year 1987.

SEC. 1322. AUTHORITY TO PAY CERTAIN EXPENSES OF DEFENSE PERSONNEL OF DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

(a) In General.—Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1050 the following new section:

"§1051. Bilateral or regional cooperation programs: payment of personnel expenses

"(a) The Secretary of Defense may pay the travel, subsistence, and similar personal expenses of defense personnel of developing countries in connection with the attendance of such personnel at a bilateral or regional conference, seminar, or similar meeting if the Secretary determines that the attendance of such personnel at such conference, seminar, or similar meeting is in the national security interests of the United States.

"(b)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), expenses authorized to be paid under subsection (a) may be paid on behalf of personnel from a developing country only in connection with travel within the area of responsibility of the unified combatant command (as such term is defined in section 161(c) of this title) in which the developing country is located.

"(2) In a case in which the headquarters of a unified combatant command is located within the United States, expenses authorized to be paid under subsection (a) may be paid in connection with travel of personnel to the United States to attend a bilateral or regional conference, seminar, or similar meeting.

"(3) Expenses authorized to be paid under subsection (a) may not, in the case of any individual, exceed the amount that would be paid under chapter 7 of title 37 to a member of the armed forces of the United States (of a comparable grade) for authorized travel of a similar nature.

"(c) In addition to the expenses authorized to be paid under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may pay such other expenses in connection with any such conference, seminar, or similar meeting as the Secretary considers in the national security interests of the United States.

"(d) The authority to pay expenses under this section is in addition to the authority to pay certain expenses and compensation of officers and students of Latin American countries under section 1050 of this title.

"(e) Not later than March 1 each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report containing—

"(1) a list of the developing countries for which expenses have been paid under this section during the preceding fiscal year; and

"(2) the amount paid by the United States in the case of each such country.

"(f) During each of fiscal years 1987, 1988, and 1989, not more than $800,000 may be obligated or expended under this section.

"(g) The authority of the Secretary of Defense under this section shall expire on September 30, 1989.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1050 the following new item:

"1051. Bilateral or regional cooperation programs: payment of personnel expenses.".

Part D—Miscellaneous Reports

SEC. 1331. ARMY NATIONAL GUARD REPORTING

The Secretary of Defense shall require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to develop and implement procedures to monitor the backlog of maintenance and repair projects of Army National Guard armories.

SEC. 1332. SUBMARINE OVERHAUL STUDY

(a) Study.—The Secretary of the Navy shall conduct a detailed study and investigation on the desirability and feasibility of applying production line techniques for the overhaul of Los Angeles-class submarines.

(b) Report.—Not later than May 1, 1987, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the results of such study and investigation, together with such comments and recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 1333. REPORT ON LANDING AREA FOR AV-8B HARRIER AIRCRAFT ON IOWA-CLASS BATTLESHIP

Not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the feasibility and possible benefits of removing the rear turret from an Iowa-class battleship to create a landing area for AV-8B Harrier aircraft.

SEC. 1334. REPORT ON CLEANUP OF NATIONAL PRESTO INDUSTRIES

The Secretary of Defense shall investigate and report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by January 1, 1987, on the sources, dates of origin, and responsibility for hazardous waste contamination at the National Presto Industries Plant near Eau Claire, Wisconsin.

Part E—Technical and Clerical Amendments

SEC. 1341. TECHNICAL CORRECTION TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT, 1985

(a) Correction of BAQ Save-Pay.—Section 602(a)(2) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525; 98 Stat. 2534), is amended—

(1) by striking out "During the period" and all that follows through "paragraph (1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(A) During the period described in subparagraph (B)";

(2) by striking out "on or after January 1, 1985, and before the date of any such change" and inserting in lieu thereof "during such period"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) The period referred to in subparagraph (A) is the period beginning on January 1, 1985, and ending on the effective date of a change made by law in the rates of basic allowance for quarters that increases the rates for such allowance to a level not less than 7 percent greater than the rates in effect on January 1, 1985.".

(b) Effective Date.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on October 1, 1986, or the date of the enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

SEC. 1342. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO PROVISIONS ENACTED BY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT, 1986

(a) Aviation Pay.—Paragraph (1) of section 301(a) of title 37, United States Code (as amended by section 635(a)(1)(A) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 647)), is amended to read as follows:

"(1) involving frequent and regular participation in aerial flight as a crew member, as determined by the Secretary concerned, except for a member who is entitled to incentive pay under section 301a of this title;".

(b) Clarification of VHA Save Pay.—Section 602(f)(2) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98–525) (as amended by section 603(b) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (99 Stat. 637)), is amended—

(1) by striking out "departs that station as a result of" in subparagraph (B)(i) and inserting in lieu thereof "changes residence in conjunction with"; and

(2) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by striking out "Any member" and inserting in lieu thereof "A member"; and

(B) by striking out "under paragraph" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof ", except that such a member serving an unaccompanied tour of duty in Alaska or Hawaii may be paid a variable housing allowance based on the residence of the member's dependents in another State.".

(c) Dental Officers' Save Pay.—Section 639(d) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (99 Stat. 651), is amended—

(1) by striking out "shall be entitled to such pay in an annual amount that is not less than" and inserting in lieu thereof "may (notwithstanding the provisions of such section and in the discretion of the Secretary concerned) be paid such pay, in order to prevent inequities, in an annual amount equal to"; and

(2) by striking out "was entitled on September 30, 1985." and inserting in lieu thereof "would have been entitled on September 30, 1985, in accordance with the status of the officer (as determined by the Secretary concerned) during the period for which the pay is paid. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, an officer may not be paid special pay by reason of this paragraph in an amount greater than the amount of special pay to which the officer was entitled under such sections on September 30, 1985.".

(d) Reserve Medical Officers Special Pay.—Subparagraph (B) of section 302(h)(1) of title 37, United States Code (as amended by section 640 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (99 Stat. 652)), is amended to read as follows:

"(B) who is on active duty under a call or order to active duty for a period of less than one year;".

(e) Repeal of Duplicative Amendments.—Subsection (a) of section 1102 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (99 Stat. 708), and the amendments made by that subsection are repealed, and the Arms Export Control Act shall apply as if that subsection had not been enacted.

(f) Correction of Section Reference.—Section 719(h)(1) of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2169(h)(1)), as amended by section 934(b) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986, is amended by striking out "Public Law 92-41" in the third sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "section 105(b)(2) of the Renegotiation Act of 1951".

(g) Correction of Section Amended.—Section 522(a)(2) of Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (99 Stat. 631), is amended by striking out "Section 3851(c)" and inserting in lieu thereof "Section 3851(d)", and the subsection inserted by the amendment made by that section is redesignated as subsection (d).

(h) Effectiveness of Amendments.—

(1) The amendments made by subsections (a) through (d) shall take effect on October 1, 1986, or the date of the enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

(2) The amendments made by subsections (f) and (g) shall apply as if included in the enactment of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145).

SEC. 1343. CLERICAL AMENDMENTS

(a) Amendments to Title 10.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) The text of section 775 (as redesignated by section [502]) is amended to read as follows:

"This chapter applies in—

"(1) the United States;

"(2) the territories, commonwealths, and possessions of the United States; and

"(3) all other places under the jurisdiction of the United States.".

(2) Section 976(a)(1) is amended by striking out the second comma before "(B)".

(3) Section 1035 is amended by striking out "armed force" in subsection (a) and inserting in lieu thereof "armed forces".

(4) Section 1087(b)(2) is amended by striking out "title XVIII" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof "title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395c et seq.)).".

(5) Section 1094(d) is amended by realigning paragraph (2) so as to be indented two ems (rather than four ems).

(6) Section 1208 (a)(2)(A) is amended by striking out "after February 2, 1901".

(7) Section 1216(d) is amended by striking out "who is in pay grade" and all that follows through "Air Force" and inserting in lieu thereof "who is a general officer or flag officer or is a medical officer".

(8)(A) Section 1447(2)(A) is amended by striking out "or retainer" both places it appears.

(B) Section 1448(a)(5) is amended by striking out "an annuity by virtue of eligibility under paragraph (1)(B)" in the fourth sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "a reserve-component annuity".

(C) Section 1450(c) is amended by inserting "dependency and indemnity" before "compensation" the first place it appears in the first sentence.

(D) Section 1451(a)(1)(A) is amended by striking out "subsection" and inserting in lieu thereof "section".

(E) Section 1452(h) is amended by striking out "and retainer".

(9) Section 1603 is amended—

(A) by striking out "this" after "Nothing in"; and

(B) by striking out "this sections 1601 and 1602 of this title" and inserting in lieu thereof "those sections".

(10) The heading of section 1623 is amended to read as follows:

"§1623. Education, training, and experience requirements: flag and general officers".

(11) Section 2236(b) is amended by striking out ", territory, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or the District of Columbia, as the case may be,".

(12) The item relating to section 2319 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 137 is amended to read as follows:

"2319. Encouragement of new competitors.".

(13) Section 2302(2)(A) is amended by striking out "(41 U.S.C." and inserting in lieu thereof "(40 U.S.C.".

(14) Section 2304(b)(2) is amended by striking out "15 U.S.C. 639;" and inserting in lieu thereof "15 U.S.C. 638,".

(15) Section 2407(g)(2) is amended by striking out "the Military Cargo Preference Act of 1904 (10 U.S.C. 2631) and the Cargo Preference Act of 1954 (46 U.S.C. 1241(b))" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 2631 of this title and section 901(b) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936 (46 U.S.C. App. 1241(b))".

(16) Section 2672 is amended—

(A) by inserting "(1)" in subsection (a) after "(a)";

(B) by striking out "he or his designee" in clause (A) of subsection (a) and inserting in lieu thereof "the Secretary";

(C) by designating the second sentence of subsection (a) as paragraph (2);

(D) by transferring the third sentence of subsection (a) to the end of the section and designating that sentence as subsection (c); and

*(E) by striking out "**interest**" in the section heading and inserting in lieu thereof "**interests**".*

(17)(A) Section 2676(c)(2)(B) is amended by striking out "the agreed" and all that follows through "exceeds the" and inserting in lieu thereof "the agreed price for the land or, in the case of land to be acquired by condemnation, the amount to be deposited with the court as just compensation for the land, exceeds the".

(B) The amendment made by subparagraph (A) shall take effect as if included in the enactment of section 802(2) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-407; 98 Stat. 1519).

(18) Section 2683(b) is amended by striking out "this" before "subsection (a)".

(19) Section 2775 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(1), by striking out "it is" and all that follows through "that the" and inserting in lieu there-

of "(as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of Transportation with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy) the";

(B) in subsection (b)—

(i) by inserting a comma after "Secretary of Defense";

(ii) by striking out "when the Coast Guard" and inserting in lieu thereof "with respect to the Coast Guard when it"; and

(iii) by inserting a comma after "Navy"; and

(C) in subsection (e), by striking out "when the Coast Guard" and inserting in lieu thereof "with respect to the Coast Guard when it".

(20) Section 2809 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(1)—

(i) by striking out "contracts" and inserting in lieu thereof "a contract";

(ii) by striking out "facilities" the first place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "a facility";

(iii) by striking out "military installations" and inserting in lieu thereof "a military installation";

(iv) by inserting a comma after "waste water treatment";

(v) by striking out "cases" and inserting in lieu thereof "a case"

(vi) by striking out "facilities" the second place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "facility"; and

(vii) by striking out "long-term contracts" and inserting in lieu thereof "a long-term contract";

(B) in subsection (a)(2), by striking out "subsection (a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "this section";

(C) in subsection (a)(3), by striking out "twenty" and inserting in lieu thereof "20";

(D) in subsection (a)(4), by striking out "the" before "Congress"; and

(E) in subsection (b), by striking out "the authority of subsection (a) of".

(21)(A) Section 2860 is amended—

(i) by striking out "defense agency" and inserting in lieu thereof "to the Secretary of Defense";

(ii) by inserting "for obligation" after "remain available"; and

(iii) by striking out "the" before "appropriation Acts".

(B) The item relating to that section in the table of sections at the beginning of subchapter III of chapter 169 is amended by striking out the last three words.

(22) The items relating to chapter 138 in the table of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A, and in the table of chapters at the beginning of part IV of subtitle A, are amended by striking out "2321" and inserting in lieu thereof "2341".

(23) Section 5155(c) is amended by striking out "commodore" and inserting in lieu thereof "rear admiral (lower half)".

(24) The heading of section 5442 is amended by striking out the second colon and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon.

(25) Section 1051(d) is amended—

(A) by striking out "that title" in paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof "title 37"; and

(B) by striking out "and 'uniformed services' have the meanings given those terms" in paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof "has the meaning given that term".

(b) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 37.—

(1) Section 302(i) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "paragraph (1) of this subsection" and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (c)(2) or (f) of this section".

(2) Section 404(d)(1)(C) of such title is amended by striking out "clause (1) of this subsection" and inserting in lieu thereof "subparagraph (A) of this paragraph".

(3) The heading of section 405a of such title is amended to read as follows:

"§405a. Travel and transportation allowances: departure allowances".

(c) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 14.—Sections 256(b) and 288(a) of title 14, United States Code, are amended by striking out "commodore" and inserting in lieu thereof "rear admiral (lower half)".

PART F—MISCELLANEOUS

SEC. 1351. LIMITATION ON SOURCE OF FUNDS FOR NICARAGUAN DEMOCRATIC RESISTANCE

(a) LIMITATION.—Notwithstanding title II of the Military Construction Appropriations Act, 1987, or any other provision of law, funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for any fiscal year for operation and maintenance may not be used to provide assistance for the democratic resistance forces in Nicaragua. If funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for any fiscal year are authorized by law to be used for such assistance, funds for such purpose may only be derived from amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department for procurement (other than ammunition).

(b) REPORT.—Before funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense are released to be used for the purpose described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing the specific source of such funds.

SEC. 1352. BUDGET ACCOUNTING FOR NEW SPACE SHUTTLE

Funds appropriated for the procurement of a shuttle orbiter by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to replace the Challenger space shuttle orbiter may not be charged by any official of the executive or legislative branch against major budget function category 050 (National Defense).

SEC. 1353. PROMPT REPORTING OF INTELLIGENCE ON TERRORIST THREATS

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall instruct all appropriate officials of the Department of Defense to take such action as may be necessary to ensure that all credible, time-sensitive intelligence received by or otherwise avail-

able to United States officials concerning potential terrorist threats to—

(A) United States citizens or facilities (including citizens and facilities overseas); or

(B) any other potential target for terrorist activities designated by the Secretary,

is reported promptly to the headquarters or office of the Department of Defense concerned.

(2) The Secretary shall instruct such officials that such intelligence is to be reported through the most appropriate and expeditious communications facilities available to the Department of Defense.

(b) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense shall not be required to issue the instructions referred to in subsection (a) if—

(1) the Secretary determines that such instructions would be inappropriate or unwise; and

(2) before March 1, 1987, notifies Congress of that determination and includes with the notification an explanation of the basis for such determination.

SEC. 1354. USE OF MARINE MAMMALS FOR NATIONAL DEFENSE PURPOSES

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 645 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§7524. Marine mammals: use for national defense purposes

"(a) AUTHORITY.—Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense may authorize the taking of not more than 25 marine mammals each year for national defense purposes. Any such authorization may be made only with the concurrence of the Secretary of Commerce and after consultation with the Marine Mammal Commission established by section 201 of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1401).

"(b) HUMANE TREATMENT REQUIRED.—A mammal taken under this section shall be captured, supervised, cared for, transported, and deployed in a humane manner consistent with conditions established by the Secretary of Commerce.

"(c) PROTECTION FOR ENDANGERED SPECIES.—A mammal may not be taken under this section if the mammal is determined to be a member of an endangered or threatened species under section 4 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1533).

"(d) APPLICATION OF OTHER ACT.—This section applies without regard to the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.).".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"7524. Marine mammals: use for national defense purposes.".

SEC. 1355. REIMBURSEMENT FOR INCIDENTAL EXPENSES INCURRED WHILE PROVIDING VOLUNTARY SERVICES

Section 1588 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsections:

"(c) The Secretary concerned may provide for reimbursement of incidental expenses which are incurred by a person providing voluntary services under subsection (a) as an ombudsman or for a family

service center program. The Secretary shall determine which expenses are eligible for reimbursement under this subsection. Any such reimbursement may only be made from nonappropriated funds.".

SEC. 1356. DEFENSE OF LEGAL MALPRACTICE SUITS

(a)(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code (as amended by section 662), is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§1054. Defense of certain suits arising out of legal malpractice

"(a) The remedy against the United States provided by sections 1346(b) and 2672 of title 28 for damages for injury or loss of property caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any person who is an attorney, paralegal, or other member of a legal staff within the Department of Defense (including the National Guard while engaged in training or duty under section 316, 502, 503, 504, or 505 of title 32), in connection with providing legal services while acting within the scope of the person's duties or employment, is exclusive of any other civil action or proceeding by reason of the same subject matter against the person (or the estate of the person) whose act or omission gave rise to such action or proceeding.

"(b) The Attorney General shall defend any civil action or proceeding brought in any court against any person referred to in subsection (a) (or the estate of such person) for any such injury. Any person against whom such a civil action or proceeding is brought shall deliver, within such time after date of service or knowledge of service as determined by the Attorney General, all process served upon such person (or an attested true copy thereof) to such person's immediate superior or to whomever was designated by the head of the agency concerned to receive such papers. Such person shall promptly furnish copies of the pleading and process therein—

"(1) to the United States attorney for the district embracing the place wherein the action or proceeding is brought;

"(2) to the Attorney General; and

"(3) to the head of the agency concerned.

"(c) Upon a certification by the Attorney General that a person described in subsection (a) was acting in the scope of such person's duties or employment at the time of the incident out of which the suit arose, any such civil action or proceeding commenced in a State court—

"(1) shall be removed without bond at any time before trial by the Attorney General to the district court of the United States of the district and division embracing the place wherein it is pending; and

"(2) shall be deemed a tort action brought against the United States under the provisions of title 28 and all references thereto. Should a United States district court determine on a hearing on a motion to remand held before a trial on the merits that the case so removed is one in which a remedy by suit within the meaning of subsection (a) is not available against the United States, the case shall be remanded to the State court.

"(d) The Attorney General may compromise or settle any claim asserted in such civil action or proceeding in the manner provided in section 2677 of title 28, and with the same effect.

"(e) For purposes of this section, the provisions of section 2680(h) of title 28 shall not apply to a cause of action arising out of a negligent or wrongful act or omission in the provision of legal assistance.

"(f) The head of the agency concerned may hold harmless or provide liability insurance for any person described in subsection (a) for damages for injury or loss of property caused by such person's negligent or wrongful act or omission in the provision of authorized legal assistance while acting within the scope of such person's duties if such person is assigned to a foreign country or detailed for service with an entity other than a Federal department, agency, or instrumentality or if the circumstances are such as are likely to preclude the remedies of third persons against the United States described in section 1346(b) of title 28, for such damage or injury.

"(g) In this section, the term 'head of the agency concerned' means the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"1054. Defense of certain suits arising out of legal malpractice.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 1054 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply only to claims accruing on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, regardless of when the alleged negligent or wrongful act or omission occurred.

SEC. 1357. OFF-POST RENTAL HOUSING LEASE INDEMNITY PILOT PROGRAM

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall establish a pilot program to test the feasibility of implementing a program under which the Secretary of a military department may guarantee compensation of any person who leases a rental unit to any member of the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary for any breach of any lease or any damage to the rental unit by the member.

(2) The program referred to in paragraph (1) shall be established not later than the end of the 90-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) CONTENT OF PROGRAM.—(1) In accordance with action taken by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a), the Secretary of each military department shall designate one military installation in the United States that is under the jurisdiction of such Secretary to participate in the program established under subsection (a).

(2) For purposes of carrying out this section, the Secretary of a military department, to the extent funds are provided in advance in appropriation Acts, may enter into an agreement with any person who leases a rental unit to any member of the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary. Any agreement under this paragraph shall provide that—

(A) the term of the agreement shall not be for more than one year;

(B) the member shall not pay a security deposit;

(C) the Secretary (except as provided in subparagraphs (D) and (E)) shall compensate the lessor for any breach of the lease

by the member and for any damage to the rental unit caused by the member or by any guest or dependent of the member;

(D) the total liability of the Secretary for any breach of the lease or for any damage described in subparagraph (C) shall not exceed an amount equal to the amount that the Secretary determines would have been required by the lessor as a security deposit absent the agreement authorized in this paragraph;

(E) the Secretary shall not compensate the lessor for any breach of the lease or for any damage described in subparagraph (C) until the lessor exhausts any remedies available to the lessor against the member for the breach or damage; and

(F) the Secretary shall be subrogated to the rights of the lessor in any case in which the Secretary compensates the lessor for any breach of the lease or for any damage described in subparagraph (C).

(3) Any authority of the Secretary of a military department under this section shall be exercised under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

(c) RECOVERY FROM MEMBER.—Any Secretary who compensates any lessor under subsection (b) for any damage to a rental unit or any breach of a lease by a member of the Armed Forces may issue a special order under section 1007 of title 37, United States Code, to authorize the withholding from the pay of the member of an amount equal to the amount paid by the Secretary to the lessor as compensation for the breach or damage.

(d) REPORT REQUIREMENT.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report concerning the pilot program established under subsection (a), including—

(A) findings and conclusions of the Secretary with respect to the pilot program; and

(B) recommendations as to the feasibility of implementing a program similar to the pilot program on all military installations.

(2) The report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted not later than the end of the 18-month period following the date of the establishment of the pilot program under subsection (a).

(e) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary of a military department to enter into a contract under subsection (b) shall terminate at the end of the 18-month period following the date of the establishment of the pilot program under subsection (a).

SEC. 1358. WAGE RATE FOR CERTAIN CORPS OF ENGINEERS EMPLOYEES

(a) WAGE DETERMINATIONS.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in the administration of the last undesignated paragraph preceding chapter 6 of title I of Public Law 97–257 (96 Stat. 832), the individuals described in subsection (b) shall be paid wages determined in the same manner as that established in such undesignated paragraph with respect to United States Army Corps of Engineers employees paid from Corps of Engineers Special Power Rate Schedules.

(b) COVERED INDIVIDUALS.—The individuals described in subsection (a) are electric powerplant controllers and powerplant shift operators (as defined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense) assigned to the Soo Locks Power Plant in the Detroit Dis-

trict in the North Central Region of the United States Army Corps of Engineers.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsection (a) applies with respect to pay periods commencing on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1359. REIMBURSEMENT FOR TRANSFERRED DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL RESERVE EQUIPMENT

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 4 of the Defense Industrial Reserve Act (50 U.S.C. 453) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a)" before "To execute"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(b)(1) The Secretary of a military department to which equipment or other property is transferred from the Defense Industrial Reserve shall reimburse appropriations available for the purposes of the Defense Industrial Reserve for the full cost (including direct and indirect costs) of—

"(A) storage of such property;

"(B) repair and maintenance of such property; and

"(C) overhead allocated to such property.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations establishing general policies and fee schedules for reimbursements under paragraph (1).".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—(1) The regulations required to be prescribed by subsection (b) of section 4 of the Defense Industrial Reserve Act, as added by subsection (a), shall be prescribed not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The requirement for reimbursement under such subsection shall apply with respect to property transferred from the Defense Industrial Reserve to a military department after the date on which such regulations are prescribed.

SEC. 1360. EXTENSION OF EXEMPTION FOR DOD POLYGRAPH TEST PROGRAM

Section 1221(e) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 727), is amended—

(1) by striking out "or" at the end of clause (2);

(2) in clause (3)—

(A) by striking out "cryptologic" and inserting in lieu thereof "cryptographic"; and

(B) by striking out the period at the end and inserting in lieu thereof "; and"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new clause:

"(4) to any polygraph examination conducted under the authorization granted by the Secretary of Defense on August 31, 1982, on a person who is participating in a national program—

"(A) which has as its purpose the collection of specialized intelligence through reconnaissance;

"(B) which is under the purview of the Director of Central Intelligence; and

"(C) for which a requirement for a polygraph examination was established on or before October 1, 1985, as a condition for participation in such program.

The number of examinations conducted pursuant to such authorization during fiscal year 1987 may not exceed the number *conducted pursuant to such authorization during fiscal year 1986.".*

SEC. 1361. IDENTIFICATION OF FACILITIES FOR THE DETENTION OF CERTAIN ALIENS

Not later than January 15, 1987, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing—

(1) a list of facilities under the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense that are suitable for use for the detention of Marielito criminal aliens and that are not otherwise needed by the Department of Defense; and

(2) a statement of the estimated costs of using such facilities for the detention of such aliens.

SEC. 1362. CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES AT FORT RILEY, KANSAS

The correctional facilities at Fort Riley, Kansas, may not be closed, transferred, or relocated unless—

(1) the Secretary of Defense transmits to Congress a written notice of the intent to close, transfer, or relocate such facilities, as the case may be; and

(2) the 180-day period beginning on the date on which Congress receives such notice has expired.

SEC. 1363. MINUTEMAN EDUCATION PROGRAM

(a) Restriction on Change in Source.—The Secretary of the Air Force may not change the source providing graduate educational services for the Minuteman Education Program from the source providing such services on May 1, 1986, until 60 days after the date on which the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report setting forth the Secretary's decision to change the source of such services and the reasons for such decision.

(b) Sunset Provision.—The provisions of subsection (b) expire one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1364. FOREIGN ESPIONAGE ACTIVITIES IN THE UNITED STATES

(a) Congressional Findings and Policies.—The Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The conduct of espionage activities (including the collection of classified and unclassified technological information) by the diplomatic and consular missions of the Soviet Union and certain other foreign diplomatic and consular missions within the United States (as well as by certain employees of international organizations acting on behalf of the Soviet Union or certain other foreign countries) represents a grave threat to the security of the United States.

(2) The conduct of such activities constitutes a gross abuse of the rights, privileges, and immunities accorded to persons assigned to such missions, including the right to enter and reside within the United States (or any particular area thereof).

(3) The Soviet Union and certain other countries take advantage of the free and open society of the United States to carry out espionage against the United States.

(4) The United States should take immediate and effective action to counteract espionage by the Soviet Union and certain other countries.

(5) It should be fully consistent with international law and the international obligations of the United States to take reasonable measures to prevent such activities, including measures which would (A) impose restrictions on the travel of such foreign officials within the United States, and (B) close to such officials certain areas of the United States.

(b) CONGRESSIONAL POLICY.—The Congress declares that it is the policy of the United States to impose appropriate restrictions (including travel restrictions) on the official representatives of any foreign country, as well as upon the nationals of such country who are employed by international organizations, when the President determines that a pattern of abuses by that nation is found to exist.

(c) REPORT ON FOREIGN ESPIONAGE.—(1) The President shall submit to the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and to the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives a report on foreign espionage in the United States. Such report shall include the following:

(A) An assessment of the effect of espionage activities in the United States conducted by the Soviet Union and certain other countries whose intelligence activities pose a threat to the national security of the United States.

(B) An assessment of how such countries use the freedom to travel within the United States, accorded to the officials of such countries, to engage in espionage activities against the United States.

(C) An assessment of the advantages and disadvantages of the principle of diplomatic reciprocity and the consequences of such reciprocity on the national security of the United States.

(D) Recommendations for measures to curtail espionage against the United States, including the following:

(i) Prohibiting the personnel of certain foreign governments and certain international organizations from traveling in designated areas of the United States.

(ii) Identifying the governments to whose nationals such restrictions are to apply.

(iii) Identifying those foreign governments which have closed certain areas of their countries to United States diplomatic and consular personnel and, in the case of each such country, the number of such closed areas and the size of such areas in relation to the total area of the country.

(2) The report shall be prepared under the direction of the Secretary of State and in close cooperation with the Secretary of Defense, the Director of Central Intelligence, and the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(3) The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in both a classified and unclassified version.

(4) Such report shall be submitted not later than March 1, 1987.

SEC. 1365. CIVIL AIR PATROL

(a) PAYMENT OF EXPENSES OF PLACING EQUIPMENT INTO SERVICEABLE CONDITION.—Section 9441(b)(9) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "a major item of equipment furnished to the Civil Air Patrol under clause (1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "major items of equipment (including aircraft, motor vehicles, and communications equipment) owned by the Civil Air Patrol".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to funds appropriated for fiscal years after fiscal year 1986.

SEC. 1366. AMENDMENT OF MILITARY SELECTIVE SERVICE ACT TO PROVIDE ELIGIBILITY FOR BENEFITS TO CERTAIN PERSONS WHO FAIL TO REGISTER

Section 12 of the Military Selective Service Act (50 U.S.C. App. 462) (relating to penalties) is amended—

(1) by striking out "Any person" in subsection (f)(1) and inserting in lieu thereof "Except as provided in subsection (g), any person"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g) A person may not be denied a right, privilege, or benefit under Federal law by reason of failure to present himself for and submit to registration under section 3 if—

"(1) the requirement for the person to so register has terminated or become inapplicable to the person; and

"(2) the person shows by a preponderance of the evidence that the failure of the person to register was not a knowing and willful failure to register.".

SEC. 1367. CORRECTION OF EFFECTS OF CONTAMINATION AT ROCKY MOUNTAIN ARSENAL

(a) USE OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED FROM LITIGATION.—(1) Any amount received as the result of the litigation described in paragraph (2) (whether from a judgment rendered in such litigation or from a settlement of such litigation) shall be available, without fiscal year limitation, to the Secretary of the Army only for purposes of correcting the effects of contamination at the Rocky Mountain Arsenal.

(2) The litigation referred to in paragraph (1) is any litigation between the United States and any person concerning the liability of such person for correcting the effects of contamination at the Rocky Mountain Arsenal or for cost recovery relating to correcting the effects of such contamination.

(b) ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICES.—In partial settlement of the litigation described in subsection (a), the United States may accept services that correct the effects of contamination at the Rocky Mountain Arsenal.

SEC. 1368. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING THE DEATH OF LIEUTENANT COLONEL ARTHUR D. NICHOLSON, JUNIOR

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds the following:

(1) On March 24, 1985, Lieutenant Colonel Arthur D. Nicholson, Junior, of the United States Army (then holding the grade of major) was carrying out his official duties as a liaison officer of the United States Military Liaison Mission.

(2) On that date, Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson was performing his duties in uniform and in an open and direct manner,

according to orders, and was conducting himself in a way which was neither provocative nor beyond the limits of proper conduct for members of the United States Military Liaison Mission, and which was well understood and accepted by the Soviet Union.

(3) On that date, a member or members of the armed forces of the Soviet Union shot and fatally wounded Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson without warning and without provocation.

(4) After having shot Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson, members of the armed forces of the Soviet Union forcibly restrained Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson's aide and prevented him from providing medical assistance to Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson, so that Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson died slowly and with great suffering, which death and suffering might have been prevented had Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson been permitted to receive assistance.

(5) The death of Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson was an untimely, unnecessary, cold-blooded murder committed against a United States military officer in pursuit of his official duties by a member or members of the armed forces of the Soviet Union, in a painful and degrading manner.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—The Congress deplores and condemns the cold-blooded murder of Lieutenant Colonel Arthur D. Nicholson, Junior. It is the sense of Congress that the Government of the Soviet Union should—

(1) apologize for and renounce the murder of Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson; and

(2) indemnify the family of Lieutenant Colonel Nicholson financially.

SEC. 1369. DEADLINE FOR APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS OF COMMISSION ON MERCHANT MARINE AND DEFENSE

The President shall appoint members of the Commission on Merchant Marine and Defense as required by section 1536(c)(1)(C) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525; 98 Stat. 2633), not later than the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1370. AVAILABILITY OF NUCLEAR NON-PROLIFERATION INFORMATION TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Section 602 of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Act of 1978 (22 U.S.C. 3282) is amended—

(1) by inserting "the Department of Defense," in subsection (c) after "Department of State,"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f)(1) The Secretary of Defense shall have access, on a timely basis, to all information regarding nuclear proliferation matters which the Secretary of State or the Secretary of Energy has or is entitled to have. Such access shall include access to all communications, materials, documents, and records relating to nuclear proliferation matters.

"(2) This subsection does not apply to any intradepartmental document of the Department of State or the Department of Energy, or any portion of such document, that is solely concerned with inter-

nal, confidential advice on policy concerning the conduct of interagency deliberations on nuclear proliferation matters.".

SEC. 1371. NUCLEAR WINTER STUDY AND REPORT

(a) STUDY.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a comprehensive study on the atmospheric, climatic, biological, health, and environmental consequences of nuclear explosions and nuclear exchanges and the implications that such consequences have for the nuclear weapons, arms control, and civil defense policies of the United States.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than November 1, 1987, the Secretary shall submit to the President and the Congress an unclassified report suitable for release to the public, with classified addenda if necessary, on the study conducted under subsection (a). The report shall contain the following:

(1) A detailed review and assessment of the findings in the current body of domestic and international scientific literature on the atmospheric, climatic, biological, health, and environmental consequences of nuclear explosions and nuclear exchanges.

(2) A thorough evaluation of the implications that such findings have on—

(A) the nuclear weapons policy of the United States, especially with regard to strategy, targeting, planning, command, control, procurement, and deployment;

(B) the nuclear arms control policy of the United States; and

(C) the civil defense policy of the United States.

(3) A discussion of the manner in which the results of such evaluation of policy implications will be incorporated into the nuclear weapons, arms control, and civil defense policies of the United States.

(4) An analysis of the extent to which current scientific findings on the consequences of nuclear explosions are being studied, disseminated, and used in the Soviet Union.

(5) A plan for a five-year research program to advance understanding of nuclear winter and an estimate of the funding necessary to carry out such a research program.

(c) EVALUATION OF REPORT.—Upon submission of the report under subsection (b), the Secretary shall contract with the National Academy of Sciences to—

(1) make an independent evaluation of the material contained in the report; and

(2) not later than April 1, 1988, submit a report to the Secretary of Defense and to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives, setting forth the results of the evaluation and any recommendations pertaining to the contents of the report, including the plan for the five-year research program.

SEC. 1372. SALE OF TWO NAVAL VESSELS

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF SALE TO TAIWAN.—The Secretary of the Navy is hereby authorized to sell two patrol gunboats of the Tacoma class to the Coordination Council for North American Affairs, representing the people on Taiwan, in accordance with section

7307(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, and the Taiwan Relations Act (Public Law 96-8; 22 U.S.C. 3301 et seq.).

(b) Conditions.—A sale of a vessel under subsection (a)—

(1) shall be subject to such terms and conditions as the President may require; and

(2) shall be for a price not less than the value in United States dollars of the vessel involved.

(c) Recovery of United States Expenses.—Any expense of the United States in connection with the transfer of a vessel sold under subsection (a) shall be charged to the purchaser.

(d) Expiration of Authority.—The authority of the Secretary of the Navy under subsection (a) expires at the end of the two-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1373. DRUG INTERDICTION

(a) Comprehensive Program.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a comprehensive program designed to interdict aircraft, vessels, and vehicles carrying illegal drugs into the United States. The program shall include the following:

(1) A clear division of authority in drug interdiction and drug enforcement efforts among all Federal law enforcement agencies involved in those efforts and a mechanism which will insure maximum coordination and cooperation among those agencies.

(2) Designation of a lead agency principally responsible for each of the following areas: marine and air drug interdiction beyond the borders of the United States; domestic and border drug interdiction efforts; and domestic and foreign drug law enforcement efforts.

(3) A requirement that such lead agency shall be advised where possible in advance of activities by any other agency in its area of responsibility and that, upon objection by the lead agency, the matter shall be referred to the National Drug Enforcement Policy Board for resolution.

(4) A comprehensive plan to enhance the capabilities, manpower and equipment of the United States Coast Guard by the end of fiscal year 1989 in order to substantially increase the role of the Coast Guard in drug interdiction and enforcement efforts. Such plan shall specify requirements for command and control between the Coast Guard and the Department of Defense and civilian drug law enforcement and interdiction agencies.

(5) A comprehensive plan to maximize, to the extent it does not adversely affect military preparedness and consistent with the provisions of chapter 18 of title 10, United States Code, assistance by the Department of Defense to other agencies in the drug enforcement and interdiction effort.

(6) A requirement that maximum use be made of existing Department of Defense and Coast Guard command and control networks as well as other available military resources, including equipment, intelligence, and training capabilities.

(b) Report.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a report discussing the following:

(1) Recommendations for amendments to chapter 18 of title 10, United States Code, to allow more efficient use of the Armed Forces in combatting illegal drug trafficking.

(2) The legal consequences of amending chapter 18 of title 10, United States Code, to permit the direct participation of members of the Armed Forces in the interdiction of vessels or aircraft, search and seizure, arrest, or other similar activity in the assistance of civilian law enforcement officials.

(3) The amount of training, the cost of training, and the number of military personnel required to effectuate the changes referred to in paragraph (2).

(4) The effect on military preparedness of a drug interdiction program that would require the Armed Forces to halt the unlawful penetration of the United States borders by aircraft and vessels carrying narcotics and that would use military personnel to locate, pursue, and seize such vessels and aircraft and to arrest their crews.

(5) The costs in the areas of procurement, operation and maintenance, and personnel which would be necessary to restore military preparedness to the level existing before commencement of the program described in paragraph (4).

(6) The cost and number of aircraft, vessels, and personnel needed to seal the borders of the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii, to interdict the unlawful penetration of aircraft, vessels, and ground traffic carrying narcotics.

(7) The cost and number of aircraft and personnel needed to provide continuous aerial radar coverage of the United States in order to interdict the unlawful penetration of aircraft carrying narcotics.

(8) The cost and number of rotor wing and fixed wing aircraft needed to pursue and seize intruding aircraft detected by the radar coverage referred to in paragraph (7) including a plan for the deployment of such rotor wing and fixed wing aircraft.

(9) The effect of carrying out the program referred to in paragraph (4) on the United States ability to meet its defense responsibilities, particularly to members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, Japan, Korea, and Australia.

(c) ASSISTANCE TO AGENCIES.—Section 374 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) In the case of a request from a head of an agency specified in subsection (a), the Secretary shall provide to that agency such assistance as the Secretary considers appropriate to carry out that agency's drug interdiction and enforcement responsibilities.".

(d) RELATIONSHIP TO CHAPTER 18.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to abrogate the restrictions contained in chapter 18 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 1374. GRANTS TO THE HENRY M. JACKSON FOUNDATION

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall make grants to the Henry M. Jackson Foundation (a nonprofit corporation operat-

ing under the laws of the State of the District of Columbia) to support the ongoing educational program of the Foundation. Purposes for which funds provided under this section may be used include scholarships, fellowships, professorships, lectures and symposia, exchanges and other educational initiatives at national institutions of higher education.

(b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF GRANTS.—The purposes, terms, and conditions of grants made under this section shall be prescribed in an agreement to be entered into between the Secretary and the Foundation.

(c) AUTHORITY TO USE INTEREST INCOME.—If funds made available to the Foundation under this section are invested by the Foundation (or any of its subgrantees) pending the disbursement of those funds, any interest realized from such investment shall not be required to be deposited in the Treasury if it is used for the purposes for which the funds were made available.

(d) SOURCE OF FUNDS.—Grants under this section shall be made from any funds available to the Department of Defense for obligation.

(e) AMOUNT AND DEADLINE FOR MAKING GRANTS.—The Secretary shall make grants under this section in the amount of $10,000,000 not later than January 1, 1987.

SEC. 1375. BUDGET ACCOUNTING FOR NEW SPACE SHUTTLE

Funds appropriated for the procurement of a shuttle orbiter by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to replace the Challenger space shuttle orbiter may not be charged by any official of the executive or legislative branch against major budget function category 050 (National Defense).

TITLE XIV—BARRY GOLDWATER SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION PROGRAM

SEC. 1401. SHORT TITLE

This title may be cited as the "Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Act".

SEC. 1402. FINDINGS

The Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Senator Barry Goldwater of the State of Arizona has served his country for 56 years as a soldier and statesman, including service in the United States Senate for a period of 30 years.

(2) Senator Goldwater has a distinguished record as a Senator, including service as Chairman of the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and as Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

(3) Senator Goldwater has long maintained a special interest in the education of America's youth, particularly in the fields of science and mathematics.

(4) It would, therefore, be a fitting tribute to the leadership, courage, and vision of Senator Goldwater to establish in his name a scholarship program to foster and encourage excellence in science and mathematics.

SEC. 1403. DEFINITIONS

In this title:

(1) The term "Foundation" means the Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Foundation established under section 1404(a).

(2) The term "Board" means the Board of Trustees of the Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Foundation established under section 1404(b).

(3) The term "fund" means the Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Fund provided for under section 1408.

(4) The term "institution of higher education" means any such institution as defined in section 1201(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1141(a)).

(5) The term "State" means each of the several States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and, considered as a single entity, Guam, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, the Trust Territories of the Pacific Islands, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas.

(6) The term "eligible person" means a citizen or national of the United States or a permanent resident alien of the United States.

SEC. 1404. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE BARRY GOLDWATER SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION FOUNDATION

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established, as an independent establishment of the executive branch of the United States Government, the Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Foundation.

(b) BOARD OF TRUSTEES.—The Foundation shall be subject to the supervision and direction of the Board of Trustees. The Board shall be composed of 13 members, as follows:

(1) Two members from the Senate, one appointed by the majority leader and one appointed by the minority leader of the Senate.

(2) Two members from the House of Representatives, one appointed by the majority leader and one appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives.

(3) Eight members, not more than four of whom shall be of the same political party, to be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, at least one of whom shall be a representative of the aerospace industry and at least one of whom shall be a representative of a private foundation concerned with aerospace education.

(4) The Secretary of Education, or his designee, who shall serve ex officio as a member of the Board but shall not be eligible to serve as Chairman.

(c) TERM OF OFFICE.—(1) The term of office of each member of the Board shall be six years, except that—

(A) the members first taking office shall serve as designated by the President, four for terms of two years, five for terms of four years, and four for terms of six years, and

(B) a member appointed to fill a vacancy shall serve for the remainder of the term for which his predecessor was appointed

and shall be appointed in the same manner as the original appointment for that vacancy was made.

(2) A Member of Congress appointed to the Board under clause (2) or (3) of subsection (b) may not serve as a member of the Board for more than a total of six years.

(d) TRAVEL AND SUBSISTENCE PAY.—Members of the Board shall serve without pay, but shall be entitled to reimbursement for travel, subsistence, and other necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties.

SEC. 1405. BARRY GOLDWATER SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION AWARDS

(a) AWARD OF SCHOLARSHIPS AND FELLOWSHIPS.—(1) The Foundation may award scholarships and fellowships to eligible persons for study in the fields of science and mathematics. Such scholarships and fellowships shall be awarded to persons as provided in this title who meet the minumum criteria established by the Foundation.

(2) Scholarships shall be awarded to outstanding undergraduate students who intend to pursue careers in mathematics and the natural sciences.

(3) Fellowships shall be awarded to outstanding graduate students who intend to pursue advanced degrees in mathematics and the natural sciences.

(4) The Foundation may provide, directly or by contract, for the conduct of nationwide competition for the purpose of selecting recipients of scholarships and fellowships awarded under this title.

(b) Recipients of scholarships and fellowships under this title shall be known as "Barry Goldwater Scholars".

(c)(1) The Foundation may award honoraria to outstanding educators, teachers, and persons who have volunteered to assist in secondary schools who have made significant contributions to improve the quality of instruction in mathematics and sciences in the secondary school. To the extent the Board determines such action practicable, honoraria awarded under this subsection shall be awarded annually to persons described in the preceding sentence as follows:

(A) To two persons selected at large from each State.

(B) To one person selected from each county in each State.

(C) To persons affiliated with secondary schools on military reservations.

(D) To persons affiliated with the dependent overseas school system.

(2) The Board shall establish a schedule of honoraria to be awarded under paragraph (1).

SEC. 1406. STIPENDS

Each person awarded a scholarship or fellowship under this title shall receive a stipend which shall not exceed the cost to such person for tuition, fees, books, room and board, or such lesser amount as may be prescribed by the Board.

SEC. 1407. SCHOLARSHIP CONDITIONS

(a) IN GENERAL.—A person awarded a scholarship under this title may receive payments authorized under this title only during such periods as the Foundation finds that the person is maintaining satisfactory proficiency and devoting full time to study or research and

is not engaging in gainful employment other than employment approved by the Foundation pursuant to regulations of the Board.

(b) R*EPORTS*.—*The Foundation may require reports containing such information in such form and to be filed at such times as the Foundation determines to be necessary from any person awarded a scholarship under this title. Such reports shall be accompanied by a certificate from an appropriate official at the institution of higher education, approved by the Foundation, stating that such person is making satisfactory progress in, and is devoting essentially full time to study or research, except as otherwise provided in subsection (a).*

SEC. 1408. BARRY GOLDWATER SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION FUND

(a) E*STABLISHMENT OF* F*UND*.—*There is established in the Treasury of the United States a trust fund to be known as the Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Fund. The fund shall consist of amounts appropriated to it pursuant to section 1412 and amounts credited to it under subsection (d).*

(b) I*NVESTMENT OF* F*UND* A*SSETS*.—*It shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Treasury to invest in full the amounts appropriated to the fund. Such investments may be made only in interest-bearing obligations of the United States or in obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States. For such purpose, such obligations may be acquired (1) on original issue at the issue price, or (2) by purchase of outstanding obligations at the market place. The purposes for which obligations of the United States may be issued under the Second Liberty Bond Act are hereby extended to authorize the issuance at par of special obligations exclusively to the fund. Such special obligations shall bear interest at a rate equal to the average rate of interest, computed as to the end of the calendar month next preceding the date of such issue, borne by all marketable interest-bearing obligations of the United States then forming a part of the public debt, except that where such average rate is not a multiple of ⅛ of 1 percent, the rate of interest of such special obligations shall be the multiple of ⅛ of 1 percent next lower than such average rate. Such special obligations shall be issued only if the Secretary determines that the purchases of other interest-bearing obligations of the United States, or of obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States or original issue or at the market price, is not in the public interest.*

(c) A*UTHORITY* T*O* S*ELL* O*BLIGATIONS*.—*Any obligation acquired by the fund (except special obligations issued exclusively to the fund) may be sold by the Secretary at the market price, and such special obligations may be redeemed at par plus accrued interest.*

(d) P*ROCEEDS* F*ROM* C*ERTAIN* T*RANSACTIONS* C*REDITED TO* F*UND*.—*The interest on, and the proceeds from the sale or redemption of, any obligations held in the fund shall be credited to and form a part of the fund.*

SEC. 1409. EXPENDITURES FROM THE FUND

(a) I*N* G*ENERAL*.—*The Secretary of the Treasury may pay to the Foundation from the interest and earnings of the fund such sums as the Board determines are necessary and appropriate to enable the Foundation to carry out the purposes of this title.*

(b) Audits By GAO.—*The activities of the Foundation under this title may be audited by the General Accounting Office under such rules and regulations as may be prescribed by the Comptroller General of the United States. Representatives of the General Accounting Office shall have access to all books, accounts, records, reports, and files and all other papers, things, or property belonging to or in use by the Foundation pertaining to such activities and necessary to facilitate the audit.*

SEC. 1410. EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

(a) Appointment by Board.—*There shall be an Executive Secretary of the Foundation who shall be appointed by the Board. The Executive Secretary shall be the chief executive officer of the Foundation and shall carry out the functions of the Foundation subject to the supervision and direction of the Board. The Executive Secretary shall carry out such other functions consistent with the provisions of this title as the Board shall prescribe.*

(b) Compensation.—*The Executive Secretary of the Foundation shall be compensated at the rate specified for employees in grade GS–18 of the General Schedule under section 5332 of title 5, United States Code.*

SEC. 1411. ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

(a) In General.—*In order to carry out this title, the Foundation may—*

(1) appoint and fix the compensation of such personnel as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this title, except that in no case may an employee other than the Executive Secretary be compensated at a rate to exceed the maximum rate provided for employees in grade GS–15 of the General Schedule under section 5332 of title 5, United States Code;

(2) procure temporary and intermittent services of experts and consultants as are necessary to the extent authorized by section 3109 of title 5, United States Code, but at rates not to exceed the rate specified at the time of such service for grade GS–18 under section 5332 of such title;

(3) prescribe such regulations as it considers necessary governing the manner in which its functions shall be carried out;

(4) receive money and other property donated, bequeathed, or devised, without condition or restriction other than it be used for the purposes of the Foundation, and to use, sell, or otherwise dispose of such property for the purpose of carrying out its functions;

(5) accept and use the services of voluntary and noncompensated personnel and for travel expenses, including per diem, as authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United States Code;

(6) enter into contracts or other arrangements, or make grants, to carry out the provisions of this title, and enter into such contracts or other arrangements, or make such grants, with the concurrence of two-thirds of the members of the Board, without performance or other bonds and without regard to section 3709 of the Revised Statutes (41 U.S.C. 5);

(7) rent office space in the District of Columbia; and

(8) make other necessary expenditures.

(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Foundation shall submit to the President and to Congress an annual report of its operations under this title.

SEC. 1412. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated to the fund $40,000,000 to carry out this title.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION

SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This division may be cited as the "Military Construction Authorization Act, 1987".

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS OF DIVISION.—The table of contents of this division is as follows:

Sec. 2001. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—ARMY

Sec. 2101. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2102. Family housing.
Sec. 2103. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2104. Fort Drum, New York.
Sec. 2105. Community planning assistance.
Sec. 2106. Authorization of appropriations, Army.
Sec. 2107. Extension of certain prior year authorizations.

TITLE II—NAVY

Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2202. Family housing.
Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2204. Acquisition of existing housing units on Guam.
Sec. 2205. Increase in title total amount for military construction project at Kings Bay, Georgia.
Sec. 2206. Certain enlisted quarters, Guam.
Sec. 2207. Limitation on use of funds for certain homeporting.
Sec. 2208. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.
Sec. 2209. Extension of certain prior year authorizations.

TITLE III—AIR FORCE

Sec. 2301. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2302. Family housing.
Sec. 2303. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2304. Restriction on certain military construction funding.
Sec. 2305. Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.
Sec. 2306. Extension of certain prior year authorizations.

TITLE IV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

Sec. 2401. Authorized construction projects and land acquisition for the defense agencies.
Sec. 2402. Family housing.
Sec. 2403. Brooke Army Medical Center.
Sec. 2404. Hazardous waste storage.
Sec. 2405. Authorization of appropriations, defense agencies.
Sec. 2406. Extension of prior year authorizations.

TITLE V—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE

Sec. 2501. Authority of the Secretary of Defense to make contributions.
Sec. 2502. Authorization of appropriations, NATO.
Sec. 2503. Amendment to NATO infrastructure program.
Sec. 2504. Restriction on certain funding.

TITLE VI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

Sec. 2601. Authorization for Guard and Reserve facilities.

TITLE VII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

PART A—EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW

Sec. 2701. Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law.
Sec. 2702. Codification of certain amounts required to be specified by law.

PART B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM PROVISIONS

Sec. 2711. Test of long-term facilities contracts.
Sec. 2712. Planning and design.
Sec. 2713. Build-to-lease and rental guarantee pilot programs.
Sec. 2714. Foreign leases for personnel holding special positions.

PART C—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Sec. 2721. Postal facilities.
Sec. 2722. Community planning assistance.
Sec. 2723. Release of certain use rights held by the United States.
Sec. 2724. Prohibition of funding for certain military construction contracts on Guam.
Sec. 2725. Prohibition on design of Pentagon annex.
Sec. 2726. Study of needs of dependents' educational facilities on military installations.
Sec. 2727. Study of use of property at Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center, California, for military family housing.
Sec. 2728. Real estate acquisition report.

PART D—REAL PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS

Sec. 2731. Land conveyance, Fort Huachuca, Arizona.
Sec. 2732. Lease and development of certain real property, San Diego, California.
Sec. 2733. Selection of site for military family housing at San Pedro, California.
Sec. 2734. Granting of easements and replacement of family housing units and other facilities at Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, California.
Sec. 2735. Land conveyance, March Air Force Base, California.
Sec. 2736. Land exchange, Long Beach Naval Station, California.
Sec. 2737. Land conveyance, Whittier Narrows Dam, Los Angeles County, California.
Sec. 2738. Authorization of land conveyance to city of Arcadia, California.
Sec. 2739. Land exchange, Orlando, Florida.
Sec. 2740. Sale of land and replacement of certain warehousing facilities, Kapalama Military Reservation, Hawaii.
Sec. 2741. Land exchange, Aiea, Hawaii.
Sec. 2742. Land exchange, Santa Fe, New Mexico.
Sec. 2743. Lease of property at the Naval Weapons Station, Charleston, South Carolina.
Sec. 2744. Property management.

TITLE I—ARMY

SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—The Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and may carry out military construction projects in the amounts shown for each of the following installations and locations inside the United States:

UNITED STATES ARMY FORCES COMMAND

Camp Dawson, West Virginia, $8,700,000.
Fort Bragg, North Carolina, $22,250,000.
Fort Campbell, Kentucky, $4,740,000.
Fort Carson, Colorado, $11,300,000.

Fort Devens, Massachusetts, $20,800,000.
Fort Drum, New York, $615,000,000.
Fort Hood, Texas, $13,350,000.
Fort Irwin, California, $820,000.
Fort Lewis, Washington, $21,580,000.
Fort McPherson, Georgia, $2,900,000.
Fort Ord, California, $6,550,000.
Fort Polk, Louisiana, $26,000,000.
Fort Riley, Kansas, $8,250,000.
Fort Sam Houston, Texas, $800,000.
Fort Sheridan, Illinois, $2,050,000.
Fort Stewart, Georgia, $1,550,000.
Fort Wainwright, Alaska, $72,300,000

UNITED STATES ARMY WESTERN COMMAND

Wheeler Army Air Field, Hawaii, $2,900,000.

UNITED STATES ARMY TRAINING AND DOCTRINE COMMAND

Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania, $800,000.
Fort Benning, Georgia, $12,180,000.
Fort Eustis, Virginia, $2,050,000.
Fort Jackson, South Carolina, $10,400,000.
Leavenworth, Kansas, $1,100,000.
Fort Lee, Virginia, $17,600,000.
Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, $42,200,000.
Fort McClellan, Alabama, $540,000.
Fort Rucker, Alabama, $44,500,000.
Fort Story, Virginia, $2,700,000.

UNITED STATES ARMY MATERIEL COMMAND

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, $40,750,000.
Badger Army Ammunition Plant, Wisconsin, $980,000.
Corpus Christi Army Depot, Texas, $10,650,000.
Detroit Arsenal, Michigan, $1,350,000.
Dugway Proving Ground, Utah, $9,700,000.
Fort Monmouth, New Jersey, $4,900,000.
Harry Diamond Laboratory, Maryland, $680,000.
Savanna Army Depot, Illinois, $320,000.
Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona, $820,000.

UNITED STATES ARMY INFORMATION SYSTEMS COMMAND

Fort Huachuca, Arizona, $17,200,000.

UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY

U.S. Military Academy, New York, $24,500,000.

MILITARY TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT COMMAND

Sunny Point Military Ocean Terminal, North Carolina, $650,000.

ASSISTANT CHIEF OF ENGINEERS

Classified, United States, $7,100,000.

(b) Outside the United States.—The Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and may carry out military construction projects in the amounts shown for each of the following installations and locations outside the United States:

UNITED STATES ARMY, JAPAN

Kawakami, Japan, $1,200,000.

EIGHTH UNITED STATES ARMY

Camp Carroll, Korea, $1,740,000.
Camp Casey, Korea, $24,940,000.
Camp Castle, Korea, $4,800,000.
Camp Essayons, Korea, $3,000,000.
Camp Greaves, Korea, $3,650,000.
Camp Hovey, Korea, $9,000,000.
Camp Howze, Korea, $5,850,000.
Camp Humphreys, Korea, $16,100,000.
Camp Jackson, Korea, $1,800,000.
Camp Laguardia, Korea, $2,970,000.
Camp Libby, Korea, $1,100,000.
Camp Liberty Bell, Korea, $780,000.
Camp Long, Korea, $5,600,000.
Camp Market, Korea, $540,000.
Camp Nimble, Korea, $1,950,000.
Camp Page, Korea, $1,400,000.
Camp Pelham, Korea, $2,280,000.
Camp Red Cloud, Korea, $4,550,000.
Camp Stanley, Korea, $4,700,000.
H220, Korea, $2,750,000.
K–16 Army Airfield, Korea, $2,520,000.
Pusan, Korea, $12,940,000.
Second Infantry, Korea, $5,150,000.
Taegu, Korea, $4,900,000.
Yongsan, Korea, $8,760,000.

UNITED STATES ARMY STRATEGIC DEFENSE COMMAND

Kwajalein, $20,600,000.

UNITED STATES ARMY FORCES COMMAND, OVERSEAS

Classified, $4,000,000.
Palmerola Air Base, Honduras, $4,300,000.

UNITED STATES ARMY EUROPE AND SEVENTH ARMY

Ansbach, Germany, $1,790,000.
Aschaffenburg, Germany, $7,000,000.
Bad Kreuznach, Germany, $900,000.
Baumholder, Germany, $18,450,000.
Bitburg, Germany, $19,920,000.
Fulda, Germany, $1,000,000.
Giessen, Germany, $6,570,000.
Goeppingen, Germany, $1,850,000.
Hanau, Germany, $26,150,000.
Heidelberg, Germany, $1,600,000.

Heilbronn, Germany, $2,100,000.
Hohenfels, Germany, $8,800,000.
Kaiserslautern, Germany, $1,400,000.
Karlsruhe, Germany, $10,000,000.
Mannheim, Germany, $2,450,000.
Neu Ulm, Germany, $26,050,000.
Nuernberg, Germany, $5,500,000.
Rheinberg, Germany, $17,150,000.
Schweinfurt, Germany, $23,000,000.
Stuttgart, Germany, $820,000.
Various, Germany, $6,350,000.
Vilseck, Germany, $49,570,000.
Wuerzburg, Germany, $1,000,000.
Katsimidhi Site, Greece, $560,000.
Zelo, Italy, $610,000.

UNITED STATES ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND, OVERSEAS

Location 177, $1,950,000.
Location 276, $3,700,000.
Location 280, $2,100,000.

SEC. 2102. FAMILY HOUSING

The Secretary of the Army may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the following installations in the number of units shown, and in the amount shown, for each installation:

Fort Irwin, California, thirty-eight manufactured home spaces, $730,000.

Fort Ord, California, three hundred and eighty-five units, $34,000,000.

Crailsheim, Germany, forty units, $4,100,000.

Darmstadt, Germany, forty units, $3,150,000.

Erlangen, Germany, one hundred and six units, $9,400,000.

Herzo Base, Germany, thirty-four units, $3,300,000.

Schweinfurt, Germany, ninety units, $8,400,000.

Vilseck, Germany, two hundred and twenty-four units, $21,000,000.

Wildflecken, Germany, twenty-four units, $2,050,000.

Various Locations, Germany, one hundred and twenty units, funded in the manner authorized in section 2103(a).

Fort Polk, Louisiana, five hundred and eighty-three units, $37,000,000.

Kwajalein, Marshall Island, one hundred and thirty-six units, $23,000,000.

Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, one hundred and forty units and seventy manufactured home spaces, $10,800,000.

Fort Drum, New York, one thousand and two hundred units, $91,000,000.

Seneca Army Depot, New York, thirty units, $2,900,000.

SEC. 2103. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

(a) AMOUNT AUTHORIZED.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Army may make expenditures, using amounts appropriated pursuant to section 2106(a)(7)(A),

to improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $79,500,000, of which not more than $11,900,000 may be used to convert portions of existing facilities at various locations in Germany to military family housing units, and may make additional expenditures not to exceed $15,671,000 for energy conservation projects using amounts appropriated pursuant to section 2106(b).

(b) WAIVER OF MAXIMUM PER UNIT COST FOR CERTAIN IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the maximum amount per unit for an improvement project under section 2825(b) of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Army may carry out projects to improve existing military family housing units at the following installations in the number of units shown, and in the amount shown, for each installation:

Aschaffenburg, Germany, one hundred and forty-four units, $5,120,000.

Aschaffenburg, Germany, forty-eight units, $2,800,000.

Bremerhaven, Germany, twenty-four units, $1,400,000.

Karlsruhe, Germany, twenty-four units, $1,400,000.

Kitzingen, Germany, one hundred and two units, $5,950,000.

Mainz, Germany, one unit, $69,000.

Worms, Germany, six units, $350,000.

Fort Benjamin Harrison, Indiana, one hundred and sixty-six units, $6,000,000.

Pusan, Korea, forty-eight units, $2,237,000.

Yongsan, Korea, one unit, $70,000.

Fort Indiantown Gap, Pennsylvania, six units, $166,000.

Fort Sam Houston, Texas, twenty-three units, $930,000.

Fort Myer, Virginia, three units, $140,000.

(c) WAIVER OF SPACE LIMITATION FOR GENERAL OFFICER'S QUARTERS AT YONGSAN, KOREA.—(1) Notwithstanding the maximum space limitations under section 2826(a) of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Army may carry out an improvement project to increase the net floor area of the housing unit for one general officer at the United States Army Garrison, Yongsan, Korea, to not more than 3,574 square feet.

(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term "net floor area" has the same meaning given that term by section 2826(f) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 2104. FORT DRUM, NEW YORK

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—The Secretary of the Army may, in advance of the availability of appropriations authorized to be appropriated by section 2106(c), enter into one or more contracts for the military construction projects authorized by section 2101 at Fort Drum, New York, if each such contract limits the amount of payments that the Federal government is obligated to make under such contract to the amount of appropriations available, at the time the contract is entered into, for obligation under such a contract. Such construction may be accomplished by using one-step turn-key selection procedures or other competitive contracting methods.

(b) FAMILY HOUSING.—(1) Of the family housing units authorized by section 102 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986. to be constructed at Fort Drum, New York—

(A) three of those units shall be constructed for assignment to general officers, and notwithstanding section 2826 of title 10, United States Code, each such unit may be constructed with a maximum net floor area of 3,000 square feet; and

(B) seven of those units shall be constructed for assignment to colonels who hold positions as commanders, and notwithstanding section 2826 of title 10, United States Code, each such unit may be constructed with a maximum net floor area of 2,100 square feet.

(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term "net floor area" has the meaning given that term by section 2826(f) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 2105. COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSISTANCE

The Secretary of the Army may use not more than $400,000 from funds appropriated to the Department of the Army for fiscal year 1987 for planning and design purposes to provide planning assistance to local communities located near the newly established light infantry divisions at Fort Drum, New York, and Fort Wainwright, Alaska, if the Secretary determines that the financial resources available to the communities (by grant or otherwise) are inadequate.

SEC. 2106. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY

(a) In General.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1986, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Army in the total amount of $2,870,396,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2101(a), $660,510,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2101(b), $402,210,000.

(3) For the construction of the Eastern Distribution Center, New Cumberland Army Depot, Pennsylvania, as authorized by section 101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986, $43,000,000.

(4) For Pershing II security upgrade at various locations, Germany, as authorized by section 101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986, $7,000,000.

(5) For unspecified minor construction projects under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, $20,000,000.

(6) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, $130,580,000.

(7) For military family housing functions—

(A) for construction and acquisition of military family housing and facilities, $354,330,000;

(B) for support of military family housing (including the functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), $1,247,266,000 of which—

(i) not more than $31,246,000 may be obligated or expended for the leasing of military family housing units in the United States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and Guam; and

(ii) not more than $142,639,000 may be obligated or expended for the leasing of military family housing units in foreign countries; and

(C) for the Homeowners Assistance Program as authorized by section 2832 of title 10, United States Code, $5,500,000.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS.—Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal years before fiscal year 1987 which could be used for energy conservation projects for existing military family housing units of the Department of the Army that remain available for obligation are hereby authorized to be made available, to the extent provided in appropriation Acts, for energy conservation projects for military family housing of the Army in an amount not to exceed $15,671,000.

(c) ADVANCE AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR FORT DRUM, NEW YORK.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1986, for the military construction projects and land acquisition authorized at Fort Drum, New York, by sections 2101(a) and 2104 as follows:

(1) $221,000,000 in fiscal year 1988.

(2) $214,000,000 in fiscal year 1989.

(d) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS AUTHORIZED IN THIS TITLE.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variations authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2101 may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) and under subsection (c).

SEC. 2107. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN PRIOR YEAR AUTHORIZATIONS

(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 1984 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the provisions of section 607(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1984 (Public Law 98-115, 97 Stat. 780), authorizations for the following projects authorized in section 101 of that Act, as extended by section 606(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-167, 99 Stat. 983) shall remain in effect until October 1, 1987, or the date of enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for fiscal year 1988, whichever is later:

(1) Unaccompanied personnel housing in the amount of $1,400,000 at Argyroupolis, Greece.

(2) Operations building in the amount of $370,000 at Argyroupolis, Greece.

(3) Multipurpose recreation facility in the amount of $480,000 at Argyroupolis, Greece.

(4) Unaccompanied officer housing in the amount of $600,000 at Perivolaki, Greece.

(5) Operations building in the amount of $410,000 at Perivolaki, Greece.

(6) Multipurpose recreation facility in the amount of $620,000 at Perivolaki, Greece.

(7) Physical fitness training center in the amount of $1,000,000 at Elefsis, Greece.

(b) EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 1985 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the provisions of section 607(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-407, 98 Stat. 1515), authorizations for the following projects authorized in section 101 of that Act shall remain in effect until October 1, 1987, or the date of enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for fiscal year 1988, whichever is later:

(1) Barracks with dining facility in the amount of $11,400,000 at Presidio of San Francisco, California.

(2) Child care center in the amount of $1,980,000 at Presidio of San Francisco, California.

(3) Barracks in the amount of $6,600,000 at Presidio of San Francisco, California.

(4) Multipurpose recreation facility in the amount of $1,150,000 at Koropi, Greece.

(5) Multipurpose recreation facility in the amount of $960,000 at Katsimidi, Greece.

(6) Barracks modernization in the amount of $660,000 at Argyroupolis, Greece.

(7) Barracks modernization in the amount of $660,000 at Perivolaki, Greece.

(8) Barracks with dining facility in the amount of $2,350,000 at Elefsis, Greece.

(9) Dining facility modernization in the amount of $860,000, adjusted to $1,340,000 by cost variation approval, at Fort Jackson, South Carolina.

TITLE II—NAVY

SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—The Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and may carry out military construction projects in the amounts shown for each of the following installations and locations inside the United States:

UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS

Headquarters Marine Corps, Arlington, Virginia, $3,020,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort, South Carolina, $4,990,000.

Camp H. M. Smith, Oahu, Hawaii, $2,070,000.

Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, $31,310,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, Camp Pendleton, California, $10,410,000.

Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California, $28,990,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point, North Carolina, $13,450,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, California, $27,850,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii, $30,700,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, New River, North Carolina, $21,710,000.

Marine Corps Recruit Depot, Parris Island, South Carolina, $7,250,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, Tustin, California, $14,910,000.

Marine Corps Air-Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms, California, $32,630,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, Yuma, Arizona, $8,860,000.

SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEMS COMMAND

Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Center, Portsmouth, Virginia, $1,870,000.

CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS

Naval Academy, Annapolis, Maryland, $2,660,000.

Navy Tactical Interoperability Support Activity, Long Beach, California, $510,000.

Naval Legal Service Office, Norfolk, Virginia, $1,080,000.

Naval Legal Service Office Detachment, Oceana, Virginia, $540,000.

Commandant Naval District, Washington, District of Columbia, $2,000,000.

COMMANDER IN CHIEF, ATLANTIC FLEET

Naval Air Station, Brunswick, Maine, $1,160,000.

Naval Air Station, Cecil Field, Florida, $8,640,000.

Naval Station, Charleston, South Carolina, $1,400,000.

Naval Ocean Processing Facility, Dam Neck, Virginia, $540,000.

Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Florida, $4,810,000.

Naval Air Station, Key West, Florida, $1,650,000.

Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek, Virginia, $6,970,000.

Naval Station, Mayport, Florida, $880,000.

Naval Supply Center Detachment, Mayport, Florida, $3,730,000.

Naval Submarine Base, New London, Connecticut, $4,630,000.

Naval Station, New York, New York, $52,950,000.

Fleet Intelligence Center Europe and Atlantic, Norfolk, Virginia, $1,880,000.

Naval Air Station, Norfolk, Virginia, $1,570,000.

COMMANDER IN CHIEF, PACIFIC FLEET

Naval Air Station, Adak, Alaska, $24,900,000.

Naval Facility, Adak, Alaska, $5,700,000.

Naval Air Station, Alameda, California, $18,625,000.

Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington, $7,180,000.

Trident Refit Facility, Bangor, Washington, $1,570,000.

Naval Facility, Centerville Beach, California, $1,370,000.

Naval Amphibious Base, Coronado, California, $17,450,000.

Naval Station, Everett, Washington, $43,580,000.

Naval Air Station, Fallon, Nevada, $31,200,000.

Naval Air Station, Lemoore, California, $980,000.

Naval Station, Long Beach, California, $7,260,000.

Naval Magazine, Lualualei, Hawaii, $4,350,000.

Naval Air Station, Miramar, California, $40,400,000.

Naval Station, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, $3,240,000.

Naval Submarine Base, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, $690,000.

Naval Station, San Diego, California, $19,460,000.

Naval Submarine Base, San Diego, California, $9,400,000.

Naval Station, Seattle, Washington, $2,950,000.

Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island, Washington, $5,180,000.

CHIEF OF NAVAL EDUCATION AND TRAINING

Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi, Texas, $690,000.

Naval Guided Missile School, Dam Neck, Virginia, $3,140,000.

Naval Training Center, Great Lakes, Illinois, $5,300,000.

Naval Construction Training Center, Gulfport, Mississippi, $1,180,000.

Naval Air Station, Kingsville, Texas, $3,780,000.

Combat Systems Technical Schools Command, Mare Island, California, $5,200,000.

Naval Air Station, Memphis, Tennessee, $15,810,000.

Naval Air Station, Meridian, Mississippi, $4,410,000.

Naval Submarine School, New London, Connecticut, $9,540,000.

Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island, $11,700,000.

Surface Warfare Officers School Command, Newport, Rhode Island, $8,840,000.

Fleet Training Center, Norfolk, Virginia, $5,400,000.

Naval Training Center, Orlando, Florida, $9,670,000.

Naval Diving and Salvage Training Center, Panama City, Florida, $2,850,000.

Naval Technical Training Center, Pensacola, Florida, $7,360,000.

Fleet Intelligence Training Center, Pacific, San Diego, California, $4,220,000.

Fleet Training Center, San Diego, California, $3,930,000.

Naval Training Center, San Diego, California, $18,170,000.

NAVAL MEDICAL COMMAND

Naval Hospital, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, $1,670,000.

Naval Hospital, Pensacola, Florida, $690,000.

NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHY COMMAND

Naval Observatory, Washington, District of Columbia, $980,000.

NAVAL SECURITY GROUP COMMAND

Naval Security Group Activity, Adak, Alaska, $14,600,000.

NAVAL SUPPLY SYSTEMS COMMAND

Naval Supply Center, Bremerton, Washington, $500,000.

Naval Supply Center, Jacksonville, Florida, $830,000.

Navy Ships Parts Control Center, Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania, $1,670,000.

Naval Supply Center, Norfolk, Virginia, $3,140,000.

Naval Supply Center, Oakland, California, $3,490,000.

Naval Supply Center, San Diego, California, $1,370,000.

NAVAL AIR SYSTEMS COMMAND

Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii, $8,260,000.

Naval Air Rework Facility, Cherry Point, North Carolina, $37,200,000.

Naval Air Test Center, Patuxent River, Maryland, $21,140,000.
Naval Air Rework Facility, Pensacola, Florida, $8,050,000.
Pacific Missile Test Center, Point Mugu, California, $590,000.

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND

Naval Construction Battalion Center, Gulfport, Mississippi, $17,650,000.
Navy Public Works Center, Norfolk, Virginia, $8,740,000.
Navy Public Works Center, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, $7,490,000.
Navy Public Works Center, Pensacola, Florida, $2,120,000.
Naval Construction Battalion Center, Port Hueneme, California, $3,980,000.
Navy Public Works Center, San Francisco, California, $450,000.

NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND

Charleston Naval Shipyard, Charleston, South Carolina, $10,810,000.
Naval Weapons Station, Charleston, South Carolina, $22,630,000.
Naval Weapons Station, Concord, California, $790,000.
Naval Weapons Support Center, Crane, Indiana, $6,880,000.
Naval Weapons Station, Earle, New Jersey, $34,760,000.
Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, Maryland, $500,000.
Long Beach Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California, $3,630,000.
Norfolk Naval Shipyard, Portsmouth, Virginia, $5,900,000.
Naval Sea Combat Systems Engineering Station, Norfolk, Virginia, $980,000.
Supervisor of Shipbuilding, Pascagoula, Mississippi, $4,120,000.
Naval Ship System Engineering Station, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, $400,000.
Portsmouth Naval Shipyard, Kittery, Maine, $23,170,000.
Naval Weapons Station, Yorktown, Virginia, $4,220,000.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California, $1,370,000.
Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren, Virginia, $15,960,000.
Naval Coastal Systems Center, Panama City, Florida, $880,000.
Naval Research Laboratory Annex, Quantico, Virginia, $1,500,000.

STRATEGIC SYSTEMS PROJECT OFFICE

Naval Submarine Base, Kings Bay, Georgia, $122,390,000.

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—The Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and may carry out military construction projects in the amounts shown for each of the following installations and locations outside the United States:

MARINE CORPS

Marine Corps Air Station, Futenma, Okinawa, Japan, $4,270,000.
Marine Corps Base, Camp Smedley D. Butler, Okinawa, Japan, $8,950,000.

COMMANDER IN CHIEF, ATLANTIC FLEET

Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, $2,600,000.

Naval Air Station, Keflavik, Iceland, $13,840,000.

Naval Facility, Keflavik, Iceland, $1,570,000.

Atlantic Fleet Weapons Training Facility, Roosevelt Roads, Puerto Rico, $4,220,000.

Naval Station, Roosevelt Roads, Puerto Rico, $7,080,000.

COMMANDER IN CHIEF, PACIFIC FLEET

Administrative Support Unit, Bahrain Island, $2,550,000.

Mobile Construction Battalion, Camp Covington, Guam, $15,500,000.

Naval Facility, Guam, $820,000.

Naval Supply Depot, Guam, $400,000.

Naval Station, Subic Bay, Republic of the Philippines, $1,710,000,

Naval Supply Depot, Subic Bay, Republic of the Philippines, $290,000.

Naval Ship Repair Facility, Subic Bay, Republic of the Philippines, $1,770,000.

COMMANDER IN CHIEF, UNITED STATES NAVAL FORCES EUROPE

Naval Activities, London, United Kingdon, $1,180,000.

Naval Support Activity, Naples, Italy, $1,570,000.

Naval Station, Rota, Spain, $4,600,000.

Classified Locations, $15,700,000.

NAVAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMMAND

Naval Communications Area Master Station, Western Pacific, Guam, $480,000.

Naval Communications Station, Harold E. Holt, Exmouth, Australia, $2,180,000.

Naval Communications Area Master Station, Mediterranean, Naples, Italy, $8,250,000.

Naval Communication Station, San Miguel, Republic of the Philippines, $470,000.

Naval Communication Station, Thurso, United Kingdom, $350,000.

NAVAL SECURITY GROUP COMMAND

Naval Security Group Activity, Edzell, Scotland, $2,250,000.

Naval Security Group Detachment, Guam, $2,150,000.

Naval Security Group Activity, Sabana Seca, Puerto Rico, $790,000.

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND

Navy Public Works Center, Guam, $1,570,000.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Naval Underwater Systems Center, Andros Island, Bahamas, $3,730,000.

SEC. 2202. FAMILY HOUSING

The Secretary of the Navy may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the following installations in the number of units shown, and in the amount shown, for each installation:

Naval Station, Long Beach, California, three hundred units, $22,900,000.

Naval Air Station, Moffett Field, California, one hundred and twenty-six units, $11,600,000.

Navy Public Works Center, San Francisco, California, three hundred units, $26,450,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, California, $2,300,000, as authorized in section 2734.

Marine Corps Air-Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms, California, three hundred and ninety-two units and seventy-five mobile home spaces, $35,300,000.

Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton, California, one hundred mobile home spaces, $1,800,000.

Marine Corps Base, Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, seventy-five mobile home spaces, $930,000.

Marine Corps Development and Education Command, Quantico, Virginia, fifty mobile home spaces, $790,000.

Naval Station, Keflavik, Iceland, two hundred and fifty units, $48,642,000.

SEC. 2203. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

(a) Amount Authorized.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may make expenditures, using amounts appropriated pursuant to section 2208(a)(7)(A), to improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $26,580,000.

(b) Waiver of Maximum Per Unit Cost For Certain Improvement Projects.—Notwithstanding the maximum amount per unit for an improvement project under 2825(b) of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may carry out projects to improve existing military family housing units at the following installations in the number of units shown, and in the amount shown, for each installation:

Naval Station, Mare Island, Vallejo, California, one hundred units, $5,200,000.

Marine Corps Logistics Base, Albany, Georgia, one unit, $33,300.

Navy Public Works Center, Great Lakes, Illinois, two hundred and ten units, $9,400,000.

Naval Air Station, Brunswick, Maine, forty-four units, $1,274,600.

Naval Construction Battalion Center, Gulfport, Mississippi, one unit, $28,700.

Naval Air Station, Fallon, Nevada, forty-four units, $1,868,500.

Naval Station, New York, New York, one hundred and twenty units, $9,600,000.

Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, Pennsylvania, six units, $256,800.

Naval Education and Training Center, Newport, Rhode Island, one hundred and eighty-six units, $10,200,000.

Navy Public Works Center, Guam, one unit, $64,700.

Navy Public Works Center, Guam, one hundred and nine units, $10,360,000.

Naval Air Station, Agana, Guam, one hundred units, $9,517,000.

Naval Air Station, Agana, Guam, one unit, $58,600.

SEC. 2204. ACQUISITION OF EXISTING HOUSING UNITS ON GUAM

The Secretary of the Navy may acquire, without reimbursement, 89 existing family housing units constructed and used by the Federal Aviation Administration on land in Finegayan, Guam, held by the Secretary of the Navy under the provisions of section 1158 of title 49, United States Code.

SEC. 2205. INCREASE IN TITLE TOTAL AMOUNT FOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT AT KINGS BAY, GEORGIA

Section 602(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–167; 99 Stat. 981), is amended by striking "may not exceed the total amount authorized" and all that follows and inserting the following:

"may not exceed—

"(1) the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a);

"(2) the amount specified in subsection (b); and

"(3) $85,600,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 201(a) for military construction projects at Kings Bay, Georgia).".

SEC. 2206. CERTAIN ENLISTED QUARTERS, GUAM

Section 201(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–167; 99 Stat. 970), is amended—

(1) by striking out "Naval Magazine, Guam, $11,270,000." and inserting in lieu thereof "Naval Magazine, Guam, $6,570,000."; and

(2) by striking out "Naval Station, Guam, $10,200,000." and inserting in lieu thereof "Naval Station, Guam, $14,900,000.".

SEC. 2207. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN HOMEPORTING

(a) In General—Funds appropriated pursuant to an authorization in section 2208 for Naval Station, Everett, Washington, may not be obligated or expended for such purpose until—

(1) all Federal, state, and local permits required for the dredging activities to be carried out with respect to homeporting at Everett, Washington, have been issued; and

(2) the State of Washington has appropriated, in fiscal year 1987, its share of funds for fiscal years 1988 and 1989 for all projects agreed with by the Department of the Navy for homeporting at Everett, Washington.

(b) Limitation on Amount of Funds that May be Expended through Fiscal Year 1991.—Not more than a total of $272,000,000, including $16,000,000 for defense access roads, may be obligated or expended by the Department of Defense and the Department of the Navy during fiscal years 1986 through 1991 for con-

struction associated with strategic homeporting at Everett, Washington.

SEC. 2208. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY

(a) In General.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1986, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Navy in the total amount of $2,147,438,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2201(a), $1,109,795,000,.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2201(b), $110,840,000.

(3) For military construction projects at Kings Bay, Georgia, authorized by section 201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986, $45,450,000.

(4) For unspecified minor construction projects under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, $15,000,000.

(5) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, $143,770,000.

(6) For advances to the Secretary of Transportation for construction of defense access roads under section 210 of title 23, United States Code, $5,400,000.

(7) For military family housing functions—

(A) for construction and acquisition of military family housing and facilities, $159,292,000; and

(B) for support of military housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), $557,891,000, of which—

(i) not more than $5,214,100 may be obligated or expended for the leasing of military family housing in the United States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and Guam; and

(ii) not more than $17,244,900 may be obligated or expended for the leasing of military family housing units in foreign countries.

(b) Limitation on Total Cost of Construction Projects Authorized in This Title.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2201 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) and $20,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2202(a) for the construction of an ammunition pier and trestle at the Naval Weapons Station, Earle, New Jersey).

SEC. 2209. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN PRIOR YEAR AUTHORIZATIONS

(a) Extension of Authorization of Certain Fiscal Year 1984 Projects.—Notwithstanding the provisions of section 607(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1984 (Public Law 98–115, 97 Stat. 780), authorizations for the following projects authorized in section 201 of that Act, as extended by section 606(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–167, 99 Stat. 983) shall remain in effect until October 1, 1987, or the date of en-

actment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for fiscal year 1988, whichever is later:

(1) Land acquisition in the amount of $830,000 at the Naval Weapons Station, Concord, California.

(2) Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing in the amount of $10,000,000 at the Naval Air Station, Jacksonville, Florida.

(3) Electrical distribution lines in the amount of $7,200,000 at the Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Vallejo, California.

(b) EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 1985 PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the provisions of section 607(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-407, 98 Stat. 1515), authorizations for the following projects authorized in sections 201 of that Act shall remain in effect until October 1, 1987, or the date of enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for fiscal year 1988, whichever is later:

(1) Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing in the amount of $10,740,000 at the Marine Corps Air Station, Yuma, Arizona.

(2) Antenna support facility in the amount of $320,000 at the Naval Security Group Activity, Adak, Alaska.

(3) Data processing center in the amount of $6,160,000 at the Naval Supply Center, Bremerton, Washington.

(4) Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing in the amount of $12,130,000 at the Naval Air Station, Whidbey Island, Washington.

(5) Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing in the amount of $6,600,000 at the Naval Station, Mare Island, Vallejo, California.

(6) Engine test cell in the amount of $9,700,000 for the Naval Air Rework Facility, Cherry Point, North Carolina.

(7) Security building in the amount of $850,000 for the Naval Station, Norfolk, Virginia.

(8) Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing in the amount of $1,580,000 for the Naval Station, Panama Canal, Panama.

(9) Heating, ventilation and air conditioning in the amount of $4,540,000 for the Naval Air Station, Alameda, California.

(10) Land acquisition in the amount of $4,750,000 for the Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, California.

(11) Maintenance hangar in the amount of $5,000,000 for the Naval Air Station, Fallon, Nevada.

(12) Construction battalion unit complex in the amount of $1,090,000 for the Naval Station, Mare Island, Vallejo, California.

(13) Airframes shop in the amount of $1,680,000 for the Pacific Missile Test Center, Point Mugu, California.

(14) Unaccompanied enlisted personnel housing in the amount of $6,650,000 for the Pacific Missile Test Center, Point Mugu, California.

(15) Maintenance hangar in the amount of $13,200,000 for the Marine Corps Air Station, Tustin, California.

(16) Oil spill prevention facility in the amount of $710,000 for the Marine Corps Air Station, Tustin, California.

(17) Energy monitoring and control system in the amount of $1,100,000 for the Naval Air Station, Chase Field, Texas.

(18) Host nation infrastructure support in the amount of $2,970,000 for various locations.

TITLE III—AIR FORCE

SEC. 2301. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—The Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and may carry out military construction projects in the amount shown for each of the following installations and locations inside the United States:

AIR FORCE LOGISTICS COMMAND

Hill Air Force Base, Utah, $20,550,000.
McClellan Air Force Base, California, $28,900,000.
Newark Air Force Station, Ohio, $3,000,000.
Robins Air Force Base, Georgia, $16,055,000.
Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma, $32,900,000.
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, $16,200,000.

AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND

Arnold Air Force Station, Tennessee, $3,530,000.
Edwards Air Force Base, California, $10,900,000.
Eglin Air Force Base, Florida, $720,000.
Hanscom Air Force Base, Massachusetts, $4,000,000.
Patrick Air Force Base, Florida, $2,600,000.
Sunnyvale Air Force Station, California, $2,600,000.

AIR TRAINING COMMAND

Chanute Air Force Base, Illinois, $11,300,000.
Keesler Air Force Base, Mississippi, $1,970,000.
Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, $16,000,000.
Laughlin Air Force Base, Texas, $3,700,000.
Mather Air Force Base, California, $740,000.
Williams Air Force Base, Arizona, $4,900,000.

AIR UNIVERSITY

Gunter Air Force Station, Alabama, $2,900,000.
Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama, $5,310,000.

ALASKAN AIR COMMAND

Eielson Air Force Base, Alaska, $12,590,000.
Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, $3,170,000.
Galena Airport, Alaska, $11,600,000.
King Salmon Airport, Alaska, $4,050,000.
Shemya Air Force Base, Alaska, $22,300,000.

MILITARY AIRLIFT COMMAND

Altus Air Force Base, Oklahoma, $310,000.
Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland, $25,430,000.
Charleston Air Force Base, South Carolina, $7,490,000.
Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico, $11,800,000.
Little Rock Air Force Base, Arkansas, $2,750,000.

McChord Air Force Base, Washington, $6,400,000.
McGuire Air Force Base, New Jersey, $7,895,000.
Norton Air Force Base, California, $1,450,000.
Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina, $3,400,000.
Scott Air Force Base, Illinois, $1,100,000.
Travis Air Force Base, California, $8,200,000.

PACIFIC AIR FORCES

Hickam Air Force Base, Hawaii, $4,700,000.

SPACE COMMAND

Cape Cod Air Force Station, Massachusetts, $4,300,000.
Cavalier Air Force Station, North Dakota, $2,820,000.
Falcon Air Force Station, Colorado, $6,400,000.
Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado, $3,640,000.

SPECIAL PROJECT

Various Locations, $37,056,000.

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND

Beale Air Force Base, California, $9,516,000.
Blytheville Air Force Base, Arkansas, $3,870,000.
Carswell Air Force Base, Texas, $490,000.
Castle Air Force Base, California, $2,630,000.
Dyess Air Force Base, Texas, $4,130,000.
Ellsworth Air Force Base, South Dakota, $10,910,000.
Fairchild Air Force Base, Washington, $7,520,000.
F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Wyoming, $3,550,000.
Grand Forks Air Force Base, North Dakota, $20,730,000.
Griffiss Air Force Base, New York, $1,590,000.
Grissom Air Force Base, Indiana, $3,850,000.
Holbrook Radar Bomb Score Site, Arizona, $630,000.
K.I. Sawyer Air Force Base, Michigan, $1,730,000.
La Junta Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Colorado, $5,460,000.
Loring Air Force Base, Maine, $1,300,000.
Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana, $30,770,000.
March Air Force Base, California, $14,440,000.
McConnell Air Force Base, Kansas, $33,740,000.
Minot Air Force Base, North Dakota, $30,100,000.
Offutt Air Force Base, Nebraska, $24,970,000.
Pease Air Force Base, New Hampshire, $2,200,000.
Plattsburgh Air Force Base, New York, $3,410,000.
Vandenberg Air Force Base, California, $2,700,000.
Whiteman Air Force Base, Missouri, $10,700,000.
Wurtsmith Air Force Base, Michigan, $10,740,000.

TACTICAL AIR COMMAND

Avon Park Auxiliary Air Field, Florida, $4,100,000.
Base 39, Classified Location, $2,300,000.
Bergstrom Air Force Base, Texas, $1,860,000.
Cannon Air Force Base, New Mexico, $7,250,000.
Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona, $13,230,000.
George Air Force Base, California, $9,550,000.

Holloman Air Force Base, New Mexico, $14,760,000.
Homestead Air Force Base, Florida, $6,550,000.
Indian Springs Auxiliary Air Field, Nevada, $1,700,000.
Langley Air Force Base, Virginia, $9,640,000.
Luke Air Force Base, Arizona, $24,140,000.
MacDill Air Force Base, Florida, $2,380,000.
Moody Air Force Base, Georgia, $900,000.
Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, $14,760,000.
Myrtle Beach Air Force Base, South Carolina, $3,000,000.
Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada, $16,100,000.
Seymour-Johnson Air Force Base, North Carolina, $660,000.
Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina, $3,700,000.
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida, $15,310,000.
WESTCONUS, Various Locations, $10,300,000.

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY

Air Force Academy, Colorado, $12,620,000.

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—The Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and may carry out military construction projects in the amounts shown for each of the following installations and locations outside the United States:

MILITARY AIRLIFT COMMAND

Lajes Field, Portugal, $7,450,000.
Rhein-Main Air Base, Germany, $1,300,000.

PACIFIC AIR FORCES

Kadena Air Base, Japan, $7,365,000.
Misawa Air Base, Japan, $10,300,000.
Totsuka Air Base, Japan, $700,000.
Yokosuka Air Base, Japan, $600,000.
Camp Red Cloud, Korea, $1,450,000.
Kimhae Air Base, Korea, $3,620,000.
Kunsan Air Base, Korea, $8,170,000.
Kwang-Ju Air Base, Korea, $600,000.
Osan Air Base, Korea, $11,350,000.
Suwon Air Base, Korea, $3,850,000.
Taegu Air Base, Korea, $5,170,000.
Clark Air Base, Republic of the Philppines, $41,100,000.
Saipan, $5,200,000.

SPACE COMMAND

Sondrestrom Air Base, Greenland, $5,860,000.
Thule Air Base, Greenland, $9,790,000.
Woomera Air Station, Australia, $2,300,000.

STRATEGIC AIR COMMAND

Andersen Air Force Base, Guam, $7,600,000.

TACTICAL AIR COMMAND

Keflavik Naval Air Station, Iceland, $1,600,000.
Masirah, Oman, $3,500,000.

Thumrait, Oman, $3,400,000.

UNITED STATES AIR FORCES IN EUROPE

Florennes Air Base, Belgium, $1,200,000.
Bitburg Air Base, Germany, $5,350,000.
Hahn Air Base, Germany, $10,740,000.
Lindsey Air Station, Germany, $1,200,000.
Ramstein Air Base, Germany, $23,070,000.
Sembach Air Base, Germany, $11,870,000.
Spangdahlem Air Base, Germany, $8,310,000.
Wueschheim Air Station, Germany, $310,000.
Zweibrucken Air Base, Germany, $6,740,000.
Iraklion Air Station, Greece, $320,000.
Camp Darby, Italy, $270,000.
Comiso Air Station, Italy, $3,000,000.
San Vito Air Station, Italy, $3,790,000.
Morocco, $25,400,000.
Camp New Amsterdam, The Netherlands, $1,500,000.
Woensdrecht Air Base, The Netherlands, $30,780,000.
Ankara Air Station, Turkey, $5,230,000.
Incirlik Air Base, Turkey, $12,250,000.
Pirinclik Air Station, Turkey, $3,000,000.
Martlesham Heath, United Kingdom, $1,650,000.
RAF Alconbury, United Kingdom, $13,770,000.
RAF Bentwaters, United Kingdom, $3,850,000.
RAF Chicksands, United Kingdom, $950,000.
RAF Croughton, United Kingdom, $630,000.
RAF Fairford, United Kingdom, $4,450,000.
RAF Lakenheath, United Kingdom, $500,000.
RAF Mildenhall, United Kingdom, $4,700,000.
RAF Molesworth, United Kingdom, $2,430,000.
RAF Upper Heyford, United Kingdom, $5,700,000.
RAF Welford, United Kingdom, $1,590,000.
RAF Wethersfield, United Kingdom, $650,000.
Base 30, Classified Location, $2,950,000.
Overseas Classified Location, $11,500,000.
Various Locations, Europe, $3,953,000.

SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING

The Secretary of the Air Force may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the following installations, in the number of units shown, and in the amount shown, for each installation:

Bitburg Air Base, Germany, three hundred and thirty-two units, $26,415,000.

Hahn Air Base, Germany, one hundred and fifty units, $11,300,000.

La Junta Air Force Station, Colorado, forty units, $4,000,000.

Beale Air Force Base, California, family housing maintenance shop, $180,000.

Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona, family housing management office, $300,000.

McGuire Air Force Base, New Jersey, family housing management office, $325,000.

Pope Air Force Base, North Carolina, family housing management office, $300,000.

Edwards Air Force Base, California, twenty-four mobile home spaces, $376,000.

SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS

(a) AMOUNT AUTHORIZED.—Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Air Force may make expenditures, using amounts appropriated pursuant to section 2305(a)(6)(A), to improve existing military family housing units, including energy conservation projects, in an amount not to exceed $58,644,000.

(b) WAIVER OF MAXIMUM PER UNIT COST FOR CERTAIN IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the maximum amount per unit for an improvement project under section 2825(b) of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out projects to improve existing military family housing units at the following installations in the number of units shown, and in the amount shown, for each installation:

Eielson Air Force Base, Alaska, eighty-eight units, $6,186,000.

Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, twelve units, $760,000.

Air Force Academy, Colorado, one unit, $120,000.

Peterson Air Force Base, Colorado, four units, $149,000.

MacDill Air Force Base, Florida, one hundred and fifty-eight units, $4,430,000; seven units, $553,000; seventy-one units, $2,103,000.

Scott Air Force Base, Illinois, one hundred and twelve units, $4,690,000.

Barksdale Air Force Base, Louisiana, one hundred and fourteen units, $5,342,000.

Bangor Air Force Station, Maine, one unit, $30,000.

Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland, seven units, $518,000.

Pease Air Force Base, New Hampshire, two-hundred units, $7,177,000.

Plattsburgh Air Force Base, New York, twenty-nine units, $2,272,000.

Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina, one hundred and twenty-five units, $4,385,000; seventy-four units, $2,598,000.

Carswell Air Force Base, Texas, two hundred and three units, $7,478,000.

Lackland Air Force Base, Texas, sixty-four units, $1,920,000.

Randolph Air Force Base, Texas, five units, $402,000.

Reese Air Force Base, Texas, one hundred units, $2,895,000.

Langley Air Force Base, Virginia, five units, $441,000.

Andersen Air Force Base, Guam, two hundred units, $14,517,000.

Kadena Air Base, Japan, one hundred units, $5,054,000; three units, $240,000.

SEC. 2304. RESTRICTION ON CERTAIN MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FUNDING

Funds appropriated for military construction may not be used during fiscal year 1987 in connection with the basing at any military installation or facility other than Little Rock Air Force Base, Jacksonville, Arkansas, the eight KC–135 tanker aircraft that, as of

June 1, 1986, were based at such Air Force base and assigned to the 189th Air Refueling Group of the Air National Guard.

SEC. 2305. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE

(a) IN GENERAL.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1986, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force in the total amount of $2,143,729,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2301(a) $784,642,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2301(b), $351,878,000.

(3) For unspecified minor construction projects under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, $16,000,000.

(4) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, $117,260,000.

(5) For advances to the Secretary of Transportation for construction of defense access roads under section 210 of title 23, United States Code, $32,700,000.

(6) For military family housing functions—

(A) for construction and acquisition of military family housing and facilities, $108,840,000; and

(B) for support of military housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), $732,409,000, of which—

(i) not more than $5,600,000 may be obligated or expended for the leasing of military family housing units in the United States, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and Guam; and

(ii) not more than $67,145,000 may be obligated or expended for the leasing of military family housing units in the foreign countries.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF UNOBLIGATED FUNDS FOR ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS.—Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal years before fiscal year 1987 which could be used for energy conservation projects of the Department of the Air Force and which remain available for obligation are hereby authorized to be made available, to the extent provided in appropriation Acts, for energy conservation projects authorized in section 2301(a) in an amount not to exceed $9,470,000.

(c) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS AUTHORIZED IN THIS TITLE.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2301 may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) and the amount specified in subsection (b).

(d) USE OF CERTAIN FUNDS.—The Secretary of the Air Force may use not more than $350,000 of the amount appropriated pursuant to subsection (a)(3) to acquire approximately 20 acres of real property, and improvements thereon, located adjacent to Lake Wateree near Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina.

SEC. 2306. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN PRIOR YEAR AUTHORIZATIONS

Notwithstanding the provisions of section 607(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98–407, 98 Stat. 1515), authorizations for the following projects authorized in section 302 of that Act shall remain in effect until October 1, 1987, or the date of enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for fiscal year 1988, whichever is later:

(1) Fort MacArthur, California, 140 units, $15,100,000.

(2) RAF Greenham Common, United Kingdom, 250 units, $22,441,000.

(3) RAF Bentwaters, United Kingdom, 200 units, $20,163,000.

TITLE IV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS AND LAND ACQUISITION FOR THE DEFENSE AGENCIES

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—The Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects in the amounts shown for each of the following installations and locations inside the United States:

DEFENSE COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY

Scott Air Force Base, Illinois, $7,600,000.

DEFENSE LANGUAGE INSTITUTE

Defense Language Institute, Monterey, California, $5,400,000.

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

Defense Fuel Support Point, Estero Bay, California, $680,000.
Defense Construction Supply Center, Columbus, Ohio, $860,000.
Defense Fuel Support Point, Charleston, South Carolina, $460,000.
Defense Fuel Support Point, Naval Supply Center, Charleston, South Carolina, $5,130,000.
Defense Depot, Memphis, Tennessee, $1,160,000.

DEFENSE MAPPING AGENCY

Aerospace Center, St. Louis, Missouri, $16,700,000.

DEFENSE MEDICAL FACILITIES OFFICE

Edwards Air Force Base, California, $3,950,000.
Fort Ord, California, $530,000.
Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, $9,700,000.
Mountain Home Air Force Base, Idaho, $30,500,000.
Fort Polk, Louisiana, $2,650,000.
Keesler Air Force Base, Mississippi, $3,100,000.
McGuire Air Force Base, New Jersey, $3,800,000.
Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico, $16,000,000.
Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, $3,900,000.
Fort Hood, Texas, $3,450,000.
Brooks Air Force Base, Texas, $1,850,000.
Randolph Air Force Base, Texas, $13,700,000.
Fort Sam Houston, Texas, $135,000,000.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY

Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, $790,000.

Field Command, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico, $900,000.

JOINT TACTICAL COMMAND, CONTROL, AND COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY

Fort Huachucha, Arizona, $9,890,000.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY

Fort Meade, Maryland, $9,570,000.

Classified Location, $4,000,000.

Classified Location, $1,000,000.

DEFENSE-LEVEL ACTIVITIES

Classified Location, $3,000,000.

STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE

Edwards Air Force Base, $4,140,000.

Pacific Missile Range, Kauai, Hawaii, $2,890,000.

White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, $1,930,000.

UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES

Bethesda, Maryland, $900,000.

(b) Outside the United States.—The Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and may carry out military construction projects in the amounts shown for each of the following installations and locations outside the United States:

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

Defense Fuel Support Point, Chimu Wan, Japan, $6,640,000.

Defense Fuel Support Point, Tsurumi, Japan, $3,520,000.

Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office, Bupyong, Korea, $1,290,000.

Defense Fuel Support Point, Toegeywon, Korea, $1,010,000.

DEFENSE MEDICAL FACILITIES OFFICE

Boeblingen, Germany, $3,650,000.

Grafenwoehr, Germany, $3,950,000.

Karlsruhe, Germany, $6,800,000.

Vilseck, Germany, $5,600,000.

Camp Edwards, Korea, $1,800,000.

Camp Long, Korea, $1,850,000.

Camp Pelham, Korea, $720,000.

Camp New Amsterdam, The Netherlands, $6,000,000.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT SCHOOLS

Florennes, Belgium, $1,260,000.

Naval Air Station, Bermuda, $4,280,000.

Aschaffenburg, Germany, $6,600,000.

Bad Kissingen, Germany, $1,330,000.

Baumholder, Germany, $1,600,000.

Dexheim, Germany, $2,430,000.
Erlangen, Germany, $3,220,000.
Gelnhausen, Germany, $1,130,000.
Grafenwoehr, Germany, $2,500,000.
Hahn, Germany, $3,470,000.
Heidelberg, Germany, $3,190,000.
Hessisch-Oldendorf, Germany, $2,310,000.
Hohenfels, Germany, $1,190,000.
Kaiserslautern, Germany, $7,880,000.
Nuernberg, Germany, $8,580,000.
Schwaebish Gmuend, Germany, $1,640,000.
Stuttgart, Germany, $4,530,000.
Wuerzburg, Germany, $7,760,000.
Seoul, Korea, $510,000.
Woensdrecht, The Netherlands, $7,420,000.

STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE

Pacific Missile Range, Kwajalein, $1,340,000.

SEC. 2402. FAMILY HOUSING

The Secretary of Defense may construct or acquire three family housing units (including land acquisition) at classified locations in the total amount of $270,000.

SEC. 2403. BROOKE ARMY MEDICAL CENTER

(a) AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT IN ADVANCE OF APPROPRIATIONS.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense may enter into one or more contracts, in advance of appropriations therefor, for the design and construction of the military construction project authorized by section 2401 to be accomplished at Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio, Texas, if each such contract limits the amount of payments that the Federal Government is obligated to make under such contract to the amount of appropriations available, at the time such contract is entered into, for obligation under such contract. Such design and construction may be accomplished by using one-step turn-key selection procedures, or other competitive contracting methods.

(2) The design of the project referred to in paragraph (1) shall provide for a medical facility with space for 450 beds, but the initial construction shall provide for a medical facility with space for only 200 beds.

(b) REPEAL PROVISION.—Section 104 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-407; 98 Stat. 1498), is repealed.

SEC. 2404. HAZARDOUS WASTE STORAGE

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT.—The Secretary of Defense may, using not more than $10,000,000 appropriated for fiscal year 1987 pursuant to the authorization in section 2405(a), carry out military construction projects not otherwise authorized by law for the construction of hazardous waste storage facilities which are described in a report submitted by the Comptroller, Defense Logistics Agency, on September 22, 1986, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(b) Notification to Congress.—When a decision is made to carry out a project under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall notify in writing the appropriate committees of Congress of that decision, the justification for the project, the estimated cost of the project, and the permit process for the project. The project may not be initiated until a period of 21 days has elapsed after the date on which the notification is received by the committees.

(c) Increase in Cost of Project.—The cost of a hazardous waste storage facility project carried out under this section may be increased by not more than 25 percent of the estimated cost of the project as contained in the notification provided to the committees pursuant to subsection (b) if the Secretary of Defense determines—

(A) that such an increase is required for the sole purpose of meeting unusual variations in cost; and

(B) that such variations in cost could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time the project justification was originally submitted to the committees.

(d) Definition.—As used in this section, the term "hazardous waste" includes both excess hazardous materials and hazardous wastes as defined by applicable laws and regulations.

SEC. 2405. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES

(a) In General.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1986, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), in the total amount of $532,030,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2401(a), $180,130,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2401(b), $117,000,000.

(3) For the construction of a research and engineering facility at Fort Meade, Maryland, authorized by section 401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986, $38,000,000.

(4) For the construction of the Madigan Army Medical Center, Fort Lewis, Washington, authorized by section 101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1985, $72,100,000.

(5) For unspecified minor construction projects under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, $4,000,000.

(6) For construction projects under the contingency construction authority of the Secretary of Defense under section 2804 of title 10, United States Code, $5,000,000.

(7) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, $88,200,000.

(8) For conforming storage facilities, $10,000,000.

(9) For military family housing functions—

(A) for construction and acquisition of military family housing and facilities, $270,000; and

(B) for support of military housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), $17,330,000, of which not more than $14,027,000 may be obligated or expended for the leasing of military family housing units in foreign countries.

(b) Limitation on Total Cost of Construction Projects Authorized in This Title.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2858 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variations authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a) and $125,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized for the construction of the Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, Texas).

SEC. 2406. EXTENSION OF PRIOR YEAR AUTHORIZATIONS

Notwithstanding the provisions of section 607(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-407, 98 Stat. 1515), the authorizations for the following projects authorized in sections 401 and 402 of such Act shall remain in effect until October 1, 1987, or the date of the Military Construction Authorization Act for fiscal year 1988, whichever is later:

(1) Defense property disposal office in the amount of $1,950,000 at Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard, Hawaii.

(2) Six family housing units at classified locations in the amount of $693,000.

TITLE V—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE

SEC. 2501. AUTHORITY OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TO MAKE CONTRIBUTIONS

(a) In General.—The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization infrastructure program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this purpose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of construction previously financed by the United States.

(b) Limitation.—The Secretary may obligate funds under this section only to the extent funds are appropriated for use under section 2806 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 2502. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATO

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1986, for contributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure Program as authorized by section 2501, in the amount of $247,000,000.

SEC. 2503. AMENDMENT TO NATO INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM

(a) In General.—Section 2806(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting "and for related expenses" after "headquarters)".

(b) Effective Date.—The amendment made by subsection (a) and shall apply only with respect to contributions made with funds appropriated for fiscal years after fiscal year 1986.

SEC. 2504. RESTRICTION ON CERTAIN FUNDING

The Secretary of Defense may not obligate or expend any funds after fiscal year 1987 with respect to the North Atlantic Treaty Or-

ganization infrastructure program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, until the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives—

(1) a comprehensive master plan for establishing adequate active defenses for—

(A) air bases in Europe at which operations of United States aircraft are planned; and

(B) sites in Europe used by the United States for logistic support of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization or for prepositioned overseas materiel configured to unit sets; and

(2) a report containing a certification by the Secretary that sufficient funds have been budgeted by the Department of Defense in the fiscal year 1988 five-year defense plan to meet the objectives of such comprehensive master plan.

TITLE VI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

SEC. 2601. AUTHORIZATION FOR GUARD AND RESERVE FACILITIES

There are authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1986, for the costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services, and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter 133 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost of acquisition of land for those facilities), the following amounts:

(1) For the Department of the Army—

(A) for the Army National Guard of the United States, $133,271,000, and

(B) for the Army Reserve, $86,700,000.

(2) For the Department of the Navy, for the Naval and Marine Corps Reserves, $44,500,000.

(3) For the Department of the Air Force—

(A) for the Air National Guard of the United States, $145,325,000, and

(B) for the Air Force Reserve, $58,900,000.

TITLE VII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

PART A—EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW

SEC. 2701. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW

(a) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER TWO YEARS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), all authorizations contained in titles I, II, III, IV, and V for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the NATO Infrastructure Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall expire on October 1, 1988, or the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for fiscal year 1989, whichever is later.

(b) EXCEPTION.—The provisions of subsection (a) do not apply to authorizations for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the NATO Infrastructure Program (and authorizations of appropria-

tions therefor), for which appropriated funds have been obligated before October 1, 1988, or the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for fiscal year 1989, whichever is later, for construction contracts, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, or contributions to the NATO Infrastructure Program.

SEC. 2702. CODIFICATION OF CERTAIN AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW

(a) MAXIMUM AMOUNT FOR UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "the amount specified by law as the maximum amount for a minor military construction project" in clause (2) and inserting in lieu thereof "$1,000,000";

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking out "50 percent" and all that follows through "project" and inserting in lieu thereof "$500,000"; and

(3) in subsection (c), by striking out "20 percent" and all that follows through "project" and inserting in lieu thereof "$200,000".

(b) MAXIMUM AMOUNT FOR ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES.—Section 2807(b) of such title is amended by striking out "the maximum amount specified by law for the purposes of this section" and inserting in lieu thereof "$300,000".

(c) MAXIMUM AMOUNT PER UNIT FOR AN IMPROVEMENT PROJECT FOR FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.—Section 2825(b)(1) of such title is amended by striking out "an amount specified by law for such purpose" and inserting in lieu thereof "$30,000".

(d) MAXIMUM ANNUAL RENTAL FOR A FAMILY HOUSING UNIT IN THE UNITED STATES.—Section 2828(b) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2), by striking out "the amount specified by law as the maximum annual domestic family housing unit lease amount" and inserting in lieu thereof "$10,000"; and

(2) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking out "the maximum annual domestic" and all that follows through the period and inserting in lieu thereof "$10,000 but does not exceed $12,000.".

(e) MAXIMUM ANNUAL RENTAL FOR A FAMILY HOUSING UNIT OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Section 2828(e)(1) of such title is amended by striking out "the amount specified by law as the maximum annual foreign family housing unit lease amount" and inserting in lieu thereof "$16,800".

(f) MAXIMUM NUMBER OF FAMILY HOUSING UNITS LEASED IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES.—Section 2828(e)(2) of such title is amended by striking out "shall be specified by law" and inserting in lieu thereof "is 32,000".

(g) MAXIMUM ANNUAL RENTAL FOR FAMILY HOUSING FACILITIES, OR FOR REAL PROPERTY RELATED TO FAMILY HOUSING FACILITIES, LEASED IN A FOREIGN COUNTRY.—Section 2828(f) of such title is amended by striking out "the amount specified by law for such purpose" and inserting in lieu thereof "$250,000".

PART B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM PROVISIONS

SEC. 2711. TEST OF LONG-TERM FACILITIES CONTRACTS

Section 2809(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(1)(A) The Secretary concerned may enter into contracts for the construction, management, and operation of a facility on or near a military installation for the provision of an activity or service named in subparagraph (B) if the Secretary concerned has identified the proposed project in the budget proposal submitted to Congress and has determined that the facility can be more economically provided under a long-term contract than by conventional means.

"(B) The activities and services referred to in subparagraph (A) are as follows:

"(i) Child care services.

"(ii) Potable and waste water treatment services.

"(iii) Depot supply activities.

"(iv) Troop housing.

"(v) Transient quarters.

"(vi) Other logistic and administrative services, other than depot maintenance.".

SEC. 2712. PLANNING AND DESIGN

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) For study, planning, design, architectural, and engineering services related to military construction and family housing projects, the Secretaries of the military departments may incur obligations for contracts or portions of contracts using military construction and family housing appropriations from different fiscal years to the extent that those appropriations are available for obligation.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply only to funds appropriated for fiscal years after fiscal year 1985.

SEC. 2713. BUILD-TO-LEASE AND RENTAL GUARANTEE PILOT PROGRAMS

(a) RENTAL GUARANTEE PROGRAM.—(1) Subsection (b) of section 802 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1984 (10 U.S.C. 2821 note), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking out "for operation and maintenance costs which shall (if included) be effective for the term of agreement";

(B) in paragraph (4), by adding "or, at the discretion of the Secretary of the military department concerned, in compliance with the local building codes" after "specifications";

(C) in paragraph (5), by striking out "15 years" and inserting in lieu thereof "25 years";

(D) by striking out "and" at the end of paragraph (10);

(E) by striking out the period at the end of paragraph (11) and inserting in lieu thereof "; and"; and

(F) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(12) may provide in the agreement for the rental of a child care center, civic center building, and similar type buildings constructed for the support of family housing.".

(2) Subsection (g)(2) of such section is amended by striking out "600" and inserting in lieu thereof "1,200";

(3) Subsection (h) of such section is amended by striking out "September 30, 1986" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1990".

(b) BUILD-TO-LEASE PROGRAM.—Section 2828(g) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

- *(1) in paragraph (8)(B), by striking out "600" and inserting in lieu thereof "1,600";*
- *(2) in paragraph (9), by striking out "September 30, 1986" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1988"; and*
- *(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

"(10) A contract for the lease of family housing under this subsection may include provision for the lease of a child care center, civic center building, and similar type buildings constructed for the support of family housing.".

SEC. 2714. FOREIGN LEASES FOR PERSONNEL HOLDING SPECIAL POSITIONS

Section 2828(e)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "200" and inserting in lieu thereof "220".

PART C—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

SEC. 2721. POSTAL FACILITIES

Sections 4779 and 9779 of title 10, United States Code, are each amended—

- *(1) by striking out subsection (b); and*
- *(2) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b).*

SEC. 2722. COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSISTANCE

The Secretary of Defense may use not more than $200,000 from funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1987 to provide planning assistance to local communities located near homeports proposed under the Naval Strategic Dispersal Program at Ingleside, Texas, and other Gulf Coast sites, if the Secretary determines that the financial resources available to the community (by grant or otherwise) are inadequate.

SEC. 2723. RELEASE OF CERTAIN USE RIGHTS HELD BY THE UNITED STATES

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator of General Services shall release to the Virginia Port Authority, an instrumentality of the Commonwealth of Virginia, all residuary rights of use held by the United States in three warehouses located in the city of Norfolk, Virginia, within the area operated as a public port facility and known as the Norfolk International Terminals.

(b) TIME LIMITATION; COMPENSATION.—The Administrator of General Services shall execute such documents and take such other actions as may be necessary to release, within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the rights referred to in subsection (a). The release shall be made without any compensation in addition to compensation paid to the United States for such warehouses and other facilities by the city of Norfolk, Virginia, in 1968.

SEC. 2724. PROHIBITION OF FUNDING FOR CERTAIN MILITARY CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS ON GUAM

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), funds appropriated pursuant to any authorization made by this Act may not

be expended with respect to any contract for a military construction project on Guam if any work is carried out on such project by any person who is a nonimmigrant described in section 101(a)(15)(H)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(ii)).

(b) EXCEPTION.—In any case in which there is no acceptable bid made in response to a solicitation by the Secretary of a military department for bids on a contract for a military construction project on Guam and the Secretary concerned makes a determination that the prohibition contained in subsection (a) is a significant deterrent to obtaining bids on such contract, the Secretary concerned may make another solicitation for bids on such contract and the prohibition contained in subsection (a) shall not apply to such contract after the end of the 21-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary concerned transmits a report concerning such contract to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall apply only to contracts entered into, amended, or otherwise modified on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 2725. PROHIBITION ON DESIGN OF PENTAGON ANNEX

None of the funds appropriated pursuant to an authorization in this or any other Act may be used for the design of an administrative complex to be constructed on the property known as the Pentagon Reservation, Arlington, Virginia, for the purpose of supporting the headquarters of the Department of Defense or the military departments or the support functions of such headquarters.

SEC. 2726. STUDY OF NEEDS OF DEPENDENTS' EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Education shall conduct a joint study for the purpose of determining the needs for construction, extension, remodeling, and rehabilitation of dependents' educational facilities on military installations in the United States and shall develop a joint policy to meet those needs.

(b) REPORT.— The Secretaries of Defense and Education shall, not later than April 1, 1987, transmit to the Congress a report containing—

(1) a description of the needs determined under subsection (a);

(2) the joint policy developed to meet such needs; and

(3) any recommendations for legislation the Secretaries consider necessary or appropriate to meet such needs.

SEC. 2727. STUDY OF USE OF PROPERTY AT LOS ALAMITOS ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER, CALIFORNIA, FOR MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy shall conduct a study concerning the potential use of the property currently being utilized as the Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center, California, for military family housing for the Navy.

(b) MATTERS TO CONSIDER.—In conducting the study described in subsection (a), each of the Secretaries shall take into consideration—

(1) the extensive number of complaints from residents concerning aircraft noise;

(2) the need for such military family housing;

(3) the public safety concerns involved with respect to military aircraft flying over a highly populated, urban area;

(4) the importance of maintaining facilities for the stationing of National Guard and other Reserve component units;

(5) the importance of maintaining facilities for the training of military aviation personnel;

(6) the importance of maintaining facilities for the maintenance of Army facilities and Army aircraft assigned to the California Army National Guard and the United States Army Reserve;

(7) the importance of the Los Alamitos Armed Forces Reserve Center, California, as a designated relief site in the event of a national disaster or emergency; and

(8) the costs associated with establishing and maintaining military family housing for the Navy at such Center.

(c) RECOMMENDED ACTION.—If the Secretaries find that the Center described in subsection (a) would not be suitable for military family housing or that it should not be so used, they shall recommend, in the report transmitted to the Committees pursuant to subsection (d), an alternate course of action for reducing the noise caused by aircraft traffic between the Center and the training area in the Santa Ana Mountains.

(d) DATE OF TRANSMITTAL.—The Secretaries described in subsection (a) shall transmit a copy of the findings and conclusions of the study carried out under this section to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate. The transmissions shall be made no later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 2728. REAL ESTATE ACQUISITION REPORT

The Secretary of the Army shall, within 45 days of the date of the enactment of this Act, transmit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a real estate acquisition report for the lease of temporary facilities located in Rio Rancho, New Mexico, for the Hawk Missile Battalion of the New Mexico Army National Guard that is to be permanently located near Rio Rancho, New Mexico.

PART D—REAL PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS

SEC. 2731. LAND CONVEYANCE, FORT HUACHUCA, ARIZONA

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONVEY.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to the State of Arizona all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to approximately 26 acres of real property (and improvements thereon) which comprise a portion of Fort Huachuca, Arizona, which border on State Highway 90 at Fort Huachuca, and which are located in the east half of Township 21 South, Range 20 East, Gila and Salt River Meridian.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—(1) In consideration for the conveyance authorized by subsection (a), the State of Arizona shall convey to the United States all right, title, and interest of the State in and to 1,536.47 acres of real property (and improvements thereon) owned by the State within the East Range at Fort Huachuca and to all mineral rights owned by the State in 12,943 acres of real property locat-

ed within the East Range at Fort Huachuca. Title to such real property and mineral rights shall be conveyed free and clear of encumbrances and third party interests except to the extent waived by the Secretary.

(2) If the fair market value of the real property and improvements conveyed by the Secretary under subsection (a) exceeds the fair market value of the real property and improvements and mineral interests conveyed to the United States under subsection (b), as determined by the Secretary, the State shall pay the difference to the United States. Any funds received under this section shall be covered into the general fund of the Treasury.

(c) AUTHORITY TO SELL ADDITIONAL ACREAGE.—(1) In addition to the acreage authorized to be conveyed under subsection (a), the Secretary may sell, subject to paragraphs (2) and (3), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to approximately 203 acres of real property and improvements thereon (designated by the Secretary) comprising a portion of Fort Huachuca, Arizona.

(2) The sale of the real property and improvements referred to in paragraph (1) shall be conducted in accordance with competitive bidding procedures prescribed in section 2304 of title 10, United States Code. In no event may the real property and improvements be sold for less than the fair market value thereof. The fair market value of the property shall be established by an appraisal approved by the Secretary.

(3) If the fair market value of the real property and improvements and mineral rights conveyed to the United States pursuant to subsection (b) exceeds the fair market value of the real property and improvements conveyed by the United States pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary may use the proceeds of the sale under paragraph (1) to pay the difference in value to the State of Arizona. Any proceeds of the sale not used for such purpose shall be covered into the general fund of the Treasury.

(d) LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF REAL PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal descriptions of any property conveyed or acquired under this section shall be based upon surveys which are satisfactory to the Secretary.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the transactions authorized by this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2732. LEASE AND DEVELOPMENT OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsections (b) through (g), the Secretary of the Navy may—

(1) enter into long-term leases of real property located within the Broadway Complex of the Department of the Navy, San Diego, California; and

(2) assist any lessee of such real property in financing the construction of any facility on such real property.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—(1)(A) In consideration for leasing the real property described in subsection (a), the Secretary shall obtain, without compensation or at substantially below market value, facilities

or the use of facilities, or both, constructed on such real property by the lessees.

(B) The Secretary shall provide that the value of the facilities or the use of facilities, or both, obtained under subparagraph (A) (minus the amount of any compensation paid by the Secretary for the facilities or use of them) shall be at least equal to the value of the use of the real property leased under subsection (a), as determined by the Secretary.

(2) In consideration for assisting a lessee in financing the construction of any facility on such real property, the Secretary shall obtain an ownership interest in such facility that is at least equal in value to the amount of the financing provided by the Secretary.

(c) CONDITIONS.—(1) The Secretary shall provide that any real property leased under this section shall be developed in accordance with detailed plans and terms of development which have been duly formulated by the Secretary and the San Diego community through the San Diego Association of Governments' Broadway Complex Coordinating Group.

(2) A lease may not be entered into under this section until 21 days after the Secretary submits a plan for the development of the real property described in subsection (a) to the Committees of the Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, including a justification of how this plan is more advantageous to the United States than developing the real property with Federal funds.

(d) COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES.—Each lease entered into under subsection (a) shall be awarded through the use of competitive procedures.

(e) RIGHT TO ACQUIRE.—The Secretary may provide that the United States shall have the right of first refusal to acquire all right, title, and interest in and to any facility constructed on the real property subject to such lease.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS.—(1) A lease entered into by the Secretary under this section under which a facility is constructed by a private developer and leased to the Department of the Navy may provide for the operation and maintenance of such facility by the private developer.

(2) The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the leases authorized by this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(g) LIMITATION.—The Secretary may obligate or expend amounts for—

(1) assisting in financing under subsection (a)(2);

(2) obtaining facilities or the use of facilities under (b)(1)(A); or

(3) acquiring interest in a facility under subsection (e),

only to the extent funds have been appropriated for such purpose.

SEC. 2733. SELECTION OF SITE FOR MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING AT SAN PEDRO, CALIFORNIA

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) The Secretary of the Air Force shall consider appropriate sites in San Pedro, California, for the location and construction of 170 military family housing units for members of the Air Force assigned to duty in the area of San Pedro.

(2) The Secretary shall consider as an appropriate site for such housing only real property in the San Pedro area in which the United States has a reversionary interest for national defense purposes or real property which would be conveyed to the United States without charge.

(3) After the Secretary has selected one or more sites for such housing, he shall file a final environmental impact statement as required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) on each site determined by the Secretary to be appropriate for the purpose stated in paragraph (1). Within 10 days after the expiration of the 30-day period referred to in section 1506.10(b)(2) of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Secretary shall select as a site for the location of the housing units referred to in subsection (a) one of the sites considered appropriate for such purpose.

(b) EXERCISE OF REVERSIONARY RIGHT.—(1) If the real property selected as the site for the housing units is subject to a reversionary right on behalf of the United States, the Secretary shall notify the Attorney General of the United States or other appropriate official that the site selected is needed for national defense purposes.

(2) The Attorney General or other appropriate official shall, within 15 days after receiving the notification referred to in paragraph (1), take such action as may be necessary to exercise the reversionary right held by the United States in the real property selected as the site for the housing.

(3) Within 15 days after the United States resumes ownership over the real property, the Attorney General or other appropriate official shall transfer jurisdiction of such real property to the Secretary.

(c) CONSTRUCTION OF MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING.—The Secretary shall use any real property made available pursuant to this section as the site for the construction of the 170 military family housing units referred to in subsection (a).

SEC. 2734. GRANTING OF EASEMENTS AND REPLACEMENT OF FAMILY HOUSING UNITS AND OTHER FACILITIES AT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, EL TORO, CALIFORNIA

(a) AUTHORITY TO GRANT EASEMENTS.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of the Navy may grant to the County of Orange, California, one or more easements through the Marine Corps Air Station, El Toro, California.

(b) MANDATE TO REPLACE FAMILY HOUSING AND OTHER FACILITIES.—(1) Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary shall provide for the replacement of all family housing units and other facilities that are to be demolished as a result of any easement granted under subsection (a).

(2) An easement granted under subsection (a) shall not become effective until the Secretary determines that—

(A) family housing units have been constructed to replace all the family housing units to be demolished as a result of such easement; and

(B) such replacement units meet the applicable requirements specified in the agreements referred to in subsection (a).

(3) Until the determination referred to in paragraph (2) is made, the Secretary shall continue to use, as military family housing, the

housing units that are to be demolished as a result of an easement becoming effective.

(c) Additional Terms and Conditions.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with any easement granted under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(d) Funding.—(1) The Secretary may use not more than $2,300,000 of the amount appropriated pursuant to section 2208(a)(7)(A) for payment in connection with the construction of replacement family housing units required by subsection (b).

(2) The Secretary may make available amounts for the construction of such replacement family housing units at the times specified in the agreements referred to in subsection (a).

(3) The Secretary may not use any of the funds available for the purposes of this section for the replacement of facilities other than family housing facilities.

SEC. 2735. LAND CONVEYANCE, MARCH AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA

(a) Authority To Sell.—Subject to subsections (b) through (g), the Secretary of the Air Force may sell all or any portion of a tract of real property (together with improvements thereon), comprising a portion of March Air Force Base, California, known as West March and consisting of 845 acres, more or less.

(b) Conditions of Sale.—The Secretary shall require as a condition of the sale of the property referred to in subsection (a) that the purchaser—

(1) agree to construct on Government-owned real property at March Air Force Base, at the expense of the purchaser, in accordance with standards and specifications prescribed by the Secretary, a noncommissioned officers professional education center, a band center, and an addition to, or an alteration of, a combat operations center; and

(2) submit to the Secretary a master plan for the development of the real property referred to in subsection (a) that—

(A) is consistent (as determined by the Secretary) with the Air Installations Compatible Use Zone recommendations of the Air Force;

(B) is consistent (as determined by the Secretary) with the future plans of the Air Force for March Air Force Base and the plan for development of Air Force Village West; and

(C) is acceptable to the appropriate local government officials of the city and county of Riverside, California.

(c) Competitive Bid Requirement; Minimum Sale Price.—(1) The sale of any of the real property and improvements referred to in subsection (a) shall be carried out under competitive contracting procedures.

(2) In no event may property referred to in subsection (a) be sold for less than fair market value of such property. The value of the property to be conveyed and the consideration to be received shall be determined by appraisals approved by the Secretary. The Secretary may pay for such appraisals from any deposit made by the prospective purchaser, or the Secretary may be reimbursed for the cost of such appraisals from any such deposit. Any portion of the deposit used for such purpose shall be nonrefundable.

(d) Report Requirements.—*(1) The Secretary may not enter into any contract for the sale of any or all of the property referred to in subsection (a) unless—*

(A) the Secretary has submitted a report to the appropriate committees of Congress containing the information required in section 2667a(b) of title 10. United States Code; and

(B) a period of 21 days has expired following the date on which the report referred to in such section is received by those committees.

(2) Any report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) the price and a description of terms agreed to with the successful bidder; and

(B) a description of the procedures used in selecting a buyer for the real property.

(e) Use of Excess Funds.—*If the fair market value of the property to be conveyed to a purchaser is greater than the fair market value of the facilities to be constructed by the purchaser for the United States, the difference in cash shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.*

(f) Legal Description of Real Property.—*The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under this section shall be determined by surveys approved by the Secretary. Such surveys shall be provided by the purchaser or paid for by the Secretary from a deposit made by the prospective purchaser at the time of award. Any portion of the deposit used for surveys shall be nonrefundable.*

(g) Additional Terms.—*The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with any transaction authorized by this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.*

SEC. 2736. LAND EXCHANGE, LONG BEACH NAVAL STATION, CALIFORNIA

(a) Authority To Exchange.—*Subject to subsections (b) through (d), the Secretary of the Navy may exchange approximately 16 acres of real property (and improvements thereon) adjacent to Admiral Kidd Park at the Long Beach Naval Station, California, to the City of Long Beach, California, for real property (and improvements thereon) located on Hill Street, between Webster Avenue and the Terminal Island Freeway, in Long Beach, California.*

(b) Condition.—*If the fair market value of the real property and improvements conveyed to the City under subsection (a) exceeds the fair market value of the real property and improvements conveyed to the United States, the City shall pay to the United States an amount equal to the difference. The Secretary shall deposit any funds received under this subsection as miscellaneous receipts in the Treasury.*

(c) Legal Description of Real Property.—*The exact acreage and legal description of the real property exchanged under this section shall be in accordance with surveys that are satisfactory to the Secretary. The costs of such surveys shall be borne by the City of Long Beach.*

(d) Additional Terms.—*The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.*

SEC. 2737. LAND CONVEYANCE, WHITTIER NARROWS DAM, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONVEY.—Subject to subsections (b) through (f), the Secretary of the Army may convey to Southern California Edison Company approximately 7.44 acres of real property, together with improvements thereon, located within the Whittier Narrows Flood Control Basin, north of Rush Street and east of Walnut Grove Avenue, in Los Angeles County, California.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—In consideration for the conveyance authorized by subsection (a), the Secretary may accept real property in the Los Angeles area or cash, or both. The value of the consideration for the conveyance may not be less than the fair market value of the property conveyed by the United States, as determined by the Secretary.

(c) CONDITIONS.—(1) The Secretary may convey the real property described in subsection (a) only if—

(A) the Company grants the United States a perpetual easement that enables the Federal Government to carry out necessary flood control activities with respect to such real property; and

(B) the Company agrees to permit the County of Los Angeles to use a portion of such real property for parking in connection with recreation activities at Whittier Narrows Golf Course, as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(d) USE OF FUNDS.—Any funds received by the Secretary under this section shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.

(e) LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF REAL PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property described in subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey which is satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of such survey shall be borne by the company.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2738. AUTHORIZATION OF LAND CONVEYANCE TO CITY OF ARCADIA, CALIFORNIA

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), the County of Los Angeles, California, may convey the land described in the Act entitled "An Act to convey certain land in the county of Los Angeles, State of California", approved March 24, 1933, to the City of Arcadia, California.

(b) REVERTER.—Any land conveyed pursuant to subsection (a) shall be conveyed subject to the condition that the land be used only for public park, playground, or recreation purposes and that if the land is used for any other purpose, all right, title, and interest in and to such land shall revert to the United States and the United States shall have the immediate right of entry thereon.

SEC. 2739. LAND EXCHANGE, ORLANDO, FLORIDA

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsections (b) through (e). the Secretary of the Army may convey to the City of Orlando, Florida, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a tract of real property located in Orlando, Florida, consisting of approximately 36 acres, together with improvements thereon, comprising the

United States Army Reserve training facility located at the former McCoy Air Force Base, Orlando, Florida.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—In consideration for the conveyance by the Secretary under subsection (a), the City shall—

(1) convey to the United States a tract of real property consisting of approximately 36 acres located at Orlando Jetport, Orlando, Florida; and

(2) design, and construct on such real property, suitable replacement facilities, in accordance with the requirements of the Secretary, for the training activities of the United States Army Reserve.

(c) PAYMENT BY THE CITY.—If the fair market value (as determined by the Secretary) of the real property and improvements conveyed by the United States to the City under subsection (a) exceeds the sum of the fair market value (as determined by the Secretary) of the property conveyed by the City to the United States and the fair market value of the facilities constructed by the City on the real property conveyed to the United States under subsection (b), the City shall pay to the United States an amount equal to the difference.

(d) LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE REAL PROPERTY.—The exact acreages and legal description of properties to be conveyed under subsections (a) and (b) shall be determined by surveys that are satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of such surveys shall be borne by the City.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate and to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2740. SALE OF LAND AND REPLACEMENT OF CERTAIN WAREHOUSING FACILITIES, KAPALAMA MILITARY RESERVATION, HAWAII

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsections (b) through (g), the Secretary of the Army may convey approximately 14.41 acres of real property, together with improvements thereon, at Kapalama Military Reservation, Hawaii, and may replace and relocate warehousing facilities located on such property.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—In consideration for the real property and improvements described in subsection (a), the purchasers of such property and improvements shall pay the United States—

(1) in a manner determined by the Secretary, for the cost of the design and construction of suitable replacement warehousing facilities to be constructed at Schofield Barracks, Hawaii;

(2) for any cost incurred by the Department of the Army under this section with respect to the relocation of warehousing facilities; and

(3) the amount of any difference referred to in subsection (d).

(c) SALE AND REPLACEMENT ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary may use any amount received from the purchaser under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (b) for the purpose of carrying out this section.

(d) PAYMENT OF EXCESS INTO TREASURY.—If the fair market value of the real property and improvements described in subsection (a) exceeds the costs described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (b), as determined by the Secretary, the purchaser shall pay the amount of such difference to the Secretary, and the Secretary shall deposit such amount into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts.

(e) Competitive Bid Procedures.—The conveyance described in subsection (a) shall be carried out under competitive bid procedures.

(f) Legal Description of Real Property.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property described in subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey which is satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the purchaser.

(g) Additional Terms.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2741. LAND EXCHANGE, AIEA, HAWAII

(a) In General.—The Secretary of the Navy may transfer to the United States Postal Service jurisdiction over approximately 3.2 acres of real property, together with improvements thereon, located in Aiea, Hawaii, if the Postal Service pays to the Secretary, out of funds available to the Postal Service for obligation, an amount equal to the greater of the following:

(1) The approved fair market value of the property over which jurisdiction is to be transferred (as determined by the Secretary).

(2) The cost of providing fleet laundry and dry cleaning facilities to the Navy to replace facilities located on the real property over which jurisdiction is to be transferred pursuant to this section.

(b) Legal Description of Real Property.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property over which jurisdiction is to be transferred under this section shall be determined by surveys that are satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the surveys shall be borne by the Postal Service.

(c) Additional Terms.—(1) The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interest of the United States.

(2) If the fair market value of the property over which jurisdiction is to be transferred to the Postal Service under subsection (a) exceeds the cost of the replacement fleet laundry and dry cleaning facilities, the Secretary shall deposit the excess into the general fund of the Treasury.

SEC. 2742. LAND EXCHANGE, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

(a) Authority To Convey.—(1) Subject to paragraph (4) of this subsection and to subsections (b) through (f), the Secretary of the Army may make the conveyances described in paragraphs (2) and (3).

(2) The Secretary may convey to the City of Santa Fe, New Mexico (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "City"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the southernmost 27.88 acres, more or less, of the parcel of land conveyed to the State of New Mexico in accordance with the Act of June 19, 1956 (70 Stat. 296) and currently being leased to the City as a public park.

(3) The Secretary may convey to the New Mexico State Armory Board (hereinafter in this section referred to as the "Board"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the northernmost 21.61 acres, more or less, of the parcel of land conveyed to the State of New Mexico in accordance with the Act of June 19, 1956 (70

Stat. 296), and currently serving as the location of the State headquarters of the New Mexico National Guard.

(4) Except as provided in subsection (f)(2), the conveyances authorized in paragraphs (2) and (3) shall be made without reimbursement to the United States.

(b) CONDITIONS.—The lands conveyed by the Secretary under subsection (a) shall be subject to the following conditions:

(1) The City shall, in accordance with the agreement entered into under subsection (d), provide to the Board a site of not less than 250 acres determined by the Board to be acceptable for the construction of—

(A) an armory for all New Mexico National Guard units located in Santa Fe, New Mexico;

(B) an organizational maintenance shop;

(C) a United States property and fiscal office building and warehouse;

(D) a headquarters complex for the New Mexico National Guard;

(E) a local training area for the New Mexico National Guard units;

(F) a complex for the New Mexico Army National Guard officer candidate school and noncommissioned officer academy; and

(G) additional facilities specified by the National Guard Bureau or the New Mexico State Armory Board.

(2) The Board shall use the land provided to it by the City pursuant to paragraph (1) for the training and support of the National Guard of New Mexico, and for other military purposes and if the site ever ceases to be used for such purposes, all right, title, and interest in and to such property shall revert to and become the property of the United States which shall have the immediate right of entry thereon.

(c) MINERAL RIGHTS.—The conveyances made by the Secretary under subsection (a) shall reserve all mineral rights, including oil and gas, to the United States in accordance with the Act of June 19, 1956 (70 Stat. 296).

(d) GENERAL AUTHORITY.—To implement the land exchange authorized by this section, the Secretary may enter into agreements with the City, the Board, and such other parties the Secretary determines are necessary to effectuate the purpose of this section.

(e) LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF LANDS.—The exact acreage and legal description of the lands provided by the City to the Board in accordance with subsection (b) shall be determined by surveys that are satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of such surveys shall be borne by the City or the Board.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—(1) The Secretary may require such other terms and conditions with respect to the transaction authorized by this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(2) The terms and conditions described in paragraph (1) may include a requirement for payment to the United States by the City or the Board, or both, to the extent (if any) that the value of the property conveyed by the United States pursuant to subsection (a) exceeds

the value of the property conveyed to the Board pursuant to subsection (b).

SEC. 2743. LEASE OF PROPERTY AT THE NAVAL WEAPONS STATION, CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy may lease to the South Carolina Ports Authority approximately 118 acres of real property, together with improvements thereon, at the Naval Weapons Station, Charleston, South Carolina.

(b) TERM OF LEASE.—The lease entered into under subsection (a) may be for such term as the Secretary determines appropriate, but in no event to exceed 25 years.

(c) CONSIDERATION.—In addition to the fair rental value to be paid by the South Carolina Ports Authority for the premises leased pursuant to this section, such Authority shall pay for the cost of replacing certain facilities on the leased premises. The replacement facilities shall be constructed in a manner and at a site determined by the Secretary.

(d) AUTHORITY TO DEMOLISH AND CONSTRUCT FACILITIES.—The Secretary may, under the terms of the lease, authorize the South Carolina Ports Authority to demolish existing facilities on the leased land and to construct new facilities on such land for the use of such Authority.

SEC. 2744. PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

In accordance with the provisions of section 202 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 483) governing transfers of excess property, the Administrator of General Services shall transfer, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of the Army approximately 235 acres of real property (and improvements thereon) near Beltsville, Maryland, for such use as the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate.

DIVISION C—OTHER NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 3001. TABLE OF CONTENTS

The table of contents for this division is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sec. 3001. Table of contents.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Sec. 3101. Short title.

PART A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

Sec. 3111. Operating expenses.
Sec. 3112. Plant and capital equipment.
Sec. 3113. Authorization for Strategic Defense Initiative activities.

PART B—RECURRING GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 3121. Reprogramming.
Sec. 3122. Limits on general plant projects.
Sec. 3123. Limits on construction projects.

Sec. 3124. Fund transfer authority.
Sec. 3125. Authority for construction design.
Sec. 3126. Authority for emergency construction design.
Sec. 3127. Funds available for all national security programs of the Department of Energy.
Sec. 3128. Adjustments for pay increases.
Sec. 3129. Availability of funds.

PART C—MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Sec. 3131. Protection of sensitive technical information.
Sec. 3132. Restriction on use of funds to pay penalties under environmental laws.
Sec. 3133. Community assistance payments.
Sec. 3134. Authority for Department of Energy contractor employees to carry firearms beyond boundaries of a DOE facility.
Sec. 3135. Report on containment facilities.
Sec. 3136. Study of production reactor safety.
Sec. 3137. Establishment of Nuclear Weapons Council.
Sec. 3138. Extension of date for certain contract authority.

TITLE II—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

Sec. 3201. Extension of prohibition on reductions in stockpile goals.
Sec. 3202. Designation of National Defense Stockpile Manager.
Sec. 3203. Extension of uses of Stockpile Transaction Fund and codification of revolving fund provisions.
Sec. 3204. Authorizated disposals from National Defense Stockpile.
Sec. 3205. Conversion of chromium and manganese ore to high carbon ferrochromium and high carbon ferromanganese.
Sec. 3206. Report on war emergency situations and mobilization requirements.
Sec. 3207. Miscellaneous technical amendments.

TITLE III—CIVIL DEFENSE

Sec. 3301. Authorization of appropriations for civil defense functions.

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

SEC. 3101. SHORT TITLE

This title may be cited as the "Department of Energy National Security and Military Applications of Nuclear Energy Authorization Act of 1987".

PART A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 3111. OPERATING EXPENSES

Funds are authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1987 for operating expenses incurred in carrying out national security programs (including scientific research and development in support of the Armed Forces, strategic and critical materials necessary for the common defense, and military applications of nuclear energy and related management and support activities) as follows:

(1) For weapons activities, $3,300,879,000 to be allocated as follows:

(A) For research and development, $866,000,000, of which $13,000,000 shall be used to continue operation or to close out plasma separation process activities.

(B) For weapons testing, $517,800,000.

(C) For production and surveillance, $1,859,700,000.

(D) For program direction, $57,379,000.

(2) For the defense inertial confinement fusion program, $145,000,000.

(3) For verification and control technology, $95,390,000.

(4) For defense nuclear materials production, $1,516,348,000, to be allocated as follows:

(A) For uranium enrichment for naval reactors, $170,000,000.

(B) For other uranium enrichment, $14,500,000.

(C) For production reactor operations, $586,320,000.

(D) For processing of defense nuclear materials, including naval reactors fuel, $489,000,000, of which $70,900,000 shall be used for special isotope separation.

(E) For supporting services, $234,200,000.

(F) For program direction, $22,328,000.

(5) For defense nuclear waste and byproduct management, $431,990,000, to be allocated as follows:

(A) For interim waste management, $309,945,000.

(B) For long-term waste management technology, $80,605,000.

(C) For terminal waste storage, $39,100,000.

(D) For program direction, $2,340,000.

(6) For nuclear materials safeguards and security technology development program, $60,408,000.

(7) For security investigations, $33,300,000.

(8) For naval reactors development, $516,505,000.

SEC. 3112. PLANT AND CAPITAL EQUIPMENT

(a) AUTHORIZATIONS.—Funds are authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1987 for plant and capital equipment (including maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, modification of facilities, and the continuation of projects authorized in prior years, land acquisition related thereto, and acquisition and fabrication of capital equipment not related to construction) necessary for national security programs as follows:

(1) For weapons activities:

Project 87–D–101, general plant projects, various locations, $29,000,000.

Project 87-D-104, safeguards and security enhancements, phase II, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, $2,000,000.

Project 87–D–121, general plant projects, various locations, $30,000,000.

Project 87–D–123, protective clothing decontamination facility, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $500,000.

Project 87-D-127, environmental, safety and health upgrade, Mound Plant, Miamisburg, Ohio, $2,400,000.

Project 87-D-130, receiving and shipping facility, Pinellas Plant, St. Petersburg, Florida, $300,000.

Project 86–D–103, decontamination and waste treatment facility, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, $5,300,000, for a total project authorization of $9,000,000.

Project 86–D–104, strategic defenses facility, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, $13,000,000, for a total project authorization of $17,000,000.

Project 86–D–105, instrumentation systems laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, $5,800,000, for a total project authorization of $12,000,000.

Project 86–D–106, laboratory data communications center, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, $12,000,000, for a total project authorization of $15,000,000.

Project 86–D–122, structural upgrade of existing plutonium facilities, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $2,420,000, for a total project authorization of $5,420,000.

Project 86–D–123, environmental hazards elimination, various locations, $15,000,000, for a total project authorization of $23,700,000.

Project 86–D–124, safeguards and site security upgrading, Phase II, Mound Plant, Miamisburg, Ohio, $1,880,000, for a total project authorization of $4,880,000.

Project 86–D–125, safeguards and site security upgrade, Phase II, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas, $8,410,000, for a total project authorization of $9,910,000.

Project 86–D–130, tritium loading facility replacement, Savannah River Plant, Aiken, South Carolina, $29,700,000, for a total project authorization of $34,700,000.

Project 85–D–102, nuclear weapons research, development, and testing facilities revitalization, Phase I, various locations, $14,085,000, for a total project authorization of $114,885,000.

Project 85–D–103, safeguards and security enhancements, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, California, $6,500,000, for a total project authorization of $27,600,000.

Project 85–D–105, combined device assembly facility, Nevada Test Site, Las Vegas, Nevada, $7,400,000, for a total project authorization of $22,000,000.

Project 85–D–106, hardened engineering test building, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, $330,000, for a total project authorization of $3,030,000.

Project 85–D–112, enriched uranium recovery improvements, Y–12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $19,000,000, for a total project authorization of $38,800,000.

Project 85–D–113, power plant and steam distribution system, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas, $1,790,000, for a total project authorization of $24,790,000.

Project 85–D–115, renovate plutonium building utility systems, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $13,440,000, for a total project authorization of $34,040,000.

Project 85–D–121, air and water pollution control facilities, Y–12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $9,160,000, for a total project authorization of $28,160,000.

Project 85-D-125, tactical bomb production facilities, various locations, $12,800,000, for a total project authorization of $28,800,000.

Project 84-D-102, radiation-hardened integrated circuit laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, $3,000,000, for a total project authorization of $40,500,000.

Project 84-D-107, nuclear testing facilities revitalization, various locations, $13,300,000, for a total project authorization of $79,240,000.

Project 84-D-112, Trident II warhead production facilities, various locations, $14,500,000, for a total project authorization of $155,200,000.

Project 84-D-124, environmental improvements, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $9,280,000, for a total authorization of $38,280,000.

Project 84-D-211, safeguards and site security upgrading, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $27,220,000, for a total project authorization of $40,220,000.

Project 82-D-107, utilities and equipment restoration, replacement, and upgrade, Phase III, various locations, $97,904,000, for a total project authorization of $838,304,000.

Project 82-D-144, simulation technology laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, $6,000,000, for a total project authorization of $40,000,000.

(2) For materials production:

Project 87-D-146, general plant projects, various locations, $31,980,000.

Project 87-D-149, reduced chemical discharges to process sewers, Richland, Washington, $3,150,000.

Project 87-D-150, radioactive liquid effluent treatment facility, partial design only, Richland, Washington, $4,000,000.

Project 87-D-152, environmental protection plantwide, Savannah River, South Carolina, $1,500,000.

Project 87-D-159, environmental, health, and safety improvements, Phase I, Feed Materials Production Center, Fernald, Ohio, $10,000,000.

Project 86-D-149, productivity retention program, Phase I and II, various locations, $42,625,000, for a total project authorization of $66,825,000.

Project 86-D-150, in-core neutron monitoring system, N reactor, Richland, Washington, $2,760,000, for a total project authorization of $7,220,000.

Project 86-D-151, PUREX electrical system upgrade, Richland, Washington, $2,480,000, for a total project authorization of $4,980,000.

Project 86-D-152, reactor electrical distribution system, Savannah River, South Carolina, $5,410,000, for a total project authorization of $7,410,000.

Project 86-D-153, additional line III furnace, Savannah River, South Carolina, $7,605,000, for a total project authorization of $9,105,000.

Project 86–D–154, effluent treatment facility, Savannah River, South Carolina, $15,650,000, for a total project authorization of $18,150,000.

Project 86–D–156, plantwide safeguards systems, Savannah River, South Carolina, $8,550,000, for a total project authorization of $11,550,000.

Project 85–D–139, fuel processing restoration, Idaho Fuels Processing Facility, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $32,430,000, for a total project authorization of $54,430,000.

Project 85–D–140, productivity and radiological improvements, Feed Materials Production Center, Fernald, Ohio, $21,000,000, for a total project authorization of $39,000,000.

Project 85–D–145, fuel production facility, Savannah River, South Carolina, $27,500,000, for a total project authorization of $53,300,000.

Project 84–D–134, safeguards and security improvements, plantwide, Savannah River, South Carolina, $7,515,000, for a total project authorization of $34,415,000.

Project 84–D–135, process facility modifications, Richland, Washington, $24,475,000, for a total project authorization of $56,975,000.

Project 84–D–136, enriched uranium conversion facility modifications, Y–12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $1,000,000, for a total project authorization of $20,600,000.

Project 82–D–124, restoration of production capabilities, Phases II, III, IV, and V, various locations, $3,511,000, for a total project authorization of $352,045,000.

(3) For defense waste and byproducts management:

Project 87–D–171, general plant projects, interim waste operations and long-term waste management technology, various locations, $24,535,000.

Project 87–D–172, WESF K–3 filter upgrade, Richland, Washington, $500,000.

Project 87–D–173, 242–A evaporator crystallizer upgrade, Richland, Washington, $2,800,000.

Project 87–D–174, 241–AQ tank farm, Richland, Washington, $2,100,000.

Project 87–D–175, steam system rehabilitation, Phase I, Richland, Washington, $900,000.

Project 87–D–177, test reactor area liquid radioactive waste cleanup system, Phase III, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $700,000.

Project 87–D–180, burial ground expansion, Savannah River, South Carolina, $2,000,000.

Project 87–D–181, diversion box and pump pit containment buildings, Savannah River, South Carolina, $960,000.

Project 86–D–172, B-plant F-filter, Richland, Washington, $2,949,000, for a total project authorization of $3,949,000.

Project 86–D–174, low-level waste processing and shipping system, Feed Materials Production Center, Fernald, Ohio, $8,072,000, for a total authorization of $10,572,000.

Project 86–D–175, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory security upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $5,258,000, for a total project authorization of $7,258,000.

Project 85–D–158, central warehouse upgrade, Richland, Washington, $1,044,000, for a total project authorization of $6,744,000.

Project 85–D–159, new waste transfer facilities, Savannah River, South Carolina, $4,118,000, for a total project authorization of $24,118,000.

Project 85–D–160, test reactor area security system upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $2,703,000, for a total project authorization of $6,953,000.

Project 81–T–105, defense waste processing facility, Savannah River, South Carolina, $123,967,000, for a total project authorization of $721,467,000.

(4) For naval reactors development:

Project 87–N–101, general plant projects, various locations, $4,800,000.

Project 87–N–102, Kesselring site facilities upgrade, Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, West Milton, New York, $5,000,000.

Project 87–N–103, computation laboratory addition, Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory, West Mifflin, Pennsylvania, $2,300,000.

(5) For verification and control technology:

Project 85–D–171, Space Science Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, $2,000,000, for a total project authorization of $7,500,000.

(6) For capital equipment not related to construction:

(A) For weapons activities, $278,000,000.

(B) For the defense inertial confinement fusion program, $9,000,000.

(C) For materials production, $107,200,000.

(D) For defense waste and byproducts management, $35,505,000.

(E) For verification and control technology, $4,200,000.

(F) For nuclear safeguards and security, $4,800,000.

(G) For naval reactors development, $49,200,000.

(b) REDUCTION IN AMOUNT FOR PRIOR PROJECT.—The amount previously authorized for Project 84–D–113, Antisubmarine Warfare/Standoff Weapon Warhead production facilities, various locations, is hereby reduced by $5,000,0000, for a total project authorization of $5,000,000. Not more than $5,000,000 of the funds authorized for such project in fiscal year 1986 may be obligated or expended for such project.

SEC. 3113. AUTHORIZATION FOR STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE ACTIVITIES

Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in sections 3111 and 3112, $317,000,000 is authorized for programs, projects, and activities of the Department of Energy relating to the Strategic Defense Initiative.

PART B—RECURRING GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 3121. REPROGRAMMING

(a) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—(1) Except as otherwise provided in this title—

(1) no amount appropriated pursuant to this title may be used for any program in excess of 105 percent of the amount authorized for that program by this title or $10,000,000 more than the amount authorized for that program by this title, whichever is the lesser, and

(2) no amount appropriated pursuant to this title may be used for any program which has not been presented to, or requested of, the Congress,

unless a period of 30 calendar days (not including any day on which either House of Congress is not in session because of adjournment of more than three calendar days to a day certain) has passed after receipt by the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives of notice from the Secretary of Energy (hereinafter in this part referred to as the "Secretary") containing a full and complete statement of the action proposed to be taken and the facts and circumstances relied upon in support of such proposed action, or unless each such committee before the expiration of such period has transmitted to the Secretary written notice to the effect that such committee has no objection to the proposed action.

(b) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT OBLIGATED.—In no event may the total amount of funds obligated pursuant to this title exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated by this title.

SEC. 3122. LIMITS ON GENERAL PLANT PROJECTS

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may carry out any construction project under the general plant projects provisions authorized by this title if the total estimated cost of the project does not exceed $1,200,000.

(b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—If, at any time during the construction of any general plant project authorized by this title, the estimated cost of the project is revised because of unforeseen cost variations and the revised cost of the project exceeds $1,200,000, the Secretary shall immediately furnish to the appropriate committees of Congress a complete report explaining the reasons for the cost variation.

SEC. 3123. LIMITS ON CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever the current estimated cost of a construction project which is authorized by section 3112 of this title, or which is in support of national security programs of the Department of Energy and was authorized by any previous Act, exceeds by more than 25 percent the higher of—

(1) the amount authorized for the project; or

(2) the amount of the total estimated cost for the project as shown in the most recent budget justification data submitted to Congress,

construction may not be started or additional obligations incurred in connection with the project above the total estimated cost, as the case may be, unless a period of 30 calendar days (not including any day on which either House of Congress is not in session because of *adjournment of more than three calendar days to a day certain) has passed after receipt by the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives of notice from the Secretary of Energy containing a full and complete statement of the action proposed to be taken and the facts and circumstances relied upon in support of such proposed action, or unless each such committee before the expiration of such period has transmitted to the Secretary written notice to the effect that such committee has no objection to the proposed action.*

(b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any construction project which has a current estimated cost of less than $5,000,000.

SEC. 3124. FUND TRANSFER AUTHORITY

To the extent specified in appropriation Acts, funds appropriated pursuant to this title may be transferred to other agencies of the Government for the performance of the work for which the funds were appropriated. Funds so transferred may be merged with the appropriations of the agency to which the funds are transferred.

SEC. 3125. AUTHORITY FOR CONSTRUCTION DESIGN

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Within the amounts authorized by this title for plant engineering and design, the Secretary may carry out advance planning and construction designs (including architectural and engineering services) in connection with any proposed construction project if the total estimated cost for such planning and design does not exceed $2,000,000.

(2) In any case in which the total estimated cost for such planning and design exceeds $300,000, the Secretary shall notify the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives in writing of the details of such project at least 30 days before any funds are obligated for design services for such project.

(b) SPECIFIC AUTHORITY REQUIRED.—In any case in which the total estimated cost for advance planning and construction design in connection with any construction project exceeds $2,000,000, funds for such design must be specifically authorized by law.

SEC. 3126. AUTHORITY FOR EMERGENCY CONSTRUCTION DESIGN

In addition to the advance planning and construction design authorized by section 3112, the Secretary may perform planning and design utilizing available funds for any Department of Energy defense activity construction project whenever the Secretary determines that the design must proceed expeditiously in order to meet the needs of national defense or to protect property or human life.

SEC. 3127. FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR ALL NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Subject to the provisions of appropriation Acts, amounts appropriated pursuant to this title for management and support activities and for general plant projects are available for use, when necessary, in connection with all national security programs of the Department of Energy.

SEC. 3128. ADJUSTMENTS FOR PAY INCREASES

Appropriations authorized by this title for salary, pay, retirement, or other benefits for Federal employees may be increased by such *amounts as may be necessary for increases in such benefits authorized by law.*

SEC. 3129. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

When so specified in an appropriation Act, amounts appropriated for "Operating Expenses" or for "Plant and Capital Equipment" may remain available until expended.

Part C—Miscellaneous Provisions

SEC. 3131. PROTECTION OF SENSITIVE TECHNICAL INFORMATION

(a) Property Rights in Inventions and Discoveries.—Whenever any contractor makes an invention or discovery to which the title vests in the Department of Energy pursuant to exercise of section 202(a) (ii) or (iv) of title 35, United States Code, or pursuant to section 152 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2182) or section 9 of the Federal Nonnuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5908) in the course of or under any Government contract or subcontract of the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program or the nuclear weapons programs or other atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy and the contractor requests waiver of any or all of the Government's property rights, the Secretary of Energy may decide to waive the Government's rights and assign the rights in such invention or discovery. Such decision shall be made within a reasonable time (which shall usually be six months from the date of the request by the contractor for assignment of such rights).

(b) Matters To Be Considered.—In making a decision under this section, the Secretary shall consider, in addition to the applicable policies of section 152 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2182) or subsections (c) and (d) of section 9 of the Federal Nonnuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5908)—

(1) whether national security will be compromised;

(2) whether sensitive technical information (whether classified or unclassified) under the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program or the nuclear weapons programs or other atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy for which dissemination is controlled under Federal statutes and regulations will be released to unauthorized persons;

(3) whether an organizational conflict of interest contemplated by Federal statutes and regulations will result; and

(4) whether failure to assert such a claim will adversely affect the operation of the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program or the nuclear weapons programs or other atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy.

SEC. 3132. RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS TO PAY PENALTIES UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS

(a) Restriction.—Funds appropriated to the Department of Energy for the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program or the nuclear weapons programs or other atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy may not be used to pay a penalty, fine, or forfeiture in regard to a defense activity or facility of the Department

of Energy due to a failure to comply with any environmental requirement.

(b) Exception.—Subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to an environmental requirement if—

(1) the President fails to request funds for compliance with the environmental requirement; or

(2) the Congress has appropriated funds for such purpose (and such funds have not been sequestered, deferred, or rescinded) and the Secretary of Energy fails to use the funds for such purpose.

SEC. 3133. COMMUNITY ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS

Section 1532 of the Department of Energy National Security and Military Applications of Nuclear Energy Authorization Act of 1986 (title XV of Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 773) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) Rule of Construction.—The authority of the Secretary of Energy under subsection (a) to provide a final financial settlement with Anderson County and Roane County, Tennessee, and with the City of Oak Ridge, Tennessee, and terminate all annual assistance payments made to those entities pursuant to section 91 of the Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 (42 U.S.C. 2391) does not affect any other right, function, or duty of the Secretary with respect to such Act (42 U.S.C. 2301), and the Secretary shall consider the purposes of such Act a continuing atomic energy defense function of the Department of Energy.".

SEC. 3134. AUTHORITY FOR DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY CONTRACTOR EMPLOYEES TO CARRY FIREARMS BEYOND BOUNDARIES OF A DOE FACILITY

Section 161 k. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 is amended—

(1) by inserting "and subcontractors (at any tier)" in the second sentence after "employees of its contractors";

(2) by striking out "owned by the United States and" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "under the jurisdiction of the United States";

(3) by inserting "or being transported to or from such facilities" in the second sentence after "contracted to the United States";

(4) by inserting after the third sentence the following new sentence: "An employee of a contractor or subcontractor authorized to carry firearms under this subsection may make such arrests only when the individual to be arrested is within, or in direct flight from, the area of such offense."; and

(5) by striking out the semicolon at the end and inserting in lieu thereof the following: ". The Secretary, with the approval of the Attorney General, shall issue guidelines to implement this subsection;".

SEC. 3135. REPORT ON CONTAINMENT FACILITIES

The Secretary of Energy shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the costs and effects on safety that would result from constructing containment facilities for nuclear reactors of the Department of Energy compared with the costs and effects on safety involved in construct-

ing a new production reactor. Such report shall be submitted not later than March 15, 1987.

SEC. 3136. STUDY OF PRODUCTION REACTOR SAFETY

(a) Requirement for Independent Study.—(1) The Secretary of Energy shall request the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering—

(A) to make an independent assessment of the safety of continuing operations of the "N" Production Reactor, located near Richland, Washington, including any technical and safety issues raised by the nuclear reactor accident at Chernobyl in the Soviet Union; and

(B) to provide a report on such assessment, together with findings and recommendations, concurrently to Congress and the Secretary not later than March 1, 1987.

(2) The assessment should—

(A) be made by distinguished scientists and engineers drawn from the councils of the National Academy of Sciences, the National Academy of Engineering, and the National Institute of Medicine chosen with regard for their special competence and expertise; and

(B) be conducted in a manner that would allow for appropriate public participation in the review process consistent with statutory requirements to prevent the unauthorized disclosure of classified information.

(b) Review and Comment by Secretary.—The Secretary shall review the findings and recommendations contained in the report under subsection (a) and shall separately provide to Congress a report containing—

(1) the Secretary's comments on such findings and recommendations; and

(2) a description (including cost assessments) of plans of the Secretary to correct any technical problems described in the report or to carry out recommendations set forth in the report.

SEC. 3137. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PRESIDENT'S BLUE RIBBON TASK GROUP ON NUCLEAR WEAPONS PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

(a) Establishment of Council.—(1) Chapter 7 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

"§ 179. Nuclear Weapons Council

"(a) There is a Joint Nuclear Weapons Council (hereinafter in this section referred to as the 'Council') composed of three members as follows:

"(1) The Director of Defense Research and Engineering.

"(2) The Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

"(3) One senior representative of the Department of Energy appointed by the Secretary of Energy.

"(b)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Chairman of the Council shall be the member appointed under subsection (a)(1).

"(2) A meeting of the Council shall be chaired by the representative appointed under subsection (a)(3) whenever the matter under consideration is within the primary responsibility or concern of the

Department of Energy, as determined by majority vote of the Council.

"(c)(1) The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy shall enter into an agreement with the Council to furnish necessary staff and administrative services to the Council.

"(2) The Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Atomic Energy shall be the Staff Director of the Council.

"(d) The Council shall be responsible for the following matters:

"(1) Preparing the annual Nuclear Weapons Stockpile Memorandum.

"(2) Developing nuclear weapons stockpiles options and the costs of such options.

"(3) Coordinating programming and budget matters pertaining to nuclear weapons programs between the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy.

"(4) Identifying various options for cost-effective schedules for nuclear weapons production.

"(5) Considering safety, security, and control issues for existing weapons and for proposed new weapon program starts.

"(6) Ensuring that adequate consideration is given to design, performance, and cost tradeoffs for all proposed new nuclear weapons programs.

"(7) Providing broad guidance regarding priorities for research on nuclear weapons.

"(8) Preparing comments on annual proposals for budget levels for research on nuclear weapons and transmitting those comments to the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy before the preparation of the annual budget requests by the Secretaries of those departments.

"(9) Providing—

"(A) broad guidance regarding priorities for research on improved conventional weapons, and

"(B) comments on annual proposals for budget levels for research on improved conventional weapons, and transmitting such guidance and comments to the Secretary of Defense before the preparation of the annual budget request of the Department of Defense.

"(e) The Council shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the actions that have been taken by the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy to implement the recommendations of the President's Blue Ribbon Task Group on Nuclear Weapons Program Management. The Council shall include in such report its recommendation on the role and composition of the staff on the Council. The Council shall submit such report to the Committees not later than March 1, 1987.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"179. Nuclear Weapons Council.".

(b) Chairman of JCS To Serve on Council if There is No Vice Chairman of JCS.—If on the date of the enactment of this section the position of Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, or com-

parable position, has not been established by law, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall be a member of the Nuclear Weapons Council established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a). If the position of Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (or comparable position) is established by law after the date of the enactment of this section, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall remain a member of such Council only until an individual has been appointed Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

(c) REPEAL.—Section 27 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2037) is repealed.

SEC. 3138. EXTENSION OF DATE FOR CERTAIN CONTRACT AUTHORITY

(a) EXTENSION.—Section 94 of the Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 (42 U.S.C. 2394) is amended by striking out "June 30, 1986" and "June 30, 1987" and inserting in lieu thereof "June 30, 1996" in both places.

(b) BUDGET ACT CONTRACT LIMITATION.—(1) Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "The authority to enter into a contract under the preceding sentence with the Los Alamos School Board and with the county of Los Alamos, New Mexico, shall be effective with respect to a period before July 1, 1996, only to the extent or in such amounts as are provided in appropriation Acts.".

(2) The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to a contract with the county of Los Alamos, New Mexico, to the extent that it covers the period before July 1, 1987.

TITLE II—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

SEC. 3201. EXTENSION OF PROHIBITION ON REDUCTIONS IN STOCKPILE GOALS

Section 1612(a)(1) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 776), is amended by striking out "October 1, 1986" and inserting in lieu thereof "October 1, 1987".

SEC. 3202. DESIGNATION OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE MANAGER

(a) DESIGNATION OF SINGLE STOCKPILE MANAGER.—The Strategic and Critical Materials Stockpiling Act is amended by inserting after section 6 the following new section:

"NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE MANAGER

"SEC. 6A. (a) The President shall designate a single Federal official to perform the functions of the President under this Act. The official designated shall be an officer who holds a civilian position to which the person was appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

"(b) The officer designated by the President under this section shall be known for purposes of his functions under this Act as the 'National Defense Stockpile Manager'.".

(b) DEADLINE FOR DESIGNATION.—The President shall designate an official as the National Defense Stockpile Manager, as required by section 6A of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (as added by subsection (a)), not later than February 15, 1987.

SEC. 3203. EXTENSION OF USES OF STOCKPILE TRANSACTION FUND AND CODIFICATION OF REVOLVING FUND PROVISIONS

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 9(b) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C 98h(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking out the second sentence of paragraph (1); and

(2) by striking out paragraphs (2) and (3) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(2) Subject to section 5(a)(1), moneys covered into the fund under paragraph (1) are hereby made available (subject to such limitations as may be provided in appropriation Acts) for the following purposes:

"(A) The acquisition of strategic and critical materials under section 6(a)(1).

"(B) Transportation, storage, and other incidental expenses related to such acquisition.

"(C) Development of current specifications of stockpile materials and the upgrading of existing stockpile materials to meet current specifications (including transportation, when economical, related to such upgrading).

"(D) Testing and quality studies of stockpile materials.

"(E) Studying future material and mobilization requirements for the stockpile.

"(F) Other reasonable requirements for management of the stockpile.

"(3) Moneys in the fund shall remain available until expended.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 110 of Public Law 97-377 (96 Stat. 1911) is amended by striking out "Notwithstanding" and all that follows through "That during" and inserting in lieu thereof "During".

(c) APPLICABILITY OF AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by subsection (a) apply with respect to funds covered into the National Defense Stockpile Fund before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3204. AUTHORIZED DISPOSALS FROM NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) The President is authorized to dispose of the following quantities of materials that are currently held in the National Defense Stockpile (established by section 3 of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98b)) and that are hereby determined to be excess to the current requirements of the stockpile:

Antimony	*1,500 short tons*
Diamonds, Industrial Stone	*1,125,000 carats*
Iodine	*800,000 pounds*
Mercury	*3,700 flasks*
Mica, Muscovite Film	*3,000 pounds*
Mica, Muscovite Splittings	*262,000 pounds*
Silicon Carbide	*7,600 short tons*
Silver (Coinage Program Only)	*3,000,000 troy ounces*
Tannin, Chestnut	*1,000 long tons*
Tannin, Quebracho	*4,000 long tons*
Thorium Nitrate	*10,000 pounds*
Tin	*4,000 metric tons*
Tungsten	*1,900,000 pounds of tungsten metal equivalent*

(2) Authority provided by paragraph (1) is in addition to any other authority provided by law to dispose of materials from the National Defense Stockpile.

(b) Special Disposal Authority.—During fiscal year 1987, the President may contract to carry out authorized disposals of materials from the National Defense Stockpile without regard to the limitation in section 5(b)(2) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act, but only to the extent that the total amount received (or to be received) from such disposals does not exceed the amount obligated from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund during such fiscal year for purposes authorized under section 9(b)(2) of such Act (as amended by section 3203).

SEC. 3205. CONVERSION OF CHROMIUM AND MANGANESE ORE TO HIGH CARBON FERROCHROMIUM AND HIGH CARBON FERROMANGANESE

(a) Required Upgrading.—During each of fiscal years 1987 through 1993, the President shall—

(1) obtain bids from domestic producers of high carbon ferrochromium and of high carbon ferromanganese; and

(2) award contracts for the conversion of chromium and manganese ores held in the National Defense Stockpile into high carbon ferrochromium and high carbon ferromanganese, respectively.

(b) Quantities To Be Upgraded.—(1) Contracts awarded under subsection (a) shall provide for the addition of not less than 53,500 short tons of high carbon ferrochromium and not less than 67,500 short tons of high carbon ferromanganese to the National Defense Stockpile during each of the fiscal years covered by subsection (a).

(2) If, during any fiscal year referred to in subsection (a), the minimum quantity of high carbon ferrochromium or high carbon ferromanganese to be added to the National Defense Stockpile, as required by paragraph (1), is not met, the quantity of such material to be added to the stockpile in the next fiscal year shall be increased by the quantity of the deficiency.

(c) Seven-Year Minimum Quantities.—The total quantities of high carbon ferrochromium and high carbon ferromanganese to be added to the National Defense Stockpile over the seven fiscal years referred to in subsection (a) shall be as follows:

(1) High carbon ferrochromium, 374,000 short tons.

(2) High carbon ferromanganese, 472,000 short tons.

(d) Definition.—In this section, the term "National Defense Stockpile" means the stockpile provided for in section 4 of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98c).

SEC. 3206. REPORT ON WAR EMERGENCY SITUATIONS AND MOBILIZATION REQUIREMENTS

(a) Requirement.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing war emergency situations that would necessitate total mobilization of the economy of the United States for a conventional global war of a duration of at least three years. With respect to each such war emergency situation, the Secretary shall include estimates of—

(1) the length and intensity of the assumed emergency;

(2) the military force structure to be mobilized; and

(3) the defense expenditures required during each such war emergency situation.

(b) Stockpile Planning.—The Secretary shall indicate in the report which of the war emergency situations described in the report should serve as the basis for planning for and management of the National Defense Stockpile.

(c) Deadline for Report.—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than January 31, 1987.

SEC. 3207. MISCELLANEOUS TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS

(a) Technical Amendments.—(1) Section 4(a) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98c(a)) is amended—

(A) by striking out "on the day before the date of the date of the enactment of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Revision Act of 1979" in paragraphs (1) and (3) and inserting in lieu thereof "on July 29, 1979", and

(B) by striking out "on or after the date of the enactment of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Revision Act of 1979" in paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof "after July 29, 1979".

(2) Section 5(b) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 98d(b)) is amended by striking out "(4)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(3), (4),".

(3) Section 11(b) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 98h-2) is amended by striking out "each year" and all that follows through "the next fiscal year" and inserting in lieu thereof "each year, at the time that the Budget is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for the next fiscal year,".

(b) Clarifying Amendment.—Section 6(a)(3) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 98e(a)(3)) is amended by striking out "the form most" and inserting in lieu thereof "a form more".

TITLE III—CIVIL DEFENSE

SEC. 3301. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR CIVIL DEFENSE FUNCTIONS

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 the sum of $120,565,000 to carry out the provisions of the Federal Civil Defense Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. App. 2251 et seq.).

DIVISION D—CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

SEC. 4001. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS

(a) Short Title.—This division may be cited as the "Child Nutrition Amendments of 1986".

(b) Table of Contents for Division.—The table of contents for this division is as follows:

Sec. 4001. Short title; table of contents.

TITLE I—REAUTHORIZATION OF CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

Sec. 4101. Summer Food Service Program for children.
Sec. 4102. Commodity Distribution Program.
Sec. 4103. State administrative expenses.
Sec. 4104. Special supplemental food program for women, infants, and children.
Sec. 4105. Nutrition Education and Training Program.

TITLE II—SCHOOL LUNCH AND BREAKFAST PROGRAMS

Sec. 4201. Basis of commodity assistance.
Sec. 4202. Inclusion of whole milk as a school lunch beverage.
Sec. 4203. Automatic eligibility for certain programs.
Sec. 4204. Limitation on meal contracting.
Sec. 4205. Change in tuition limitation for private schools.
Sec. 4206. Use of school lunch facilities for elderly programs.
Sec. 4207. Pilot projects for administration of child nutrition programs by contract or direct disbursement.
Sec. 4208. Department of Defense Overseas Dependents' Schools.
Sec. 4209. Restoration of certain kindergartens to the special milk program.
Sec. 4210. Improvement of breakfast program meal pattern.
Sec. 4211. Extension of offer versus serve provision to the school breakfast program.
Sec. 4212. Staffing standards.

TITLE III—SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN

Sec. 4301. Costs for Nutrition Services and Administration.
Sec. 4302. State eligibility for WIC funds.
Sec. 4303. Participation report.
Sec. 4304. Plan of operation and administration.
Sec. 4305. Public comment.
Sec. 4306. Availability of program benefits.
Sec. 4307. Repayment of certain benefits by recipients.
Sec. 4308. Priority funds for WIC migrant programs.
Sec. 4309. Improving State agency administrative systems.
Sec. 4310. Paperwork reduction.
Sec. 4311. Allocation standards.
Sec. 4312. Advance payments.
Sec. 4313. Availability of funds.

TITLE IV—OTHER NUTRITION PROGRAMS

Sec. 4401. Hearings on Federal audit actions under the Child Care Food Program.
Sec. 4402. Basis for nutrition education grants.
Sec. 4403. Extension of alternative means of assistance.
Sec. 4404. National Donated Commodity Processing Programs.

TITLE V—TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS

Sec. 4501. Obsolete provisions.
Sec. 4502. Obsolete references to Health, Education, and Welfare.
Sec. 4503. Conforming amendments.

TITLE I—REAUTHORIZATION OF CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

SEC. 4101. SUMMER FOOD SERVICE PROGRAM FOR CHILDREN

Section 13(p) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1761(p)) is amended by striking out "1984" and inserting in lieu thereof "1989".

SEC. 4102. COMMODITY DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM

Section 14(a) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1762a(a)) is amended by striking out "1984" and inserting in lieu thereof "1989".

SEC. 4103. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

Section 7(i) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1776(i)) is amended by striking out "1984" and inserting in lieu thereof "1989".

SEC. 4104. SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN

Section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(2), by striking out "Subject to" and all that follows through "1984" and inserting in lieu thereof "Subject to amounts appropriated to carry out this section under subsection (g)";

(2) in subsection (g)—

(A) by designating the first and second sentences as paragraphs (1) and (3), respectively; and

(B) by amending paragraph (1) (as so designated) to read as follows:

"(1) There are authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section $1,570,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1986, such sums as may be necessary for each of the fiscal years ending September 30, 1987, and September 30, 1988, and $1,782,000,000 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1989."; and

(3) in subsection (h)(2), by striking out "1984" and inserting in lieu thereof "1989".

SEC. 4105. NUTRITION EDUCATION AND TRAINING PROGRAM

The first sentence of section 19(j)(2) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1788(j)(2)) is amended by striking out "1984" and inserting in lieu thereof "1989".

TITLE II—SCHOOL LUNCH AND BREAKFAST PROGRAMS

SEC. 4201. BASIS OF COMMODITY ASSISTANCE

Section 6(b) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1755(b)) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking out "May 15" and inserting in lieu thereof "June 1"; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking out "June 15" and inserting in lieu thereof "July 1".

SEC. 4202. INCLUSION OF WHOLE MILK AS A SCHOOL LUNCH BEVERAGE

Effective July 1, 1986, section 9(a) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1758(a)) is amended—

(1) by designating the first, second, and third sentences as paragraphs (1), (3), and (4), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) (as so designated) the following new paragraph:

"(2) In addition to such other forms of milk as the Secretary may determine, the lunches shall offer whole milk as a beverage.".

SEC. 4203. AUTOMATIC ELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN PROGRAMS

Effective July 1, 1986, section 9(b) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1758(b)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

"(6)(A) A child shall be considered automatically eligible for a free lunch and breakfast under this Act and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.), respectively, without further application or eligibility determination, if the child is a member of—

"(i) a household receiving assistance under the food stamp program authorized under the Food Stamp Act of 1977 (7 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.); or

"(ii) an AFDC assistance unit (under the aid to families with dependent children program authorized under part A of title IV of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 601 et seq.)), in a State where the standard of eligibility for the assistance does not exceed 130 percent of the poverty line (as defined in section 673(2) of the Community Services Block Grant Act (42 U.S.C. 9902(2))).

"(B) Proof of receipt of food stamps or aid to families with dependent children shall be sufficient to satisfy any verification requirement imposed under paragraph (2)(C).".

SEC. 4204. LIMITATION ON MEAL CONTRACTING

Effective July 1, 1986, section 9 of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1758) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(e) A school or school food authority participating in a program under this Act may not contract with a food service company to provide a la carte food service unless the company agrees to offer free, reduced-price, and full-price reimbursable meals to all eligible children.".

SEC. 4205. CHANGE IN TUITION LIMITATION FOR PRIVATE SCHOOLS

(a) SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAMS.—Section 12(d)(5) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1760(d)(5)) is amended—

(1) in clause (A) of the first sentence, by striking out "$1,500" and inserting in lieu thereof "$2,000"; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new sentence: "On July 1, 1988, and each July 1 thereafter, the Secretary shall adjust the tuition limitation amount prescribed in clause (A) of the first sentence of this paragraph to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers during the most recent 12-month period for which the data is available.".

(b) SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAMS.—Section 15(c) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1784(c)) is amended—

(1) in clause (A) of the first sentence, by striking out "$1,500" and inserting in lieu thereof "$2,000"; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new sentence: "On July 1, 1988, and each July 1 thereafter, the Secretary shall adjust the tuition limitation amount prescribed in clause (A) of the first sentence of this paragraph to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers during the most recent 12-month period for which the data is available.".

(c) APPLICATION.—(1) The amendments made by subsections (a)(1) and (b)(1) shall apply for the fiscal year beginning on October 1, 1986, and each school year thereafter.

(2) The amendments made by subsections (a)(2) and (b)(2) shall apply for the school year beginning on July 1, 1988, and each school year thereafter.

SEC. 4206. USE OF SCHOOL LUNCH FACILITIES FOR ELDERLY PROGRAMS

Section 12 of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1760) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(i) Facilities, equipment, and personnel provided to a school food authority for a program authorized under this Act or the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.) may be used, as determined by a local educational agency, to support a nonprofit nutrition program for the elderly, including a program funded under the Older Americans Act of 1965 (42 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.).".

SEC. 4207. PILOT PROJECTS FOR ADMINISTRATION OF CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS BY CONTRACT OR DIRECT DISBURSEMENT

(a) PILOT PROJECTS.—Section 20 of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1769) is amended by striking out subsection (d) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subsection:

"(d) The Secretary may conduct pilot projects in not more than three States in which the Secretary is currently administering programs to evaluate the effects of the Secretary contracting with private profit and nonprofit organizations to act as a State agency under this Act and the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.) for schools, institutions, or service institutions referred to in section 10 of this Act and section 5 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1774).".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The first sentence of section 20(c) of the National School Lunch Act is amended by striking out "except for the pilot projects conducted under subsection (d) of this section,".

SEC. 4208. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OVERSEAS DEPENDENTS' SCHOOLS

(a) SCHOOL LUNCHES.—Section 22(d) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1769b(d)) (as added by section 1408(a) of the Education Amendments of 1978 (92 Stat. 2368)) is amended by striking out "and for" and all that follows through "reduced-price lunch".

(b) SCHOOL BREAKFASTS.—Section 20(d) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1789) is amended by striking out "and for" and all that follows through "reduced-price breakfast".

SEC. 4209. RESTORATION OF CERTAIN KINDERGARTENS TO THE SPECIAL MILK PROGRAM

Effective October 1, 1986, section 3(a) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1772(a)) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence—

(A) by inserting "(1)" after the subsection designation;

(B) by redesignating clauses (1) and (2) as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively; and

(C) in subparagraph (A) (as so redesignated), by inserting "except as provided in paragraph (2)," after "and under,";

(2) by designating the second through eighth sentences as paragraphs (3) through (9), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) (as so designated) the following new paragraph:

"(2) The limitation imposed under paragraph (1)(A) for participation of nonprofit schools in the special milk program shall not apply to split-session kindergarten programs conducted in schools in which children do not have access to the meal service program operating in schools the children attend as authorized under this Act or the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1751 et seq.).".

SEC. 4210. IMPROVEMENT OF BREAKFAST PROGRAM MEAL PATTERN

(a) Additional Assistance.—Effective October 1, 1986, section 4(b) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1773(b)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraphs:

"(3) The Secretary shall increase by 3 cents the annually adjusted payment for each breakfast served under this Act and section 17 of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1766). These funds shall be used to assist States, to the extent feasible, in improving the nutritional quality of the breakfasts.

"(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, whenever stocks of agricultural commodities are acquired by the Secretary or the Commodity Credit Corporation and are not likely to be sold by the Secretary or the Commodity Credit Corporation or otherwise used in programs of commodity sale or distribution, the Secretary shall make such commodities available to school food authorities and eligible institutions serving breakfasts under this Act in a quantity equal in value to not less than 3 cents for each breakfast served under this Act and section 17 of the National School Lunch Act.

"(5) Expenditures of funds from State and local sources for the maintenance of the breakfast program shall not be diminished as a result of funds or commodities received under paragraph (3) or (4).".

(b) Nutrition Requirements.—(1) The Secretary of Agriculture shall review and revise the nutrition requirements for meals served under the breakfast program authorized under the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1771 et seq.) and section 17 of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1766) to improve the nutritional quality of the meals, taking into consideration both the findings of the National Evaluation of School Nutrition Programs and the need to provide increased flexibility in meal planning to local food authorities.

(2) Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Agriculture shall promulgate regulations to implement the revisions.

SEC. 4211. EXTENSION OF OFFER VERSUS SERVE PROVISION TO THE SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM

Section 4(e) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1773(e)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after the subsection designation; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

"(2) At the option of a local school food authority, a student in a school under the authority that participates in the school breakfast program under this Act may be allowed to refuse not more than one item of a breakfast that the student does not intend to consume. A refusal of an offered food item shall not affect the full charge to the student for a breakfast meeting the requirements of this section or the amount of payments made under this Act to a school for the breakfast.".

SEC. 4212. STAFFING STANDARDS

Section 7 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1776) (as amended by section 103) is further amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (b); and

(2) by redesignating subsections (c) through (i) as subsections (b) through (h), respectively.

TITLE III—SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN

SEC. 4301. COSTS FOR NUTRITION SERVICES AND ADMINISTRATION

(a) Definitions.—Section 17(b) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking out paragraph (1);

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (2), (3), and (4) as paragraphs (1), (2), and (3), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (3) (as so redesignated) the following new paragraph:

"(4) 'Costs for nutrition services and administration' means costs that shall include, but not be limited to, costs for certification of eligibility of persons for participation in the program (including centrifuges, measuring boards, spectrophotometers, and scales used for the certification), food delivery, monitoring, nutrition education, outreach, startup costs, and general administration applicable to implementation of the program under this section, such as the cost of staff, transportation, insurance, developing and printing food instruments, and administration of State and local agency offices.".

(b) Conforming Amendments.—Section 17 of such Act is amended—

(1) by striking out "administrative funds" each place it appears in subsections (f)(11), (h)(2), (h)(3), and (h)(4) and inserting in lieu thereof "funds for nutrition services and administration"; and

(2) by striking out "administrative costs" each place it appears in subsection (h) and inserting in lieu thereof "costs for nutrition services and administration".

SEC. 4302. STATE ELIGIBILITY FOR WIC FUNDS

(a) Eligibility.—Section 17(c) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(c)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

"(4) A State shall be ineligible to participate in programs authorized under this section if the Secretary determines that State or local sales taxes are collected within the State on purchases of food made to carry out this section.".

(b) Application.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to a State beginning with the fiscal year that commences after the end of the first regular session of the State legislature following the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 4303. PARTICIPATION REPORT

(a) Biennial Report.—Section 17(d) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(d)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

"(4) The Secretary shall report biennially to Congress on—

"(A) the income and nutritional risk characteristics of participants in the program;

"(B) participation in the program by members of families of migrant farmworkers; and

"(C) such other matters relating to participation in the program as the Secretary considers appropriate.".

(b) Use of Evaluation Funds for Report.—Section 17(g)(3) of such Act (as amended by section 104(2)(A)) is further amended by inserting "preparing the report required under subsection (d)(4)," after "health benefits,".

SEC. 4304. PLAN OF OPERATION AND ADMINISTRATION

(a) Plan.—Paragraph (1) of section 17(f) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(f)(1)) is amended to read as follows:

"(1)(A) Each State agency shall submit annually to the Secretary, by a date specified by the Secretary, a plan of operation and administration for a fiscal year.

"(B) To be eligible to receive funds under this section for a fiscal year, a State agency must receive the approval of the Secretary for the plan submitted for the fiscal year.

"(C) The plan shall include—

"(i) a description of the food delivery system of the State agency and the method of enabling participants to receive supplemental foods under the program, to be administered in accordance with standards developed by the Secretary;

"(ii) a description of the financial management system of the State agency;

"(iii) a plan to coordinate operations under the program with special counseling services, such as the expanded food and nutrition education program, immunization programs, prenatal care, well-child care, family planning, alcohol and drug abuse counseling, child abuse counseling, and with the aid to families with dependent children, food stamp, and maternal and child health care programs;

"(iv) a plan to provide program benefits under this section to, and to meet the special nutrition education needs of, eligible migrants and Indians;

"(v) a plan to expend funds to carry out the program during the relevant fiscal year;

"(vi) a plan to provide program benefits under this section to unserved and underserved areas in the State, if sufficient funds are available to carry out this clause;

"(vii) a plan to provide program benefits under this section to eligible persons most in need of the benefits and to enroll eligible women in the early months of pregnancy, to the maximum extent practicable; and

"(viii) such other information as the Secretary may require.

"(D) The Secretary may permit a State agency to submit only those parts of a plan that differ from plans submitted for previous fiscal years.

"(E) The Secretary may not approve any plan that permits a person to participate simultaneously in both the program authorized under this section and the commodity supplemental food program authorized under sections 4 and 5 of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973 (7 U.S.C. 612c note).".

(b) Application.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to a plan submitted by a State agency under section 17(f)(1) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1987, and each fiscal year thereafter.

SEC. 4305. PUBLIC COMMENT

Paragraph (2) of section 17(f) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(f)(2)) is amended to read as follows:

"(2) A State agency shall establish a procedure under which members of the general public are provided an opportunity to comment on the development of the State agency plan.".

SEC. 4306. AVAILABILITY OF PROGRAM BENEFITS

Paragraph (8) of section 17(f) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(f)(8)) is amended to read as follows:

"(8)(A) The State agency shall, in cooperation with participating local agencies, publicly announce and distribute information on the availability of program benefits (including the eligibility criteria for participation and the location of local agencies operating the program) to offices and organizations that deal with significant numbers of potentially eligible persons (including health and medical organizations, hospitals and clinics, welfare and unemployment offices, social service agencies, farmworker organizations, Indian tribal organizations, and religious and community organizations in low income areas).

"(B) The information shall be publicly announced by the State agency and by local agencies at least annually.

"(C) The State agency and local agencies shall distribute the information in a manner designed to provide the information to potentially eligible persons who are most in need of the benefits, including pregnant women in the early months of pregnancy.".

SEC. 4307. REPAYMENT OF CERTAIN BENEFITS BY RECIPIENTS

Effective October 1, 1986, section 17(f) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(f)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

"(15) If a State agency determines that a member of a family has received an overissuance of food benefits under the program authorized by this section as the result of such member intentionally making a false or misleading statement or intentionally misrepresenting, concealing, or withholding facts, the State agency shall recover, in cash, from such member an amount that the State agency determines is equal to the value of the overissued food benefits, unless the State agency determines that the recovery of the benefits would not be cost effective.".

SEC. 4308. PRIORITY FUNDS FOR WIC MIGRANT PROGRAMS

(a) PRIORITY FUNDING.—Effective October 1, 1986, section 17(g) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(g)) (as amended by section 104(2)(A)) is further amended by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph:

"(2) Of the sums appropriated for any fiscal year for programs authorized under this section, not less than nine-tenths of 1 percent shall be available first for services to eligible members of migrant populations. The migrant services shall be provided in a manner consistent with the priority system of a State for program participation.".

(b) ACCOUNTABILITY.—To the extent possible, accountability for migrant services under section 17(g)(2) of the Child Nutrition Act of

1966 (as added by subsection (a)) shall be conducted under regulations in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 4309. IMPROVING STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE SYSTEMS

Section 17(g)(3) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(g)(3)) (as amended by sections 104(2)(A) and 303(b)) is further amended by inserting "providing technical assistance to improve State agency administrative systems," after "subsection (d)(4),".

SEC. 4310. PAPERWORK REDUCTION

Section 17(h)(1) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(h)(1)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new sentence: "The Secretary shall limit to a minimal level any documentation required under the preceding sentence.".

SEC. 4311. ALLOCATION STANDARDS

Section 17(h)(3) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(h)(3)) is amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by striking out ", which satisfy allocation guidelines established by the Secretary"; and

(2) by striking out the last sentence.

SEC. 4312. ADVANCE PAYMENTS

Effective October 1, 1986, section 17(h)(4) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(h)(4)) is amended by striking out "shall" and inserting in lieu thereof "may".

SEC. 4313. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS

(a) AVAILABILITY.—Section 17(i) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1786(i)) is amended—

(1) by designating the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth sentences as paragraphs (1), (2), (4), (5), and (6), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) (as so designated) the following new paragraph:

"(3)(A) Notwithstanding paragraph (2)—

"(i) not more than 1 percent of the amount of funds allocated to a State agency under this section for supplemental foods for a fiscal year may be expended by the State agency for expenses incurred under this section for supplemental foods during the preceding fiscal year; or

"(ii) not more than 1 percent of the amount of funds allocated to a State agency for a fiscal year under this section may be expended by the State agency during the subsequent fiscal year.

"(B) Any funds made available to a State agency in accordance with subparagraph (A)(ii) for a fiscal year shall not affect the amount of funds allocated to the State agency for such year.".

(b) APPLICATION.—Section 17(i)(3)(A)(i) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (as amended by subsection (a)) shall not apply to appropriations made before the date of enactment of this Act.

TITLE IV—OTHER NUTRITION PROGRAMS

SEC. 4401. HEARINGS ON FEDERAL AUDIT ACTIONS UNDER THE CHILD CARE FOOD PROGRAM

Section 17(e) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1766(e)) is amended—

(1) by striking out "The" and inserting in lieu thereof "(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the"; and

(2) by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraphs:

"(2) A State is not required to provide a hearing to an institution concerning a State action taken on the basis of a Federal audit determination.

"(3) If a State does not provide a hearing to an institution concerning a State action taken on the basis of a Federal audit determination, the Secretary, on request, shall afford a hearing to the institution concerning the action.".

SEC. 4402. BASIS FOR NUTRITION EDUCATION GRANTS

Section 19(j)(2) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1788(j)(2)) is amended by striking out "$75,000" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "$50,000".

SEC. 4403. EXTENSION OF ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF ASSISTANCE

Section 14 of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1762a) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new subsection:

"(g)(1) As used in this subsection, the term 'eligible school district' has the same meaning given such term in section 1581(a) of the Food Security Act of 1985.

"(2) In accordance with the terms and conditions of section 1581 of such Act, the Secretary shall permit an eligible school district to continue to receive assistance in the form of cash or commodity letters of credit assistance, in lieu of commodities, to carry out the school lunch program operated in the district.

"(3)(A) On request of a participating school district (and after consultation with the Comptroller General of the United States with respect to accounting procedures used to determine any losses) and subject to the availability of funds, the Secretary shall provide cash compensation to an eligible school district for losses sustained by the district as a result of the alteration of the methodology used to conduct the study referred to in section 1581(a) of such Act during the school year ending June 30, 1983.

"(B) There are authorized to be appropriated $50,000 to carry out out this paragraph, to be available without fiscal year limitation.".

SEC. 4404. NATIONAL DONATED COMMODITY PROCESSING PROGRAMS

In accordance with the terms and conditions of section 1114(a)(2) of the Agriculture and Food Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 1431e(a)(2)), whenever a commodity is made available without charge or credit under any nutrition program administered by the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary shall encourage consumption of the commodity through agreements with private companies under which the commodity is reprocessed into end-food products for use by eligible recipient agencies.

TITLE V—TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS

SEC. 4501. OBSOLETE PROVISIONS

(a) NUTRITION PROGRAM STAFF STUDY; TRUST TERRITORY APPROPRIATIONS.—(1) Sections 18 and 19 of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1767 and 1768) are repealed.

(2) The first sentence of section 3 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1752) is amended by striking out "sections 13, 17, and 19" and inserting in lieu thereof "sections 13 and 17".

(b) STUDY OF MENU CHOICE.—Section 22 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1769c) (as added by section 9 of the Child Nutrition Amendments of 1978 (92 Stat. 3623)) is repealed.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) The National School Lunch Act (as amended by sections 207 and 208(a) and subsection (b)) is further amended by redesignating sections 20, 21, and 22 (42 U.S.C. 1769, 1769a, and 1769b) as sections 18, 19, and 20, respectively.

(2) Clause (3) of the first sentence of section 6(a) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 1755(a)) is amended by striking out "section 20" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 18".

SEC. 4502. OBSOLETE REFERENCES TO HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

(a) REFERENCES IN NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH ACT.—Clause (1) of the sixth sentence of section 17(a) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C., 1766(a)) is amended by striking out "Health, Education, and Welfare" and inserting in lieu thereof "Health and Human Services".

(b) REFERENCES IN CHILD NUTRITION ACT OF 1966.—(1) The Child Nutrition Act of 1966 is amended by striking out "Health, Education, and Welfare" each place it appears in section 4(a) (42 U.S.C. 1773(a)), subsections (b)(6), (b)(13), (e)(2), (k)(1), and (k)(2) of section 17 (42 U.S.C. 1786), and subsections (d)(2) and (d)(3) of section 19 (42 U.S.C. 1788) and inserting in lieu thereof "Health and Human Services".

(2) Section 19(j)(3) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1788(j)(3)) is amended by striking out "Office of Education of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare" and inserting in lieu thereof "Department of Education".

SEC. 4503. CONFORMING AMENDMENTS

(a) DEFINITION OF SECRETARY.—Section 12(d) of the National School Lunch Act (42 U.S.C. 1760(d)) is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new paragraph:

"(8) 'Secretary' means the Secretary of Agriculture.".

(b) REDESIGNATION OF SUBSECTION.—Section 19 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 (42 U.S.C. 1788) (as amended by sections 105, 402, and 502(b)(2)) is further amended by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (i).

And the House agree to the same.

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the title of the bill and agree to the same.

From the Committee on Armed Services for consideration of the entire Senate bill and House amendments (unless otherwise restricted):

LES ASPIN,
MELVIN PRICE,
CHARLES E. BENNETT,
SAMUEL S. STRATTON,
BILL NICHOLS,
DAN DANIEL,
G.V. MONTGOMERY,

Pat Schroeder,
Beverly Byron,
Nick Mavroules,
Earl Hutton,
Ike Skelton,
Dave McCurdy,
Richard Ray,
John Spratt,
Wm. L. Dickinson,
G. William Whitehurst,
Floyd Spence,
Marjorie S. Holt,
Elwood Hillis,
Robert E. Badham,
Bob Stump,
Jim Courter,
Larry J. Hopkins,
Bob Davis,

From the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, solely when differences regarding intelligence-related activities are under consideration:

Lee H. Hamilton,
Louis Stokes,
Dick Cheney,

From the Committee on Foreign Affairs, solely for the consideration of sections 205(f), 952, 1205–1209, 1214–1217, 1228, 1231, and 1233 of the Senate bill and sections 1024 and 1041 of the House amendments:

Dante B. Fascell,
Lee H. Hamilton,
Gus Yatron,
Stephen J. Solarz,
Benjamin A. Gilman,

Solely for the consideration of section 1032 of the House amendments and modifications committed to conference:

Gus Savage,

Solely for the consideration of sections 109, 203, 205(a)(1), 1210, 1230, and 3114(a) of the Senate bill and sections 123, 128, 208, 215, 1061, 3013, and 3036 of the House amendments:

Les Aspin,
Melvin Price,
Charles E. Bennett,
Pat Schroeder,
Beverly Byron,
Nick Mavroules,
Dave McCurdy,
John Spratt,
George E. Brown, Jr.,
Richard A. Gephardt,
Vic Fazio,
Thomas J. Downey,
Edward Markey,
Wm. L. Dickinson,

G. William Whitehurst,
Floyd Spence,
Marjorie S. Holt,
Robert E. Badham,
Jim Courter,
Robert J. Lagomarsino,
Henry J. Hyde,

Solely for the consideration of title IX of division A of the Senate bill and sections 213, 1025, 1026, 1048, and title IX of division A of the House amendments:

Gerald Solomon,
Nicholas Mavroules,
Charles E. Bennett,
Dave McCurdy,
Dennis M. Hertel,
Norman Sisisky,
John Spratt,
Pat Schroeder,
Barbara Boxer,
Ron Wyden,
Mel Levine,
Gerry Sikorski,
John Bryant,
Jim Courter,
Wm. L. Dickinson,
Bob Davis,
John R. Kasich,
Bob Stump,

Solely for the consideration of section 1002 of the Senate bill and section 2715 of the House amendments:

Melvin Price,
Ronald V. Dellums,

From the Committee on Education and Labor, solely for the consideration of section 1002 of the Senate bill and section 2715 of the House amendments:

Gus Hawkins,
Austin J. Murphy,
William Clay,
Pat Williams,
Alton R. Waldon,

From the Committee on Education and Labor, solely for the consideration of division F of the Senate bill and modifications committed to conference:

Augustus F. Hawkins,
William D. Ford,
Dale E. Kildee,
Pat Williams,
Matthew G. Martinez,
Major R. Owens,
Rick Boucher,
Carl C. Perkins,
Jim Jeffords,
Bill Goodling,
Rod Chandler,

John R. McKernan, Jr.,
Harris W. Fawell,

Solely for the consideration of division B of the Senate bill and division B of the House amendments:

Ronald V. Dellums,
G.V. Montgomery,
Earl Hutto,
Marvin Leath,
Dennis M. Hertel,
Ken Kramer,
Wm. L. Dickinson,
G. William Whitehurst,

Solely for the consideration of division D of the House amendments and modifications committed to conference:

Les Aspin,
Bill Nichols,
Ike Skelton,
Nicholas Mavroules,
Wm. L. Dickinson,
Larry J. Hopkins,
John R. Kasich,
Managers on the Part of the House.

Barry Goldwater,
Strom Thurmond,
John Warner,
Gordon J. Humphrey,
Dan Quayle,
Pete Wilson,
Jeremiah Denton,
James T. Broyhill,
Sam Nunn,
Gary Hart,
Jim Exon,
Carl Levin,
Edward M. Kennedy,
Jeff Bingaman,
Alan J. Dixon,
John Glenn,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 2638) to authorize appropriations for military functions of the Department of Defense and to prescribe military personnel levels for such Department for fiscal year 1987, to revise and improve military compensation programs, to improve defense procurement procedures, to authorize certain construction at military installations for fiscal year 1987, to authorize appropriations for national security programs of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1987, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

The House amendment to the text of the bill struck out all of the Senate bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute text.

The Senate recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the House with an amendment which is a substitute for the Senate bill and the House amendment. The differences between the Senate bill, the House amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the conferees, and minor drafting and clarifying changes.

SUMMARY STATEMENT OF CONFERENCE ACTION

The conferees recommend authorizations for the Department of Defense for procurement, research and development, test and evaluation, operation and maintenance, military construction, family housing, and for civil defense that total $210.0 billion. This figure is $25.6 billion below the President's request, $3.1 billion below the Senate bill, and $5.5 billion above the House amendment.

The conferees have agreed to authorizations for the Department of Energy National Security programs that total $7.45 billion. This figure is $650 million below the President's budget request, $26 million above the Senate bill, and equal to the House amendment.

The defense authorization bill provides authorizations for appropriations but does not provide budget authority. Budget authority is provided in appropriations bills.

In order to relate the conference recommendation to the budget resolution, matters in addition to the dollar authorizations contained in the bill must be taken into account.

In addition to defense programs authorized by dollar amount, the authorization bill establishes personnel levels and includes a number of legislative provisions affecting military compensation. In total it is estimated that the conference agreement with respect to personnel matters would result in a reduction of $2.2 billion from the President's request.

The conferees have included a provision that would reduce the authorizations provided in the bill by $6.6 billion unless provisions are included in an appropriations bill to either: Delay the date on which military pay is paid from the last day of the month in which pay is earned to the first day of the month following the month in which pay is earned: or to reduce the amount of progress payments that may be paid under a defense contract.

The provision is included because the authorization in the bill are estimated to result in outlays during fiscal year 1987, in the absence of the use of "gimmicks" or lower appropriations, of $281.8 billion.

The table below summarizes authorizations included in the bill (in the column labeled conference agreement) and, in addition, summarizes the implication of the conference action for the budget totals for National Defense (Budget Function 050).

SUMMARY OF AUTHORIZATIONS (in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1986 Authorization P.L. 99-145 P.L. 99-167	Fiscal year 1987 Appropriation Request	Fiscal year 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed Authorization	Senate Passed Authorization	Conference Agreement	Implication of Conference Agreement (BA)
Department of Defense, Military (subfunction 051)							
Total, Military Personnel		76,615.8					74,440.0
Procurement							
Army:							
Aircraft..................................	3,676.1	3,264.7	3,264.7	2,741.7	2,793.7	2,725.5	2,725.5
Missiles..................................	3,272.7	2,438.2	2,438.2	2,232.4	2,198.2	2,150.9	2,150.9
Weapons and tracked combat vehicles......	5,211.0	4,452.6	4,452.6	3,875.0	3,794.9	3,888.1	3,888.1
Ammunition................................	2,549.0	2,254.0	2,254.0	2,062.8	2,283.3	2,126.1	2,126.1
Other procurement.........................	5,323.5	6,169.0	6,169.0	4,911.3	5,365.9	5,112.8	5,112.8
Total, Army............................	20,032.3	18,578.5	18,578.5	15,823.2	16,436.0	16,003.4	16,003.4
Navy:							
Aircraft..................................	11,599.9	11,304.3	11,304.3	9,077.1	10,041.1	9,828.1	9,828.1
Weapons procurement.......................	5,655.1	6,095.4	6,095.4	5,725.8	5,597.5	5,450.0	5,450.0
Shipbuilding and conversion...............	10,001.2	11,046.2	11,046.2	8,355.3	9,323.8	10,607.1	10,607.1
Other procurement.........................	6,040.8	6,538.8	6,538.8	5,773.1	5,934.1	5,826.9	5,826.9
Procurement, Marine Corps.................	1,727.4	1,565.0	1,565.0	1,456.9	1,382.7	1,477.4	1,477.4
Marine Corps Reserve Equipment............							
Total, Navy............................	35,024.4	36,549.7	36,549.7	30,388.2	32,279.1	33,189.5	33,189.5
Air Force:							
Aircraft..................................	24,435.3	19,127.4	19,127.4	15,752.6	16,383.1	17,018.6	17,018.6
Missiles..................................	9,008.6	8,982.4	8,982.4	6,059.3	8,370.8	7,570.5	7,570.5
Other procurement.........................	8,571.1	10,900.3	10,900.3	8,640.1	10,117.8	9,180.9	9,180.9
Total, Air Force.......................	42,015.0	39,010.1	39,010.1	30,452.0	34,871.8	33,770.0	33,770.0
DOD NATO Cooperative Programs..............	75.0						
National Guard and Reserve Equipment.......	658.4			509.1	454.3	563.5	563.5
Defense agencies...........................	1,342.3	1,488.4	1,488.4	1,365.2	1,431.3	1,418.7	1,418.7
Defense Production Act.....................		30.0					30.0
DoD Chemical Demilitarization Program......		120.1	120.1	120.1	120.1	120.1	120.1
Total, procurement.....................	99,147.4	95,776.8	95,746.8	78,657.8	85,592.6	85,065.1	85,095.1

SUMMARY OF AUTHORIZATIONS (in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1986 Authorization P.L. 99-145 P.L. 99-167	Fiscal year 1987 Appropriation Request	Fiscal year 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed Authorization	Senate Passed Authorization	Conference Agreement	Implication of Conference Agreement (BA)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation							
Army	4,848.7	5,550.3	5,550.3	4,763.7	4,815.7	4,712.7	4,712.7
Navy (and Marine Corps)	10,106.4	10,586.8	10,586.8	8,641.0	9,289.7	9,294.1	9,294.1
Air Force	13,719.7	17,275.4	17,275.4	13,725.0	14,941.8	15,019.1	15,019.1
Defense agencies	6,720.5	8,364.3	8,364.3	6,523.6	7,159.3	7,044.5	7,044.5
Director, Test and Evaluation	93.5	141.8	141.8	136.8	140.4	133.8	133.8
Director, Operational Test and Eval		11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3	11.3
Total, R.D.T.&E	35,488.8	41,929.9	41,929.9	33,801.3	36,358.1	36,215.6	36,215.6
Operation and Maintenance							
Army	19,177.4	21,341.9	21,341.9	20,742.1	20,596.1	20,025.9	20,025.9
Navy	24,608.8	25,688.5	25,688.5	24,377.0	24,010.0	23,164.8	23,164.8
Marine Corps	1,626.7	1,864.1	1,864.1	1,845.7	1,830.3	1,794.2	1,794.2
Air Force	20,096.1	21,214.5	21,214.5	19,293.8	19,310.8	18,894.2	18,894.2
Defense agencies	7,553.3	8,573.7	8,573.7	6,696.8	8,344.9	7,979.4	7,979.4
Army Reserve	780.1	793.1	793.1	789.8	776.1	770.3	770.3
Navy Reserve	900.6	975.9	975.9	907.5	887.4	887.4	887.4
Marine Corps Reserve	57.2	65.3	65.3	64.8	62.8	64.2	64.2
Air Force Reserve	902.1	975.6	975.6	927.1	887.1	922.1	922.1
Army National Guard	1,625.8	1,786.9	1,786.9	1,790.1	1,714.8	1,734.6	1,734.6
Air Force National Guard	1,806.2	1,932.7	1,932.7	1,783.1	1,727.9	1,790.4	1,790.4
National Board for the promotion of rifle practice	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	4.3	4.3
Claims, Defense	143.3	155.6	155.6	153.1	144.1	144.4	144.4
Court of Military Appeals	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.2
Pan American Games	10.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0	15.0
Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense							
Summer Olympics							
Drug interdiction							
Other legislation		102.6	102.6				
Envirnmental restoration		385.9	385.9	385.9	385.9	385.9	385.9
Military Health Care				1,569.5			
Aid to Afghanistan				6.0	10.0	17.0	17.0
Total, O&M	79,291.7	85,975.6	85,875.6	81,351.6	80,707.3	78,597.3	78,597.3
Working Capital Funds							
Defense Production Guarantee, FFB Loans		6.0					6.0
Army stock fund	393.0	296.7	296.7	286.7	271.7	230.1	230.1
Navy stock fund	638.5	478.5	478.5	458.5	438.5	379.6	379.6
Marine Corps stock fund	37.7	45.9	45.9	44.4	41.9	31.2	31.2
Air Force stock fund	415.9	239.4	239.4	239.4	219.4	200.4	200.4
Defense stock fund	174.5	136.8	136.8	126.8	125.8	66.9	66.9
Total, Working Capital Funds	1,659.6	1,203.3	1,197.3	1,155.8	1,097.3	908.3	914.3

SUMMARY OF AUTHORIZATIONS (in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1986 Authorization P.L. 99-145 P.L. 99-167	Fiscal year 1987 Appropriation Request	Fiscal year 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed Authorization	Senate Passed Authorization	Conference Agreement	Implication of Conference Agreement (BA)
Other							
Revise Davis-Bacon				(2.0)	(28.0)		
Barry Goldwater Scholarship Program					40.0	40.0	40.0
Henry Jackson Foundation						10.0	10.0
Procurement Technical Assistance	5.0						
Repeal of Walsh Healy Act	(416.3)						
Special foreign currency	2.1	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Payments to NASA for shuttle services				531.0			
Allowance for civilian pay		735.0	735.0	559.0	559.0	559.0	559.0
Dental insurance of dependents					18.0		
Special Defense Acquisition Fund					100.0	70.0	70.0
Subtotal, Other	(409.2)	738.5	738.5	1,091.5	692.5	682.5	682.5
Military Construction							
Army	1,685.7	1,695.2	1,698.6	1,237.2	1,325.9	1,263.3	1,259.9
Navy/Marine Corps	1,669.4	1,814.1	1,795.4	1,368.1	1,437.2	1,430.3	1,449.0
Air Force	1,759.1	1,773.2	1,702.8	1,260.5	1,314.3	1,302.5	1,372.9
Defense agencies	238.3	762.1	674.6	498.8	555.9	514.4	601.9
Army National Guard	149.1	121.1	121.1	121.1	118.7	133.3	133.3
Air National Guard	139.0	140.0	140.0	140.0	137.0	145.3	145.3
Army Reserve	70.7	86.7	86.7	86.7	83.2	86.7	86.7
Naval Reserve	51.8	44.5	44.5	44.5	43.8	44.5	44.5
Air Force Reserve	70.7	58.9	58.9	58.9	55.4	58.9	58.9
NATO infrastructure	38.0	247.0	247.0	247.0	239.0	247.0	247.0
Total, military construction	5,871.8	6,742.8	6,569.6	5,062.7	5,310.3	5,226.2	5,399.4
Family Housing							
Army	1,627.1	1,733.0	1,740.6	1,670.8	1,618.4	1,601.6	1,594.0
Navy	738.7	784.3	792.9	742.2	724.2	717.2	708.6
Air Force	941.9	853.5	860.4	848.3	835.4	841.2	834.3
Defense agencies	20.3	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6	17.6
Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense		8.0	6.0	6.0	5.5	5.5	7.5
Total, family housing	3,328.1	3,396.4	3,417.6	3,284.8	3,201.1	3,183.1	3,162.0
Base Closure Commission					1.0		
Total, MILCON and Family Housing	9,199.8	10,139.2	9,987.1	8,347.6	8,512.4	8,409.3	8,561.4
Enacted in prior years		(708.4)					(708.4)
Total, Department of Defense, Military	224,378.1	311,570.7	235,475.2	204,405.5	212,960.2	209,878.0	203,797.7

SUMMARY OF AUTHORIZATIONS (in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 1986 Authorization P.L. 99-145 P.L. 99-167	Fiscal year 1987 Appropriation Request	Fiscal year 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed Authorization	Senate Passed Authorization	Conference Agreement	Implication of Conference Agreement (BA)
Department of Energy National Security Programs							
Total, Department of Energy National Security Programs....................	7,684.3	8,230.0	8,098.4	7,451.0	7,425.2	7,451.0	7,582.6
Other Defense Related Activities (subfunction 054)							
Intelligence Community Staff................	0.0	22.9		0.0			22.9
Civil Defense (FEMA)........................	130.0	304.5	126.6	126.6	111.6	120.6	298.5
Stockpile Management........................	0.0	29.4		0.0	120.0	0.0	29.4
CIA Retirement Fund.........................	0.0	125.0		0.0			125.0
Selective Service...........................	0.0	27.5		0.0			27.5
Total, Other Defense Related Activities....	130.0	510.1	126.6	126.6	231.6	120.6	504.1
Grand total.....................	232,192.4	320,310.0	243,700.2	211,983.1	220,617.0	217,449.6	291,084.4
Total outlay implication....................							281,850.0

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		F[illegible] REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	FIXED WING																		
1	C-12 CARGO AIRPLANE	6	12,600																
2	GUARDRAIL/COMMON SENSOR ROLL																		
3	RC-12D RECON AIRPLANE																		
	ROTARY																		
4	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER (CHINOOK)																		
5	EH-60A HELICOPTER (QUICKFIX) (MYP)	18	104,600	18	135,000		-20,000	18	115,000		-26,300	18	108,700		-6,300		-26,300	18	108,700
6	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		24,400		16,900				16,900				16,900						16,900
7	AH-64 ATTACK HELICOPTER (APACHE)	144	1,087,800	144	1,189,300	-24	-125,000	120	1,064,300	-24	-139,100	120	1,050,200		-14,100	-24	-156,500	120	1,032,800
8	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		55,300		39,100				39,100				39,100						39,100
9	UH-60A (BLACK HAWK) (MYP)	78	210,900	78	155,400			78	155,400		-3,000	78	152,400		-3,000			78	155,400
10	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		199,000		195,000		-10,000		185,000		-56,000		139,000		-46,000		-29,900		165,100
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS																		
	SUBTOTAL, AIRCRAFT		1,694,000		1,730,700		-155,000		1,575,700		-224,400		1,506,300		-69,400		-212,700		1,518,000
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT																		
11	OV-1 SURVEILLANCE AIRPLANE (MOHAWK)		22,700		24,900				24,900		-5,000		19,900		-5,000		-2,500		22,400
12	RC-12D RECON AIRPLANE																		
13	RV-1 RECON AIRPLANE				2,700				2,700				2,700						2,700
14	AH-1S ATTACK HELICOPTER (COBRA-TOW)		128,900		61,000		-27,000		34,000		-48,600		12,400		-21,600		-35,000		26,000
15	AH-64 MODS				33,400				33,400				33,400						33,400
16	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER (MYP)		219,000		208,400				208,400		-10,000		198,400		-10,000				208,400
17	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		174,000		58,600				58,600				58,600						58,600
18	CH-54 CARGO HELICOPTER (TARHE)		500		1,330				1,300				1,300						1,300
19	EH-1 ELECTRONIC HELICOPTER (Q-FIX MOD)																		
20	OH-58 OBSERVATION HELICOPTER (KIOWA)																		

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
21	UH-1 UTILITY HELICOPTER (IROQUOIS)		11,700		6,300				6,300				6,300						6,300
22	UH-60A (BLACK HAWK) MODS		13,600		7,700				7,700				7,700						7,700
23	ARMY HELICOPTER IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (AHIP)		166,600		164,900		-29,000		135,900	-12	-42,400		122,500		-13,400		-42,400		122,500
24	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		20,900		45,400				45,400		-23,600		21,800		-23,600		-22,900		22,500
25	AIR TO AIR STINGER ACFT MOD				28,800				28,800				28,800						28,800
26	AIRBORNE AVIONICS		1,000		100				100				100						100
27	ASE MODIFICATIONS CLOSE COMBAT				3,500				3,500				3,500						3,500
28	MODIFICATIONS UNDER $2.0M (AIRCRAFT)		100		100				100				100						100
29	ACFT 9MM		16,300		12,000				12,000				12,000						12,000
30	SOF AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS				3,500				3,500				3,500				-3,500		0
	GROUND PROXIMITY WARNING SYSTEM		0		0				0		13,700		13,700		13,700		6,900		6,900
	SUBTOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		726,100		662,600		-56,000		606,600		-115,900		546,700		-59,900		-99,400		563,200
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
31	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		814,300		710,500		0		710,500		-150,000		560,500		-150,000		-100,000		610,500
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS																		
32	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT				11,600				11,600				11,600						11,600
	OTHER SUPPORT																		
33	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		65,000		57,900		-7,900		50,000		-10,500		47,400		-2,600		-10,500		47,400
34	HELIBORNE C2 CONSOLE																		
35	MLS AVIONICS																		
36	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		33,500		28,400				28,400				28,400						28,400
37	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		9,000		4,800				4,800				4,800						4,800
38	SYNTHETIC FLIGHT TRAINING SYSTEMS	7	117,100	3	37,700			3	37,700			3	37,700					3	37,700
39	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		59,300		16,000				16,000				16,000						16,000
40	WAR CONSUMABLES		5,900		4,500				4,500				4,500						4,500
	SUBTOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		289,800		160,900		-7,900		153,000		-10,500		150,400		-2,600		-10,500		150,400

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		---		---		-13,000		-13,000				---		13,000				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-47,200		-47,200		-22,200		-22,200		25,000				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-12,000		-12,000				---		12,000				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-63,300		-63,300				---		63,300				---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		---		---		-116,600		-116,600				---		116,600		-116,600		-116,600
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		---		---				---		(-131,800)		(-131,800)		(-131,800)		(-131,800)		(-131,800)
	TOTAL, ARMY AIRCRAFT		3,524,200		3,264,700		-471,000		2,793,700		-523,000		2,741,700		-52,000		-539,200		2,725,500

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

EH-60A (Quickfix)

The budget request contained $135.0 million for the procurement of 18 EH-60A (Quickfix) helicopters and $16.9 million for advance procurement. The House amendment would authorize $108.7 million for 18 EH-60A (Quickfix) helicopters and $16.9 million for advance procurement. The Senate bill would authorize $115.0 million for 18 EH-60A (Quickfix) helicopters and $16.9 million for advance procurement.

The Senate recedes.

AH-40 (Apache)

The budget request contained $1,189.3 million for the procurement of 144 AH-64 (Apache) helicopters and $39.1 million for advance procurement. The House amendment would authorize $1,050.2 million for 120 AH-64 helicopters and $39.1 million for advance procurement. The Senate bill would authorize $1,064.3 million for 120 Apache helicopters and $39.1 million for advance procurement.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,032.8 million for the procurement of 120 AH-64 (Apache) helicopters and $39.1 million for advance procurement.

UH-60A (Blackhawk)

The budget request contained $155.4 million for the procurement of 78 UH-60A (Blackhawk) helicopters and $195.0 million for the advance procurement of 85 helicopters in fiscal year 1988. The House amendment would authorize $152.4 million for 78 Blackhawk helicopters and $139.0 million for the advance procurement of 78 helicopters. The Senate bill would authorize $155.4 million for the procurement of 78 UH-60A (Blackhawk) helicopters and $185.0 million for the advance procurement of 85 helicopters.

The conferees recommend authorization of $155.4 million for 78 Blackhawk helicopters and the advance procurement of $165.1 million for 72 UH-60A Blackhawk helicopters. The conferees recommend approval of the requested multiyear procurement authority for both the UH-60A and EH-60A airframe, provided savings of at least 12 percent are achieved.

Modification of Army aircraft

The request contained $662.6 million for Army aircraft modifications for fiscal year 1987.

The Senate bill would authorize $606.6 million for Army aircraft modifications. The House amendment would authorize $546.7 million for Army aircraft modifications. The conferees agreed to authorize $563.2 million for procurement of Army aircraft modifications in fiscal year 1987.

Specific program authorizations are detailed in the foregoing table.

Spares and repair parts

The budget request contained $710.5 million for Army aviation spares and repair parts. The House amendment would authorize

$560.5 million for the program. The Senate bill would authorize the requested amount.

The conferees recommend authorization of $610.5 million for aviation spares and repair parts.

Support equipment and facilities

The budget request contained $160.9 million for aviation support equipment and facilities. The House amendment would authorize $150.4 million for such purposes. The Senate bill would authorize $153.0 million for such activities.

The conferees recommend authorization of $150.4 million for aviation support equipment and facilities as detailed in the foregoing table.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	OTHER MISSILES																		
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM																		
1	CHAPARRAL		54,900	456	103,700		-3,000	456	100,700	-100	-20,000	356	83,700	-100	-17,000		-73,700		30,000
2	NON LINE OF SIGHT AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM																		
3	AIR DEFENSE DIVISION SYSTEM HEAVY				9,100		-9,100		0		-9,100		0				-9,100		0
4	AIR DEFENSE DIVISION SYSTEM LIGHT																		
5	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT		5,000		6,500				6,500				6,500						6,500
6	PATRIOT (MYP)	585	920,100	700	951,500		-31,500	700	920,000	-115	-30,000	585	921,500	-115	1,500		-31,500	700	920,000
7	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				45,300				45,300				45,300				-5,000		40,300
8	STINGER (MYP)	3,439	246,600	4,100	251,700			4,100	251,700			4,100	251,700					4,100	251,700
9	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				40,300				40,300				40,300						40,300
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM																		
10	LASER HELLFIRE SYSTEM	5,750	223,200																
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM																		
11	TOW 2	12,700	160,900	12,000	134,600		-8,600	12,000	126,000		-20,600	12,000	114,000		-12,000		-24,100	12,000	110,500
12	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		22,000		15,500				15,500		-15,500		0		-15,500		-15,500		0
13	PERSHING	[ ]	224,500		31,800				31,800				31,800						31,800
14	MULTIPLE LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (MYP)	72,000	460,900	72,000	474,200		-5,000	72,000	469,200		-10,000	72,000	464,200		-5,000		-12,500	72,000	461,700
15	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		41,000																
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS																		
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		2,375,100		2,064,200		-57,200		2,007,000		-105,200		1,959,000		-48,000		-171,400		1,892,800

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES																		
16	PATRIOT		16,500		38,600				38,600		-22,100		16,500		-22,100				38,600
17	CHAPARRAL		106,732		5,200				5,200				5,200						5,200
18	HAWK		46,900		68,200				68,200		-21,300		46,900		-21,300		-9,200		59,000
19	TOW		16,900		53,000				53,000		-36,100		16,900		-36,100				53,000
20	DRAGON				4,700		-4,700		0		-4,700		0				-4,700		0
21	LANCE MODIFICATIONS				3,800				3,800		-3,800		0		-3,800				3,800
22	LCSS																		
23	PERSHING MODIFICATIONS																		
24	AN/TSQ-73																		
	SUBTOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		187,032		173,500		-4,700		168,800		-88,000		85,500		-83,300		-13,900		159,600
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
25	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		284,800		150,900		-5,000		145,900		0		150,900		5,000		-5,000		145,900
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
26	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS		19,800		32,400				32,400		-12,600		19,800		-12,600		-5,000		27,400
27	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (MISSILES)		3,700		1,500				1,500				1,500						1,500
28	PRODUCTION BASE SPT		29,900		15,700				15,700				15,700						15,700
29	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		2,000																
	SUBTOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		35,400		49,600		0		49,600		-12,600		37,000		-12,600		-5,000		44,600
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		---		---		-16,400		-16,400				---		16,400				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-12,200		-12,200				---		12,200				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-52,500		-52,500				---		52,500				---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		---		---		-92,000		-92,000				---		92,000		-92,000		-92,000
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		---		---				---		(-113,300)		(-113,300)		(-113,300)		(-113,300)		(-113,300)
	TOTAL, ARMY MISSILES		2,904,332		2,438,200		-240,000		2,198,200		-205,800		2,232,400		34,200		-287,300		2,150,900

MISSILES, ARMY

Chaparral missile system

The budget request contained $103.7 million for procurement of 456 Chaparral Rosette Scan Seeker (RSS) missiles.

The House amendment would authorize $83.7 million for procurement of 356 Chaparral missiles.

The report accompanying the House amendment (H.Rept. 99-718) directs the Secretary of the Army not to obligate any of the amount authorized until the Secretary submits a report to the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives providing detailed comparisons of the various procurement options available and assessing the value of establishing an analytic methodology that could be applied to major acquisition programs throughout the Army. A separate, independent report by the Cost Analysis Improvement Group (CAIG) in the Office of the Secretary of Defense, reviewing the Army's proposed acquisition strategy, is also to be provide to the committees

The Senate bill would authorize $100.7million for procurement of 456 Chaparral missiles. The report accompanying the Senate bill (S.Rept. 99-331) contained no similar requirement.

The conferees agreed to authorize $30 million for procurement of Chaparral missiles. The conferees agreed to require the Secretary of the Army to submit the report directed by the House.

The Senate recedes.

Patriot missiles

The budget request contained $951.5 million for the procurement of 700 missiles and 12 Patriot fire units. The request also contained $45.3 million to support advance procurement.

The House amendment would authorize $921.5 million for procurement of 585 missiles and 12 fire units and $45.3 million for advance procurement. Section 110(a) of the House amendment would authorize the Secretary of the Army to enter a multiyear contract for procurement of the Patriot, providing that the contract contains negotiated price options that vary quantities by 30 percent in each year and that the multiyear contract offers 12 percent savings compared to annual contracts.

The Senate bill would authorize $920.0 million for 700 missiles and 12 fire units and $45.3 million for advance procurement. Section 108(a) of the Senate bill would provide authorization to enter into a multiyear procurement contract.

The conferees agreed to authorize $920.0 million for 700 Patriot missiles and 12 fire units and $40.3 million for advance procurement. Further, the conferees agreed to a provision that would authorize the Secretary to enter a multiyear contract for procurement of the Patriot providing that the multiyear contract offers 12 percent savings compared to annual contracts.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Stinger

The budget request contained $251.7 million for the procurement of 4,180 Stinger missiles and $40.3 million in advance procurement.

The House amendment would authorize the requested amount, subject to the conditions set forth in Section 110(a).

The Senate bill would also authorize the requested amounts. Section 108(b) of the Senate bill would direct the Secretary of the Army to solicit bids to establish a competitive second source for the Stinger missile and to determine which contracting method, multiyear or contracting, is more cost-effective. In order to enter into a multiyear contract, the Secretary would need to certify in a report, validated by the Government Accounting Office (GAO), that the multiyear method is the least costly.

The conferees agreed to authorize $251.7 million for procurement of 4,180 Stinger missiles. The conferees agreed to incorporate the provisions contained in the Senate bill.

The House recedes.

TOW II missile

The report accompanying the Senate bill (S. Rept. 99–331) directed the army to establish, expeditiously, a competitive second source for TOW II missiles. Funds are to be made available from procurement of missiles in fiscal year 1987 to select and qualify the second source.

The house report contained no similar language.

The conferees believe that cost savings and reliability improvements could be achieved. Consequently, the conferees agreed to direct the Secretary of the Army to establish a competitive second source for the procurement of the TOW II missile, unless the Secretary certifies to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that such a course of action is not cost-effective

The House recedes.

Multiple launch rocket system

The budget request contained $474.2 million for procurement of 72,000 rockets and 44 self-propelled launcher loaders.

The House amendment would authorize $464.2 million for procurement of 72,000 rockets and 44 self-propelled launcher loaders, with 30 of the 44 launchers procured to be used to equip three batteries of the Army National Guard.

The report accompanying the House amendment (H. Rept. 99–718) directs that the Secretary of the Army examine acquisition strategies that provide for economic purchase of the launchers. A report concerning the proposed acquisition strategy, together with future Army requirements for launchers, is to be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives by February 1, 1987. Further, the House report directs that 30 of the 44 launchers be procured to equip three batteries of the Army National Guard.

The Senate bill would authorize $469.2 million for procurement of 72,000 rockets and self-propelled launcher loaders. The report accompanying the Senate bill (S. Rept. 99–331) contains no similar directions.

The conferees agreed to authorize $461.7 million for procurement of 72,000 rockets and self-propelled launcher loaders. The conferees agree to the provisions in the House report requiring a report from the Secretary of the Army concerning requirements and proposed acquisition strategies for MLRS launchers. The conferees agreed not to designate launchers for the Army National Guard.

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES																		
1	CARRIER, COMMAND POST LIGHT, FT, M577A2	144	30,600	300	64,100			300	64,100			300	64,100					300	64,100
2	CARRIER, PERSONNEL, FT, ARM, M113A3	451	117,700																
3	BRADLEY FIGHTING VEHICLES (MYP)	716	905,900	870	1,121,800	-150	-195,000	720	926,800	-277	-291,800	593	830,000	-127	-96,800	-150	-226,000	720	895,800
4	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		27,600		20,600				20,600				20,600						20,600
5	BRADLEY FVS TRAINING DEVICES		73,200		9,500				9,500				9,500						9,500
6	BRADLEY FVS TRAINING DEVICES (MOD)																		
7	FIELD ARTILLERY AMMUNITION SUPPORT VEH	135	64,800	141	67,500		-4,000	141	63,500		-5,000	141	62,500		-1,000		-5,000	141	62,500
8	HOWITZER,MED SP, FT, 155MM, M109A2																		
9	RECOVERY VEHICLE, MED, FT, M88A1	233	199,400		42,800		-34,000		8,800		-34,000		8,800				-42,800		0
10	ABRAMS TANK SERIES ROLL (MYP)	840	1,505,000	840	1,643,600			840	1,643,600		-65,000	840	1,578,600		-65,000		-65,000	840	1,578,600
11	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		348,200		351,400				351,400				351,400						351,400
12	M60 SERIES TANK TRAINING DEVICES		16,800		33,500				33,500				33,500						33,500
13	M1 SERIES TANK TRAINING DEVICES		61,000		9,500				9,500		-1,000		8,500		-1,000		-500		9,000
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES																		
14	CARRIER,MOD		54,700		48,400		-6,500		41,900		-6,500		41,900				-6,500		41,900
15	IMPROVED TOW VEHICLE (ITV) (MOD)																		
16	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)		71,900		53,000				53,000				53,000						53,000
17	BFVS SERIES (MOD)		12,000		35,100				35,100				35,100						35,100
18	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109 SER (MOD)		6,000		20,000				20,000		-15,000		5,000		-15,000		-15,000		5,000
19	ARMORED VEH LAUNCH BRIDGE (AVLB) (MOD)		15,600																
20	TANK,COMBAT,FT,105MM GUN,M60SER (MOD)(MYP)		105,200		48,300				48,300				48,300						48,300
21	TANK, M1 SERIES (MOD)		53,900		80,400				80,400		-26,500		53,900		-26,500				80,400
22	9MM																		
23	MOB TNG BASE EQUIP				17,000		-10,000		7,000		-1,500		15,500		8,500		-7,000		10,000
24	HOST NATION SUPPORT				4,700				4,700		-4,700		0		-4,700				4,700

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
25	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		600,600		469,800				469,800		-70,000		399,800		-70,000		-27,400		442,400
26	VALUE ENGINEERING																		
27	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (TCV-WTCV)		800		2,000				2,000				2,000						2,000
28	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)		78,900		57,800				57,800		-6,600		51,200		-6,600				57,800
	SUBTOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		4,349,800		4,202,400		-249,500		3,952,900		-527,600		3,674,800		-278,100		-395,200		3,807,200
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES																		
29	FOLLOW-ON AIR DEFENSE GUN		150,000																
30	HOWITZER, LIGT,TOWED, 105MM, L119			64	26,500			64	26,500	-64	-14,500		12,000	-64	-14,500			64	26,500
31	HOWITZER, MED, TOWED, 155MM, M198																		
32	MACHINE GUN, 7.62MM, M60			1,647	5,000			1,647	5,000	-1,647	-5,000		0	-1,647	-5,000			1,647	5,000
33	ARMOR MACHINE GUN, 7.62MM M240			4,509	24,200			4,509	24,200	-4,509	-24,200		0	-4,509	-24,200	-4,509	-24,200		0
34	MOUNT MACH GUN CAL 50 M3																		
35	SQUAD AUTOMATIC WEAPON (SAW) 5.56MM			4,000	12,900			4,000	12,900			4,000	12,900					4,000	12,900
36	GRENADE LAUNCHER, AUTO, 40MM, MK19-3		0		0		15,000		15,000				0		-15,000		15,000		15,000
37	LAUNCHER, SMOKE GRENADE	3,177	2,100	4,217	1,800			4,217	1,800			4,217	1,800					4,217	1,800
38	MORTAR, 81MM, M252	168	5,700	457	10,100			457	10,100	-207	-4,800	250	5,300	-207	-4,800			457	10,100
39	MORTAR 120 MM	63	5,000	145	13,200			145	13,200			145	13,200					145	13,200
40	M16 RIFLE	71,990	35,000	76,235	36,800			76,235	36,800			76,235	36,800					76,235	36,800
41	PERSONAL DEFENSE WEAPON, 9MM	25,400	7,500	21,219	4,400			21,219	4,400			21,219	4,400					21,219	4,400
42	VEH RAPID FIRE WPN SYS-BUSHMASTER (MYP)	715	31,900	947	37,300			947	37,300			947	37,300					947	37,300
43	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		8,300		3,200				3,200				3,200						3,200
44	TANK MUZZLE BORESIGHT DEVICE	2,327	4,000																
	MODIFICATION OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBA																		
45	M16A1 RIFLE MODS		3,300		3,300				3,300				3,300						3,300
46	MODIFICATIONS UNDER $2.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		2,500		4,000				4,000		-1,500		2,500		-1,500				4,000
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
47	REP SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (WOCV-WTCV)		28,600		17,600				17,600				17,600						17,600
48	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		2,900		3,300				3,300				3,300						3,300
49	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)		40,200		37,000				37,000				37,000						37,000
50	CANNON TUBE FORGINGS FOR SURGE				9,600		-3,600		6,000				9,600		3,600		-9,600		0
	SUBTOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		327,000		250,200		11,400		261,600		-50,000		200,200		-61,400		-18,800		231,400

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		---		---		-74,700		-74,700				---		74,700				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-38,800		-38,800				---		38,800				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-25,100		-25,100				---		25,100				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-130,500		-130,500				---		130,500				---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		---		---		-150,500		-150,500				---		150,500		-150,500		-150,500
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		---		---				---		(-255,300)		(-255,300)		(-255,300)		(-255,300)		(-255,300)
	TOTAL, ARMY WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		4,676,800		4,452,600		-657,700		3,794,900		-577,600		3,875,000		80,100		-564,500		3,888,100

WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

M2/M3 Bradley fighting vehicle

The budget request contained $1,121.8 million for procurement of 870 Bradley fighting vehicles and $20.6 million in advance procurement for fiscal year 1988.

The House amendment would authorize $830.0 million for procurement of 593 Bradley fighting vehicles and $20.6 million for advance procurement for fiscal year 1988. The House amendment included a provision (sec. 121) that would establish a set of detailed requirements for the development of a test plan and conduct of the live-fire testing of the Bradley.

The Senate bill would authorize $926.8 million for procurement of 720 Bradley fighting vehicles and $20.6 million for advance procurement for fiscal year 1988. The Senate bill contained no provisions related to a test plan or conduct of live-fire testing.

The conferees agreed to authorize $895.8 million for procurement of 720 Bradley fighting vehicles and $20.6 million for advance procurement.

The conferees agreed that further testing of the proposed modifications to the Bradley vehicle, including alternative configurations designed to improve survivability, is warranted. However, the conferees believe that vehicle survivability should not be taken out of context, and that decisions concerning future modifications would be incomplete unless the combat performance of the alternative design configurations is thoroughly tested and evaluated.

The conferees agreed to a provision (sec. 121) that directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a balanced and affordable test plan to evaluate alternative design configurations for the Bradley fighting vehicle. The Secretary should utilize the Office of Development Test and Evaluation to develop the survivability testing, and the Office of Operational Test and Evaluation to develop the combat performance testing.

The conferees note that this provision is not intended to preclude the Secretary of the Army from initiating testing required by this section, as detailed testing procedures are approved by the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

The conferees also direct that the Army may not proceed with modifications to install reactive armor on the Bradley vehicle until the tests specified in this section have been completed. The Army may proceed at the earliest practical date with any other minor modifications that improve the survivability of the vehicle.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

M1 Abrams tank

The budget request contained $1,643.6 million for procurement of 840 M1 tanks and $351.4 million for advance procurement for fiscal year 1988.

The House amendment would authorize $1,578.6 million for procurement of 840 M1 tanks and $351.4 million for advance procurement.

The Senate bill authorizes $1,643.6 million for procurement of 840 M1 tanks and $351.4 million for advance procurement.

The conferees agreed on authorization of $1,578.6 million for procurement of 840 M1 tanks, and $351.4 million for advance procurement.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees have been informed that while the overall totals in the President's budget submission for the M1 tank were correct, the allocation between funds required for procurement and those required for advanced procurement was incorrect.

The conferees expect that the Secretary of the Army will procure not less than 840 M1 tanks with the total funds authorized. To accomplish this objective, the conferees would welcome a reprogramming request from the fiscal year 1987 advance procurement funds.

WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

L119 howitzer

The budget request contained $26.5 million to procure 64 towed 105mm L119 howitzers.

The House amendment would authorize $12.0 million for the facilitization on-shore for future howitzer production, but would provide no authorization for purchase of any howitzers in fiscal year 1987.

The Senate bill would authorize $26.5 million for procurement of 64 howitzers.

The conferees agreed on authorization of $26.5 million for 64 howitzers.

The House recedes.

120mm mortar

The budget request contained $13.2 million to procure 145 120mm mortars.

The House amendment would authorize the amounts requested. The House amendment included a provision (sec. 122(a)) that would prohibit obligation of funds for procurement of 120mm mortars or mortar ammunition that is manufactured or assembled outside the United States, except for those mortars required for testing, evaluation, or type classification. Section 122(a) would also prohibit obligation of funds for fiscal year 1987 for procurement of 120mm mortars until the Secretary of the Army purchases the technical data package and conducts a cost-effectiveness analysis concerning potential domestic sources for manufacturing of mortars. The latter provision is consistent with the direction contained in the joint explanatory statement of the conference report (H. Rept. 99-235) on the fiscal year 1986 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 99-145).

The Senate bill would authorize $13.2 million to procure 145 120mm mortars.

The conferees agreed to authorize $13.2 million to procure 145 120mm mortars.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that restricts the obligation of fiscal year 1987 funds only until the Secretary of the Army conducts the cost-effectiveness analysis concerning potential domestic sources for manufacturing of mortars.

Cannon tube forgings for surge

The report accompanying the House amendment (H. Rept. 99-718) directs the Secretary of the Army to provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a master plan concerning mobilization surge requirements.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	AMMUNITION																		
	ATOMIC MATERIEL																		
	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT MATERIEL		3,400		2,600				2,600				2,600						2,600
	PROJECTILE, NUCLEAR				11,700				11,700				11,700						11,700
	CONTROLLED ITEMS																		
	CTG, 5.56MM, BALL M193	46,433	10,100	109,704	15,000			109,704	15,000			109,704	15,000					109,704	15,000
	CTG, 5.56MM, TRACER			20,902	5,600			20,902	5,600			20,902	5,600					20,902	5,600
	CTG, 5.56MM, TRACER CLIP			15,625	4,800			15,625	4,800			15,625	4,800					15,625	4,800
	CTG, 5.56MM, BLANK M200	77,495	10,000	56,965	7,000	136,260	12,500	193,225	19,500	136,260	12,500	193,225	19,500			136,260	12,500	193,225	19,500
	CTG, 5.56MM, BLK M200, LKD F/SAW	8,990	2,200	90,476	18,400	-38,496	-6,200	51,980	12,200			90,476	18,400	38,496	6,200	-38,496	-6,200	51,980	12,200
	CTG, 5.56MM, 4 BALL M855/1 TRCR M856 LKD	27,164	9,600	99,098	29,400			99,098	29,400			99,098	29,400					99,098	29,400
	CTG, 5.56MM BALL M16A2	125,679	25,000	10,045	3,400			10,045	3,400			10,045	3,400					10,045	3,400
	CTG, 5.56MM TRACER M16A2	21,794	6,300																
	CTG, 7.62MM, 4 BALL M80/1 TRCR M62 LKD	93,574	25,000	275,589	40,500	-140,800	-22,200	134,789	18,300			275,589	40,500	140,800	22,200	-140,800	-22,200	134,789	18,300
	CTG, 7.62MM, BALL M80 LKD/M13	2,004	795	10,562	2,700			10,562	2,700			10,562	2,700					10,562	2,700
	CTG, 7.62MM, LKD 4 BALL 1TRACER [illegible]	6,047	2,000	1,667	300			1,667	300			1,667	300					1,667	300
	CTG, 7.62MM, BLANK M82 LKD/M13			72,044	15,900	17,075	3,400	89,119	19,300	17,075	3,400	89,119	19,300			17,075	3,400	89,119	19,300
	CTG, CAL .45, BALL M1911	34,392	2,300	5,976	700			5,976	700			5,976	700					5,976	700
	CTG, CAL .50, PLASTIC LKD 4 BALL/1 TRACER			4,665	5,400			4,665	5,400			4,665	5,400					4,665	5,400
	CTG, CAL .50, LKD 4 BALL/1 TRCR M2/M17	7,727	11,300	7,395	9,600			7,395	9,600			7,395	9,600					7,395	9,600
	CTG, CAL .50, API, M20 LKD	761	1,400	3,026	4,000			3,026	4,000			3,026	4,000					3,026	4,000
	CTG, CAL .50, BALL LKD	3,000	3,000	2,770	2,500			2,770	2,500			2,770	2,500					2,770	2,500
	CTG, CAL .50, [illegible], LKD [illegible]																		
	CTG, CAL .50, BLANK M1A1,LKD [illegible]																		
	CTG, CAL .50, LKD TRACER M17																		
	CTG, CAL .50, LKD 4 BALL/1 TRACER [illegible]	43,325	40,000	20,752	22,900			20,752	22,900			20,752	22,900					20,752	22,900
	CTG, 20MM, LKD TP-T M220 SERIES [illegible]	2,220	7,900	3,025	9,700	2,649	1,600	5,674	11,300	2,649	1,600	5,674	11,300			2,649	1,600	5,674	11,300
	CTG, 20MM, LKD 4 TP M55A2/1TP-T M220			1,202	3,300	753	2,000	1,955	5,300	753	2,000	1,955	5,300			753	2,000	1,955	5,300
	CTG, 25MM, [illegible]	1,001	24,900	2,803	48,500	-1,405	-24,300	1,398	24,200	-1,405	-24,300	1,398	24,200			-1,405	-24,300	1,398	24,200

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 BUDGET		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM BUDGET		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM BUDGET		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM BUDGET		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
27	CTG, 25MM, APDS-T M791 W/[illegible]	487	11,100																
28	CTG, 25MM, TPT LKD M793 [illegible]	2,724	41,200	3,018	25,500	-46	-600	2,972	24,900			3,018	25,500	46	600	-46	-600	2,972	24,900
29	CTG, 30MM, LKD HEDP M789 W/FUZE	142	5,000																
30	CTG, 30MM, LKD TP M788	913	9,300	260	2,400			260	2,400			260	2,400					260	2,400
31	CTG, 40MM, HEDP M430	3,674	41,500	2,673	28,700	-857	-9,200	1,816	19,500			2,673	28,700	857	9,200	-857	-9,200	1,816	19,500
32	CTG 40MM TP F/M19	344	4,000	541	7,300			541	7,300			541	7,300					541	7,300
33	CTG,40MM, GREEN STAR PARACHUTE																		
34	CTG, 40MM, PRAC M781	2,744	4,600	2,363	3,600	4,307	6,600	6,670	10,200	4,307	6,600	6,670	10,200			4,307	6,600	6,670	10,200
35	CTG,40MM, RED SMOKE MARKER			133	1,800			133	1,800			133	1,800					133	1,800
36	CTG,40MM, GREEN SMOKE MARKER			141	1,900			141	1,900			141	1,900					141	1,900
37	CTG,40MM, YELLOW SMOKE MARKER			139	1,900			139	1,900			139	1,900					139	1,900
38	CTG,40MM WHITE STAR CLUSTER M585 F/LCHR M9																		
39	CTG,40MM, RED STAR, PARACHUTE																		
40	CTG, 75MM, BLANK, SIGNAL F/[illegible]	151	2,000																
41	CTG, 81MM: ILLUM XM853	25	7,300	12	3,400			12	3,400			12	3,400					12	3,400
42	CTG, 81MM: HE, M821 W/FUZE M734 [illegible]	235	47,700	404	91,300			404	91,300		-30,200	404	61,100		-30,200	-264	-61,100	140	30,200
43	CTG, 81MM: SMOKE, RP, XM819	18	6,200	65	11,000			65	11,000		-5,000	65	6,000		-5,000		-5,000	65	6,000
44	CTG, 81MM: FULL RANGE, PRACTICE, XM879																		
45	CTG, 81MM: 1/10 RNG, PRAC, XM880	27	2,400	41	2,900			41	2,900			41	2,900					41	2,900
46	CTG, 4.2-IN HE M329A2 W/O FUZE	329	22,400	247	22,000			247	22,000			247	22,000					247	22,000
47	CTG, 120MM: HE, XM933, W/FUZE M734 [illegible]			126	44,700	-60	-21,200	66	23,500	-60	-21,200	66	23,500			-60	-21,200	66	23,500
48	CTG,120MM: ILLUM, XM930			11	5,300	-4	-1,900	7	3,400	-4	-1,900	7	3,400			-4	-1,900	7	3,400
49	CTG,120MM: SMOKE, XM929			29	14,300	-13	-6,900	16	7,400	-13	-6,900	16	7,400			-13	-6,900	16	7,400
50	CTG, 105MM, HEAT MP T M456A2	118	41,600	19	7,700	-19	-7,700		0	-19	-7,700		0			-19	-7,700		0
51	CTG, 105MM, TP-T, M490	241	32,100			241	32,000	241	32,000				0	-241	-32,000	125	16,000	125	16,000
52	CTG, 105MM, TRACE-P FOR M833		2,300																
53	TB, 105MM, [illegible] M724	521	89,400	274	41,600			274	41,600			274	41,600					274	41,600
54	CTG, 105MM, APFSDS-T M833	77	42,300	41	20,300			41	20,300			41	20,300					41	20,300
55	CTG, 120MM APFSDS-T M829	88	132,000	42	75,900			42	75,900			42	75,900					42	75,900
56	CTG, 120MM HEAT-MP-T, M830(MYP)	26	42,100	15	27,000			15	27,000			15	27,000					15	27,000
57	CTG, 120MM TP-T M831 (MYP)	33	39,600		[ ]				[ ]				[ ]						[ ]
58	CTG, 120MM TPCSDS-T, M865(MYP)	85	65,000	174	104,900	-21	-12,400	153	92,500	-21	-12,400	153	92,500			-21	-12,400	153	92,500
59	PROJ,155MM,HE,ICM M483	469	207,000	441	173,000			441	173,000			441	173,000					441	173,000
60	PROJ, 155MM, SMK, WP, M825	35	13,100	52	13,500	36	9,400	88	22,900	36	9,400	88	22,900			36	9,400	88	22,900

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
61	PROJ, 155MM, HE, ADAM M692																		
62	PROJ,155MM,HE,ADAM M731	5	20,600	9	33,900	2	5,700	11	39,600			9	33,900	-2	-5,700	2	5,700	11	39,600
63	PROJ, 155MM, HE, RAAMS M718			9	11,900		-3,100	9	8,800		-3,100	9	8,800				-3,100	9	8,800
64	PROJ, 155MM, HE, RAAMS M741	46	75,100	74	105,400	-47	-64,500	27	40,900	-30	-40,000	44	65,400	17	24,500	-47	-64,500	27	40,900
65	PROJ, 155MM, BASEBLEED, XM864.			35	31,600			35	31,600			35	31,600					35	31,600
66	PROJ, 155M, HE, COPPERHEAD (EA)	5,874	219,100	220	8,200	85	10,000	305	18,200			220	8,200	-85	-10,000	85	10,000	305	18,200
67	PROJ, 155MM, CHEM GB-2	18	21,700		[ ]				[ ]						[ ]				[ ]
68	CHARGE, PROPELLING, M155MM, GREEN BAG M3	252	12,200	866	38,000	-266	-11,700	600	26,300	-266	-11,700	600	26,300			-266	-11,700	600	26,300
69	CHARGE, PROPELLING, M155MM, WHITE BAG M4																		
70	CHARGE, PROPELLING, M155MM, RED BAG M203	61	20,400	77	20,000			77	20,000			77	20,000					77	20,000
71	CHARGE, PROPELLING, M155MM, RED BAG M119			637	55,600			637	55,600			637	55,600					637	55,600
72	PROJ, 8-INCH, HE, ICM (DP), M509	54	50,000			90	86,700	90	86,700	90	86,700	90	86,700			90	86,700	90	86,700
73	PROJ, 8-INCH, HE, RAP, M650	23	26,000	36	37,300	-13	-13,500	23	23,800			36	37,300	13	13,500	-13	-13,500	23	23,800
74	CHARGE, PROPELLING, 8-INCH WB, M188			22	4,000	-22	-4,000		0			22	4,000	22	4,000	-22	-4,000		0
75	FUZE, PROXIMITY, M732																		
76	FUZE, PD M739/M739A1					480	9,700	480	9,700	480	9,700	480	9,700			480	9,700	480	9,700
77	FUZE, MTSQ M577/M577A1	790	49,300	207	11,700	60	3,400	267	15,100	60	3,400	267	15,100			60	3,400	267	15,100
78	FUZE, MTSQ M582/M582A1	164	10,500	191	11,200			191	11,200			191	11,200					191	11,200
79	PRIMER, PERC, M82	1,400	2,700	970	1,800	674	1,200	1,644	3,000	674	1,200	1,644	3,000			674	1,200	1,644	3,000
80	GROUND IMP MINE SCAT SYS AP M74(HYP)						8,600		8,600				0		-8,600				0
81	GROUND IMP MINE SCAT SYS AT M75(HYP)						20,600		20,600				0		-20,600				0
82	CANISTER MINE PRACTICE XM88 (VOLCANO)			8	1,900			8	1,900	-8	-1,900		0	-8	-1,900			8	1,900
83	CANISTER MINE (VOLCANO) XM87			16	31,600			16	31,600	-16	-31,600		0	-16	-31,600			16	31,600
84	MTR RKT 5 IN MK22 MOD4	3,143	11,400	4,040	13,300	-1,270	-4,200	2,770	9,100	-1270	-4,200	2,770	9,100			-1,270	-4,200	2,770	9,100
85	LINE CHARGE M58A3 (MICLIC)	1,094	22,300	2,307	24,400	-104	-1,100	2,203	23,300	-104	-1,100	2,203	23,300			-104	-1,100	2,203	23,300
86	MODULAR PACK MINE SYSTEM			1,940	23,400			1,940	23,400			1,940	23,400					1,940	23,400
87	PURSUIT DETERRENT MUNITION			11	2,100			11	2,100			11	2,100					11	2,100
88	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS & OTHER		16,500		13,700				13,700				13,700						13,700
89	DEMO KIT BANGALORE TORPEDO	7	3,000																
90	RETROFIT, CTG 105MM HE M760																		
91	LIGHTWEIGHT MULTI-PURPOSE WEAPON	81	50,200	136	82,500			136	82,500			136	82,500			-85	-13,000	51	69,500
92	LIGHT WGT MULTI PURPOSE SYSTEM TRNR	1,645	3,100	963	2,500	447	4,800	1,410	7,300			963	2,500	-447	-4,800	447	4,800	1,410	7,300
93	HYDRA 70 RKT, MPSM HE M261 WHD(HYP)	33	28,900																
94	HYDRA 70 RKT HE/RS (M151/M433)					15	7,700	15	7,700	15	7,700	15	7,700			15	7,700	15	7,700

LINE		FY 86		FY 87		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
		FINAL		REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
NO.	PROGRAM	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	HYDRA 70 SMK					28	13,700	28	13,700	28	13,700	28	13,700			28	13,700	28	13,700
95	HYDRA 70 RKT ILLUM M257 WHD					27	19,600	27	19,600	27	19,600	27	19,600			27	19,600	27	19,600
96	HYDRA 70 RKT, MPSM PRAC M267 WHD	8	5,400	4	2,700	42	18,900	46	21,600	42	18,900	46	21,600			42	18,900	46	21,600
97	HYDRA 70 RKT, HE/PD (M151/M423/MK66)	23	7,000	185	44,800			185	44,800			185	44,800					185	44,800
98	GRENADE, HAND, ALL TYPES		28,700		27,400				27,400				27,400						27,400
99	GREN SMK SCREENING RP L8A1/L8A3	212	9,800	150	6,300			150	6,300		-4,300	150	2,000		-4,300			150	6,300
100	GRENADE, SMOKE SCREENING IR M76	112	11,500	91	9,000			91	9,000			91	9,000					91	9,000
101	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES		14,900		18,000		2,900		20,900				18,000		-2,900				18,000
102	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES		19,900		4,300		7,200		11,500		4,400		8,700		-2,800		4,400		8,700
	MISCELLANEOUS																		
103	AMMO COMPONENTS/SUPPORT, ALL TYPES		21,300		20,300				20,300				20,300						20,300
104	CAD/PAD		500		2,500				2,500				2,500						2,500
105	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (MISC-AMMO)		11,000		5,200		1,500		6,700		1,500		6,700				1,500		6,700
106	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS				1,200				1,200				1,200						1,200
107	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT		5,200		7,800				7,800				7,800						7,800
108	NITROGUANIDINE (LB)		25,000		23,200				23,200				23,200						23,200
109	COMP A-5				12,200				12,200				12,200				-12,200		0
110	COMP C-4				9,500		-1,500		8,000		-1,500		8,000				-9,500		0
111	PBX 0280				3,800				3,800				3,800				-3,800		0
112	COMP LX-14				3,800				3,800				3,800				-3,800		0
113	HMX EXPL-005				5,700				5,700		-5,700		0		-5,700		-5,700		0
114	WAR RESERVE STOCKPILING(EXPL/PROPELLANTS)																		
115	AMMO 9MM/ELT		1,700		1,600				1,600				1,600						1,600
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS				99,400				99,400		-28,000		71,400		-28,000				99,400
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		---		---				---		-85,000		-85,000		-85,000				---
	SUBTOTAL, AMMUNITION		1,995,700		1,900,100		60,300		1,960,400		-125,600		1,774,500		-185,900		-101,200		1,798,900

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT																		
116	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		280,600		159,000		51,300		210,300		-15,400		143,600		-66,700		-15,400		143,600
117	COMPONENTS FOR PROVE-OUT		14,000		8,700				8,700				8,700						8,700
118	LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		20,500		22,900				22,900				22,900						22,900
119	JEFFERSON PROVING GROUND MODERNIZATION		2,000		2,200				2,200				2,200						2,200
120	CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM		81,300																
121	PRODUCTION BASE MODERNIZATION		103,100		161,100		-22,800		138,300		-50,200		110,900		-27,400		28,500		189,600
	SUBTOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		501,500		353,900		28,500		382,400		-65,600		288,300		-94,100		13,100		367,000
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		—		—		-2,400		-2,400				—		2,400				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		---		-17,300		-17,300				---		17,300				---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		—		—		-39,800		-39,800				—		39,800		-39,800		-39,800
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		—		—				---		(-34,200)		(-34,200)		(-34,200)		(-34,200)		(-34,200)
	TOTAL, ARMY AMMUNITION		2,497,200		2,254,000		29,300		2,283,300		-191,200		2,062,800		-220,500		-127,900		2,126,100

AMMUNITION, ARMY

Overview, ammunition

The budget request contained $2,254.0 million for Army ammunition procurement in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $2,062.8 million for procurement of Army ammunition. The Senate bill would authorize $2,283.3 million for the same purpose.

The conferees recommend authorization of $2,126.1 million for procurement of Army ammunition in fiscal year 1987. The amounts requested for specific items that were in dispute, the amounts allowed by the House amendment and the amounts in the Senate bill are listed in the foregoing table.

Copperhead

The budget request contained $8.2 million for the procurement of 220 Copperhead projectiles.

The House amendment would authorize the requested amount. The House amendment included a provision (sec. 122(b)) that would prohibit obligation of the funds for procurement until the Secretary of Defense certifies that a second production source has been established.

The Senate bill would authorize $18.2 million for the procurement of 305 projectiles. The Senate bill included no similar limitation.

The conferees agreed to authorize $18.2 million for procurement of 305 Copperhead projectiles.

The House recedes.

Lightweight multi-purpose weapons

The budget request contained $82.5 million for procurement of 136,000 lightweight multi-purpose weapons and $2.5 million for procurement of 963 training rounds.

The House amendment would authorize the requested amount. The House amendment included a provision (sec. 122(g)) that would prohibit obligation of the funds for procurement of the AT-4 weapon until the Secretary of the Army (1) completes development and operational testing of the M72E4 light antiarmor weapon; (2) type classifies the M72E4 weapon; (3) acquires a technical data package for the M72E4 weapon.

The Senate bill would authorize $82.5 million for procurement of 136,000 lightweight multi-purpose weapons and $7.3 million for procurement of 1410 training rounds.

The conferees agreed to authorize $69.5 million for procurement of 51,000 lightweight multi-purpose weapons and $7.3 for procurement of training rounds.

The conferees agreed to delete the House provision prohibiting obligation of funds for the AT-4 because the Urgent Fiscal Year 1986 Supplemental Act (Public Law 99-349) currently requires the Secretary of the Army to complete the required testing for M72E4, type classify the weapon, and acquire a technical data package. As such, the House provision is duplicative. In agreeing to delete this provision, the conferees expect that the Secretary will comply with existing public law.

Authorization of ammunition plants

To avoid duplication in Military Construction and Department of Defense authorization legislation, the report accompanying the House amendment (H. Rept. 99-718) directs that the authorizations for construction associated with ammunition plants in fiscal year 1988 and subsequent years be requested in conjunction with Department of Defense authorization legislation only.

The Senate bill contained no similar directions.

The Senate recedes.

Demonstration of casting

The report accompanying the House amendment (H. Rept. 99-718), directs that within the authorization for Army ammunition $6.0 million is to be used for conducting a demonstration of advanced casting technology in the production of selected artillery and mortar projectiles. On completion of the demonstration, program results are to be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The Senate report contained no similar direction.

The Senate recedes.

Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant

The conferees endorse the Army's program to achieve the designed mobilization rates of the M483 ICM round at the Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant. The conferees have no objection to the obligation of the remaining fiscal year 1986 M483 ICM funds for prove-out and M483 production.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES																		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES																		
1	CHASSIS TRAILER GEN 2 1/2 TON 2W M200A1	1,949	7,600	908	4,100			908	4,100			908	4,100					908	4,100
2	CHASSIS, TRAILER, GP, 3 1/2T, 2W, M353			618	3,700			618	3,700	-618	-3,700		0	-618	-3,700	-618	-3,700		0
3	DOLLY SET, TRANS SHELTER, 2 1/2T, M720																		
4	DOLLY SET, TRANS SHELTER, 5 1/4 T, M832																		
5	DOLLY SET, TRANS SHELTER, 7 1/2T, M1022	201	5,500	219	6,200			219	6,200			219	6,200					219	6,200
6	MOTORCYCLE, GED, 2W, ROUGH TERRAIN	333	2,000	2,732	7,100			2,732	7,100			2,732	7,100					2,732	7,100
7	SEMITRAILER BB/CONT 22 1/2T M871 C/S																		
8	SEMITRAILER BB/CONT 34T M872 C/S	169	3,400	286	6,400			286	6,400			286	6,400					286	6,400
9	SEMITRAILER, LB, 12T M270A1-M269A1	238	9,300																
10	SEMITRAILER, LOW BED, 40T M870 (C/S)	598	12,200	73	1,900			73	1,900			73	1,900					73	1,900
11	SEMITRAILER, LOW BED HET, XM1000																		
12	SEMITRAILER, TANK, 5000G	445	19,800	172	8,800			172	8,800			172	8,000					172	8,800
13	SEMITRAILER, TANK, 7500G, BULKHAUL			187	7,300			187	7,300	-187	-7,300		0	-187	-7,300			187	7,300
14	SEMITRAILER VAN ELECTRONIC 6T 2W M373A2																		
15	SEMITRAILER VAN SUPPLY 12T, 2W, M129A2C			242	7,000			242	7,000	-242	-7,000		0	-242	-7,000	-142	-3,000	100	4,000
16	TRAILER, CARGO 3/4T, 2W, M101A2 W/E	943	3,500	1,476	5,600			1,476	5,600			1,476	5,600					1,476	5,600
17	TRAILER, CARGO, 1 1/2T, 2W, M105A2	2,695	11,100																
18	TRAILER, HEAVY, EXPANDED MOBILITY			286	7,400			286	7,400		-2,000	286	5,400		-2,000	-116	-1,000	170	6,400
19	TRAILER TANK WATER 400G 1 1/2T 2W M149A1	650	4,000	966	5,900			966	5,900			966	5,900					966	5,900
20	HI MOB MULTI-PURP WHLD VEH (HMMWV)(MYP)	12,132	313,300	12,842	330,600			12,842	330,600			12,842	330,600					12,842	330,600
21	COMMERCIAL UTILITY AND CARGO VEHICLE																		
22	SMALL UNIT SUPPORT VEHICLE (SUSV)	125	13,800	241	26,200			241	26,200		-12,400	241	13,800		-12,400	-116	-12,400	125	13,800
23	TRUCK, 5T, 6X6, ABT (MYP)	2,097	216,800																
24	TRUCK, 10T, 8X8, ABT (MYP)	651	116,100	1,523	234,300		-50,000	1,523	184,300	250	50,000	1,773	284,300	250	100,000			1,523	234,300
25	TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915A1																		
26	TRUCK, TRACTOR, YARD TYPE, M878 (C/S)	42	3,100																
27	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT		1,500		3,800				3,800				3,800						3,800

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
28	SHOP EQUIPMENT, AUTO MAINT & REP	111	900	306	2,500			306	2,500			306	2,500					306	2,500
29	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (TAC VEH)		1,700		1,900				1,900				1,900						1,900
	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES																		
30	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	2,444	30,000	480	13,800			480	13,800	-480	-13,800		0	-480	-13,800			480	13,800
31	GENERAL PURPOSE VEHICLES	3,765	39,900	2,246	34,900			2,246	34,900	-2246	-34,900		0	-2,246	-34,900			2,246	34,900
32	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES	802	13,000	639	9,700			639	9,700	-639	-9,700		0	-639	-9,700			639	9,700
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
33	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		135,000		136,600				136,600				136,600						136,600
34	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TAC)																		
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		—		—				—				—				-58,400		-58,400
	SUBTOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES		963,500		865,700		-50,000		815,700		-40,800		824,900		9,200		-78,500		787,200
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT																		
	TELECOMM EQUIP - READ CMD COMM																		
35	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)		300		1,800				1,800		-600		1,200		-600		-600		1,200
36	CINCS INITIATIVE				4,100				4,100				4,100						4,100
37	CLASSIFIED PROJECT 900		14,200		9,100				9,100				9,100						9,100
	TELECOMM EQUIP - JOINT TACTICAL COMM PR																		
38	MOD RCRD TFC TERM (MRTT)		29,300		31,900				31,900		-31,900		0		-31,900		-31,900		0
	LESS PRIOR YEAR (MRTT)		—		—				—		-47,600		-47,600		-47,600				—
39	DIG GROUP MULTIPLEXER (DGM)		20,400		10,800				10,800				10,800				-10,800		0
40	RPTR/TERM ASSMBLGS		15,700		19,900				19,900				19,900						19,900
41	RADIO TERMINALS AN/TRC-170		29,200		32,400				32,400				32,400						32,400
42	MAINTENANCE SYSTEM AN/ARM-164				3,300				3,300				3,300						3,300
43	UNIT LEVEL SWITCHES		10,500		12,200				12,200				12,200				-12,200		0
44	COM SYS CON EL AN/TYQ-16		15,900		23,600				23,600				23,600						23,600
45	DIG NSEC VTER TA-954	4,500	4,000	4,600	3,900			4,600	3,900			4,600	3,900					4,600	3,900
46	ANDVT-TAC TERM, CV-3591	159	4,000	159	3,900			159	3,900			159	3,900					159	3,900
47	LIGHTWEIGHT DIGITAL FACSIMILE			85	1,200			85	1,200	-85	-1,200		0	-85	-1,200	-85	-1,200		0
48	MOD SUBSCRIBER EQUIP		335,300		903,700				903,700		-50,000		853,700		-50,000				903,700
49	MOD OF IN-SVC EQ (TRI-TAC)		22,500		9,900				9,900				9,900						9,900
	TELECOMM EQUIP-COMBAT SUPPORT COMM																		
50	COMM SYS (MTLD)		8,500																
51	SINCGARS	400	102,100	16,000	204,000		-150,000	16,000	54,000	-16,000	-204,000		0	-16,000	-54,000		-194,000		10,000

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
52	DIGITAL DATA GENERATOR BOX SG-1139																		
53	HAND CRANK GENERATOR, G-76			358	2,500			358	2,500			358	2,500					358	2,500
54	IHF RADIO MODS																		
55	IMP HF RADIO FAMILY		26,600		11,100				11,100				11,100						11,100
56	OPTICAL LONG HAUL TRAN SYS			620	9,300			620	9,300			620	9,300					620	9,300
57	PWR SUPPLY PP-6148/U	115	900	609	4,000			609	4,000			609	4,000					609	4,000
58	PULSE FORM RESTORER TD-204																		
59	RADIO SET AN/PRC-70	305	11,600																
60	SOF MOD RADIOS				4,500				4,500				4,500						4,500
61	ANTENNA GROUP OE-254	5,170	2,500	13,540	6,500			13,540	6,500			13,540	6,500					13,540	6,500
62	SMALL UNIT TRANS AN/PRC - 68	510	1,000																
63	SMALL UNIT TRANSCEIVER				3,700				3,700				3,700						3,700
64	STEER NULL ANT PROC, CP-1380		15,400																
65	TELETYPEWRITER TERMINAL AN/UGC-74	155	2,100																
66	VEHICULAR INTERCOM SYSTEM			100	10,200			100	10,200	-100	-10,200		0	-100	-10,200	-100	-10,200		0
67	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (CSC)		18,856		26,400				26,400		-6,900		19,500		-6,900		-6,900		19,500
68	RDF COMM(S.W. ASIA)		19,500		14,900				14,900				14,900						14,900
69	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (CSC-C-E)		7,100		5,900				5,900				5,900						5,900
70	SPEC OPS COMM SUP ELMT		11,300		15,200				15,200				15,200						15,200
	TELECOMM EQUIP - NMCS WIDE SUPPORT, ARM																		
71	SECURE CONFERENCING PROJECT				4,500				4,500		-4,000		500		-4,000				4,500
	TELECOMM EQUIP - STARCOM NON-DCS																		
72	AR TELECOM AUTO PR (ATCAP)		9,200		3,200				3,200				3,200						3,200
73	C-E FACILITIES/PROJECTS		18,800		4,700				4,700				4,700						4,700
74	SOUTHCOM C3 UPGRADE		13,800		16,000				16,000		-4,400		11,600		-4,400		-4,400		11,600
75	ELECTROMAG COMP PROG(EMCP)		600		500				500				500						500
	LONG-HAUL COM (DCS)																		
76	AUTODIN (DCS)		4,800																
77	DEFENSE DATA NETWORK (DDN)		6,500																
78	SECURE VOICE IMPRV PROG (SVIP)																		
79	TRANSMISSION MEDIA (EUCOM)		16,200		11,100				11,100				11,100						11,100
80	TRANSMISSION MEDIA (PACOM)		30,800		15,800				15,800				15,800						15,800
81	NW TECH CON IMP PR(NWTCIP)		5,300		2,700				2,700				2,700						2,700

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	TELECOMM EQUIP - SATCOM-GRD ENVIRON																		
82	DIGITAL EQUIPMENT (DSCS)		29,900		29,300				29,300				29,300						29,300
83	INTERCONNECT FACILITY (DSCS)		7,900		2,200				2,200				2,200						2,200
84	JAM RESISTANT SECURE COM (JRSC)		19,600		9,300				9,300				9,300						9,300
85	MED SAT TERM AN/GSC		62,000																
86	SPRD SPEC MUL ACC (DSCS)		18,000																
87	DSCS OPERATIONS CONTROL SYS (DSCS)		31,200		88,300				88,300		-47,200		41,100		-47,200		-47,200		41,100
88	MOD IN-SVC EQUIP (DSCS)		8,500																
89	MPK SAT UHF TERM, AN/PSC-3	90	3,900	115	5,000			115	5,000			115	5,000					115	5,000
90	VEH SAT UHF TERM, AN/VSC-7	24	1,400	30	1,400			30	1,400			30	1,400					30	1,400
91	SINGLE CHANNEL OBJECT TACT TERM (SCOTT)																		
92	MOD IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)		53,300		7,000				7,000				7,000						7,000
	TELECOMM EQUIP - EUCOM C3 SYSTEM																		
93	EUCOM C3 (NMS)		90,600		60,400				60,400				60,400						60,400
94	PACOM C3(NMS)				13,000				13,000				13,000				-2,000		11,000
95	EUCOM ALTERNATE SPT HQ (ASH)		7,700		3,000				3,000				3,000						3,000
96	USAREUR C2 PROJECT				7,700				7,700				7,700						7,700
	TELECOMM EQUIP - COMSEC EQUIPMENT																		
97	AUTO KEY DC KGX-93/TSEC		4,300		4,100				4,100				4,100						4,100
98	SEC VO IMPRV PRG (COMSEC)		12,000		13,700				13,700				13,700						13,700
99	LOOP KEY GEN TSEC/KG-82	1,344	2,100																
100	RED COMP ENCRYP DEV KG-84	7,067	31,800	4,135	18,700		3,500	4,135	22,200			4,135	18,700		-3,500		3,500	4,135	22,200
101	TRK ENCRP DEV TSEC/KG-93	218	2,200	208	2,100			208	2,100				2,100					208	2,100
102	TSEC/KG-94		7,000		12,300				12,300				12,300						12,300
103	TSEC/KG-95 KEY GENERATOR																		
104	INT LEV I SET TSEC/ST-34	14	800																
105	DIG SBD VOICE TSEC/KY-68	525	2,000																
106	TSEC/KY-90	130	5,400																
107	KEY GENERATOR, TSEC/KG-87	33	2,000	30	1,800			30	1,800			30	1,800					30	1,800
108	KGV-6/TSEC																		
109	COMSEC MODULE, TSEC/KGV-13	144	3,000	752	19,900			752	19,900		-3,000	752	16,900		-3,000		-1,500	752	18,400
110	FREQ MODULE, KGV-10/TSEC	15,067	13,900	18,200	9,100			18,200	9,100			18,200	9,100			-18,200	-9,100		0
111	SPEECH SECUR EQ TSEC/KY-57		35,000																
112	SPEECH SECUR EQ TSEC/KY-58																		

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
113	TSEC/KYV-5 (VACTOR) SECURE EQUIP	160	900	89	500			89	500			89	500					89	500
114	TEMPEST (COMSEC)		1,100		700				700				700						700
115	KOK-13/TSEC (KOC)			21	1,900			21	1,900			21	1,900					21	1,900
116	TSEC/KGV-8 (THORNTON) SECURE MODULE			17	600			17	600			17	600					17	600
117	NEWMAN KGV-9																		
118	TSEC/KGV-11/SECURE MODULE		1,300		1,400				1,400				1,400						1,400
119	WHSTEAD CC (CI-11-1)		3,000																
120	WHSTEAD RT (CI-11-2)		6,000																
121	WATSON II, TSEC/CI-14		8,400																
122	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (COMSEC)		8,200		11,700				11,700		-3,500		8,200		-3,500		-2,000		9,700
	TELECOMM EQUIP - BASE COMM																		
123	BASE COMM (CONUS)		34,500		35,000				35,000				35,000						35,000
124	LOCAL AREA NETWORK (LAN)				1,700				1,700		-1,700		0		-1,700				1,700
125	BASE COMM (EUCOM)		6,000		7,900				7,900				7,900						7,900
126	BASE COMM (PACOM)		4,000		1,600				1,600				1,600						1,600
127	DA CMD + CON SYS (DACCS)		10,300																
128	ARMY OPNS CTR		5,000		4,300				4,300				4,300						4,300
129	PENTAGON TELECOM CTR (PTC)		9,000		4,100				4,100				4,100						4,100
	TMDE FOR TELECOMM																		
130	TMDE MODERNIZATION		27,000		16,900				16,900				16,900						16,900
	OTHER ELECT SYS/EQUIP - INTELLIGENCE SU																		
131	4TH PSYOP GROUP				12,500				12,500				12,500						12,500
132	ALL-SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM				[ ]				[ ]		-20,000		-20,000		-20,000		-15,000		-15,000
133	COMMANDERS TACTICAL TERMINAL				[ ]				[ ]				[ ]						[ ]
134	TRAILBLAZER	1	19,200		[ ]				[ ]		-47,300		-47,300		-47,300		-35,300		-35,300
135	TAC ELEC SURV SYS		15,000		4,000				4,000				4,000						4,000
136	MANPACK RADIO DF SYSTEM	12	7,000		[ ]				[ ]				[ ]						[ ]
137	TEAM PACK	4	6,000																
138	MOD IN SER EQ (INT SPT) TIARA		20,200		37,300				37,300				37,300						37,300
139	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (INT SPT-C-E)		5,600		500				500				500						500
140	FT DEVENS TRAINING SUPPORT		400		400				400				400						400
141	TROJAN		9,200		[ ]				[ ]				[ ]						[ ]

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	OTHER ELECT SYS/EQUIP - GEN DEF INTEL P																		
142	INTEL DATA HANDLING SYSTEM		[ ]		[ ]		-12,200		-12,200		-16,900		-16,900		-4,700		-15,697		-15,697
143	TECH RECON AND SURV SYSTEM		[ ]		[ ]				[ ]		-2,000		-2,000		-2,000		-1,000		-1,000
144	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (FCI/SAIA-C-E)		[ ]		[ ]				[ ]				[ ]						[ ]
145	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (GDIP-C-E)		[ ]		[ ]				[ ]		-2,000		-2,000		-2,000		-450		-450
	OTHER ELEC SYS/EQUIP - AUTO DATA PROCE																		
146	VERT INSTL AUTO BASELINE (VIABLE-BASOPS)		18,700		41,000				41,000				41,000						41,000
147	VIABLE INSTALLATIONS IN EUROPE & WESTCOM																		
148	BATTERY COMPUTER SYSTEM	89	17,700	66	11,000			66	11,000			66	11,000					66	11,000
149	AMC FIVE YEAR ADP PROGRAM				4,000				4,000		-2,000		2,000		-2,000		-2,000		2,000
150	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACT DATA SYS		25,600																
151	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM-ADDS		21,600																
152	TRADOC AUTOMATION				75,500				75,500		-42,800		32,700		-42,800				75,500
153	ENGINEER TACTICAL AUTOMATION		2,200		3,700				3,700				3,700						3,700
154	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUP. (LCSS)		3,500		2,000				2,000				2,000						2,000
155	ADPE FOR NON TAC MGT INFO SYS		42,100		9,100				9,100				9,100						9,100
156	SUPERCOMPUTERS				40,400				40,400				40,400						40,400
157	ARMY CORPORATE DATABASE				22,900				22,900		-22,900		0		-22,900				22,900
158	SOLDIER DATA TAG				900				900		-900		0		-900				900
159	PROJECT OSK PHASE II																		
160	TRANS OF PERS PROP				12,900				12,900				12,900						12,900
161	STD ARMY AUTO CONTRACT SY				2,900				2,900		-2,900		0		-2,900				2,900
162	DIGITAL MESSAGE DEVICE				6,800				6,800		-2,300		4,500		-2,300				6,800
163	FIRE SUPPORT TEAM DIGITAL MESSAGE	427	17,200	381	17,000			381	17,000			381	17,000					381	17,000
164	FORWARD ENTRY DEVICE				12,000				12,000				12,000						12,000
165	NON-CENTRALLY MANAGED ITEMS		105,300																
166	MANEUVER CONTROL SYS		57,900		56,900				56,900				56,900						56,900
167	MOD IN-SVC CMD/CONTROL (C2)		2,900																
168	CORPS/THEATER ADP SVC CTR (CTASC)				9,700				9,700				9,700						9,700
169	TACT ARMY CMBT CMPT SY(TACCS)	2,185	44,600	1,960	42,900			1,960	42,900			1,960	42,900					1,960	42,900
170	DECENTRALIZED AUTO SER SUP SY MOD 'B'																		
171	COMPUTER BALLISTICS: MORTAR XM-23	800	6,000																
172	CSS LOG APPLC AUTO MARK/READ SYMBOLOG(LOG MARS		10,600		11,400				11,400				11,400						11,400
173	SERVICES INFORMATION SYSTEM (SIS)		7,100		36,200				36,200				36,200						36,200
174	UNIT LEVEL COMPUTER (ULC).		5,500																

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL QTY	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST QTY	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) QTY	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION QTY	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
	AUDIO VISUAL																		
175	AFRTS (AUDIO VISUAL)		7,800		8,000				8,000				8,000						8,000
176	DIG STRG & RTRVL ENGRG DATA SYS (DSREDS)		24,300																
177	[illegible]		11,300		9,100				9,100		-9,100		0		-9,100		-3,100		6,000
	[illegible]																		
178	[illegible]	9	3,700																
179	[illegible]																		
180	[illegible]	5	19,800	11	33,000			11	33,000			11	33,000					11	33,000
181	[illegible]		3,300																
182	[illegible]		2,100		8,300				8,300				8,300						8,300
183	[illegible]		3,900		900				900				900						900
	[illegible]																		
184	[illegible]	340	3,300	525	3,000			525	3,000			525	3,000					525	3,000
185	[illegible]	1,634	1,900	2,400	3,300			2,400	3,300			2,400	3,300					2,400	3,300
186	[illegible]	862	4,000	1,102	3,700			1,102	3,700			1,102	3,700					1,102	3,700
187	[illegible]	21	22,800	39	22,800			39	22,800	-39	-22,800		0	-39	-22,800	-39	-22,800		0
188	[illegible]	220	45,000																
189	[illegible]			1,085	4,800			1,085	4,800			1,085	4,800					1,085	4,800
190	[illegible]		14,400		20,800				20,800				20,800						20,800
191	[illegible]	127	9,700	377	3,300			377	3,300			377	3,300					377	3,300
192	[illegible]	59	19,900	107	25,700			107	25,700		-10,700	107	15,000		-10,700		-5,700	107	20,000
193	[illegible]	17	4,200																
194	[illegible]	82	2,300																
195	[illegible]																		
196	[illegible]		44,700		62,300				62,300				62,700						62,391
197	[illegible]	3,611	9,900	3,947	10,800			3,947	10,800			3,947	10,800					3,947	10,800
198	[illegible]		45,100		4,000				4,000				4,000						4,000
199	[illegible]	477	2,800	3,459	4,000			3,459	4,000			3,459	4,000					3,459	4,000
200	[illegible]		22,000																
201	[illegible]		21,700		117,700		-67,700		50,000		-117,700		0		-50,000		-67,700		50,000
202	[illegible]				45,400				45,400		-45,400		0		-45,400		-35,400		10,000
203	[illegible]			37	2,300			37	2,300			37	2,300					37	2,300
204	[illegible]																		
205	[illegible]																		

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 PROG.		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
206	SHOP [illegible] AN/ASM-146			42	2,100			42	2,100			42	2,100					42	2,100
207	MODIFICATION OF IN-SERVICE EQ (TAC EL)		3,500		38,500				38,500				38,500						38,500
208	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 (TACT ELEC-C-E)		9,300		8,400				8,400				8,400						8,400
	TMDE FOR TACTICAL ELECTRONICS																		
209	TEST STA,ELECTRONIC EQUIP,AN/USM-410	3	8,700																
210	BASE SHOP TEST FACILITY																		
211	CONTACT TEST SET																		
212	TMDE FOR [illegible]	937	3,600	1,386	6,300			1,386	6,300			1,386	6,300					1,386	6,300
213	CORE ELECTRONIC AUTO TEST (ATE-I)	115	8,300	29	3,000			29	3,000			29	3,000					29	3,000
	OTHER ELECT SPS/EQUIP - SUP EQUIP AND F																		
214	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		187,200		183,200				183,200				183,200				-10,000		173,200
215	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		14,600		11,400				11,400				11,400						11,400
216	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		285,000		260,200				260,200		-48,300		211,900		-48,300		-30,000		230,200
	JOINT STARS SPARES				(6,900)				(6,900)		(-6,900)		(0)		(-6,900)		(-6,900)		(0)
	TRIAL BASED SPARES				(1,300)				(1,300)		(-1,300)		(0)		(-1,300)		(-1,300)		(0)
217	WAR RESERVE SPARES		18,000																
218	SPECIAL PROGRAMS		95,000		115,000				115,000				115,000						115,000
219	QUICK RETURN ON INVESTMENT (QRIP) PG		300																
220	PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENT FUNDING		49,700		31,100				31,100				31,100						31,100
221	PROD ENHANCING CAP INVESTMENT PROG (PECIP)		1,000		22,000				22,000		-22,000		0		-22,000				22,000
222	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)		3,000		8,100				8,100				8,100						8,100
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		26,000		231,600				231,600				231,600						231,600
	SUBTOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		2,756,256		3,496,600		-326,000		3,171,600		-497,000		3,003,000		-648,600		-614,047		2,883,953
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT																		
223	[illegible]	472	4,700																
224	DECONTAMINATING APP PWR DR LT WT M17	340	6,400	242	3,900			242	3,900			242	3,900					242	3,900
225	MASK, PROTECTIVE, [illegible]	110,300	38,200	64,804	17,900			64,804	17,900			64,804	17,900					64,804	17,900
226	CHEMICAL AGENT MONITOR	3,729	17,400																
227	[illegible]	344	3,900	445	4,100			445	4,100			445	4,100					445	4,100
228	[illegible]	300	3,100																
229	AUTO CHEMICAL AGENT ALARM (ACADA), XM22			346	9,000			346	9,000		-2,000	346	7,000		-2,000			346	9,000
230	[illegible]	145	4,200	247	7,000			247	7,000		-2,000	247	5,000		-2,000			247	7,000
231	[illegible]																		

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT																		
232	RIBBON BRIDGE ERECTION BOAT	185	25,400	144	19,200			144	19,200			144	19,200					144	19,200
233	BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON, INTERIOR BAY	100	7,100	194	7,200			194	7,200			194	7,200					194	7,200
234	BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON, RAMP	87	3,000	78	3,200			78	3,200			78	3,200					78	3,200
235	BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON, TRANSPORTER	143	10,000	165	10,200			165	10,200			165	10,200					165	10,200
236	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M(BRIDGING)		906		1,300				1,300				1,300						1,300
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT																		
237	GEMSS AIR MINE DISPNSR XM138 (FLIPPER)	237	2,000	444	3,900			444	3,900		-2,000	444	1,900		-2,000		-1,000	444	2,900
238	DISPENSER MINE XM139			6	1,700			6	1,700			6	1,700					6	1,700
239	MIXER/PUMPER UNIT (MPU) TEXS																		
240	MARKING SYS, CLEAR LANE	74	3,000	80	3,200			80	3,200			80	3,200					80	3,200
241	E DET SET, MINE, MET/NON-MET, AN/PRS-8	6,010	8,100																
242	DETECTING SET, MINE, AN/PSS-12			4,665	4,900			4,665	4,900			4,665	4,900					4,665	4,900
243	MINE PLOW			135	3,500			135	3,500			135	3,500					135	3,500
244	MINE CLEARING ROLLER	50	3,500	55	3,700			55	3,700			55	3,700					55	3,700
245	REMOTE CONTROL UNIT(RCMPS)	581	4,600	1,560	9,800			1,560	9,800		-5,200	1,560	4,600		-5,200			1,560	9,800
246	SURVEY SET DISTANCE MEASURING INFRARED			278	2,000			278	2,000			278	2,000					278	2,000
247	M-9 ARMORED COMBAT EARTHMOVER (ACE) (MYP	22	42,400	21	30,400			21	30,400			21	30,400					21	30,400
248	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M(EAS NON-CONST)		3,300		900				900				900						900
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
249	AIR CONDITIONERS VARIOUS SIZE/CAPACITIES		14,800		32,200				32,200		-12,200		20,000		-12,200		-7,200		25,000
250	FIELD KITCHEN,MOBILE, TR. MTD.	337	5,300	836	13,100			836	13,100			836	13,100					836	13,100
251	MODULAR FIELD KITCHEN			465	11,600			465	11,600			465	11,600					465	11,600
252	DIVING EQUIPMENT		400		1,800				1,800				1,800						1,800
253	FIRETRUCKS		9,000		16,000				16,000		-2,900		13,100		-2,900				16,000
254	HEATER DUCT TYPE, 250,000/400,000 BTU																		
255	LAUNDRY UNIT/TR. MTD			110	7,300			110	7,300			110	7,300					110	7,300
256	TAG PRINTING AND BINDING EQUIPMENT		3,400		4,300				4,300				4,300						4,300
257	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (CSS-EQ)		8,700		11,300				11,300		-1,000		10,300		-1,000				11,300
258	MODIFICATIONS OF IN SERVICE EQUIP (CSE)		3,800		8,900				8,900				8,900						8,900
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT																		
259	TANK ASSEMBLY FAB COLL POL 3000 G	335	5,100	282	4,600			282	4,600			282	4,600					282	4,600
260	FORWARD AREA REFUELING EQUIPMENT (FARE)			300	2,800			300	2,800			300	2,800					300	2,800
261	TANK ASSEMBLY FAB COLLAPSIBLE POL 10000	492	5,800	600	6,600			600	6,600			600	6,600					600	6,600

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
262	TANK ASSY, FAB COLLAPS, 20,000 GAL POL			452	6,300			452	6,300			452	6,300					452	6,300
263	TANK/PUMP UNIT LIQ DISP F/TRK MOUNTING			109	1,000			109	1,000			109	1,000					109	1,000
264	LABORATORY,PETROLEUM,SEMI-TRLR MTD			3	3,200			3	3,200			3	3,200					3	3,200
265	PUMP ASSY LIQ GAS GAL 4 IN OUT 350 GPM	361	3,000	744	12,200			744	12,200			744	12,200					744	12,200
266	PUMP CENTRIFUGAL 4X4 BED																		
267	PIPELINE FOR TOSA																		
268	SMA PETROLEUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM		38,900		39,300				39,300				39,300						39,300
269	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (POL)		3,500		6,700				6,700				6,700						6,700
	WATER EQUIPMENT																		
270	WTR PUR UNIT REV OS 3000 GPH (ROWPU)	24	14,000	80	33,900		-20,000	80	13,900		-20,600	80	13,300		-600		-20,000	80	13,900
271	WATER PUR UNIT, REV OSMOSIS, 600GPH (ROW	92	24,000	111	29,500		-20,000	111	9,500		-5,500	111	24,000		14,500		-5,500	111	24,000
272	WATER STOR AND DIST SYS 800,000 GAL																		
273	WATER STOR DIST SYS 1,000,000 GAL																		
274	TACTICAL WATER DISTR SYS	2	8,300																
275	FWD AREA WTR POINT SUP SYSTEM																		
276	TANK,FABRIC,COLL,WTR,3000 GAL (SOFT)																		
277	TANK,FAB COLLAPS,3000 GAL,WATER(ONION)			1,200	2,900			1,200	2,900			1,200	2,900					1,200	2,900
278	TANK,FABRIC,COLL,WTR,SEMI-TRLR MTD 5KGA	140	1,600																
279	SMALL MOBILE WATER CHILLER (SMWC)	274	2,200																
280	DRILL RACK,WELL 600FT			167	1,500			167	1,500	-167	-1,500		0	-167	-1,500			167	1,500
281	PUMP CENTRIFUGAL, 65GPM	2,183	3,400	1,230	2,100			1,230	2,100			1,230	2,100					1,230	2,100
282	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (WATER EQ)		1,900		2,500				2,500				2,500						2,500
	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT																		
283	DEPLOYABLE MEDICAL SYSTEM (DMS)		96,700		88,800				88,800		-53,300		35,500		-53,300		-53,300		35,500
284	CBT SUP EQUIP MEDICAL		98,700		48,100				48,100		-27,800		20,300		-27,800		-27,800		20,300
285	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		83,900		70,800				70,800				70,800						70,800
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT																		
286	SHOP EQ CONTACT MAINTENANCE TRK MTD (MYP)	1,330	27,000																
287	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
288	SHOP EQUIPMENT, ORG REPAIR, TRK MTD																		
289	WELDING SHOP, TRAILER MTD	236	9,800	236	9,700			236	9,700			236	9,700					236	9,700
290	SHOP EQ ELECTRICAL OPN SEMI-TRL MTD	107	13,500	114	14,500			114	14,500			114	14,500					114	14,500
291	STEAM CLEANER			930	5,000			930	5,000			930	5,000					930	5,000
292	E WELDING MACHINE ELEC ARC 350 AMP (CC-CP)			510	5,700			510	5,700			510	5,700					510	5,700

LINE		FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
NO.	PROGRAM	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
293	BUILDING, PRE-FAB, RELOCATABLE				1,200				1,200				1,200						1,200
294	CALIBRATION SET SUPPORT		7,700		12,700				12,700		-1,000		11,700		-1,000		-500		12,200
295	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (MAINT EQ)		9,500		10,300				10,300				10,300						10,300
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT																		
296	GRADER, ROAD MOTORIZED, HVT, 6X4 (CCE)																		
297	LOADER, SCOOP TYPE, DO 4WHL, 2-1/2 CU YD																		
298	TRACTOR FULL TRACKED LOW SPEED DO MED	129	26,400	357	73,600		-50,000	357	23,600			357	73,600		50,000		-28,600	357	45,000
299	SMALL EMPLACEMENT EXCAVATOR (SEE)	356	25,300	314	25,000			314	25,000		-2,100	314	22,900		-2,100		-2,100	314	22,900
300	CRANE, WHL MTD, HYDRAULIC 7 1/2 TON																		
301	COMPRESSOR, AIR ROTARY, 250 CFM, 100 PSI																		
302	MODS OF IN SERVICE EQUIP (CONST EQUIP)				100				100				100						100
303	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (CONST EQUIP)		6,000		700				700				700						700
	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT																		
304	LANDING, CRAFT, UTILITY	5	22,900	6	29,300			6	29,300		-4,800	6	24,500		-4,800			6	29,300
305	TUG INLAND AND COASTAL WATERWAYS			2	30,100			2	30,100		-10,000	2	20,100		-10,000			2	30,100
306	LOGISTIC SUPPORT VESSEL (LSV)			1	19,900			1	19,900	-1	-19,900		0	-1	-19,900	-1	-19,900		0
307	RO/RO DISCHARGE PLATFORM	2	11,200																
308	CAUSEWAY SYSTEMS		12,900	5	28,200			5	28,200			5	28,200					5	28,200
309	[illegible]		5,000																
310	RAILWAY CAR, TANK, POL, 20000G			209	9,800			209	9,800		-4,800	209	5,000		-4,800			209	9,800
311	RAILWAY CAR, FLAT, 140 TON																		
312	SHIPPING CONTAINER																		
313	MODIFICATIONS OF IN-SERV EQ (FLOAT/RAIL)		1,000		5,700				5,700				5,700						5,700
314	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)		4,200		1,000				1,000				1,000						1,000
	GENERATORS																		
315	GEN AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP	4,919	90,000	5,912	115,900		-10,000	5,912	105,900		-10,000	5,912	105,900				-10,000	5,912	105,900
	MATERIEL HANDLING EQUIPMENT																		
316	TRUCK, FORK LIFT, CBD, PT, 4000 LB.			465	13,300			465	13,300		-3,300	465	10,000		-3,300		-800	465	12,500
317	TRUCK, FORK LIFT, CBD, PT, 6000 LB.	354	13,500	304	12,300			304	12,300			304	12,300					304	12,300
318	TRUCK, FORK LIFT, DE, SRT, 2000 LB	123	2,900																
319	TRUCK, FORK LIFT, DE, PT, RT, 10000 LB																		
320	TRUCK, FORK LIFT, DE, PT, RT, 6000 LB			206	21,000			206	21,000			206	21,000					206	21,000
321	TRUCK, FORK LIFT, ELEC, SRT, 6000 LB	116	4,600																
322	TRK FORK LFT ELC SRT FRT/SIDE LDR	23	2,000																

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
323	TRUCK, FORK LIFT, ELEC, SRT, 4000 LB	670	12,900	57	1,700			57	1,700				1,700					57	1,706
324	65 TON CRANE	11	6,200	91	42,600		-12,600	91	30,000		-36,700		5,900		-24,100		-12,600	91	30,000
325	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (MHE)		5,000		2,400				2,400				2,400						2,400
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
326	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		37,700		54,400				54,400		-18,700		35,700		-18,700				54,400
327	BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT																		
328	AREA ORIENTED DEPOT UPGRADE		83,200		60,500				60,500		-60,500		0		-60,500		-60,500		0
329	PROD ENHANCING CAPITAL INVEST PRGM																		
330	QUICK RETURN ON INVESTMENT PROGRAM																		
331	PROJECT RESHAPE (NO BARCON)		6,000																
332	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)		7,900		34,400				34,400				34,400						34,400
333	DOD PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENT FUNDING				4,900				4,900				4,900						4,900
334	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING		11,200		13,300				13,300				13,300						13,300
335	ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (OTH SPT EQ)		600		1,000				1,000				1,000						1,000
336	HOST NATION SUPPORT - EUROPE		41,000		41,900				41,900				41,900						41,900
337	NATIONAL TRAINING CTR SUP		13,300		10,800				10,800				10,800						10,800
338	SWA STAGING BASES		6,400																
339	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM		177,800		186,100				186,100		-5,000		181,100		-5,000				186,100
340	SPECIAL PROGRAMS																		
341	BCE (ACXI)				61,600				61,600				61,600						61,600
342	PECIP (ACXI)				6,500				6,500				6,500						6,500
343	QRIP (ACXI)				9,100				9,100		-9,100		0		-9,100				9,100
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,339,900		1,605,300		-112,600		1,492,700		-321,900		1,283,400		-209,300		-249,800		1,355,500
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		—		—		-220,700		-220,700				—		220,700				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		—		—		-8,900		-8,900				—		8,900				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-70,600		-70,600				—		70,600				—
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		—		—		-113,900		-113,900				—		113,900		-113,900		-113,900
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		—		—				—		(-139,200)		(-139,200)		(-139,200)		(-139,200)		(-139,200)
	TOTAL, ARMY OTHER PROCUREMENT		5,240,256		6,169,000		-803,100		5,365,900		-1,257,700		4,911,300		-454,600		-1,056,247		5,112,753

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Tactical and support vehicles

The budget request contained $865.7 million for procurement of tactical and support vehicles.

The House amendment would authorize $824.9 million for tactical and support vehicles. The Senate bill would authorize $815.7 million for tactical and support vehicles.

The conferees agreed to authorize $787.2 million for tactical and support vehicles. Within the authorization, the conferees agreed to an undistributed reduction of $58.4 million. In applying this reduction, the conferees encourage the Department of the Army initially to consider categories such as administrative use vehicles or passenger cars and other noncritical items.

Motorcycles

The budget request contained $7.1 million to procure 2,732 motorcycles. The House amendment would authorize the requested amount. The House amendment included a provisions (sec. 122(c)) that would prohibit obligation of funds for procurement of motorcycles until the Secretary of the Army certifies to the Congress that the acquisition strategy includes consideration of life-cycle costs, safety, maintenance, and durability.

The Senate bill would authorize the requested amount with no restrictions on the obligation of funds.

The Senate recedes.

10-ton trucks

The budget request contained $234.3 million for procurement of 1,523 Heavy Expanded Mobility Tactical Truck (HEMTT) trucks.

The House amendment would authorize $284.3 million for procurement of 1,773 HEMTT trucks. The House amendment included a provision (sec. 122(e)) that would provide the authority for the Secretary of the Army to purchase and validate the technical data package for the HEMTT truck program. Section 122(f) would provide the authority for the Secretary to exercise remaining fiscal year 1986 options to purchase 412 HEMTT trucks.

The Senate bill would authorize $234.3 million for procurement of 1523 10-ton trucks.

The conferees agreed to authorize $234.3 million to procure 1,523 10-ton trucks.

Under the current multiyear contract for the 10-ton truck (HEMTT), the production rate is six vehicles per day. The Army's budget request for fiscal year 1987 also anticipates a production rate of 6 vehicles per day. The conferees expect that this production rate will be sustained in fiscal year 1987 in order to avoid unnecessary cost increases, and the Secretary of the Army shall take whatever actions are necessary to maintain this production rate.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to conduct a life-cycle cost study of future options for procurement of heavy tactical vehicles. This study should include an analysis of modifications to the existing 10 ton truck that incorporate Palletized Loading Systems. This analysis should also include an assessment of the savings available through procurement of non-development items of equipment and the cost of introducing new equipment items in the logistics support structure.

The conferees encourage the Secretary of the Army to acquire the technical data package for the HEMTT unless the Secretary determines it is not cost-effective. The House recedes on section 122(e) and 122(f).

Communications and electronic equipment

The budget request contained $3,698.0 million for communications and electronic equipment.

The House amendment would authorize $2,803.0 million for communications and electronic equipment. The Senate bill would authorize $3,471.6 million for communications and electronic equipment.

The conferees agreed to authorize $3,084.0 million for communications and electronic equipment.

Mobile subscriber equipment

The budget request contained $903.7 million for procurement of mobile subscriber equipment (MSE). The House amendment would authorize $853.7 million for procurement of MSE. The Senate bill would authorize $903.7 million for procurement of MSE.

The conferees agreed to authorize $903.7 million for procurement of MSE. The conferees agreed to a provision (sec. 122(e) that would place a cap on the total acquisition cost for the MSE program at $4.3 billion. This cap corresponds to the Army's current program that equips 26 Division Signal Battalion sets, 20 Corps Signal Battalion sets, and 2 training sets. The conferees direct that, if the Secretary of the Army determines that additional equipment is required due to changes in force structure, those added equipment requirements shall be identified in the Selection Acquisition Reports (SAR), and are not subject to the cost cap.

The House recedes with an amendment.

Single channel ground and airborne radio system (SINCGARS)

The administration requested $204.0 million for the procurement of 16,000 Single Channel Ground and Airborne Radio Systems (SINCGARS).

The House amendment contains no authorization for SINCGARS.

The Senate bill would authorize $54.0 million for the procurement of 16,000 SINCGARS. As a result of SINCGARS program delays, the fixed price production option (for procurement of 16,000 units in Option III) may lapse and require renegotiation. The report accompanying the Senate bill (S. Rept. 99-331) directs that the Option III contract for procurement of 16,000 units not cost the government any more than would have been paid under the lapsed fixed agreement. The report directs the Secretary of the Army to provide written notification to the Armed Services Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives when the renegotiations are concluded. The report also strongly encourages the Army to take all necessary steps to accelerate the selection and qualification of a second source.

The conferees agreed to authorize $10 million for the procurement of SINCGARS radios.

The House recedes.

Trailblazer

The conferees agreed to authorize funds for the procurement of a trailblazer training simulator for the school at Fort Devens.

Supercomputers

The budget request contained $22.9 million for procurement of supercomputers for the Army.

The House amendment contained no authorization for supercomputers. The Senate bill would authorize $22.9 million for procurement of supercomputers.

The conferees agreed to authorize $22.9 million for procurement of supercomputers.

The conferees direct that none of the funds authorized for procurement of supercomputers be obligated until the Secretary of the Army submits a master plan to the Armed Services Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives concerning the acquisition and deployment of supercomputers over the next five years.

The House recedes.

Aquila remotely piloted vehicle

The budget request contained $117.7 million for the remotely piloted vehicle (RPV) system consisting of unmanned air vehicles, ground stations, launcher and recovery subsystems, and maintenance shelters.

The House amendment contains no authorization for the Aquila program.

The Senate bill would authorize $50 million for procurement of the Aquila RPV. The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 101(b)) that restricts obligation of funds until (1) the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation completes an assessment of the system; and (2) the Secretary of the Army certifies that the Aquila meets or exceeds all performance criteria and the Army has negotiated a procurement contract that limits the government's liability on the overall program.

The conferees agreed to authorize $50 million for procurement of the Aquila system. Further, the conferees agreed to incorporate the Senate provisions restricting the obligation of funds.

The House recedes.

GENERAL ARMY MATTERS

Acquisition plans for major army systems

The report accompanying the Senate bill (S. Rept. 99-331) directs the Secretary of the Army to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the Army's future acquisition plans for major combat systems.

The report accompanying the House amendment (H. Rept. 99-718) directs the Secretary of the Army to conduct an analysis on future requirements for Army tank production.

The conferees believe that the Secretary of the Army should undertake a comprehensive review of its acquisition plans for major combat weapons.

This major review is directed because the conferees are concerned that:

(1) production of several primary combat systems are scheduled to end several years before the initiation of procurement for follow-on systems;

(2) presently approved production plans for major combat systems do not allow the Army to fully modernize its force structure;

(3) future bugetary constraints will limit major development efforts over the next several years.

Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to conduct a major review and provide a master plan to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by March 15, 1987. This report should address:

(a) requirements for retaining an active production base for combat systems,

(b) a reevaluation of the inventory objective for existing systems,

(c) feasible improvements in existing systems that will improve their combat capabilities, and

(e) a transition plan that ties together the current system, block improvements to current systems, and postulated future systems which are still in early R&D phases but which represent long-term Army plans for future combat.

The conferees expect that this master plan will represent the Army's roadmap for major acquisitions in light of realistic funding constraints. The conferees expect, however, that the plan will indicate the extent to which the master plan satisfies total Army requirements for force modernization.

DOD family of remotely piloted vehicles

The report accompanying the House amendment (H. Rept. 99-718) directed the Department of Defense of provide a master plan for Remotely Piloted Vehicles (RPVs) in conjunction with the submission of the fiscal year 1988 budget. At a minimum, this plan should incorporate: (1) harmonization of service requirements; (2) utilization of commonality to the maximum extent possible; (3) trade-offs between manned and unmanned vehicles in order to provide for future cost savings.

The Senate bill contained no similar direction.

The conferees agreed to require the master plan for RPVs.

The Senate recedes.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT																		
1	A-6E/F (ATTACK) INTRUDER (MYP)	11	274,049	11	278,893			11	278,893			11	278,893				-20,000	11	258,893
2	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		20,056		80,032				80,032				80,032						80,032
3	EA-6B (ELECTRONIC WARFARE) PROWLER		417,606	12	417,686			12	417,686			12	417,686					12	417,686
4	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		23,798		22,008				22,008				22,008						22,008
5	AV-8B (V/STOL) HARRIER	46	768,300	42	623,284			42	623,284			42	623,284					42	623,284
6	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		83,073		77,002				77,002				77,002						77,002
7	F-14A/D (FIGHTER) TOMCAT	18	637,753	15	541,063			15	541,063	-5	-180,400	10	360,663	-5	-180,400		-20,663	15	520,400
8	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		119,185		127,724				127,724				127,724						127,724
9	F/A-18 (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	84	2,176,279	120	2,896,374	-24	-430,000	96	2,466,374	-36	-755,800	84	2,140,574	-12	-325,800	-36	-646,374	84	2,250,000
10	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		201,645		405,900		-100,000		305,900		-207,600		198,300		-107,600		-235,900		170,000
11	CH/MH-53E (HELO) SUPER STALLION (MYP)	14	225,535	14	189,034			14	189,034			14	189,034					14	189,034
12	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		33,300		30,900				30,900				30,900						30,900
13	V-22 OSPREY																		
14	AH-1T (HELICOPTER) SEA COBRA	22	108,811		36,212				36,212				36,212				-15,000		21,212
15	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		0		0				0				0				15,000		15,000
16	SH-60B (ASW HELO) SEAHAWK	18	233,200	17	199,651			17	199,651	-6	-70,400	11	129,251	-6	-70,400			17	199,651
17	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		52,683		21,121				21,121				21,121						21,121
18	SH-60F (CV ASW HELO)			7	138,770			7	138,770		-21,000	7	117,770		-21,000		-21,000	7	117,770
19	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		30,000		29,361				29,361				29,361						29,361
20	P-3C (PATROL) ORION	9	322,071	9	312,388			9	312,388	-9	-312,388		0	-9	-312,388			9	312,388
21	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		89,200		93,198				93,198				93,198						93,198
22	E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE	6	307,098	6	286,483	4	138,000	10	424,483			6	286,483	-4	-138,000		-5,500	6	280,983
23	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		30,036		24,120				24,120				24,120						24,120
24	SH-2F (ASW HELO) SEASPRITE	6	57,620	6	52,798	-6	-52,798		0			6	52,798	6	52,798			6	52,798
25	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		6,432																
	SUBTOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		6,299,596		6,884,002		-444,798		6,439,204		-1,547,588		5,336,414		-1,102,790		-949,437		5,934,565

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION				CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT																		
26	C-9 SKYTRAIN II																		
27	UC-12B	12	25,604																
28	C-20	2	38,385																
29	C-2 (MYP)	8	134,640	9	99,718			9	99,718			9	99,718					9	99,718
30	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		35,200																
	SUBTOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		233,829		99,718		0		99,718		0		99,718		0		0		99,718
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT																		
31	T-34C	19	23,650																0
32	ADVERSARY (F-16)	12	110,967																0
33	T-45TS GOSHAWK																		
34	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				56,374				56,374				56,374						56,374
35	TH-57																		
	SUBTOTAL, TRAINER AIRCRAFT		134,617		56,374		0		56,374		0		56,374		0		0		56,374
	OTHER AIRCRAFT																		
36	KC-130T (TANKER) HERCULES																		
37	TANKER CONVERSIONS		105,558																
38	E-6A	2	285,295	3	226,619			3	226,619	-2	-143,600	1	83,019	-2	-143,600			3	226,619
39	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		55,946		72,879				72,879		-48,600		24,279		-48,600				72,879
40	UH-60A																		
41	VH-60	9	102,800		24,706				24,706		-24,706		0		-24,706				24,706
42	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		549,599		324,204		0		324,204		-216,906		107,298		-216,906		0		324,204
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT																		
43	A-3 SERIES		4,815		1,501				1,501				1,501						1,501
44	A-4 SERIES		10,294		19,675				19,675		-10,000		9,675		-10,000		-4,775		14,900
45	A-6 SERIES (MYP)		230,654		379,220				379,220				379,220				-3,720		375,500
46	EA-6 SERIES		37,036		39,387				39,387		-3,000		36,387		-3,000				39,387

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
47	A-7 SERIES		16,764		927				927				927						927
48	AV-8 SERIES		14,219		1,490				1,490				1,490						1,490
49	F-4 SERIES		9,436		4,879				4,879		-1,600		3,279		-1,600				4,879
50	RF-4 SERIES		1,358		1,394				1,394				1,394						1,394
51	F-14 SERIES		161,458		187,301				187,301				187,301						187,301
52	F-8 SERIES		96		95				95				95						95
53	F-5 SERIES		1,614		974				974				974						974
54	OV-10 SERIES		12,033		67,473				67,473		-17,500		49,973		-17,500		-10,073		57,400
55	F-18 MODS		15,458		5,865				5,865				5,865						5,865
56	H-46 SERIES		138,478		67,919				67,919		-35,700		32,219		-35,700		-18,919		49,000
57	H-53 SERIES		33,329		22,641				22,641				22,641						22,641
58	SH-60 SERIES		1,569		3,344				3,344		-1,800		1,544		-1,800				3,344
59	H-1 SERIES		70,105		58,415				58,415		-49,300		9,115		-49,300		-13,500		44,915
60	H-2 SERIES		32,498		4,185				4,185		32,300		36,485		32,300				4,185
61	H-3 SERIES		92,786		38,565				38,565		-10,900		27,665		-10,900				38,565
62	EP-3 SERIES		34,975		47,529				47,529				47,529						47,529
63	P-3 SERIES		309,023		38,356				38,356				38,356						38,356
64	S-3 SERIES		281,452		215,395				215,395		-11,100		204,295		-11,100		-11,100		204,295
65	E-2 SERIES		54,876		41,358				41,358				41,358						41,358
66	TRAINER A/C SERIES		5,050		6,745				6,745				6,745						6,745
67	TH-57																		
68	EC-130 SERIES		5,981		16,561				16,561				16,561						16,561
69	C-130 SERIES		10,940		6,856				6,856				6,856						6,856
70	FEWSG		21,757		17,214				17,214				17,214						17,214
71	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES		6,842		5,094				5,094				5,094						5,094
72	VARIOUS		4,611		3,786				3,786				3,786						3,786
73	POWER PLANT CHANGES		8,002		2,742				2,742				2,742						2,742
74	MISC FLIGHT SAFETY CHANGES		4,159		947				947				947						947
75	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT		109,098		97,800				97,800		-29,400		68,400		-29,400		-26,800		71,000
76	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES		31,047		21,572				21,572		11,500		33,072		11,500		6,028		27,600
	SUBTOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		1,936,621		1,427,213		0		1,427,213		-126,500		1,300,713		-126,500		-82,859		1,344,354

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
77	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,259,111		1,856,145		0		1,856,145		-336,400		1,519,745		-336,400		-66,045		1,790,100
	INITIAL SPARES:																		
	F-14				(559,900)				(559,900)		(-102,500)		(457,400)		(-102,500)		(-29,400)		(530,500)
	F/A-18				(27,100)				(27,100)		(-9,100)		(18,000)		(-9,100)				(27,100)
	AH-1T				(104,400)				(104,400)		(-44,200)		(60,200)		(-44,200)		(-29,400)		(75,000)
	SH-60B				(1,000)				(1,000)		(-1,000)		(0)		(-1,000)				(1,000)
	P-3C				(14,100)				(14,100)		(-9,700)		(4,400)		(-9,700)				(14,100)
	E-6A				(8,600)				(8,600)		(-8,600)		(0)		(-8,600)				(8,600)
					(29,900)				(29,900)		(-29,900)		(0)		(-29,900)				(29,900)
	REPLEN SPARES				(1,296,300)				(1,296,300)		(-233,900)		(1,062,400)		(-233,900)		(-36,645)		(1,259,655)
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
78	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		605,932		538,603				538,603				538,603				-25,590		513,013
79	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		50,699		32,610				32,610				32,610						32,610
80	WAR CONSUMABLES		57,713		34,482				34,482				34,482						34,482
81	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		47,961		50,949				50,949				50,949						50,949
	SUBTOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		762,305		656,644		0		656,644		0		656,644		0		-25,590		631,054
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		---		---		-89,000		-89,000				—		89,000				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-80,432		-80,432				—		80,432				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-49,300		-49,300				---		49,300				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-247,400		-247,400				—		247,400				—
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		---		---		-352,300		-352,300				—		352,300		-352,300		-352,300
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		—		—				—		(-532,400)		(-532,400)		(-532,400)		(-532,400)		(-532,400)
	ROUNDING ADJUSTMENT		---		---				---		194		194		194				—
	TOTAL, NAVY AIRCRAFT		11,175,678		11,304,300		-1,263,230		10,041,070		-2,227,200		9,077,100		-963,970		-1,476,231		9,828,069

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

A-6E attack aircraft (Intruder)

The budget request contained $278.9 million for procurement of 11 A-6E aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $80.0 million for advance procurement of 12 A6-F aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $31.2 million for initial spares. The House amendment and the Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $258.9 million for procurement of 11 A-6E aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $80.0 million for advance procurement of 12 A6-F aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $31.2 million for initial spares.

The conferees support the Navy decision to replace existing Liquid Oxygen Systems (LOX) with an On-Board Oxygen Generating System (OBOGS) for carrier aircraft in the interest of shipboard safety and dollar savings. However, the conferees understand that installation of an OBOGS is best and most affordably accomplished during aircraft manufacture, yet the current A-6F baseline program does not include an OBOGS. Accordingly, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to incorporate an OBOGS in the A-6F.

F-14A fighter aircraft (Tomcat)

The budget request contained $541.1 million to procure 15 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $127.7 million for advance procurement of 12 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $27.1 million for initial spares. The House amendment would authorize $360.7 million for procurement of 10 F-14A aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $127.7 million for advance procurement of 12 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $27.1 million for initial spares. The Senate bill would authorize the requested amount.

The conferees recommend authorization of $520.4 million for procurement of 15 F-14A aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $127.7 million for advance procurement of 12 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $27.1 million for initial spares.

The House recedes with an amendment.

As in the case of the A-6E aircraft discussed above, the conferees are concerned that the F-14D baseline program may not include an On-Board Oxygen Generating System (OBOGS). Accordingly, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to incorporate an OBOGS in the F-14D.

FA-18 fighter attack aircraft (Hornet)

The budget request contained $2,896.4 million to procure 120 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $405.9 million for advance procurement of 132 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $104.4 million for initial spares.

The House amendment would authorize $2,140.6 million for procurement of 84 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $198.3 million for advance procurement of 84 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $60.2 million for initial spares. The Senate bill would authorize $2,466.4 million for procurement of 96 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $305.9 million for advance procurement of 102 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $104.4 million for initial spares.

The conferees recommend authorization of $2,250.0 million for procurement of 84 FA-18 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $170.0 million for advance procurement of 84 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $75.0 million for initial spares.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Reductions made are without prejudice and are strictly the result of budgetary constraints. As in the case of the A-6E aircraft discussed above, the conferees are concerned that the FA-18 baseline may not include an On-Board Oxygen Generating System (OBOGS). Accordingly, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to incorporate an OBOGS in the FA-18.

AH-1T attack helicopter (Sea Cobra)

The budget request contained $36.2 million for AH-1T procurement for fiscal year 1987, no advance procurement and $1.0 million for initial spares. The House amendment and the Senate bill would authorize the amount requested.

The conferees were informed that Navy aircraft procurement plans have changed and that the Navy now plans procurement of improved AH-IW aircraft in fiscal year 1988. Accordingly, the conferees recommend authorization of $21.2 million for AH-1T procurement for fiscal year 1987, $15.0 million for advance procurement of AH-1W aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $1.0 million for initial spares.

SH-60B antisubmarine warfare helicopter (Seahawk)

The budget request contained $199.7 million for procurement of 17 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $21.1 million for advance procurement of 6 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $14.1 million for initial spares.

The House amendment would authorize $129.3 million for procurement of 11 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $21.1 million for advance procurement of 6 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $14.1 million for initial spares. The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of the amounts requested.

The House recedes.

SH-60F anti-submarine warfare helicopter (CV Helo)

The budget request contained $138.8 million for procurement of seven aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $29.4 million for advance procurement of 18 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $18.2 million for initial spares.

The House amendment would authorize $117.8 million for procurement of seven aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $29.4 million for advance procurement of 18 aircraft in 1988 and $18.2 million for initial spares. The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $117.8 million for procurement of seven aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $29.4 million for advance procurement of 18 aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $18.2 million for initial spares.

The Senate recedes.

P-3C Antisubmarine warfare aircraft (Orion)

The budget request contained $312.4 million to procure 9 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $93.2 million to support the procurement of nine aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $8.6 million for initial spares.

The House amendment would provide no authorization for procurement of aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $93.2 million for advance procurement of an improved P-3G aircraft for fiscal year 1988 and no authorization for initial spares. The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of the amounts requested.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees find that the situation regarding procurement of P-3C aircraft is essentially unchanged from that outlined in the conference report on the fiscal year 1986 Defense Authorization Act (H. Rept. 99-235). The procurement program remains an annual struggle between the Navy and the Office of the Secretary of Defense with the result that an inefficient program is perpetuated. This debate has not addressed the real issues involved with strengthening U.S. long-range ASW capabilities in the face of Soviet advances in submarine warfare.

To address the problem, the conference report required the Navy to submit a report with supporting analysis justifying the way in which the Navy allocated budget authority cost effectively between P-3C aircraft and P-3 aircraft modifications prior to obligating fiscal year 1986 funds for the P-3 program. Further, the Navy was required to establish a long-range maritime patrol (VP) procurement plan to expand the capabilities of the VP fleet, introduce competition into the program and provide for greater capabilities within the same general budget share.

To date, the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives have not received the required report, yet fiscal year 1986 funds have been obligated. And, despite speculation concerning a more capable successor to the current P-3C (VPX, P-3D, P-3G and Update IV mission avionics), the committees have not been provided the long-range maritime patrol procurement plan called for. Nevertheless, the conferees are aware of proposed Navy plans to pursue a so-called P-3G program that would combine the current airframe with new engines, elongated bombbay, cockpit changes, and enhanced survivability features with an Update IV mission avionics suite now nearing development competition.

Absent the required report and plan, the conferees question the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of the Navy's plan. Except for the Update IV avionics, the conferees do not believe the proposed P-3G would offer sufficient capability improvement to justify the investment.

The conferees believe the formula established last year in the fiscal year 1986 Defense Authorization Act still constitutes a fundamentally sound basis for proceeding with the long-range ASW patrol program. The centerpiece of that plan is broader competition for the P-3 mission avionics. The conferees intended that the

Update III modification program be expanded to include competition similar to that ongoing in the Update IV program.

Last year the conferees authorized the Secretary of the Navy to transfer up to $120 million from procurement into modifications to accelerate the Update III modification program and foster competition. The conferees include the same provision in this year's conference bill (sec. 102(f)(1)). The provision would also cap expenditures for the fiscal year 1987 P-3 procurement program to those authorized in this act.

In addition, the bill also includes a provision (sec. 102(f)(3)) that would permit the Navy to transfer two of the P-3 aircraft authorized in fiscal year 1986 to fulfill the U.S. Navy obligations pursuant to the classified Maritime Surveillance Agreement of 1986.

Looking to the future, the conferees believe the key to increased competition in the P-3 modernization program is through industry competition for system integration and total system responsibility, as is the case with other ASW aircraft.

Accordingly, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to provide for P-3 system integration and total system responsibility through competitive procedures beginning with the first production P-3 Update IV mission avionics configured aircraft. Should a prototype P-3 Update IV configured aircraft be required for the purpose of competing system integration, the Secretary of the Navy may request reprogramming authority to use a portion of the advance procurement authorization for fiscal year 1988 for this purpose since such a course differs from the plans in the President's budget. The conferees stress that the Navy should not prototype a system with other than Update IV mission avionics.

E-2C (Hawkeye)

The budget request contained $286.5 million for procurement of six aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $24.1 million for advance procurement of six aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $24.7 million for initial spares.

The House amendment would authorize the amounts requested. The Senate bill would authorize $424.5 million for procurement of 10 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $24.1 million for advance procurement of six aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $24.7 million for initial spares.

The conferees recommend authorization of $281.0 million for procurement of six aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $24.1 million for advance procurement of six aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $24.7 million for initial spares.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

SH-2F antisubmarine warfare helicopter (Seasprite)

The budget request contained $52.8 million for procurement of 6 aircraft in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize the amount requested. The Senate bill would provide no authorization for the SH-2F aircraft.

The conferees recommend authorization of $52.8 million for procurement of six aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees note that the recommended authorization would provide for one SH-2 Lamps Mark I helicopter system for each small deck ship not capable of handling the SH-60 Lamps Mark III helicopter system. Given competing priorities and budgetary constraints, the conferees believe one SH-2 Lamps Mark I system per small deck ship adequately addresses the Naval Reserve antisubmarine warfare helicopter requirement. Accordingly, the conferees intend that the U.S. Navy's procurement of SH-2F helicopters end with the fiscal year 1987 authorization.

E-6A electronic communications aircraft (TACAMO)

The budget request contained $226.6 million for procurement of three E-6A TACAMO aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $72.9 million for advance procurement of three aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and $29.9 million of initial spares. The House amendment would authorize $83.0 million for procurement of one E6A aircraft in fiscal year 1987, $24.3 million for advance procurement of one aircraft in fiscal year 1988 and no initial spares. The Senate bill would authorize the requested amounts.

The conferees recommend authorization of the amounts requested

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees recognize the importance of the TACAMO mission in the President's strategic modernization program. The conferees also recognize the advantageous contract between the Navy and its prime contractor and that the Defense Department has authorized the Navy to provide full funding to maintain certain not-to-exceed prices within the contract.

Nevertheless, the conferees are aware that very capable complements to the E-6A TACAMO may not be reasonably available. Accordingly, and in concert with the Secretary of the Navy, the Secretary of Defense is directed to evaluate satellite laser communications complements to the current E-6A TACAMO program and report his findings and recommendation to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives prior to March 1, 1987.

Modification of aircraft

The budget request contained $1,427.2 million for modification of Navy aircraft for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $1,300.8 million for modification of aircraft. The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,344.4 million for modification of Navy aircraft for fiscal year 1987. Specific details are presented in the foregoing table.

The conferees support cost effective approaches to improve aviation safety in the military forces. One such approach is the use of second generation or later ground collision avoidance systems for transport aircraft. Accordingly, the recommended authorization provides $27.6 million for common avionics, including $6.0 million for procurement of ground collision avoidance system retrofit kits for E-2/C-2, T-39 and UC-12B aircraft.

Aircraft spares and repair part

The budget request contained $1,856.1 million for aircraft spares and repair parts in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $1,519.7 million for spares and repair parts in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,790.1 million for aircraft spares and repair parts in fiscal year 1987. Specific details are presented in the foregoing table.

Aircraft support equipment and facilities

The budget request contained $656.6 million for support equipment and facilities for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment and the Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $631.1 million for aircraft support equipment and facilities for fiscal year 1987. Specific details are presented in the foregoing table.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	BALLISTIC MISSILES																		
1	POSEIDON		4,755		3,974				3,974				3,974						3,974
2	TRIDENT I		34,444		4,739				4,739				4,739						4,739
3	TRIDENT II		294,839	21	1,124,439			21	1,124,439			21	1,124,439					21	1,124,439
4	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		256,059		300,000				300,000				300,000						300,000
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES																		
5	UGM-73A (C-3) POSEIDON MODS				95				95				95						95
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
6	REPLENISHMENT SPARES																		
7	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		4,280		3,790				3,790				3,790						3,790
8	ASTRONAUTICS		8,183																
	SUBTOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES		602,560		1,437,037		0		1,437,037		0		1,437,037		0		0		1,437,037
	OTHER MISSILES																		
	STRATEGIC MISSILES																		
9	BGM-109 TOMAHAWK	249	670,204	324	721,736		-55,000	324	666,736		-78,200	324	643,536		-23,200		-55,000	324	666,736
10	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		54,600		68,800				68,800				68,800						68,800
	TACTICAL MISSILES																		
11	AIM/RIM-7 F/M SPARROW	1,948	340,666	1,716	279,394			1,716	279,394		-20,300	1,716	259,094		-20,300		-10,000	1,716	269,394
12	AMRAAM																		
13	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
14	AIM-9L/M SIDEWINDER	2,120	106,956	627	46,977			627	46,977			627	46,977				-700	627	46,277
15	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				17,641				17,641		-17,641		0		-17,641		-17,641		0
16	AIM-54A/C (PHOENIX)	265	324,026	205	289,272			205	289,272	95	-13,800	300	275,472		-13,800	95	-22,000	300	267,272
17	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		24,800		28,400				28,400				28,400				-5,300		23,100
18	AGM-84A HARPOON	370	286,318	94	128,387	16	11,476	110	139,863			94	128,387	-16	-11,476			94	128,387
19	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				11,476		-11,476		0		-11,476		0				-11,476		0
20	AGM-88A HARM (HYP)	825	224,389	1,110	256,682			1,110	256,682	-285	-50,400	825	206,282	-285	-50,400			1,110	256,682

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
21	SM-1 MR		20,300																
22	SM-2 MR	846	517,764	844	513,611			844	513,611			844	513,611					844	513,611
23	SM-2 ER	470	319,000	350	217,017			350	217,017			350	217,017					350	217,017
24	RAM			50	63,209		-23,209	50	40,000	-50	-63,209		0	-50	-40,000	-15	-23,209	35	40,000
25	STINGER			685	51,940			685	51,940		-12,200	685	39,740		-12,200		-12,200	685	39,740
26	SIDEARM	200	28,970	256	22,858			256	22,858			256	22,858					256	22,858
27	HELLFIRE	1,304	49,223																
28	LASER MAVERICK	1,500	164,929	1,800	198,791			1,800	198,791	-300	-33,100	1,500	165,691	-300	-33,100		-8,000	1,800	190,791
29	IIR MAVERICK	195	26,442	419	52,055			419	52,055	-224	-27,800	195	24,255	-224	-27,800	-224	-27,800	195	24,255
30	PENGUIN																		
31	AERIAL TARGETS		93,152		97,386				97,386		-5,600		91,786		-5,600		-1,386		96,000
32	DRONES AND DECOYS		29,085		36,136				36,136				36,136						36,136
33	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT		11,704		22,017				22,017		-10,500		11,517		-10,500				22,017
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES																		
34	TOMAHAWK MODS		2,377		7,235				7,235				7,235						7,235
35	AIM/RIM-7E/F SPARROW MOD		2,189		1,233				1,233				1,233						1,233
36	AIM-9 SIDEWINDER MOD		19,274		3,131				3,131				3,131						3,131
37	AIM-54A/C PHOENIX MOD		9,627																
38	AGM-84A HARPOON MOD		9,040																
39	STANDARD MISSILES MOD		17,096		2,093				2,093				2,093						2,093
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
40	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
41	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		23,683		7,490		5,175		12,665				7,490		-5,175		5,175		12,665
42	FLEET SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		53,056		65,138		-3,000		62,138		-12,800		52,338		-9,800		-3,000		62,138
43	DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM																		
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
44	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		26,189		132,958				132,958				132,958						132,958
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		—		—				—				—						—
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		3,455,859		3,343,463		-76,034		3,267,029		-357,026		2,986,037		-280,992		-192,537		3,150,526
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT																		
45	MK-48																		
46	TORPEDO MK-48 ADCAP	123	395,927	227	508,370			227	508,370	-30	-40,000	197	468,370	-30	-40,000	-177	-253,600	50	254,770
47	ASUW TORPEDO			34	16,995			34	16,995	-34	-16,995		0	-34	-16,995	-34	-16,995		0

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
48	TORPEDO MK-46 (MYP)	500	95,333	500	74,061			500	74,061			500	74,061					500	74,061
49	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		23,600		23,800				23,800				23,800						23,800
50	ADV LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO			84	109,937			84	109,937	-17	-15,000	67	94,937	-17	-15,000	-17	-15,000	67	94,937
51	MK-60 CAPTOR	150	56,595						0	130	59,500	130	59,500	130	59,500	60	30,000	60	30,000
52	MOBILE TARGET MK-30 (MYP)	6	17,685																
53	MK-38 MINI MOBILE TARGET	1,200	3,232																
54	ASROC		14,026		13,597				13,597				13,597						13,597
55	VERTICAL LAUNCHED ASROC			200	74,289			200	74,289			200	74,289					200	74,289
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP																		
56	MOBILE MINE MK-67		3,639																
57	TORPEDO MK-46 MODS	672	81,032	672	76,975			672	76,975			672	76,975					672	76,975
58	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		8,400		5,200				5,200				5,200						5,200
59	CAPTOR MODS		14,933		11,619				11,619				11,619						11,619
60	SKIMMER WEAPON SYSTEM		1,501		3,911				3,911				3,911						3,911
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
61	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		44,810		32,496				32,496				32,496						32,496
62	ASW RANGE SUPPORT		22,019		20,114				20,114				20,114						20,114
63	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
	SUBTOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT		782,732		971,364		0		971,364		-12,495		958,869		-12,495		-255,595		715,769
	OTHER WEAPONS																		
	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS																		
64	MK-15 CLOSE IN WEAPONS SYSTEM	39	139,304	27	105,606			27	105,606			27	105,606					27	105,606
65	MK-75 76MM GUN MOUNT	6	16,988	5	14,875			5	14,875			5	14,875					5	14,875
66	MK-19/40MM MACHINE GUN	56	1,137	25	632			25	632			25	632					25	632
67	25MM GUN MOUNT	29	5,092	22	3,919			22	3,919			22	3,919					22	3,919
68	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		10,749		10,082				10,082				10,082						10,082
	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS																		
69	CIWS MODS		29,933		42,965				42,965				42,965						42,965
70	5"/54 GUN MOUNT MODS		12,933		6,128				6,128				6,128						6,128
71	3"/50 GUN MOUNT MODS		637		1,637				1,637				1,637						1,637
72	MK 75 76MM GUN MOUNT MODS		3,792		4,101				4,101				4,101						4,101
73	MODS UNDER $900,000		1,702		2,384				2,384				2,384						2,384

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
74	E&M SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,100		873				873				873						873
75	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS		223,447		193,202		0		193,202		0		193,202		0		0		193,202
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
76	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		151,497		150,734		0		150,734		0		150,734		0		0		150,734
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		—		—		-73,476		-73,476				—		73,476				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		—		—		-27,900		-27,900				—		27,900				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-123,200		-123,200				—		123,200				—
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		—		—		-197,300		-197,300				—		197,300		-197,300		-197,300
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		—		—				—		(-264,400)		(-264,400)		(-264,400)		(-264,400)		(-264,400)
	ROUNDING ADJUSTMENT		—		—				—		-79		-79		-79				—
	TOTAL, NAVY WEAPONS		5,216,095		6,095,400		-497,910		5,597,490		-369,600		5,725,800		128,310		-645,432		5,449,968

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Overview

The budget request contained $6,095.4 million for weapons procurement for the Navy for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $5,725.8 million for weapons procurement. The Senate bill would authorize $5,597.5 million for weapons procurement.

The conferees recommend authorization of $5,450.0 million for weapons procurement for the Navy for fiscal year 1987.

Ballistic missiles

The budget request contained $1,437.0 million for ballistic missiles. The House amendment and the Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of the amounts requested.

Other missiles

The budget request contained $3,343.1 million for other missiles for fiscal year 1987.

The House amendment would authorize $2,986.0 million for other missiles. The Senate bill would authorize $3,267.0 million for other missiles.

The conferees recommend authorization of $3,150.5 million for other missiles for fiscal year 1987. Specific details are presented in the foregoing table.

AIM-54 A/C (Phoenix)

The budget request contained $289.3 million for procurement of 205 missiles in fiscal year 1987 and $28.4 million for advance procurement of missiles for fiscal year 1988.

The House amendment would authorize $275.5 million for 300 missiles in in fiscal year 1987 and $28.4 million for advance procurement of missiles for fiscal year 1988. The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $267.3 million for procurement of 300 missiles for in fiscal year 1987 and $23.1 million for advance procurement of missiles for 1988.

The recommendation should not be interpreted as reduced support by the conferees for the stated goals and objectives of the Navy's competitive second source strategy for this program. Rather, it reflects what the conferees believes can and should be achieved by using current proposals from industry and recent changes in Navy acquisition policies and procedures. The conferees note that this approach has achieved both near term and long term savings in the Standard missile second source program.

Drones and decoys

The budget request contained $36.1 million for drones and decoys for in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment and the Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees are extremely interested in the military exploitation of unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs). Accordingly, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to report the status of ongoing Navy UAV evaluations to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives prior to March 1, 1987.

Weapons industrial facilities

The budget request contained $7.5 million of weapons industrial facilities for in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorized the amount requested. The Senate bill would authorize $12.7 million for in fiscal year 1987.

The conferees recommend authorization of $12.7 million for weapons industrial facilities for in fiscal year 1987, an increase of $5.2 million from the budget request.

The conferees agreed that the increased authorization is to be used to continue the restoration of facilities damaged by accidential fire and explosives at the Allegheny Ballistics Laboratory. Allegheny Ballistics Laboratory is a government-owned, contractor-operated facility in West Virginia that produces rocket motors for Navy air-to-air missiles. The additional authorization is sufficient to construct a new cure bay complex, magazine, rocket motor disassembly building and a munitions assembly building.

MK-48 advanced capability (ADCAP) torpedoes

The budget request contained $508.4 million for 227 MK-48 advanced capacility (ADCAP) torpedoes.

The Senate bill would authorize the quantity and funds requested. The House amendment would authorize $468.4 million for 197 torpedoes.

The conferees have received information from the Navy indicating that ADCAP production delays are expected in in fiscal year 1987 because of problems discovered (and corrected) during testing this past year. Accordingly, the conferees recommend authorization of $254.8 million for 50 torpedoes.

Anti-surface warfare (ASUW) torpedo

The budget request contained $17 million for 34 anti-surface warfare torpedoes.

The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested. The House amendment would provide no authorization for this program.

The Senate recedes.

MK-50 advanced lightweight torpedo

The budget request contained $109.9 million for 84 MK-50 advanced lightweight torpedoes.

The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested. The House amendment would authorize $94.9 million for 67 torpedoes.

The Senate recedes.

MK-60 captor (encapsulated torpedo)

The budget requested no authorization for the MK-60 captor deep-water mine.

The Senate bill contained no authorization for the Captor mine. The House amendment would authorize $59.5 million for 130 mines.

The conferees agreed to provide authorization of $30 million for the procurement of 60 Captor mines.

Weapons procurement, undistributed reduction and inflation savings

The Senate bill would reduce the total authorization for the weapons procurement, Navy account by $445.1 million, including $96.7 million for an undistributed reduction and $348.4 million in inflation savings. The House amendment made no similar reductions.

The conferees agreed to a reduction of $197.3 million to reflect lower inflation in 1987.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	NEW CONSTRUCTION SHIPS																		
1	TRIDENT (NUCLEAR)	1	1,151,300	1	1,362,700			1	1,362,700	-1	-1,362,700		0	-1	-1,362,700		-62,700	1	1,300,000
2	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		158,100		146,400				146,400		-146,400		0		-146,400				146,400
3	SSN-688 CLASS SUBMARINE (NUCLEAR)	4	2,054,500	4	2,047,800			4	2,047,800			4	2,047,800				-81,800	4	1,966,000
4	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		406,400		284,800				284,800				284,800						284,800
5	SSN-21 ADVANCED PROCUREMENT				454,300				454,300				454,300						454,300
9	CG-47 AEGIS CRUISER (MYP)	3	2,597,700	2	1,913,800			2	1,913,800	1	801,300	3	2,715,100	1	801,300	1	801,300	3	2,715,100
10	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		14,600		10,500				10,500				10,500						10,500
11	DDG-51 (MYP)			3	2,448,000		18,000	3	2,466,000	-2	-1,576,300	1	871,700	-2	-1,594,300		-57,700	3	2,390,300
12	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		74,000		79,800				79,800				79,800						79,800
13	LSD-41 LANDING SHIP DOCK (CY)	2	373,400																
15	LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP (MYP)	1	1,102,700																
16	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		165,600		232,000				232,000				232,000				-197,000		35,000
19	MCM MINE COUNTERMEASURES SHIP	2	197,200																
20	MSH-1 COASTAL MINE HUNTER	4	184,500	4	196,100	-4	-196,100		0	-4	-196,100		0			-4	-196,100		0
21	TAO FLEET OILER	2	264,300	2	275,500			2	275,500			2	275,500				-16,500	2	257,000
23	TAGOS SURTASS SHIPS	2	113,900	3	140,100			3	140,100	-1	-49,800	2	90,300	-1	-49,800			3	140,100
24	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		1,200																
26	AOE			1	612,700	-1	-612,700		0			1	612,700	1	612,700		-113,700	1	499,000
28	OCEANOGRAPHIC RESEARCH SHIP			1	33,000			1	33,000			1	33,000					1	33,000
	CONVERSIONS																		
6	BATTLESHIP REACT	1	469,000																
7	CV SLEP																		
8	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		52,000		83,500				83,500				83,500						83,500
17	LPD-4 SLEP				23,100		-23,100		0		-23,100		0				-23,100		0
18	LSD-41 (CARGO VARIANT)																		
22	AO (JUMBO)			1	62,300			1	62,300	-1	-62,300		0	-1	-62,300		-30,300	1	32,000

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL QTY	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST QTY	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) QTY	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION QTY	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
25	AE AMMUNITION SHIP																		
27	AG SOUND BARGE	1	57,000																
29	MOORED TRNG SHIP DEMO (CURRENT YEAR ADV PROC)		175,400																
42	TAGS [illegible] SHIP	3	82,500	2	61,100			2	61,100			2	61,100					2	61,100
43	[illegible] SHIP	1	36,900																
	OTHER SCN																		
	[illegible]					2	0	2	0			0	0	-2	0	1	0	1	0
32	SERVICE CRAFT		41,700		39,900				39,900				39,900						39,900
33	LANDING CRAFT				19,000				19,000				19,000						19,000
34	STRATEGIC SEALIFT		228,400		27,000				27,000				27,000						27,000
35	LCAC LANDING CRAFT	12	276,200																
36	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		30,000																
37	SEALIFT ENHANCEMENT				28,700				28,700				28,700						28,700
38	OUTFITTING		225,300		226,100				226,100				226,100						226,100
39	POST DELIVERY		112,400		167,800				167,800				167,800						167,800
40	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN				69,400		10,000		79,400				69,400		-10,000				69,400
41	COST GROWTH		97,000																
	PRICE ADJUSTMENTS (FY 87)		---		---		-139,100		-139,100				0		139,100				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-188,600		-188,600		-75,500		-75,500		113,100		-61,300		-61,300
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 83)		---		---		-22,800		-22,800				---		22,800				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 84)		---		---		-28,800		-28,800				---		28,800				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-96,400		-96,400				---		96,400				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-109,700		-109,700				---		109,700				---
	INFLATION RESTORATE (FY 87)		---		---		-342,700		-342,700				---		342,700				---
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		---		---				---		(-526,200)		(-526,200)		(-526,200)		(-526,200)		(-526,200)
	TOTAL, NAVY SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION		10,844,400		11,046,200		-1,722,400		9,323,800		-2,690,900		8,355,300		-968,500		-629,100		10,417,100

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION

The President's budget request contained $11,046.2 million for the construction, conversion, service life extension, and acquisition of 24 naval vessels.

The Senate bill contained an authorization of $9,323.8 million for 19 naval vessels. The House amendment contained an authorization of $8,355.3 million for 16 naval vessels.

The conferees agreed to authorizations totaling $10,607.1 million for 21 naval vessels.

Trident ballistic missile submarine (SSBN)

The budget request contained $1,509.1 million for the Trident submarine program, including $1,362.7 million for the fourteenth submarine and $146.4 million for advance procurement.

The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested. The House amendment would provide no authorization for the Trident program.

The conferees agreed to authorizations of $1,446.4 million for the Trident submarine program, including $146.4 million for advance procurement.

Aegis ships

The budget request contained $1,913.8 million for 2 CG–47 class guided missile cruisers and $10.5 million for advance procurement. The budget also contained a request for $2,448 million for 3 DDG–51 class guided missile destroyers and $79.8 million for advance procurement.

The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested for the CG–47 class guided missile cruiser program and $2,466 million for 3 DDG–51 guided missile cruisers and $79.8 million for advance procurement.

The House amendment would authorize $2,715.1 million for 3 CG–47 class guided missile cruisers and $10.5 million for advance procurement and $871.7 million for one DDG–51 class guided missile cruiser and $79.8 million for advance procurement.

The conferees agreed to authorizations of $2,715.1 million for 3 CG–47 class missile cruisers and $10.5 million for advance procurement and $2,390.3 million for a 3 DDG–51 class guided missile destroyers and $79.8 million for advance procurement.

Fleet oiler (conversion) (AO–177)

The budget contained a request for $62.3 million to enlarge one AO–177 class oiler.

The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested. The House amendment would provide no authorization for the AO–177 conversion.

The conferees agreed to provide $32.0 million for the fleet oiler conversion program. The conferees understand that this conversion will add 60,000 barrels of capacity to the AO–177 class ships.

Ocean surveillance ship (TAGOS)

The budget contained a request for $148.1 million for 3 TAGOS ocean surveillance ships.

The Senate bill authorize the amount requested. The House amendment would authorize $98.3 million for two TAGOS vessels.

The House recedes.

Fast combat support ship (AOE)

The budget contained a request for $612.7 million for one fast combat support ship (AOE).

The Senate bill would provide no authorization for this ship. The House amendment would authorize the amount requested.

The conferees agreed to provide $499 million for the fast combat support ship program.

Ship contract design

The budget contained a request for $69.4 million for ship contract design.

The Senate bill would authorize $79.4 million. The House amendment would authorize the amount requested.

The Senate recedes.

Shipbuilding and conversion, price adjustment and prior year savings

The Senate bill would reduce the total amounts authorized for the shipbuilding and conversion account by a total of $918.5 million, including fiscal year 1987 price adjustments of $139.1 million, prior-year program savings of $188.6 million, and inflation savings of $590.8 million.

The House amendment would reduce the shipbuilding and conversion account by $75.5 million based on savings from a prior-year program.

The conferees agreed to reflect price adjustments and inflation savings for fiscal year 1987 within individual shipbuilding programs. Prior-year shipbuilding funds remaining available for obligation total $461.5 million and are taken as a reduction against the fiscal year 1987 program.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION				CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT																		
1	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE		13,335		22,351				22,351				22,351						22,351
2	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	2	15,534	2	14,740			2	14,740			2	14,740					2	14,740
3	LM2500 SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		949		967				967				967						967
4	STEAM PROPULSION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		6,085		11,766				11,766				11,766						11,766
5	OTHER PROPULSION EQUIPMENT		18,523		27,249				27,249				27,249						27,249
	GENERATORS																		
6	OTHER GENERATORS		15,368		14,946				14,946				14,946						14,946
	PUMPS																		
7	OTHER PUMPS		11,073		18,538				18,538				18,538						18,538
	AIR COMPRESSORS																		
8	HIGH PRESSURE AIR COMPRESSOR	12	3,241	28	7,048			28	7,048			28	7,048					28	7,048
9	OTHER AIR COMPRESSORS			8	1,441			8	1,441			8	1,441					8	1,441
	PROPELLERS																		
10	SUBMARINE PROPELLERS	15	2,976	17	2,157			17	2,157			17	2,157					17	2,157
11	OTHER PROPELLERS AND SHAFTS		10,545		9,557				9,557				9,557						9,557
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT																		
12	ELECTRICALLY SUSPENDED GYRO NAVIGATOR	8	34,869	13	34,510			13	34,510			13	34,510					13	34,510
13	CARRIER NAVIGATION SYSTEM		6,266		3,433				3,433				3,433						3,433
14	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		13,428		8,050				8,050				8,050						8,050
	UNDERWAY REPLENISH EQUIP																		
15	UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT		8,457		2,959				2,959				2,959						2,959
	PERISCOPES																		
16	TYPE 18 PERISCOPE		14,025		21,325				21,325				21,325						21,325
17	TYPE 8 PERISCOPES		129		282				282				282						282
18	PERISCOPES AND ACCESSORIES		4,999		5,603				5,603				5,603						5,603
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT																		
19	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT		5,013		11,048				11,048				11,048						11,048

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
20	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARDS	10	10,585	5	2,799			5	2,799			5	2,799					5	2,799
21	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		9,246		8,471				8,471				8,471						8,471
22	SUBMARINE SILENCING EQUIPMENT		18,032		27,084				27,084				27,084						27,084
23	SURFACE SHIP SILENCING EQUIPMENT		1,379		8,975				8,975				8,975						8,975
24	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	43	8,227	53	12,200			53	12,200			53	12,200					53	12,200
25	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		53,755		72,778				72,778				72,778						72,778
26	DSSP EQUIPMENT		11,262		9,797				9,797				9,797						9,797
27	SEALIFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		63,752		58,277				58,277		12,000		70,277		12,000		12,000		70,277
28	AIR CONDITIONERS																		
29	MINESWEEPING CABLE		5,019		7,350				7,350				7,350						7,350
30	HM+E ITEMS UNDER 900K		10,690		28,661				28,661				28,661						28,661
31	SURFACE IMA		6,970		21,877				21,877				21,877						21,877
32	DEGAUSSING EQUIPMENT																		
33	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROLS		2,201		227				227				227						227
34	MINI/MICROMINI ELECTRONIC REPAIR		1,028		1,001				1,001				1,001						1,001
35	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	314	15,386	214	10,940			214	10,940			214	10,940					214	10,940
36	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	4	6,529	15	27,892			15	27,892			15	27,892					15	27,892
37	NAE ENGINEERED MAINTENANCE		8,407		4,067				4,067				4,067						4,067
38	SHIPBOARD ENERGY CONSERVATION																		
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT																		
39	REACTOR POWER UNITS	4	163,368	6	202,502			6	202,502			6	202,502					6	202,502
40	REACTOR COMPONENTS		227,754		227,883				227,883				227,883						227,883
	OCEAN ENGINEERING																		
41	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT		8,250		11,855				11,855				11,855						11,855
42	NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE EQUIPMENT		37,401		31,707				31,707				31,707						31,707
	SMALL BOATS																		
43	SMALL BOATS	251	14,777	340	19,570			340	19,570			340	19,570					340	19,570
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT																		
44	NEW SHIP TRAINING EQUIP		19,572		12,547				12,547				12,547						12,547
45	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT		10,876		6,075				6,075				6,075						6,075
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT																		
46	CALIBRATION EQUIPMENT		1,052		1,590				1,590				1,590						1,590
47	PRODUCTION SUPPORT FACILITIES		5,794		13,737				13,737				13,737						13,737
48	OPERATING FORCES IPE				4,914				4,914				4,914						4,914

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
49	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS REDUCTION IN BA-1		---		---				---		-76,000		-76,000		-76,000		-64,000		-64,000
	SUBTOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		907,548		1,054,366		0		1,054,366		-64,000		990,366		-64,000		-52,000		1,002,366
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT																		
	SHIP RADARS																		
50	AN/SPS-67	23	9,207	17	7,209			17	7,209			17	7,209					17	7,209
51	AN/SPS-40		14,776		17,732				17,732				17,732						17,732
52	AN/SPS-48		67,443		48,800				48,800				48,800						48,800
53	AN/SPS-49		14,127		17,012				17,012				17,012						17,012
54	AN/STS-()	4	12,327	4	13,725			4	13,725			4	13,725					4	13,725
55	MK 23 TARGET ACQUISITION SYSTEM	2	13,140	12	20,080			12	20,080			12	20,080					12	20,080
56	RADAR SUPPORT		24,989		13,869				13,869				13,869						13,869
	SHIP SONARS																		
57	AN/SQS-26/53/53A		7,319		14,222				14,222				14,222						14,222
58	AN/SQS-53B	5	30,446	4	25,086			4	25,086			4	25,086					4	25,086
59	AN/SQS-53C			1	34,001			1	34,001			1	34,001					1	34,001
60	AN/BQQ-5		99,345		83,327				83,327				83,327						83,327
61	TB-16 TOWED ARRAY (NTP)	9	1,515	18	4,610			18	4,610			18	4,610					18	4,610
62	SURF SONAR WINDOWS AND DOMES		8,384		10,378				10,378				10,378						10,378
63	SONAR SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		11,706		10,430				10,430				10,430						10,430
64	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS		39,307		36,470				36,470				36,470						36,470
65	FBM SYSTEM SONARS		19,512		1,787				1,787				1,787						1,787
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT																		
66	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEMS		10,241		23,231				23,231				23,231						23,231
67	AN/SLQ-25 (NIXIE)	44	11,365	27	9,359			27	9,359			27	9,359					27	9,359
68	ACOUSTIC COMMUNICATIONS		376		655				655				655						655
69	SUBMARINE ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEM		49		46,228				46,228				46,228						46,228
70	SOSUS		85,156		83,183				83,183				83,183						83,183
71	AN/SQR-17 ACOUSTIC PROCESSOR	23	21,998	20	7,829			20	7,829		9,100	20	16,929		9,100	-20	-7,829		0
	USNR FRIGATE MODERNIZATION		0		0				0				0				16,929		16,929
72	AN/SQR-18 TOWED ARRAY SONAR		40,967		28,721				28,721				28,721						28,721
73	AN/SQR-15 TOWED ARRAY SONAR		1,000		1,197				1,197				1,197						1,197
74	AN/SQR-19 TOWED ARRAY SONAR	17	139,010	10	112,414			10	112,414			10	112,414					10	112,414

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
75	SURTASS		15,564		19,750				19,750				19,750						19,750
76	ASW OPERATIONS CENTER		23,328		12,723				12,723				12,723						12,723
77	CARRIER ASW MODULE		31,016		27,894				27,894				27,894						27,894
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT																		
78	AN/SLQ-32		97,319		75,993				75,993				75,993						75,993
79	AN/SLQ-17	2	14,229	5	14,197			5	14,197			5	14,197					5	14,197
80	AN/WLR-1		3,533		4,269				4,269				4,269						4,269
81	AN/WLR-8	1	3,011																
82	ICAD SYSTEMS		4,822																
83	OFFBOARD DECEPTION DEVICES		18,122		22,554				22,554				22,554						22,554
84	EW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		21,290		10,240				10,240				10,240						10,240
85	FLEET EW SUPPORT GROUP		1,716		1,648				1,648				1,648						1,648
86	C3 COUNTERMEASURES		637		69,155				69,155				69,155						69,155
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT																		
87	COMBAT CRYPTOLOGIC SUPPORT CONSOLE		4,012		5,203				5,203				5,203						5,203
88	COMBAT DF		25,071		36,665				36,665				36,665						36,665
89	OUTBOARD		36,381		26,063				26,063				26,063						26,063
90	NAVAL INTELLIGENCE PROCESSING SYSTEM		15,460		9,595				9,595				9,595						9,595
91	BATTLE GROUP PASSIVE HORIZON EXT SYS																		
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT																		
92	AN/WLQ-4 DEPOT		5,441		1,170				1,170				1,170						1,170
93	AN/WLQ-4 IMPROVEMENTS		2,452		3,582				3,582				3,582						3,582
94	AN/BRD-7/8/9																		
95	AN/BQH-5 (TUBA)																		
96	AN/BLD-1 (INTERFEROMETER)	5	11,800						0	8	16,800	8	16,800	8	16,800	8	16,800	8	16,800
97	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROGRAM		4,000		4,911				4,911				4,911						4,911
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT																		
98	NAVY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM		130,321		104,681				104,681				104,681						104,681
99	TACTICAL FLAG COMMAND CENTER	4	20,760	3	17,237			3	17,237			3	17,237					3	17,237
100	COMMAND AND CONTROL PROCESSOR			4	3,939			4	3,939			4	3,939					4	3,939
101	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT		3,054		2,149				2,149				2,149						2,149
102	OMEGA SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT	44	1,619																
103	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS	62	20,763	135	24,915			135	24,915			135	24,915					135	24,915
104	HF LINK-11 DATA TERMINALS	13	3,570	18	4,324			18	4,324			18	4,324					18	4,324

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
105	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV		6,943		5,127				5,127				5,127						5,127
106	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		187,518		161,346				161,346				161,346						161,346
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT																		
107	OTHER NAVELEX TRAINING EQUIPMENT		132																
108	OTHER NAVSEA TRAINING EQUIPMENT		3,003		5,035				5,035				5,035						5,035
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT																		
109	NATCALS		6,661		29,556				29,556				29,556						29,556
110	TERPES																		
111	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		13,330		12,914				12,914				12,914						12,914
112	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEMS				23,092				23,092				23,092						23,092
113	TACAN		4,821		873				873				873						873
114	AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		11,479		15,054				15,054				15,054						15,054
115	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM			12	6,146			12	6,146			12	6,146					12	6,146
116	FACSFAC		16,925		22,098				22,098				22,098						22,098
117	RADAR AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	15	1,494	15	1,521			15	1,521			15	1,521					15	1,521
118	MK XII AIMS IFF		2,737		9,422				9,422				9,422						9,422
	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT																		
119	NAVAL SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM				3,053				3,053				3,053						3,053
120	SPACE SYSTEM PROCESSING		2,133		2,166				2,166				2,166						2,166
121	MULTOTS		565		1,242				1,242				1,242						1,242
122	NCCS ASHORE		34,978		39,604				39,604				39,604						39,604
123	RADIAC		8,582		7,931				7,931				7,931						7,931
124	OVER THE HORIZON RADAR				2,270				2,270				2,270						2,270
125	REMOTE SENSORS		1,578																
126	GPETE		28,640		28,326				28,326				28,326						28,326
127	INTEG COMBAT SYS TEST FACILITY		5,000		4,806				4,806				4,806						4,806
128	CALIBRATION STANDARDS		6,965		8,216				8,216				8,216						8,216
129	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION		9,387		7,369				7,369				7,369						7,369
130	SHORE ELEC ITEMS UNDER $900K		8,874		8,718				8,718				8,718						8,718
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS																		
131	SHIPBOARD HF COMMUNICATIONS		4,902		11,737				11,737				11,737						11,737
132	SHIPBOARD UHF COMMUNICATIONS		7,283		9,445				9,445				9,445						9,445
133	FLIGHT DECK COMMUNICATIONS		5,812		1,991				1,991				1,991						1,991
134	PORTABLE RADIOS	271	3,693	674	8,282			674	8,282			674	8,282					674	8,282

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
135	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION		15,787		17,950				17,950				17,950						17,950
136	SHIP COMM ITEMS UNDER $900K		9,206		13,486				13,486				13,486						13,486
137	SEALIFT SHIP COMM		4,828		4,716				4,716				4,716						4,716
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS																		
138	ELF COMMUNICATIONS	34	13,223	77	30,836			77	30,836			77	30,836					77	30,836
139	SHORE LF/VLF COMMUNICATIONS		15,867		17,781				17,781				17,781						17,781
140	VERDIN		16,096		11,824				11,824				11,824						11,824
141	SSN INTEGRATED COMMUNICATIONS		1,679		2,237				2,237				2,237						2,237
142	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION ANTENNAS		15,435		27,925				27,925				27,925						27,925
143	DATA COLLECTION AND RECORD SYS	25	979	25	943			25	943			25	943					25	943
144	COMPACT VLF RECEIVER																		
145	CIRCUIT MAYFLOWER		254																
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS																		
146	SATCOM SHIP TERMINALS		45,996		34,544				34,544				34,544						34,544
147	SATCOM SHORE TERMINALS		22,754		8,979				8,979				8,979						8,979
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS																		
148	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT		2,073		6,739				6,739				6,739						6,739
149	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS		132		1,523				1,523				1,523						1,523
150	SHORE HF COMMUNICATIONS		29,595		11,334				11,334				11,334						11,334
151	JOINT TACTICAL COMM (TRI-TAC)		26,713		33,872				33,872				33,872						33,872
152	DCS TECH CONTROL IMPROVEMENTS				98				98				98						98
153	VOICE FREQ CARRIER TELEGRAPH				49				49				49						49
154	ASHORE MOBILE COMM VANS	2	6,031		6				6				6						6
155	WORLDWIDE WIDEBAND COMM		2,088		3,655				3,455				3,655						3,655
156	DEFENSE DATA NETWORK		2,783																
157	WWMCCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT		1,099		406				406				406						406
158	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION		9,892		9,700				9,700				9,700						9,700
159	SHORE COMM ITEMS UNDER $900K		3,146		2,054				2,054				2,054						2,054
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT																		
160	SINGLE AUDIO SYSTEM		18,153		16,965				16,965				16,965						16,965
161	TSEC/KY-71/72 (STU-II/STU-IIM)		3,114		13,572				13,572				13,572						13,572
162	TSEC/KG-84		27,080		27,871				27,871				27,871						27,871
163	TSEC/KY-57/58 (VINSON)		25,017		20,397				20,397				20,397				10,000		37,871
164	TSEC/KYV-5 (ANDVT)		4,629		6,333				6,333				6,333						20,397
																			6,333

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
165	TSEC/KW-46		14,283		8,797				8,797				8,797						8,797
166	TSEC/KG-72/KGV-14 (FDCS)	6	1,363																
167	TSEC/KG-81 (WALBURN)		5,251		1,188				1,188				1,188						1,188
168	TSEC/KG-44 (DMSP)	123	7,893		5,013				5,013				5,013						5,013
169	TSEC/KGR-96 (ITSS)			21	2,518			21	2,518			21	2,518					21	2,518
170	TSEC/KG-58/KGV-6 (PLRS)		9,483																
171	TRITAC CRYPTO		11,928		28,414				28,414		-2,000		26,414		-2,000		-2,000		26,414
172	TSEC/KY-67 (BANCROFT)	1,615	13,474																
173	TSEC/KGV-11	136	4,455	632	14,784			632	14,784			632	14,784					632	14,784
174	COMMON FILL DEVICES		1,997		479				479				479						479
175	SIGNAL SECURITY		1,891		362				362				362						362
176	CRYPTOGRAPHIC ITEMS UNDER $900K		5,500		12,221				12,221				12,221						12,221
177	TSEC/KGV-8																		
	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT																		
178	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		4,335		810				810				810						810
179	SSIPS SIGNAL EXPLOITATION SPACE		3,625		1,625				1,625				1,625						1,625
180	CRYPTOLOGIC ITEMS UNDER $900K		6,016		5,613				5,613				5,613						5,613
181	CRYPTOLOGIC RESERVES EQUIPMENT		824		1,172				1,172				1,172						1,172
182	CRYPTOLOGIC FIELD TRAINING EQUIP		2,065		768				768				768						768
183	SHORE CRYPTOLOGIC SUPPORT SYSTEM		2,273		2,020				2,020				2,020						2,020
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT																		
184	WAR RESERVE		76		1,013				1,013				1,013						1,013
185	ELEC ENGINEERED MAINT (NAVSEA)		5,339		5,153				5,153				5,153						5,153
186	ELEC ENGINEERED MAINT (NAVELEX)		2,128		1,933				1,933				1,933						1,933
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
187	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS REDUCTION IN BA-2		---		---				---		-144,600		-144,600		-144,600		-120,700		-120,700
	SUBTOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		2,016,065		2,031,430		0		2,031,430		-120,700		1,910,730		-120,700		-86,800		1,944,630
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
	SONOBUOYS																		
188	AN/SSQ-36 (BT)	31,600	2,300	32,536	4,728			32,536	4,728			32,536	4,728					32,536	4,728
189	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
190	AN/SSQ-53 (DIFAR)	415,000	141,952	273,615	100,583			273,615	100,583			273,615	100,583					273,615	100,583

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL QTY	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST QTY	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) QTY	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION QTY	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
191	AN/SSQ-57 (SPECIAL PURPOSE)	30,575	5,570	11,935	2,881			11,935	2,881			11,935	2,881					11,935	2,881
192	AN/SSQ-62 (DICASS)	20,900	26,731	27,436	35,265			27,436	35,265		-7,700	27,436	27,565		-7,700			27,436	35,265
193	AN/SSQ-75 (ERAPS)																		
194	AN/SSQ-77 (VLAD)	95,000	81,474	95,800	61,388			95,800	61,388			95,800	61,388					95,800	61,388
195	AN/SSQ-71 (ATAC)																		
196	AN/SSQ-86 (DLC)	1,875	2,994	1,860	2,840			1,860	2,840			1,860	2,840					1,860	2,840
197	SIGNAL UNDERWATER SOUND (SUS)	5,376	1,351	4,500	1,228			4,500	1,228			4,500	1,228					4,500	1,228
198	SONOBUOY SPECIAL TEST EQUIPMENT																		
199	LOW COST SONOBUOY	200,000	45,445	490,000	26,569			490,000	26,569			490,000	26,569					490,000	26,569
	AIR LAUNCHED ORDNANCE																		
200	SKIPPER	1,004	27,616	1,600	44,706			1,600	44,706			1,600	44,706					1,600	44,706
201	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		144,217		126,929				126,929		-89,800		37,129		-89,800		-40,029		86,900
	BSU-75/B										(-18,100)		(-18,100)		(-18,100)				
	FMU-139/B FUZE										(-54,700)		(-54,700)		(-54,700)				
	MK-83										(-17,000)		(-17,000)		(-17,000)				
202	LASER GUIDED BOMB KITS	2,552	27,150	515	6,344			515	6,344			515	6,344					515	6,344
203	WALLEYE		38,352		35,293				35,293		-35,293		0		-35,293		-25,293		10,000
204	ROCKEYE	1,388	8,829	1,528	8,875			1,528	8,875		-7,600	1,528	1,275		-7,600		-7,600	1,528	1,275
205	ZUNI ROCKET		47,370		26,271				26,271		-18,000		8,271		-18,000		-18,000		8,271
206	2.75 INCH ROCKET		58,934		31,416				31,416				31,416						31,416
207	PARACHUTE FLARES	1,004	4,357	302	1,947			302	1,947			302	1,947					302	1,947
208	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		13,841		21,180				21,180		-500		20,680		-500				21,180
209	PRACTICE BOMBS		57,392		30,678				30,678		-5,200		25,478		-5,200		-3,678		27,000
210	CARTRIDGES + CARTRIDGE ACTUATED DEVICES		37,212		25,253				25,253				25,253						25,253
211	AIRCRAFT ESCAPE ROCKETS		8,055		7,458				7,458				7,458						7,458
212	AIRBORNE EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		67,608		40,852				40,852		-9,600		31,252		-9,600		-9,600		31,252
213	MARINE LOCATION MARKERS		6,656		8,307				8,307		-1,400		6,907		-1,400				8,307
214	DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY MATERIAL		2,186		2,454				2,454				2,454						2,454
215	BIGEYE CHEMICAL WEAPON			585	28,533			585	28,533		-18,500	585	10,033		-18,500		-8,533	585	20,000
216	JATOS		2,894		2,621				2,621		-600		2,021		-600				2,621
217	GATOR			912	42,585			912	42,585	-912	-42,585		0	-912	-42,585	-512	-17,585	400	25,000
218	MISC AIR LAUNCHED ORDNANCE		176		566				566				566						566
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
219	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		89,983		46,431				46,431				46,431						46,431
220	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS		2,057		1,292				1,292				1,292						1,292
221	AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT		54,730		38,830				38,830				38,830						38,830
222	CATAPULTS AND ARRESTING GEAR		23,803		33,670				33,670		-9,100		24,570		-9,100				33,670

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
223	AIRFIELD ARRESTING GEAR																		
224	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT		2,331		19,015				19,015		-9,000		10,015		-9,000				19,015
225	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		7,331		2,078				2,078				2,078						2,078
226	MISC SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT		16,805		17,603				17,603				17,603						17,603
227	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES		27,094		32,304				32,304		-4,300		28,004		-4,300		-12,504		19,800
228	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT		49,893		34,993				34,993				34,993						34,993
229	REWSON PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		2,250		1,858				1,858				1,858						1,858
230	STOCK SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT		2,256		1,971				1,971				1,971						1,971
231	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,233		5,719				5,719		-3,400		2,319		-3,400				5,719
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
232	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
	SUBTOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,141,428		963,514		0		963,514		-262,578		700,936		-262,578		-142,822		820,692
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
	SHIP GUN AMMUNITION																		
233	3 /50 GUN AMMUNITION				3,743				3,743				3,743						3,743
234	5 /38 GUN AMMUNITION		4,461		6,309				6,309				6,309						6,309
235	5 /54 GUN AMMUNITION		46,763		75,825				75,825				75,825						75,825
236	5 INCH GUIDED PROJECTILE		104,192		127,916				127,916				127,916						127,916
237	16 INCH GUN AMMUNITION		7,081		7,667				7,667				7,667						7,667
238	CIWS AMMUNITION		31,203		41,814				41,814				41,814						41,814
239	76MM GUN AMMUNITION		10,023		17,092				17,092				17,092						17,092
240	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		15,369		25,990				25,990				25,990						25,990
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT																		
241	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT		29,918		19,188				19,188				19,188						19,188
242	COAST GUARD GUN SYSTEM	3	28,777	2	20,930			2	20,930			2	20,930					2	20,930
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT																		
243	MK 92 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM		2,832		3,471				3,471				3,471						3,471
	MK-92 CORT				0				0	6	54,000	6	54,000	6	54,000				0
244	HARPOON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		12,664		13,041				13,041				13,041						13,041
245	TERRIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		111,920		56,810				56,810				56,810						56,810
246	TARTAR SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		106,584		47,052				47,052				47,052						47,052
247	POINT DEFENSE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (BYP)		11,842		42,314				42,314				42,314						42,314
248	AIRBORNE ECM/ECCM		1,044		1,061				1,061				1,061						1,061

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
249	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		56,985		60,592				60,592				60,592						60,592
250	SURFACE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		83,001		109,593				109,593				109,593						109,593
251	SUBMARINE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		18,821		11,916				11,916				11,916						11,916
252	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEM	3	100,233	4	137,767			4	137,767			4	137,767					4	137,767
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
253	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		146,893		105,318				105,318				105,318						105,318
254	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT																		
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
255	MK 117 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	35	57,505	29	54,187			29	54,187			29	54,187					29	54,187
256	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		24,531		23,336				23,336				23,336						23,336
257	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		20,175		24,086				24,086				24,086						24,086
258	MK 116 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	5	23,946	4	40,929			4	40,929			4	40,929					4	40,929
259	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		6,272		5,429				5,429				5,429						5,429
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
260	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP		10,625		15,230				15,230				15,230						15,230
261	SWIMMER WEAPONS SYSTEMS		847		847				847				847						847
262	UNMANNED SEABORNE TARGET		7,670		2,872				2,872				2,872						2,872
263	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEMS	5	4,606	5	6,362			5	6,362			5	6,362					5	6,362
264	CALIBRATION EQUIPMENT		3,276		6,290				6,290				6,290						6,290
265	STOCK SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT		2,000		2,096				2,096				2,096						2,096
266	ORDNANCE FACILITIES EQUIPMENT																		
267	OTHER ORDNANCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT		1,492		2,362				2,362				2,362						2,362
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE																		
268	SMALL ARMS AND LANDING PARTY AMMO		21,645		31,002				31,002				31,002						31,002
269	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION MATERIAL		21,223		29,613				29,613				29,613						29,613
270	QUICKSTRIKE		39,714		29,852				29,852				29,852						29,852
271	FLEET MINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		24,761		20,692				20,692				20,692						20,692
272	MINE NEUTRALIZATION DEVICES		2,599		2,719				2,719				2,719						2,719
273	DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY MATERIAL		7,092		7,435				7,435				7,435						7,435
274	SHIPBOARD EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		29,255		18,264				18,264				18,264						18,264
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
275	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
	REDUCTION IN BA-4		---		---				---		-144,700		-144,700		-144,700		-90,700		-90,700
	SUBTOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,241,400		1,259,820		0		1,259,820		-90,700		1,169,120		-90,700		-90,700		1,169,120

LINE		FY 86		FY 87		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
		FINAL		REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
NO.	PROGRAM	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
276	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	804	10,085	810	10,925			810	10,925	-810	-10,925		0	-810	-10,925			810	10,925
277	ARMORED SEDANS	1	95																
278	TRUCKS	2,539	39,024	2,639	38,335			2,639	38,335	-2,639	-38,335		0	-2,639	-38,335			2,639	38,335
279	TRAILERS	271	3,726	326	4,811			326	4,811	-326	-4,811		0	-326	-4,811			326	4,811
280	CRUSH, MIX, BATCH, PAVE EQUIPMENT		4,774		4,987				4,987				4,987						4,987
281	DRILLING AND BLASTING EQUIPMENT		2,649		3,094				3,094				3,094						3,094
282	EARTH MOVING EQUIPMENT	179	15,700	300	11,244			300	11,244			300	11,244					300	11,244
283	LIGHTING AND POWER GENERATING EQUIP		3,460		2,415				2,415				2,415						2,415
284	MISC CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE EQUIP		3,626		5,957				5,957				5,957						5,957
285	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	69	6,859	60	4,680			60	4,680			60	4,680					60	4,680
286	WEIGHT HANDLING EQUIPMENT	80	12,578	82	13,210			82	13,210			82	13,210					82	13,210
287	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT		72,998		32,987				32,987				32,987						32,987
288	COMBAT CONSTRUCTION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		9,521		9,296				9,296				9,296						9,296
289	TELEPHONE EQUIPMENT		36																
290	MOBILE UTILITIES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		6,616		2,152				2,152				2,152						2,152
291	COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT				2,284				2,284				2,284						2,284
292	OCEAN CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT				1,318				1,318				1,318						1,318
293	FLEET MOORINGS		7,336		4,460				4,460				4,460						4,460
294	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		2,653		1,932				1,932				1,932						1,932
295	OTHER CIVIL ENG SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				2,625				2,625				2,625						2,625
296	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
297	FLEET HOSPITALS	1	27,654	4	110,548			4	110,548	-1	-27,600	3	82,948	-1	-27,600	-1	-27,600	3	82,948
	REDUCTION IN BA-5		—		—				—				—				-54,071		-54,071
	SUBTOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		229,390		267,260		0		267,260		-81,671		185,589		-81,671		-81,671		185,589
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
298	FORKLIFT TRUCKS	243	9,028	392	9,518			392	9,518			392	9,518					392	9,518
299	OTHER MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		3,322		3,290				3,290				3,290						3,290
300	AUTOMATED MATERIALS HANDLING SYSTEMS		12,917		23,783				23,783		-10,400		13,383		-10,400		-10,400		13,383
301	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				9,023				9,023				9,023						9,023

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
302	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		2,288																
303	SPECIAL ACTIVITIES, NAVY																		
304	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS		31,300		36,609				36,609				36,609						36,609
	SUBTOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		58,837		82,223		0		82,223		-10,400		71,823		-10,400		-10,400		71,823
	PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
	TRAINING DEVICES																		
305	SURFACE SONAR TRAINERS		35,772		33,360				33,360				33,360						33,360
306	SUBMARINE SONAR TRAINERS		6,723		8,546				8,546				8,546						8,546
307	SURFACE COMBAT SYSTEM TRAINERS		23,699		25,487				25,487				25,487						25,487
308	SUBMARINE COMBAT SYSTEM TRAINERS		11,916		30,319				30,319				30,319						30,319
309	SHIP SYSTEM TRAINERS		15,268		14,717				14,717				14,717						14,717
310	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
311	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIP		2,353		12,632				12,632		-5,100		7,532		-5,100				12,632
312	TRAINING DEVICE MODIFICATIONS		6,666		3,340				3,340				3,340						3,340
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
313	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				14,540				14,540		-3,700		10,840		-3,700				14,540
314	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				4,966				4,966				4,966						4,966
315	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		61,423		25,085				25,085				25,085						25,085
316	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		41,624		52,823		-1,143		51,680		-14,300		38,523		-13,157		-5,265		47,558
317	ITEMS UNDER $5M		1,218		1,032				1,032				1,032						1,032
318	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				21,331				21,331				21,331						21,331
319	NAVAL RESERVE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				4,992				4,992		-4,992		0		-4,992				4,992
320	OCEANOGRAPHIC SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		14,000		25,592				25,592		-15,400		10,192		-15,400				25,592
321	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		12,416		31,087				31,087		-18,300		12,787		-18,300				31,087
	COMPUTER ACQUISITION PROGRAM																		
322	COMPUTER ACQUISITION PROGRAM		163,496		221,279				221,279		-52,200		169,079		-52,200				221,279
323	NAVAL WAR GAMING SYSTEM																		
324	NONCENTRALLY MANAGED ITEMS		125,300																

LINE		FY 86		FY 87		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
		FINAL		REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
NO.	PROGRAM	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	PRODUCTIVITY PROGRAMS																		
325	PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENT FUND (PIF)		4,373		20,245				20,245				20,245						20,245
326	PROD ENHANCING INCENTIVE FUND (PEIF)				3,414				3,414				3,414						3,414
	REDUCTION IN BA-7		—		—				—				—				-100,000		-100,000
	SUBTOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		526,402		556,295		-1,143		555,152		-114,192		442,103		-113,049		-105,265		451,030
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
327	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		266,030		323,892		0		323,892		-21,500		302,392		-21,500		-21,500		302,392
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		—		—		-400,000		-400,000				—		400,000				—
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-16,000		-16,000				—		16,000				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		—		—		-9,200		-9,200				—		9,200				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-57,700		-57,700				—		57,700				—
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		—		—		-120,700		-120,700				—		120,700		-120,700		-120,700
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		—		—				—		(-133,200)		(-133,200)		(-133,200)		(-133,200)		(-133,200)
	ROUNDING ADJUSTMENT		—		—				—		41		41		41				—
	TOTAL, NAVY OTHER PROCUREMENT		6,381,282		6,538,800		-604,743		5,934,057		-765,700		5,773,100		-160,957		-711,858		5,826,942

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Overview

The budget request contained $6,538.8 million for Navy other procurement for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $5,773.1 million for other procurement. The Senate bill would authorize $5,934.1 million.

The conferees recommend authorization of $5,826.9 million for other procurement, Navy for fiscal year 1987. Specific details are presented in the foregoing table.

Sealift support equipment/seasheds

The budget request contained $58.3 million for sealift support equipment.

The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested. The House amendment would authorize $70.3 million, an increase of $12 million for seasheds.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees are in agreement that achieving sufficient logistics-over-the-shore capability should be a priority program. As such, the conferees urge the Navy to provide adequate support to complete this important effort as soon as possible.

The Department of Navy is encouraged to reprogram funds from available savings to stabilize the procurement of this important program.

The conferees also urge the Navy to consider the P-1 series steel pontoon as an alternative to the cantilevered elevated causeway.

AN/SQR-17 acoustic processor

The budget request contained $7.8 million for 8 AN/SQR-17 acoustic processors.

The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested. The House amendment would authorize $16.9 million for 20 AN/SQR-17 acoustic processors. This equipment would improve the antisubmarine warfare (ASW) capability of the FFG-7 class frigates assigned to the naval reserve.

The conferees wish the Navy to attain the greatest improvement in ASW capability for reserve frigates consistent with budget constraints. Recent testimony on the ability of these ships to perform adequately against a rapidly improving submarine threat demonstrates the need to seek cost-effective ASW improvements.

Accordingly, the conferees have agreed to provide no specific authorization for the AN/SQR-17 but to instead authorize $16.9 million for Reserve Frigate ASW Modernization. The conferees expect that the Navy will competitively evaluate both the cost and performance of various ASW systems, including the AN/SQR-17 processor and the AN/SQQ-89 system, to arrive at the best mix of systems of use on reserve ASW frigates within the funds available. The conferees also expect that, in accordance with current law, any equipment purchases made through this account will be made by competitive means.

AN/BLD-1 microwave intercept receiver

The budget requested no authorization for the AN/BLD-1 microwave intercept receiver.

The Senate bill contained no authorization for the AN/BLD-1 system. The House amendment would authorize $16.8 million for 8 systems.

The Senate recedes.

Aviation support equipment

The budget request contained $963.5 million for procurement of aviation support equipment for the Navy for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $700.9 million for aviation support equipment. The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $820.7 million for aviation support equipment for fiscal year 1987.

MK-92 coherent receiver transmitter (CORT) production kits

The budget requested no authorization for MK-92 coherent receiver transmitter (CORT) production kits.

The Senate bill contained no authorization for MK-92 CORT production kits. The House amendment specified that of the funds authorized for ordnance support equipment, $54 million is available only for the procurement of 6 CORT production kits.

The House recedes.

Civil engineering support equipment

The budget request contained $267.3 million for civil engineering support equipment for fiscal year 1987.

The House amendment would authorize $185.6 million for civil engineering support equipment. The Senate bill would authorize the amounts requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $185.6 million for civil engineering support equipment for fiscal year 1987. Within the authorization, the conferees agreed to an undistributed reduction of $54.1 million. In applying this reduction, the conferees encourage the Department of the Navy to consider categories such as administrative use vehicles, or passenger cars, and other non-critical items.

Supply support equipment

The budget request contained $82.2 million for supply support equipment for the Navy for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $71.8 million for supply support equipment. The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $71.8 million for supply support equipment for the Navy for fiscal year 1987.

Personnel and command support equipment

The budget request contained $556.3 million for personnel and command support equipment for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $442.1 million for personnel and command support equipment. The Senate bill would authorize $555.2 million.

The conferees recommend authorization of $451.0 million for personnel and command support equipment for fiscal year 1987.

Spares and repair parts

The budget request contained $323.9 million for spares and repair parts.

The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested. The House amendment would authorize $302.4 million.

The Senate recedes.

Other procurement, undistributed reduction, prior-year program savings, and inflation savings

The Senate bill would reduce the total amount authorized for the other procurement, Navy account by $603.6 million, including an undistributed reduction of $400 million, prior-year program savings of $16 million and inflation savings of $187.6 million.

The House amendment made no similar reductions.

The conferees agreed to reductions in programs and budget activities as shown in the accompanying table.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	AMMUNITION																		
1	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		3,194																
2	SMALL ARMS AMO, ALL TYPES		12,791		18,539				18,539				18,539						18,539
3	MACHINE GUN AMMO, ALL TYPES		35,729		32,003				32,003				32,003						32,003
4	MORTAR AMMO, ALL TYPES		28,889		216,774				216,774		-23,400		193,374		-23,400		-23,400		193,374
5	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		2,202		8,311				8,311				8,311						8,311
6	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		61,422		41,279		2,900		44,179				41,279		-2,900		2,900		44,179
7	TRAINING AMMO, ALL TYPES		34,513		31,117				31,117				31,117						31,117
8	155MM AMMO, ALL TYPES		233,612		202,048		-17,600		184,448				202,048		17,600		-17,600		184,448
9	ANTI-ARMOR AMMO, ALL TYPES																		
10	155MM PROJ COPPERHEAD		53,670		37,831				37,831				37,831						37,831
11	8 INCH AMMO, ALL TYPES		11,238				14,700		14,700				0		-14,700		14,700		14,700
12	FUZES, ALL TYPES		31,258		12,893				12,893				12,893						12,893
13	AMMO MODERNIZATION		5,721		4,197				4,197				4,197						4,197
	OTHER SUPPORT																		
14	ITEMS LESS THAN $900K		3,863		3,331				3,331				3,331						3,331
	SUBTOTAL, AMMUNITION		518,102		608,323		0		608,323		-23,400		584,923		-23,400		-23,400		584,923
	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES																		
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES																		
15	ORD/VEH SPT EQUIPMENT																		
16	AAV7A1 PIP			100	14,268			100	14,268			100	14,268					100	14,268
17	LVT7 WPN SYSTEM																		
18	LVT7 SERVICE LIFE EXT PROG																		
19	LIGHT ARMORED VEHICLE																		
20	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
21	MODIFICATION KITS (TRKD VEH)	756	1,673		1,005				1,005				1,005						1,005
22	M-1 MAIN BATTLE TANK																		

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
23	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
24	RECOVERY VEHICLE M88	5	4,544																
25	BRIDGE, ARMORED VEH LAUNCHED	16	8,640	8	4,480			8	4,480			8	4,480					8	4,480
26	ITEMS LESS THAN $900K (TRKD VEH)		1,476																
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS																		
27	POS AZIMUTH DETERM SYS (PADS)			17	5,633			17	5,633			17	5,633					17	5,633
28	BATTERY COMPUTER SYSTEM	166	26,345																
29	M198 155MM HOWITZER	50	26,301	94	44,954			94	44,954			94	44,954					94	44,954
30	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
31	ITEMS LESS THAN $900K (ATL-OTH)		2,586		1,295				1,295				1,295						1,295
	WEAPONS																		
32	9MM HANDGUN	10,000	2,370	22,181	5,432			22,181	5,432		-900	22,181	4,532		-900		-900	22,181	4,532
33	MACHINE GUN, AUTO SAW 5.56MM			1,000	3,440			1,000	3,440		-700	1,000	2,740		-700		-700	1,000	2,740
34	M-16 5.56MM RIFLE	28,186	11,993	17,725	7,865			17,725	7,865			17,725	7,865					17,725	7,865
35	MK-19 40MM MACHINE GUN	103	1,000	189	1,912			189	1,912		-900	189	1,012		-900		-900	189	1,012
36	EOD EQUIPMENT																		
37	MORTAR, MED. EXTENDED RANGE			350	7,467			350	7,467	-100	-2,200	250	5,267	-100	-2,200			350	7,467
38	SMAW 83MM ROCKET	535	4,676																
	SUBTOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		91,624		97,751		0		97,751		-4,700		93,051		-4,700		-2,500		95,251
	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT																		
	GUIDED MISSILES																		
39	HAWK	550	122,665	430	115,372			430	115,372			430	115,372					430	115,372
40	HAWK MOD		39,078		24,269				24,269				24,269						24,269
41	STINGER	800	57,[illegible]97	1,442	69,284			1,442	69,284			1,442	69,284					1,442	69,284
42	DRAGON MISSILE SYSTEM																		
43	TOW	4,238	39,505	3,400	33,524			3,400	33,524		-1,200	3,400	32,324		-1,200		-1,200	3,400	32,324
	OTHER SUPPORT																		
44	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
45	MODIFICATION KITS		712		744				744				744						744
	SUBTOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT		259,857		243,193		0		243,193		-1,200		241,993		-1,200		-1,200		241,993

LINE		FY 86		FY 87		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
		FINAL		REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
NO.	PROGRAM	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT																		
	MANPACK RADIOS																		
46	MANPACK RADIOS AND EQUIP		12,656		2,662				2,662				2,662						2,662
	VEHICLE MOUNTED RADIOS AND EQUIPMENT																		
47	VEHICLE MTD RADIOS & EQUIPMENT		10,366		1,482				1,482				1,482						1,482
	TELEPHONE AND TELETYPE EQUIPMENT																		
48	UNIT LEVEL CIRCUIT SWITCH (ULCS)		12,600		74,199				74,199				74,199						74,199
49	ULCS LIFE CYCLE SUPPORT		15,361		8,035				8,035				8,035						8,035
50	TACT COMM CENTER EQUIP		3,970	13	199			13	199			13	199					13	199
51	SB-3614(V)TT AUTO TELEPHONE SWITCHBOARD																		
52	AN/PSG( ) DIGITAL COMM TERMINAL			472	15,174			472	15,174			472	15,174					472	15,174
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT																		
53	PP-6224/4 POWER SUPPLY																		
54	AN/USM-323 GEN SGNL, ELECTRONIC	456	5,500																
55	AUTO TEST EQUIP SYS	45	5,106	51	6,145			51	6,145		-700	51	5,445		-700			51	6,145
56	CALIBRATION FACILITY ELECTRONIC				9,830				9,830				9,830						9,830
57	MAINTENANCE COMPLEX, ELECTRONIC		5,306		10,599				10,599		-5,100		5,499		-5,100				10,599
58	ELECTRONIC TEST EQUIP (TEL)		1,000	10	2,706			10	2,706			10	2,706					10	2,706
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT																		
59	PP-7333 POWER SUPPLY	1,012	3,813																
60	AN/TRQ-35(V)TACTICAL FREQ MANAGEMENT SYS																		
61	TACT FACSIMILE SET AN/GXC-7	359	6,725																
62	AMDVT/TACTERM	34	1,513	75	2,681			75	2,681			75	2,681					75	2,681
63	TIME DIVERSITY MODEM MX-() (TL)																		
64	HAVE QUICK TIMING DISTR SYSTEM																		
65	SINGLE CHAN GRD & AIR RADIO																		
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)																		
66	TEST CALIB + MAINT SPT		1,000		1,638				1,638				1,638						1,638
67	REPLENISHMENT SPARES (TEL)																		
68	SPARES (TEL)																		
69	MODIFICATION KITS (TEL)		3,219	1	3,690			1	3,690			1	3,690					1	3,690
70	ITEMS LESS THAN $900K (TEL)		1,153		811				811				811						811

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	COMMAND + CONTROL SYSTEMS (NON-TEL)																		
71	POS LOCATING RPTG SYSTEM (PLRS)	2	46,384																
72	TACTICAL AIR OPER MODULE (TAOM)	3	71,550	7	50,458			7	50,458			7	50,458					7	50,458
73	AN/UYQ 4 SEMI AUTO,DIRECT AIR SUPPORT CENTRAL				6,310				6,310				6,310						6,310
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)																		
74	AN/TPS-59 DECOY	5	6,109																
75	AN/PPN-19 RADAR TRANSPONDER	255	13,192																
76	AN/TPS-32 DECOY																		
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)																		
77	AIRBORNE RADIO DIRECTION FINDER																		
78	JINTACS/JAMS																		
79	METEORLOGICAL DATA SYSTEM	9	13,338																
80	MOBILE EW SUP SYS (MEWSS)			12	15,367			12	15,367			12	15,367					12	15,367
81	GRD BASED ELECT INTELL SPT SYS																		
	REPAIR + TEST EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)																		
82	ELECTRONIC TONE REPAIR FACILITY			6	4,684			6	4,684			6	4,684					6	4,684
83	STE/ICE SIMPL TEST EQ			264	1,296			264	1,296			264	1,296					264	1,296
84	MECH TEST TMDE			1	2,446			1	2,446			1	2,446					1	2,446
85	ELECTRONIC TEST EQUIP (NONTEL)		1,000		3,344				3,344				3,344						3,344
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)																		
86	PP-7286 BATTERY CHARGER																		
87	CAMPS																		
88	MOD [illegible] LASER EQUIP (MULE)																		
89	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT		10,823		1,927				1,927				1,927						1,927
90	PROD ENHANCEMENT		5,016																
91	ADP EQUIPMENT	1	19,050		46,125				46,125		-26,400		19,725		-26,400				46,125
92	CP-696()/PD RADIAC INDIC COMPUTER																		
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)																		
93	TEST CALIB & MAINT SPT (NON-TEL)		1,582		1,638				1,638				1,638						1,638
94	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
95	MODIFICATION KITS (NONTEL)	42	8,433	13	4,445			13	4,445			13	4,445					13	4,445
96	ITEMS LESS THAN $900K (NONTEL)		1,799		970				970				970						970
	SUBTOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS & ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		287,724		278,861		0		278,861		-32,200		246,661		-32,200		0		278,861

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL QTY	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST QTY	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) QTY	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION QTY	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
	SUPPORT VEHICLES																		
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES																		
97	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	203	2,702		2,278				2,278		-2,278		0		-2,278				2,278
98	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES		20,121	1	3,814			1	3,814	-1	-3,814		0	-1	-3,814			1	3,814
	TACTICAL VEHICLES																		
99	UTILITY MOTORCYCLE																		
100	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV	4,832	113,041	743	18,760			743	18,760			743	18,760					743	18,760
101	A/C FIRE/RESCUE VEHICLE	6	1,006																
102	TRUCKS, 5 TON, ALL TYPES	470	46,162																
103	5 TON RETROFIT	691	7,177	209	5,230			209	5,230			209	5,230					209	5,230
104	COMMERCIAL UTILITY CARGO VEHICLE																		
105	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM	719	69,148	1,210	103,994			1,210	103,994	-410	-32,600	800	71,394	-410	-32,600			1,210	103,994
106	TRAILERS, ALL TYPES		10,836		7,656				7,656				7,656						7,656
107	LUBE AND SERVICE UNIT 15CFM	70	1,331	39	755			39	755			39	755					39	755
	OTHER SUPPORT																		
108	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
109	MODIFICATION KITS		4,338		3,194				3,194				3,194						3,194
110	ITEMS LESS THAN $900K		1,140		388				388				388						388
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		---		---				---				---				-6,092		-6,092
	SUBTOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES		277,002		146,069		0		146,069		-38,692		107,377		-38,692		-6,092		139,977
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT																		
	ENGINEER AND EQUIPMENT																		
111	PUMP REFUELER FUEL DISP																		
112	MINE CLEARANCE SYSTEM KIT (LVTP-7)																		
113	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQ ASSORT		1,728		1,445				1,445				1,445						1,445
114	HEAVY RT CRANE			71	26,027			71	26,027			71	26,027					71	26,027
115	LIGHT RT CRANE																		
116	GRADER ROAD MOTORIZED	110	8,370	63	4,407			63	4,407			63	4,407					63	4,407
117	TRACTORS, ALL TYPES		12,094		30,090				30,090				30,090						30,090
118	RUNWAY SWEEPER, VACUUM	18	2,157																
119	SHOP EQ GEN PUR REP SEMI-TRLRM	28	4,669																
120	CONTAINER HNDLR, ROUGH TERR	27	7,486																
121	FORKLIFTS, ALL TYPES		10,540		6,879				6,879				6,879						6,879

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
122	LAUNDRY UNIT SKID MTD			60	2,744			60	2,744			60	2,744					60	2,744
123	FIELD BATH SHOWER UNIT	100	2,349	100	2,431			100	2,431			100	2,431					100	2,431
124	REFRIGERATION UNIT	528	1,347	272	684			272	684			272	684					272	684
125	REFRIGERATION BOX	218	1,798																
126	REV OSMOSIS/WATER PUR 600 GL	178	11,166	34	4,184			34	4,184			34	4,184					34	4,184
127	M-33 ICE CREAM PLANT THOMPSON																		
128	WATER SUPPLY SUPPORT SYSTEM																		
129	FIELD WIRING HARNESS			749	5,375			749	5,375			749	5,375					749	5,375
130	FUEL & WATER PUMP & STORAGE MODULE		4,056		4,880				4,880				4,880						4,880
131	AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT FUEL SYSTEM	5	5,882																
132	HELO EXPED REFUELING SYS	6	652																
133	TACT AIRFIELD FUEL DISP SYS																		
134	TOPOGRAPHIC REPRODUCTION EQUIPMENT TRL																		
135	SURVEY EQUIP F/CONST USE			16	924			16	924			16	924					16	924
136	WET GAP BRIDGE SYSTEM			168	6,190			168	6,190			168	6,190					168	6,190
137	BOAT BRIDGE	21	2,582	14	1,698			14	1,698			14	1,698					14	1,698
138	MEDIUM GIRDER BRIDGE	20	10,926																
139	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED		8,260		5,999				5,999				5,999						5,999
140	MOB ELECT POWER DIST SYS (MEPDIS)	17	4,982	4	2,976			4	2,976			4	2,976					4	2,976
141	CONVENTIONAL MINE LAYING SYSTEM																		
142	CLEARED LANE MARKING SYSTEM																		
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT																		
143	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMET		2,788		10,506				10,506				10,506						10,506
144	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP		5,698		3,975				3,975				3,975						3,975
145	TELEPHONE SYSTEM		4,300		5,226				5,226				5,226						5,226
146	AUTO MAT HANDLING EQUIP		691		1,035				1,035				1,035						1,035
147	MHMC ITEMS		1,000		1,307				1,307				1,307						1,307
148	MATERIEL HANDLING EQUIP		1,509		2,309				2,309				2,309						2,309
	GENERAL PROPERTY																		
149	LTWT DECONTAMINAION SYSTEM																		
150	TRN DEVICES (AUDIO VISUAL)		1,635		1,761				1,761				1,761						1,761
151	TRN DEVICES (SIMULATORS)		10,408	1	5,615			1	5,615			1	5,615					1	5,615
152	SHELTER FAMILY	870	17,361	326	7,154			326	7,154			326	7,154					326	7,154
153	CONTAINER FAMILY	4,900	6,969	10,570	15,163			10,570	15,163		-8,000	10,570	7,163		-8,000			10,570	15,163

LINE	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
NO.		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
154	CHEMICAL ALARM SYSTEM	770	1,870																
155	CHEMICAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT																		
156	DECON APPARATUS PWR DRIVEN	65	1,201	18	321			18	321			18	321					18	321
157	SANITATION EQUIPMENT KITCHEN			78	1,252			78	1,252			78	1,252					78	1,252
	OTHER SUPPORT																		
158	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
159	MODIFICATION KITS		2,764		354				354				354						354
160	ITEMS LESS THAN $900K		4,066		3,218				3,218				3,218						3,218
	SUBTOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		163,324		166,129		0		166,129		-8,000		158,129		-8,000		0		166,129
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
161	SPARES & REPAIR PARTS		42,130		14,151				14,151				14,151						14,151
162	REPLENISHMENT SPARES		21,000		10,523				10,523				10,523						10,523
	SUBTOTAL, SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		63,130		24,674		0		24,674		0		24,674		0		0		24,674
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		—		—		-79,000		-79,000						79,000				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-9,000		-9,000						9,000				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		—		—		-10,300		-10,300						10,300				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-29,600		-29,600						29,600				—
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		---		---		-54,400		-54,400						54,400		-54,400		-54,400
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		—		—				—		(-70,200)		(-70,200)		(-70,200)		(-70,200)		(-70,200)
	ROUNDING ADJUSTMENT		—		—				—		92		92		92				---
	TOTAL, MARINE CORPS PROCUREMENT		1,660,766		1,565,000		-182,300		1,382,700		-108,100		1,456,900		74,200		-87,592		1,477,408

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Overview

The budget request contained $1,565.0 million for procurement, Marine Corps, for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $1,456.9 million for procurement, Marine Corps. The Senate bill would authorize $1,382.7 million.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,477.4 million for procurement, Marine Corps for fiscal year 1987. Specific details are presented in the foregoing table. Within the authorization, the conferees agreed to an undistributed reduction of $6.1 million. In applying this reduction, the conferees encourage the Marines Corps initially to consider categories such as administrative use vehicles, or passenger car, and other non-critical items.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT																		
	STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE																		
1	ACM INTEGRATION		96,000																
2	B-1B (MYP)	48	4,952,900																
3	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
	TACTICAL FORCES																		
4	AIR DEFENSE COMPETITION	10	192,000	20	296,300	-20	-296,300		0			20	296,300	20	296,300			20	296,300
5	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				114,600		-114,600		0				114,600		114,600				114,600
6	F-15 D/E	48	1,687,300	48	1,675,400			48	1,675,400	-24	-700,000	24	975,400	-24	-700,000	-6	-132,400	42	1,543,000
7	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		201,600		218,900				218,900		-108,900		110,000		-108,900		-60,900		158,000
8	F-16 C/D (MYP)	180	2,492,000	216	2,881,900	-24	-235,000	192	2,646,900	-66	-955,600	150	1,926,300	-42	-720,600	-36	-528,900	180	2,353,000
9	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		514,100		612,000		-126,000		486,000		-112,000		500,000		14,000		-149,000		463,000
	OTHER COMBAT AIRCRAFT																		
10	KC-10A (ATCA) (MYP)	12	196,600	8	104,400			8	104,400		-26,300	8	78,100		-26,300		-15,400	8	89,000
11	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		219,000																
12	AC-130H GUNSHIP	1	33,400																
13	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				18,200				18,200		-18,200		0		-18,200		-18,200		0
14	MC-130H	2	119,100	5	229,700			5	229,700	-3	-123,200	2	106,500	-3	-123,200			5	229,700
15	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		11,700		15,100				15,100		-7,800		7,300		-7,800				15,100
	SUBTOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		10,716,500		6,166,500		-771,900		5,394,600		-2,052,000		4,114,500		-1,280,100		-904,800		5,251,700
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT																		
	STRATEGIC AIRLIFT																		
16	C-5B	16	1,735,000	21	1,937,378			21	1,937,378		-29,000	21	1,908,378		-29,000		-18,100	21	1,919,278
17	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		313,800																
	TACTICAL AIRLIFT																		
18	C-17				182,300				182,300		-37,000		145,300		-37,000		-37,000		145,300
19	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				35,000				35,000				35,000						35,000

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL QTY	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST QTY	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) QTY	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION QTY	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
20	C-130H																		
	OTHER AIRLIFT																		
21	C-12D																		
22	C-12J																		
23	C-20A	8	147,700																
24	VC-X	2	280,000		34,300		-34,300		0		-34,300		0				-34,300		0
	SUBTOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		2,476,300		2,189,170		-34,300		2,154,870		-100,300		2,088,870		-66,000		-89,800		2,099,370
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT																		
	UPT TRAINERS																		
25	T-46A (MYP)				0				0	12	124,000		124,000		124,000				0
26	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				0				0		27,000		27,000		27,000				0
	SUBTOTAL, TRAINER AIRCRAFT		0		0		0		0		151,000		151,000		151,000		0		0
	OTHER AIRCRAFT																		
	HELICOPTERS																		
27	HH-60																		
	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT																		
28	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C			30	1,600			30	1,600			30	1,600					30	1,600
29	FLIGHT INSPECT ACFT REPLACEMENT																		
30	RANGE CONTROL AIRCRAFT																		
	OTHER AIRCRAFT																		
31	TR-1/U-2	6	287,900	3	94,549			3	94,549	-2	-49,400		45,149	-3	-49,400	-1	-14,200	2	80,349
32	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		8,600																
	COUNTER-INFILTRATION AIRCRAFT		0		0				0	2	8,400	2	8,400	2	8,400				0
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		296,500		96,149		0		96,149		-41,000		55,149		-41,000		-14,200		81,949
1	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT																		
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT																		
33	B-52		416,300		413,400		-158,600		254,800				413,400		158,600		-158,600		254,800
34	FB-111		8,700		3,300				3,300				3,300						3,300
35	B-1B		31,400		96,400		-6,400		90,000				96,400		6,400		-6,400		90,000

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT																		
36	A-7		60,000		14,100				14,100				14,100						14,100
37	A-10		69,500		52,600				52,600				52,600						52,600
38	F/RF-4		103,000		119,400				119,400				119,400						119,400
39	F-5		28,532		5,500				5,500				5,500						5,500
40	F-15		106,500		269,000				269,000		-87,000		182,000		-87,000				269,000
41	F-16		52,300		87,700				87,700				87,700						87,700
42	F-111		298,700		273,200				273,200		-1,300		271,900		-1,300		-1,300		271,900
43	EF-111																		
44	TR-1A		9,000		9,300				9,300				9,300						9,300
45	T/AT-37																		
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT																		
46	C-5		9,800		46,000				46,000				46,000						46,000
47	C-9				200				200				200						200
48	C-137				200				200				200						200
49	C-141		4,900		10,900				10,900				10,900						10,900
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT																		
50	T-38		27,000		39,500				39,500				39,500						39,500
51	T-43				400				400				400						400
	OTHER AIRCRAFT																		
52	KC-10A (ATCA)		1,000		6,700				6,700				6,700						6,700
53	C-12		1,500																
54	C-130		100,200		212,900				212,900		-26,400		186,500		-26,400		-13,300		199,600
55	C-135		902,500		1,077,400		-80,000		997,400		-29,200		1,048,200		50,800		-80,000		997,400
56	E-3		4,100		33,500				33,500				33,500						33,500
57	E-4		20,100		16,000		-11,000		5,000				16,000		11,000		-11,000		5,000
58	H-1				11,200				11,200				11,200						11,200
59	H-3 AIRCRAFT SYSTEM				1,500				1,500		20,000		21,500		20,000				1,500
60	HH-53 AIRCRAFT		87,600		55,300				55,300		213,000		268,300		213,000		106,500		161,800
61	OTHER AIRCRAFT		109,700		153,300				153,300				153,300						153,300
62	OV-10																		
	OTHER MODIFICATIONS																		
63	CLASSIFIED PROJECTS		112,385		91,411				91,411		-10,000		81,411		-10,000				91,411
64	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS																		
	GROUND PROXIMITY WARNING SYSTEM		0		0				0		7,600		7,600		7,600		7,600		7,600

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL QTY	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST QTY	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) QTY	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION QTY	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
	CIVIL RESERVE AIRLIFT FLEET (CRAF)																		
65	CIVIL RESERVE AIRLIFT FLEET (CRAF)		150,000																
	SUBTOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT		2,085,317		3,101,311		-256,000		2,845,311		86,700		3,188,011		342,700		-157,100		2,944,211
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
66	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		3,011,105		3,477,903		-49,760		3,428,143		-229,400		3,248,503		-179,640		-161,000		3,316,903
	INITIAL SPARES:				(927,800)				(927,800)		(-228,500)		(699,300)		(-228,500)		(-105,500)		(822,300)
	F-15E			(48)	(133,000)			(48)	(133,000)	(-24)	(-66,500)	(24)	(66,500)	(-24)	(-66,500)	(-6)	(-18,000)	(42)	(115,000)
	F-16			(216)	(348,700)			(216)	(348,700)	(-66)	(-106,600)	(150)	(242,100)	(-66)	(-106,600)	(-36)	(-43,700)	(180)	(305,000)
	RC-130H			(5)	(6,700)			(5)	(6,700)	(-3)	(-4,000)	(2)	(2,700)	(-3)	(-4,000)			(5)	(6,700)
	T-46A				(0)				(0)		(8,400)		(8,400)		(8,400)				(0)
	TR-1 SPARES				(5,000)				(5,000)		(-2,000)		(3,000)		(-2,000)		(-1,000)		(4,000)
	MODIFICATION SPARES				(203,100)				(203,100)		(-27,100)		(176,000)		(-27,100)		(-12,100)		(191,000)
	OTHER PRODUCTION SPARES																		
	PLSS				(28,900)				(28,900)		(-28,900)		(0)		(-28,900)		(-28,900)		(0)
	USM-464 FLIGHT LINE TEST SET				(1,000)				(1,000)		(-1,000)		(0)		(-1,000)		(-1,000)		(0)
	REPLENISHMENT SPARES:				(2,550,100)				(2,550,100)		(-900)		(2,549,200)		(-900)		(-55,500)		(2,494,600)
	ALE-45 COUNTERMEASURE DISPENSER				(900)				(900)		(-900)		(0)		(-900)				(900)
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION				(---)		(-49,600)		(-49,600)				(---)		(49,600)		(-55,500)		(-55,500)
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS				[ ]		(-160)		(-160)				(---)		(160)				[ ]
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES																		
67	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		535,497		321,370				321,370		-58,700		262,670		-58,700		-21,370		300,000
68	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS		51,900		38,400				38,400				38,400						38,400
69	WAR CONSUMABLES		83,200		51,100				51,100				51,100						51,100
70	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		2,174,085		3,685,489		-103,000		3,582,489		-707,700		2,977,789		-604,700		-171,349		3,514,140
	SUBTOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		2,844,682		4,096,359		-103,000		3,993,359		-766,400		3,329,959		-663,400		-192,719		3,903,640

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	STRATEGIC BOMBER A/C CONTINGENCY FUND		0		0				0		(200,000)		(200,000)		(200,000)				0
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		---		---		-90,000		-90,000				---		90,000				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-117,400		-117,400				---		117,400				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-219,936		-219,936				---		219,936				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 85 & 86)		---		---				---		-423,200		-423,200		-423,200				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-121,430		-121,400				---		121,400				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-391,200		-391,200				---		391,200				---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		---		---		-589,200		-589,200				---		589,200		-589,200		-589,200
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		---		---				---		(-927,000)		(-927,000)		(-927,000)		(-927,000)		(-927,000)
	TOTAL, AIR FORCE AIRCRAFT		23,030,524		19,127,400		-2,744,296		16,383,104		-3,374,800		15,752,600		-630,504		-2,108,768		17,018,632

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Air defense competition

The budget request contained $296.3 million for procurement of 20 air defense aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $114.6 million in advance procurement for 60 aircraft in the following year. The House amendment would authorize the amount requested. The Senate bill contained no funds for air defense competition.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 113) that would permit the Secretary of the Air Force to award a multiyear contract for the procurement of the air defense aircraft. If the multiyear contracting authority provided were used, the Air Force would be required, immediately after contract award, to furnish the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives with multiyear justification exhibits validating that the savings meet the prescribed criteria.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees recommend authorization of $296.3 million for procurement of 20 aircraft for air defense competition in fiscal year 1987 and $114.6 million in advance procurement for 60 aircraft.

The Senate recedes on the authorization to enter into a multiyear contract. The conferees expect the fiscal year 1988 budget request to properly fund the cancellation ceiling liability.

F-15 fighter aircraft (Eagle)

The budget request contained $1,675.4 million for procurement of 48 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $218.9 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988. The House amendment would authorize $975.4 million for procurement of 24 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $110.0 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988. The Senate bill would authorize $1,675.4 million for procurement of 48 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $218.9 million in advance procurement in fiscal year 1988.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 127(a)) that would restrict obligation of the funds authorized for procurement of the F-15E aircraft until the Secretary of the Air Force decides on whether to procure either the F-15E or the Advanced Tactical Fighter. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,543.0 for procurement of 42 aircraft in fiscal year 1987, and $158.0 for advance procurement of 42 aircraft in fiscal year 1988.

The House recedes on section 127(a).

F-16 fighter aircraft (Falcon)

The budget request contained $2,881.9 million for procurement of 216 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $612.0 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988. The House amendment would authorize $1,926.3 million for procurement of 150 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $500.00 million for advance procurement of 180 aircraft in fiscal year 1988. The Senate bill would authorize $2,646.9 million for procurement of 192 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and 486.0 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 127(f)) that would restrict obligation of funds for the procurement of the F-16 until:

(1) the Joint Requirements and Management Board is convened to establish an acquisition plan for the F-16 aircraft;

(2) the plan is submitted to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives; and

(3) 30 calendar days expire after submission of the plan to the committees.

At the time the House of Representatives considered the fiscal year 1987 Defense authorization request, the Department of Defense and the Department of the Air Force did not agree on the configuration of the F-16 fire control radar. The House believed that the issue should be resolved before funds are obligated for the program. Subsequent agreement has been reached between the Department of Defense and the Department of the Air Force.

The Senate bill contained no similar limitation.

The conferees recommend authorization of $2,353.0 million for procurement of 180 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $463.0 million for advance procurement of 180 aircraft in fiscal year 1988.

The House recedes on section 127(f).

KC-10A combat aircraft (Extender)

The budget request contained $104.4 million for procurement of 8 aircraft in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $78.1 million for procurement of 8 aircraft in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would authorize $104.4 million for procurement of 8 aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

The conferees recommend authorization of $89.0 million for procurement of 8 aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

AC-130H gunship

The budget request contained $18.2 million for initial start up for the AC-130H gunship combat aircraft. The House amendment did not authorize the AC-130H aircraft. The Senate bill would authorize $18.2 million for the AC-130H aircraft.

The Senate recedes.

MC-130H (Combat Talon)

The budget request contained $229.7 million for procurement of 5 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $15.1 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988. The House amendment would authorize $106.5 million for procurement of 2 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $7.3 million in advance procurement in fiscal year 1988. The Senate bill would authorize $229.7 million for procurement of 5 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $15.1 million in advance procurement in fiscal year 1988.

The conferees recommend authorization of $229.7 million for 5 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $15.1 million in advance procurement.

C-5B (Galaxy)

The budget request contained $1,937.4 million for procurement of 21 aircraft in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $1,908.4 million for procurement of 21 aircraft in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would authorize $1,937.4 million for procurement of 21 aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,919.3 million for procurement of 21 aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

C-17 tactical airlift aircraft

The budget request contained $182.3 million for procurement of the C-17 in fiscal year 1987 and $35.0 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988. The House amendment would authorize $145.3 million in fiscal year 1987 and $35.0 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988. The Senate bill would authorize $182.3 million in fiscal year 1987 and $35.0 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988.

Section 127(c) of the House amendment would restrict obligation of funds authorized for the procurement of the C-17 aircraft until 60 legislative days have expired from the time the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives receive the report of the General Accounting Office on the C-17 aircraft program. Section 127(c) would further provide that, in the event Congress decides that the C-17 aircraft is to not be procured, the funds may be used for the procurement of additional Air Force airlift aircraft, including the C-5B aircraft. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees recommend authorization of $145.3 million for procurement of the C-17 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $35.0 million for advance procurement in fiscal year 1988.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to section 127(c). The amendment would restrict obligation of funds to $64.0 million until April 15, 1987.

T-46A trainer aircraft

No authorization was requested for the T-46A trainer aircraft. The House amendment would authorize $124.0 million for procurement of 12 aircraft in fiscal year 1987 and $27.0 million for procurement of 44 aircraft in fiscal year 1988. The Senate bill contained no authorization for the aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

Section 127(e) in the House amendment would provide that the maximum program production cost for the T-46A, excluding initial spares funding, could not exceed $3.1 billion in fiscal year 1986 dollars for 650 production aircraft. The maximum production unit cost for such program could not exceed $4.8 million in fiscal year 1986 dollars. Furthermore, if either limitation is exceeded in any year, the Secretary of the Air Force could not procure any T-46A trainer aircraft during a later fiscal year until a competition were held.

Section 202(e) of the Senate bill would prohibit obligation of any funds for the T-46 program.

The conferees agreed to delete both section 127(e) of the House amendment and section 202(e) of the Senate bill.

The conferees also agreed that no funds may be obligated or expended for the procurement of T-46 aircraft until the Secretary of the Air Force conducts a competition evaluating the cost and performance of the T-46A aircraft, the current trainer (T-37), an upgraded version of the T-37, and any other suitable aircraft (including the Navy's training aircraft, the T–34 and T–45). This competition shall consist of a fly-off between the T–37, T–46, and any other candidate aircraft.

The conferees further agreed that funds appropriated in fiscal year 1986 for the T–46A aircraft program shall be available to conduct the proposed competition.

Finally, the conferees agreed that no funds would be authorized in fiscal year 1987 for the procurement of T–46 trainer aircraft.

TR–1/U–2 aircraft

The budget request contained $94.5 million for procurement of 3 aircraft in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $45.1 million for the program in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would authorize $94.5 million for procurement of 3 aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

The conferees recommend authorization of $80.2 million for procurement of 2 aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

Counter-infiltration aircraft

The budget request contained no authorization for funds for counter-infiltration aircraft. The House amendment would authorize $8.4 million for procurement of 2 aircraft in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill contained no authorization for the aircraft in fiscal year 1987.

The House recedes.

Modification of Air Force aircraft

The budget request contained $3,101.3 million for modification of various Air Force aircraft in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $3,188.0 million for Air Force aircraft modifications. The Senate bill would authorize $2,845.3 million for Air Force aircraft modifications.

The conferees recommend authorization of $2,944.2 million for Air Force aircraft modifications as detailed in the foregoing table.

In reaching agreement on the various modification programs, the conferees agreed that if the Secretary of the Air Force submits a reprogramming request for the H–3 helicopter program, it would be considered in a timely fashion.

B–52 modifications

The Administration requested $413.4 million to continue modification programs for B–52 aircraft. The Senate bill, for budgetary considerations, deleted funding associated with electronic countermeasure and radar modifications. The House amendment approved the requested amount.

The conferees received new information with regard to the availability of prior year funding in the B–52 modification accounts that would allow a significant portion of the program to proceed, even at the Senate level. The conferees, therefore, agreed to the Senate reduction of $158.6 million.

Air Force aircraft spares and repair parts

The budget request contained $3,477.9 million for Air Force aircraft spares and repair parts in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $3,248.5 million for Air Force aircraft spares and repair parts. The Senate bill would authorize $3,428.1 million for procurement of Air Force aircraft spares and repair parts.

The conferees recommend authorization of $3,316.9 million for Air Force aircraft spares and repair parts as detailed in the foregoing table.

Air Force aircraft support equipment and facilities

The budget request contained $4,096.4 million for procurement of Air Force aircraft support equipment and facilities in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $3,330.0 million for this purpose. The Senate bill would authorize $3,993.4 million for Air Force aircraft support equipment and facilities.

The conferees recommend authorization of $3,903.6 million for Air Force aircraft support equipment and facilities as detailed in the foregoing table.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	BALLISTIC MISSILES																		
	STRATEGIC																		
1	PEACEKEEPER (M-X)	12	1,676,000	21	1,418,131			21	1,418,131	-9	-303,400	12	1,114,731	-9	-303,400	-9	-303,400	12	1,114,731
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC																		
2	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC		63,901		69,696				69,696				69,696						69,696
	SUBTOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES		1,739,901		1,487,827		0		1,487,827		-303,400		1,184,427		-303,400		-303,400		1,184,427
	OTHER MISSILES																		
	STRATEGIC																		
3	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE		[ ]		[ ]				[ ]		-468,000		-468,000		-468,000				[ ]
4	ADVANCED PROCUREMENT (CY)		[ ]		[ ]				[ ]		-93,800		-93,800		-93,800				[ ]
5	SRAM II																		
6	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		32,640		12,407				12,407				12,407						12,407
	TACTICAL																		
7	AIM-7F/M SPARROW	497	77,900	379	64,087			379	64,087		-3,200	379	61,687		-3,200		-3,200	379	61,687
8	AIM-9L/M SIDEWINDER	1,650	76,900	1,710	95,636			1,710	95,636			1,710	95,636				-1,800	1,710	93,836
9	AGM-130 POWERED GBU-15	5	19,000	51	26,577			51	26,577	-51	-26,577		0	-51	-26,577	-31	-8,777	20	17,800
10	AGM-65D MAVERICK	2,600	407,889	4,700	580,984	-1,100	-100,000	3,600	480,984	-4,115	-505,300	585	75,684	-515	-405,300	-1,100	-170,500	3,600	410,484
11	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
12	HARPOON																		
13	AGM-88A HARM	1,450	414,807	2,130	493,382			2,130	493,382	-680	-130,900	1,450	362,482	-680	-130,900	-475	-119,000	1,655	374,382
14	RAPIER		7,581		6,400				6,400				6,400						6,400
15	AMRAAM		149,589	260	656,628			260	656,628	-125	-269,000	135	387,628	-125	-269,000	-80	-119,300	180	537,328
16	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		59,400		89,900				89,900		-51,000		38,900		-51,000		-16,800		73,100
17	GRD LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE	95	505,793	76	128,600			76	128,600		-12,900	76	115,700		-12,900		-12,900	76	115,700
18	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		9,372		4,600				4,600				4,600						4,600
19	STINGER				1,709				1,709				1,709						1,709

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	TARGET DRONES																		
20	TARGET DRONES		34,298		19,637				19,637				19,637						19,637
	TACTICAL DRONES																		
21	TACTICAL DRONES		[ ]		[ ]				[ ]				[ ]						[ ]
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES																		
22	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		20,626		14,458				14,458				14,458						14,458
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - OTHER																		
23	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-OTHER		13,750		17,205				17,205		-1,400		15,805		-1,400		-705		16,500
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		480,400		841,573				841,573				841,573						941,573
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		2,309,945		3,054,583		-100,000		2,954,583		-1,562,077		1,492,506		-1,462,077		-452,982		2,601,601
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES																		
	CLASS IV																		
24	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS				4,367				4,367				4,367						4,367
25	LGM-30F/G MINUTEMAN II/III		126,746		105,589		-12,400		93,189		-5,200		100,389		7,200		-5,200		100,389
26	AGM-88A HARM		2,300		2,859				2,859				2,859						2,859
27	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		15,298		16,560				16,560				16,560						16,560
28	GRD LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		8,707		15,294				15,294				15,294						15,294
29	PEACEKEEPER (M-X)																		
30	MODIFICATIONS UNDER $2.0M		2,594		1,858				1,858				1,858						1,858
	SUBTOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		155,645		146,527		-12,400		134,127		-5,200		141,327		7,200		-5,200		141,327
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS																		
31	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		441,670		334,166		0		334,166		-68,100		266,066		-68,100		-5,100		329,066
	REPLENISHMENT SPARES				(177,500)				(177,500)		(-68,100)		(109,400)		(-68,100)		(-5,100)		(172,400)
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS				[ ]				[ ]		(-36,300)		(-36,300)		(-36,300)				[ ]
	MAVERICK				(5,600)				(5,600)		(1,900)		(3,700)		(1,900)		(-1,600)		(4,000)
	MX				(55,400)				(55,400)		(-23,800)		(31,600)		(-23,800)				(55,400)
	AMRAAM				(10,300)				(10,300)		(5,300)		(5,000)		(5,300)		(-3,300)		(7,000)
	AGM-130 POWERED GBU-15				(800)				(800)		(-800)		(0)		(-800)		(-200)		(600)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	OTHER SUPPORT																		
	SPACE PROGRAMS																		
32	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)		34,346		61,899				61,899		-10,000		51,899		-10,000		-5,000		56,899
33	GLOBAL POSITIONING (MYP)	9	197,390	8	129,665			8	129,665		-3,700	8	125,965		-3,700		-3,665	8	126,000
34	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
35	SPACE SHUTTLE OPERATIONS		240,699		59,062		254,600		313,662				59,062		-254,600				59,062
36	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)																		
37	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (MYP)		40,979		19,427				19,427				19,427						19,427
38	DEFENSE SUPPORT PROGRAM (MYP)		124,082		108,515				108,515				108,515				-44,900		63,615
39	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				250,000				250,000		-115,600		134,400		-115,600		-27,300		222,700
40	DEFENSE SATELLITE COMM SYSTEM (MYP)	2	127,406	2	117,131		-10,000	2	107,131		-7,200	2	109,931		2,800		-10,000	2	107,131
41	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		13,660		5,540				5,540				5,540						5,540
42	AF SATELLITE COMM SYSTEM	1	32,504																
43	SPACE BOOSTERS			1	52,747		50,000	1	102,747		50,000	1	102,747				31,000	1	83,747
44	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		120,194		230,000		-50,000		180,000		-69,000		161,000		-19,000		-50,000		180,000
45	SPACE DEFENSE SYSTEM																		
46	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)				28,481				28,481		-28,481		0		-28,481		-28,481		0
47	SPACE SHUTTLE		20,973																
	SPECIAL PROGRAMS																		
48	OTHER PROGRAMS				45,311				45,311				45,311						45,311
49	HAVE FLAG		[ ]		[ ]				[ ]				[ ]						[ ]
50	FOREST GREEN		4,549		2,061				2,061		-1,700		361		-1,700				2,061
51	IONDS (MYP)		44,035		24,854				24,854				24,854						24,854
52	SPECIAL PROGRAMS		1,752,900		1,851,758		-180,000		1,671,958		-322,200		1,529,758		-142,200		-228,400		1,623,358
53	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS		916,076		972,646				972,646		-414,800		557,846		-414,800				972,646
54	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROGRAMSU																		
55	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		[ ]		[ ]				[ ]				[ ]						[ ]
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS																		
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT		3,669,881		3,959,297		64,600		4,023,897		-922,681		3,036,616		-987,281		-366,946		3,592,351

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-6,800		-6,800				---		6,800				---
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-61,800		-61,800		-61,800		-61,800						---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-30,400		-30,400				---		30,400				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-186,500		-186,500				---		186,500				---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		---		---		-278,300		-278,300				---		278,300		-278,300		-278,300
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		---		---				---		(-379,800)		(-379,800)		(-379,800)		(-379,800)		(-379,800)
	ROUNDING ADJUSTMENT		---		---				---		150		150		150				---
	TOTAL, AIR FORCE MISSILES		8,[illegible],042		8,982,400		-611,600		8,370,800		-2,923,100		6,059,300		-2,311,500		-1,411,928		7,570,472

MISSILES, AIR FORCE

MX advanced intercontinental ballistic missile system

The budget request contained $1,418.1 million for procurement of 21 MX missiles in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $1,114.7 million for procurement of 12 MX missiles. The Senate bill would authorize $1,418.1 million for procurement of 21 MX missiles in fiscal year 1987.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,114.7 million for procurement of 12 MX missiles.

The Senate recedes.

Advanced cruise missile

The Senate bill would provide the requested authorization for the advanced cruise missile. The House amendment would reduce the requested amount by $468.0 million and reduce the advance procurement request by $93.8 million.

Section 127(b) of the House amendment would restrict obligation of funds for the advanced cruise missile until the Secretary of the Air Force decides whether to procure either the Advanced Cruise Missile or the SRAM II weapon system.

The conferees recommend authorization of the requested amounts for the advanced cruise missile. The conferees stipulated certain restrictions on the obligation of procurement funds pending the completion of development milestones that are detailed in the classified annex to this report.

The House recedes on section 127(b).

AIM-7F/M Sparrow

The budget request contained $64.9 million for procurement of 379 Sparrow missiles. The House amendment would authorize $61.7 million for procurement of 379 Sparrow missiles in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested for 379 Sparrow missiles.

The conferees recommend authorization of $61.7 million for procurement of 379 AIM-7F/M Sparrow missiles in fiscal year 1987.

AIM-9L/M Sidewinder

The budget request contained $95.6 million for procurement of 1710 AIM-9L/M Sidewinder missiles in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested. The House amendment would authorize the amount requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $93.8 million for procurement of 1710 AIM-9L/M Sidewinder missiles in fiscal year 1987.

AGM-130 Powered GBU-15

The budget request contained $26.6 million for procurement of 51 AGM powered GBU-15 missiles in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment contained no authorization for the program. The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested.

The conferees recommend authorization of $17.8 million for procurement of 20 missiles in fiscal year 1987.

AGM-65D Maverick

The budget request contained $581.0 million for procurement of 4,700 AGM-65D Maverick missiles in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $75.7 million for procurement of 585 Maverick missiles in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would authorize $481.0 million for procurement of 3,600 Maverick missiles in fiscal year 1987.

The conferees recommend authorization of $410.5 million for procurement of 3,600 Maverick missiles in fiscal year 1987.

AGM-88A HARM

The budget request contained $493.4 million for procurement of 2,130 AGM-88A HARM missiles in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $362.5 million for procurement of 1,450 HARM missiles in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would authorize $493.4 million for procurement of 2,130 HARM missiles in fiscal year 1987.

The conferees agreed to authorize $374.4 million for procurement of 1,655 AGM-88A HARM missiles in in fiscal year 1987.

Advanced medium range air-to-air missile (AMRAAM)

The budget request contained $656.6 million for procurement of 260 AMRAAM missiles and $89.9 million for advance procurement.

The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested. The House amendment would authorize $387.6 million for procurement of 135 AMRAAM missiles and $38.9 for advance procurement.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 127(d)) that would restrict obligation for low rate production procurement of the AMRAAM missile until the flight test results measuring the performance and design stability of the missile are evaluated against established program specifications. The Secretary of the Air Force is directed to provide to the respective Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on the flight test results.

Section 127(d) would also require that:

(1) AMRAAM missiles that incorporate the producibility enchancements established for the program be flight tested before full rate production is undertaken;

(2) the test results be reported to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives, and

(3) 60 calendar days expire.

The section would also cap the cost of the AMRAAM program at $7.0 billion in fiscal year 1984 dollars for the procurement of 24,000 missiles.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees recommend authorization of $537.3 million for 180 missiles and $73.1 million for the advance procurement of 640 missiles.

The Senate reecedes with an amendment that would require the following:

(1) no funds could be obligated for low rate production procurement until the Committees on Armed Services of the

Senate and House of Representatives receive a report evaluating the flight test results of the missile against the low rate production criteria; except that not more than $170 million may be obligated for long-lead procurement of the authorized missiles;

(2) all producibility enhancement must be tested before incorporation into the production missiles; and

(3) a cost cap of $7.0 billion for 24,000 missiles is established. The cap may be adjusted to reflect changes in congressional funding actions.

Modification of missiles

The budget request contained $146.5 million for the modification of missiles. The House amendment would authorize $141.3 million. The Senate bill would authorize $134.1 million.

The Senate recedes.

Missile spares and repair parts

The budget request contained authorization of $334.2 million for missile spares and repair parts. The House amendment would authorize $266.1 million. The Senate bill would authorize $334.2 million.

The conferees recommend authorization of $329.1 million as detailed in the foregoing table.

Air Force other support

The budget request contained $3,959.3 million in authorization for other support in fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $3,036.6 million. The Senate bill would authorize $4,023.9 million.

The conferees recommend authorization of $3,592.4 million for Air Force other support in fiscal year 1987.

Spaceborne equipment (COMSEC)

The budget request contained $61.9 million for procurement of communications security (COMSEC) equipment for spaceborne communications systems. The House amendment would authorize $51.9 million for the program. The Senate bill would authorize the request amount.

The conferees recommend authorization of $56.9 million for COMSEC in fiscal year 1987.

NAVSTAR global positioning system

The budget request contained $129.7 million to procure 8 NAVSTAR global positioning satellites and associated equipment. The House amendment would authorize $126.0 million for the program. The Senate bill would authorize the requested amount.

The conferees recommend authorization of $126.0 million for 8 satellites.

Space shuttle operations

The budget request contained $59.1 million for space shuttle operations. The House amendment would authorize the requested amount. The Senate bill would authorize $313.7 million for the program

The Senate recedes.

Defense support program

The budget request contained $108.5 million for the defense support program (DSP) and $250.0 million in advance procurement. The fiscal year 1987 request would initiate a new multiyear procurement for the program. The House amendment would authorize $108.5 million for the DSP program, $134.4 million in advance procurement and deny the proposed multiyear procurement. The Senate bill would authorize the requested amount, including the multiyear procurement.

The conferees recommend authorization of $63.6 million for the program, $222.7 million in advance procurement, and approval of the multiyear procurement request. The conferees agree that the Department of the Air Force may utilize the total funding available for the defense support program in order to execute a multiyear procurement contract.

Section 107(c) of the conference agreement would provide authority for the Department of the Air Force to enter into the multiyear contract. Such authority is contingent on certification by the Secretary of the Air Force that at least 20 percent savings would be realized under a multiyear contract.

Defense satellite communications program

The budget request contained $117.1 million to support the Defense Satellite Communications System (DSCS) in fiscal year 1987. The budget also contained $5.5 million in advance procurement. The House amendment would authorize $109.9 million for the program and $5.5 million in advance procurement. The Senate bill would authorize $107.1 million and $5.5 million in advance procurement.

The House recedes.

Space boosters

The budget request contained $52.7 million for the complementary expendable launch vehicle (CELV) program and $230.0 million for advance procurement. The request also contained authorization for a multiyear procurement. The House amendment would authorize $102.7 million for the CELV program, $161.0 million in advance procurement and deny the multiyear procurement. The Senate bill would authorize $102.7 million for the program, $180.0 million in advance procurement and approve the multiyear procurement.

The conferees recommend authorization of $83.7 million for the CELV and $180.0 million in advance procurement. The House recedes on the multiyear procurement because it was approved previously in the fiscal year 1986 Urgent Supplemental Appropriations Act.

Space defense system

The budget request contained authorization of $28.5 million for advance procurement for the antisatellite (ASAT) weapons system.

The House amendment would provide no authorization. The Senate bill would authorize the requested amount.

The Senate recedes.

Special programs

The budget request for Air Force other missile support contained $45.3 million for other programs, $2.1 million for forest green, $24.9 million for IONDS, $1,852.0 million for special programs and $972.6 million for special update programs. The House amendment would authorize the requested amount for other programs and IONDS, $0.4 million for forest green, $1,529.8 million for special programs and $557.8 million for special update programs. The Senate bill would authorize the requested amounts for all of the foregoing programs except special programs. The Senate bill recommends authorization of $1,672.0 million for special programs.

The confereees recommend authorization of $45.3 million for other programs, $2.1 million for forest green, $24.9 million for IONDS, $1,623.4 million for special programs, and $972.6 million for special update programs.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	MUNITIONS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT																		
	ROCKETS + LAUNCHERS																		
1	2.75 INCH ROCKET MOTOR	98,004	22,276	100,000	23,684			100,000	23,684		-1,800	100,000	21,884		-1,800			100,000	23,684
2	2.75" ROCKET HEAD, W.P.			117,380	8,314			117,380	8,314	-104,700	-7,300	12,680	1,014	-104,700	-7,300			117,380	8,314
3	GTR-18 MISSILE SIMULATOR	65,867	1,987																
4	ROCKET TRAINING 35MM	52,280	1,692																
5	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		4,601		5,704				5,704		-1,300		4,404		-1,300		-1,300		4,404
	CARTRIDGES (THOUSANDS)																		
6	9MM PARABELLUM	7,362	1,178	15,000	1,650			15,000	1,650			15,000	1,650					15,000	1,650
7	7.62 MM BLANK, LINKED			2,000	420			2,000	420			2,000	420					2,000	420
8	5.56 MM	29,513	4,522	57,706	8,264			57,706	8,264	-28,193	-3,900	29,513	4,364	-28,193	-3,900			57,706	8,264
9	20 MM COMBAT			3,225	11,898			3,225	11,898	-1,273	-3,700	1,952	8,198	-1,273	-3,700	-1,273	-3,700	1,952	8,198
10	20MM TRAINING	450	1,530	10,041	22,291			10,041	22,291	-441	-1,000	9,600	21,291	-441	-1,000	-441	-1,000	9,600	21,291
11	30 MM TRAINING	8,195	88,625	6,023	59,086			6,023	59,086	-1,400	-17,300	4,623	41,786	-1,400	-17,300		-4,900	6,023	54,186
12	30 MM HEI																		
13	30 MM API	2,165	30,613	2,364	40,000			2,364	40,000	199	-8,300	2,563	31,700	199	-8,300	199	-8,300	2,563	31,700
14	40MM HEI (GUNSHIP)																		
15	81 MM			208	20,031			208	20,031	-193	-19,000	15	1,031	-193	-19,000	-193	-19,000	15	1,031
16	40MM TP GRENADES	2,030	3,533	469	713			469	713			469	713					469	713
17	40MM HE GRENADES	1,435	16,095																
18	CART CHAFF RR-170	5,707	17,823	5,912	19,627			5,912	19,627			5,912	19,627					5,912	19,627
19	CART CHAFF, RR-136	67	546																
20	SIGNAL MK-4 MOD 3	1,258	1,681	1,440	1,454			1,440	1,454			1,440	1,454					1,440	1,454
21	MXU-4A/A ENGINE STARTER	50	4,972	79,968	8,341			79,968	8,341	-55,968	-5,800	24,000	2,541	-55,968	-5,800	-27,894	-2,900	52,074	5,441
22	CART IMP 3000 FT/LBS	1,395	3,181	1,586	3,743			1,586	3,743			1,586	3,743					1,586	3,743
23	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		8,650		12,428				12,428		-3,900		8,528		-3,900		-3,900		8,528
	BOMBS																		
24	MK-82 INERT/BDU-50	49,638	13,386	35,000	8,093			35,000	8,093	-35,000	-8,093		0	-35,000	-8,093			35,000	8,093
25	DURANDAL	2,659	80,600	3,400	88,131			3,400	88,131	-741	-19,200	2,659	68,931	-741	-19,200	-1,200	-25,431	2,200	62,700

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
26	TIMER ACTUATOR FIN FUZE	4,591	1,963	17,230	4,870			17,230	4,870	-12,639	-2,900	4,591	1,970	-12,639	-2,900	-12,639	-2,900	4,591	1,970
27	BSU-49 INFLATABLE RETARDER	49,379	31,233	47,987	27,375			47,987	27,375			47,987	27,375					47,987	27,375
28	BSU-50 INFLATABLE RETARDER	9,300	10,231	7,875	7,574			7,875	7,574			7,875	7,574					7,875	7,574
29	BOMB 2000 LB HIGH EXPLOSIVE	8,491	31,856	12,017	25,294			12,017	25,294			12,017	25,294					12,017	25,294
30	BOMB HARD TARGET 2000LB			2,290	37,818			2,290	37,818	-1,256	-24,500	1,034	13,318	-1,256	-24,500	-1,210	-20,018	1,080	17,800
31	DAACM																		
32	CLUSTER BOMB, MK-20 (ROCKEYE)	1,496	4,397																
33	LASER BOMB GUIDANCE KIT																		
34	GBU-15	495	85,000	696	138,905			696	138,905	-696	-138,905		0	-696	-138,905	-146	-28,905	550	110,000
35	BOMB PRACTICE 25 POUND	1100000	20,892	1087752	18,083			1087752	18,083	-480	-7,700	1087272	10,383	-480	-7,700			1087752	18,083
36	BOMB PRACTICE BDU-38	622	5,421																
37	BOMB, PRACTICE MK-106	120,656	1,303																
38	MK-84 BOMB-EMPTY	4,736	6,810		1,770				1,770				1,770						1,770
39	CBU-89(TMD/GATOR)	3,640	172,015	5,390	194,197			5,390	194,197	-2,246	-80,900	3,144	113,297	-2,246	-80,900	-2,246	-80,900	3,144	113,297
40	CBU-87(COMBINED EFFECTS MUNITION)	18,005	400,000	28,850	566,748			28,850	566,748	-9,650	-180,100	19,200	386,648	-9,650	-180,100	-9,650	-190,448	19,200	376,300
41	BIGEYE				28,400				28,400		-28,400		0		-28,400		-13,400		15,000
42	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		1,531		2,516				2,516		-1,000		1,516		-1,000		-1,000		1,516
	TARGETS																		
43	AERIAL TOW TARGET	361	6,798	609	12,961			609	12,961	-248	-6,200	361	6,761	-248	-6,200	-124	-3,100	485	9,861
44	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		292		127				127				127						127
	OTHER ITEMS																		
45	FLARE, IR MJU-7B	563,040	13,346	563,253	11,909			563,253	11,909			563,253	11,909					563,253	11,909
46	FLARE, PARA, LUU-4C	3,600	1,401																
47	FLARE, IR MJU-2	100,022	3,109	156,873	2,823			156,873	2,823			156,873	2,823					156,873	2,823
48	MJU-10B			165,000	29,833			165,000	29,833	-81	-11,700	164,919	18,133	-81	-11,700		-19,800	165,000	10,033
49	M-206 CARTRIDGE FLARE																		
50	MC-3223 RETARD DEVICE																		
51	SIGNAL, SMOKE/ILLUM MK-6	20,356	1,959																
52	SIGNAL SMOKE AND ILLUMINATING	239,220	2,450																
53	MC-3468, RETARD DEVICE	153	1,878																
54	RAPID MUNITIONS ASSEMBLY	49	2,825																
55	CHAFF PACKAGE RR-141A/L			111,776	4,850			111,776	4,850			111,776	4,850					111,776	4,850
56	PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENT AMMO																		
57	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		7,147		4,191				4,191				4,191						4,191

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL QTY	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST QTY	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) QTY	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION QTY	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
58	MODIFICATIONS		687		200				200				200						200
59	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		19,034		31,448		-2,000		29,448		-12,700		18,748		-10,700		-6,350		25,098
	FUZES																		
60	FMU-112/FMU-139	45,093	41,725	35,383	36,824			35,383	36,824		-4,300	35,383	32,524		-4,300		-4,300	35,383	32,524
61	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		104		35				35				35						35
	OTHER WEAPONS																		
62	M-203 GRENADE LAUNCHER	1,314	771	921	558			921	558			921	558					921	558
63	MACHINE GUN, 7.62MM, M-60	1,259	3,764	97	372			97	372			97	372					97	372
64	GAU-5 MACHINE GUN	2,917	1,367																
65	40MM MACHINE GUN, MK-19	499	4,221																
66	81MM MORTAR			27	392			27	392			27	392					27	392
67	9MM HANDGUN	19,000	6,776	24,500	8,656		-2,417	24,500	6,239		-3,600	24,500	5,056		-1,183		-3,600	24,500	5,056
68	SHOTGUN - 12 GAGE			665	278			665	278			665	278					665	278
69	NATIONAL RIFLE - M14			174	101			174	101			174	101					174	101
70	HOST NATION SUPPORT WEAPONS			1,930	3,201			1,930	3,201			1,930	3,201					1,930	3,201
	SUBTOTAL, MUNITIONS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT		1,199,077		1,546,181		-4,417		1,541,764		-603,498		942,683		-599,081		-445,152		1,101,029
	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT																		
	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES																		
71	SEDAN, 4 DR 4X2	293	1,951	396	3,085			396	3,085			396	3,085					396	3,085
72	STATION WAGON, 4X2	283	2,184	391	3,236			391	3,236			391	3,236					391	3,236
73	BUS, 28 PASSENGER	155	4,797	127	4,000			127	4,000			127	4,000					127	4,000
74	BUS INTERCITY	10	1,604	8	1,307			8	1,307			8	1,307					8	1,307
75	BUS, 44 PASSENGER	81	2,779	45	1,782			45	1,782			45	1,782					45	1,782
76	AMBULANCE, BUS	27	2,150	32	2,681			32	2,681			32	2,681					32	2,681
77	MODULAR AMBULANCE			163	5,902			163	5,902			163	5,902					163	5,902
78	14-20 PASSENGER BUS			10	272			10	272			10	272					10	272
79	LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE			335	2,955			335	2,955			335	2,955					335	2,955
	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES																		
80	TRUCK, STAKE/PLATFORM	540	6,758	555	8,016			555	8,016			555	8,016					555	8,016
81	TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 3/4T, 4X4	336	3,699	275	3,639			275	3,039			275	3,039					275	3,039
82	TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 1/2T, 4X2	486	4,446	306	2,918			306	2,918			306	2,918					306	2,918
83	TRUCK, PICKUP, 1/2T, 4X2	850	6,195	654	4,698			654	4,698			654	4,698					654	4,698

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
84	TRUCK, PICKUP, COMPACT	1,168	6,389	857	4,748			857	4,748			857	4,748					857	4,748
85	TRUCK, PICKUP 3/4T 4X4																		
86	TRUCK MULTI-STOP 1 TON 4X2	751	11,147	627	9,360			627	9,360			627	9,360					627	9,360
87	TRUCK, PANEL, 4X2	348	3,251	279	2,798			279	2,798			279	2,798					279	2,798
88	SHOP VAN 4X4	29	869																
89	TRUCK CARRYALL	571	7,529	575	7,876			575	7,876			575	7,876					575	7,876
90	COMMERCIAL UTILITY CARGO VEHICLE	258	3,610																
91	TRUCK, CARGO, 2 1/2T, 6X6, M-35	361	15,160	388	18,247			388	18,247			388	18,247					388	18,247
92	TRUCK CARGO 5T M-923.M-925	223	17,088	73	6,664			73	6,664			73	6,664					73	6,664
93	SEMI-TRAILER, 20 T	34	344																
94	TRUCK, CARGO, 2 1/2T			58	1,639			58	1,639			58	1,639					58	1,639
95	HIGH MOBILITY VEHICLE (MYP)	578	15,290	400	10,713			400	10,713			400	10,713					400	10,713
96	TRUCK, TRACTOR, 5T			43	1,553			43	1,553			43	1,553					43	1,553
97	TRUCK TRACTOR 5T M-932 (MYP)	15	978	6	412			6	412			6	412					6	412
98	TRUCK TRACTOR, OVER 5T	105	4,624	114	5,484			114	5,484			114	5,484					114	5,484
99	TRUCK WRECKER	7	369																
100	TRUCK WRECKER 5T M936 (MYP)	24	3,616	15	2,423			15	2,423			15	2,423					15	2,423
101	TRUCK, DUMP 5 TON	183	8,149	220	10,930			220	10,930			220	10,930					220	10,930
102	TRUCK, UTILITY	218	2,091																
103	VAN MISSILE MAINT																		
104	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		8,058		20,565				20,565				20,565						20,565
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES																		
105	TRUCK MAINT 4X2	287	2,453	295	3,073			295	3,073			295	3,073					295	3,073
106	TRUCK, MAINT, 4X4	101	998																
107	TRUCK, MAINT, HI-REACH	26	1,898	17	1,888			17	1,888			17	1,888					17	1,888
108	TRUCK, TELEPHONE MAINTENANCE	26	3,763	18	2,685			18	2,685			18	2,685					18	2,685
109	TRUCK, TANK, 1200 GAL	56	1,961	50	2,135			50	2,135			50	2,135					50	2,135
110	TRUCK TANK FUEL R-9																		
111	TRUCK, TANK, FUEL, M-49	31	1,784	35	2,487			35	2,487			35	2,487					35	2,487
112	TRUCK, TANK, LIQ NIT, C-5	2	467																
113	TRACTOR A/C TOW U-30																		
114	TRACTOR, A/C TOW, MB-2																		
115	TRACTOR, A/C TOW, MB-4	110	2,675	167	4,135			167	4,135			167	4,135					167	4,135
116	TRACTOR, TOW, FLIGHTLINE	260	3,853	370	5,487			370	5,487			370	5,487					370	5,487

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
117	TRACTOR, WHEELED, INDUSTRIAL	150	1,915																
118	TRACTOR, DOZER	37	2,443	83	5,538			83	5,538			83	5,538					83	5,538
119	VEHICLE,LAW ENFORCEMENT	271	2,342																
120	TRUCK, DEMINERAL WATER, 2600 GAL	9	942	23	2,473			23	2,473			23	2,473					23	2,473
121	TRUCK HI LIFT CS	2	119																
122	TRUCK HI LIFT 3T	3	186																
123	TRUCK, HI LIFT, 9T	3	182																
124	TRUCK REFUSE	23	1,793																
125	TRANSPORTABLE MAINT UNIT																		
126	TRUCK HYDRANT FUEL	39	2,919																
127	TRAILER WATER M149	19	128																
128	TRAILER FUEL A/B	7	68																
129	SEMI TRAILER COMPRESSED GAS	16	2,725	11	2,731			11	2,731			11	2,731					11	2,731
130	SEMI TRAILER TANK UDMH	1	137																
131	DOLLY M720																		
132	DOLLY M832	19	427																
133	MOBILE ARM RECON VEHICLE																		
134	POLYMER PLACEMENT TRUCK																		
135	RESIN TANK TRUCK																		
136	SCAB VEHICLE REPAIR																		
137	M-113 ARM PERS CARRIER																		
138	TRACTOR WHEELED W DOZER																		
139	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		7,530		15,369				15,369				15,369						15,369
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT																		
140	TRUCK CRASH P-4/P-19	140	23,744																
141	TRUCK CRASH P-15	5	3,494	3	2,240			3	2,240			3	2,240					3	2,240
142	TRUCK CRASH P-2	18	8,201																
143	TRUCK WATER P-18	52	3,866	79	6,088			79	6,088			79	6,088					79	6,088
144	TRUCK,PUMPER, P-8	16	1,852	28	3,242			28	3,242			28	3,242					28	3,242
145	TRUCK, PUMPER, P-12	2	186	18	1,700			18	1,700			18	1,700					18	1,700
146	TRUCK CRASH P-10	14	486																
147	TRUCK CRASH P-13/P-20	20	494																
148	TRUCK FIRE HI-REACH																		
149	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		829		4,015				4,015				4,015						4,015

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT																		
150	TRUCK F/L 4,000 LB BED/DED 144"	151	2,143	215	3,295			215	3,295			215	3,295					215	3,295
151	TRUCK, F/L 6000 LB	203	4,934	183	6,529			183	6,529			183	6,529					183	6,529
152	TRUCK, F/L 10,000 LB	196	8,279	216	9,413			216	9,413			216	9,413					216	9,413
153	TRUCK F/L LARGE CAPACITY AT	10	627																
154	TRUCK, F/L 15000 LB	19	715																
155	LARGE CAPACITY LOADER			10	4,328			10	4,328			10	4,328					10	4,328
156	25K A/C LOADER			46	4,224			46	4,224			46	4,224					46	4,224
157	TACTICAL CARGO LOADER																		
158	TRACTOR, WHSE, 4,000 LB	101	849																
159	CONTAINER, LIFT, TRUCK	20	4,453	43	9,833			43	9,833			43	9,833					43	9,833
160	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		2,658		10,532				10,532				10,532						10,532
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT																		
161	LOADER, SCOOP	54	3,601	102	7,042			102	7,042			102	7,042					102	7,042
162	LOADER, SCOOP, W/BACKHOE	20	699				21,500		21,500				0		-21,500				0
163	DISTRIBUTOR, WATER 1500 GALLON	49	2,457	56	2,855			56	2,855			56	2,855					56	2,855
164	PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENT VEHICLES																		
165	CLEANER, RUNWAY/STREET	101	5,850	191	18,038			191	18,038			191	18,038					191	18,038
166	ROLLER, VIBRATING	31	611																
167	TRUCK DUMP 22T	9	860																
168	GRADER, ROAD, MOTORIZED	54	3,110	36	2,154			36	2,154			36	2,154					36	2,154
169	CRANE, 7-50 TON	23	3,133	118	12,072			118	12,072			118	12,072					118	12,072
170	EXCAVATOR, DED, PT	29	4,305	38	5,045			38	5,045			38	5,045					38	5,045
171	WATERCRAFT	3	4,295	8	4,055			8	4,055			8	4,055					8	4,055
172	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		4,512		6,492				6,492				6,492						6,492
173	MODIFICATIONS		197		295				295				295						295
174	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		14,611		12,997				12,997				12,997						12,997
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		—		—				—		-31,600		-31,600		-31,600		-31,600		-31,600
	SUBTOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT		304,824		331,796		21,500		353,296		-31,600		300,196		-53,100		-31,600		300,196
	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP																		
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)																		
175	SPACE SYSTEMS (COMSEC)		33,497		10,002				10,002				10,002						10,002
176	TEMPEST EQUIPMENT		546		612				612				612						612

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
177	TAC SECURE VOICE		13,201		10,802				10,802				10,802						10,802
178	DCS SECURE VOICE (COMSEC)		20,185		13,338				13,338				13,338						13,338
179	SECURE DATA		42,228		35,141				35,141				35,141						35,141
180	TRI-TAC (COMSEC)		28,921		46,082				46,082		-3,000		43,082		-3,000		-3,000		43,082
181	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		7,563		11,876				11,876				11,876						11,876
182	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)		282		330				330				330						330
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS																		
183	INTELLIGENCE DATA HANDLING SYS		24,727		31,817		-264		31,553		-6,900		24,917		-6,636		-264		31,553
184	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT		6,201		12,641				12,641				12,641						12,641
185	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP		4,585		11,000				11,000				11,000						11,000
186	COBRA JUDY				248				248				248						248
187	COBRA SHOE		2,973		3,084				3,084				3,084						3,084
188	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		6,375		17,545				17,545		-1,200		16,345		-1,200				17,545
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS																		
189	TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING		4,981		11,899				11,899		-7,000		4,899		-7,000				11,899
190	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVE		84,408		64,316				64,316		-32,300		32,016		-32,300		-12,816		51,500
191	WEATHER OBSERV/FORCAST		27,468		61,494				61,494		-34,500		26,994		-34,500		-6,494		55,000
192	DEFENSE SUPPORT PROGRAM		117,825		100,093				100,093				100,093						100,093
193	OTH-B RADAR		173,036		188,157				188,157		-188,157		0		-188,157		-78,157		110,000
194	SACDIN		1,129																
195	SAC COMMAND AND CONTROL		11,123		11,265				11,265				11,265						11,265
196	LAUNCH CONTROL CENTER COMMUNICATIONS				9,415				9,415		-9,415		0		-9,415		-3,415		6,000
197	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		54,136		10,770				10,770		-2,000		8,770		-2,000		-2,000		8,770
198	PAVE PAWS/SLBM WARNING SYSTEMS		9,025		120,206				120,206				120,206				-20,800		99,406
199	BMEWS MODERNIZATION		70,754		41,032				41,032		-41,032		0		-41,032				41,032
200	SPACETRACK		10,068		4,888				4,888				4,888						4,888
201	NAVSTAR GPS		3,351		12,356				12,356		-9,100		3,256		-9,100		-4,000		8,356
202	USAFE COMMAND/CONTROL SYSTEM		8,700		12,144				12,144				12,144						12,144
203	PACAF COMMAND/CONTROL		9,897		1,153				1,153				1,153						1,153
204	DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG		9,998		3,442				3,442				3,442						3,442
205	CARIBBEAN BASIN RADAR NETWORK		4,400		11,272				11,272		-4,300		6,972		-4,300		-4,300		6,972
206	MARS/USAF-FAA RADAR UPGRADE		2,798		16,194				16,194				16,194				-16,194		0
207	TAC SIGINT SUPPORT		34,671		15,755				15,755				15,755						15,755
208	DIST ERLY WARNING RDR/NORTH WARNING		8,858		4,318				4,318				4,318						4,318

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
209	TACTICAL GROUND INTERCEPT FACILITY		17,464		5,155				5,155				5,155						5,155
210	TR-1 GROUND STATIONS		10,103																
211	AIR BASE SURVIVABILITY		1,567		3,085				3,085		-3,085		0		-3,085		-1,085		2,000
212	CINC'S TACTICAL TERMINALS(CTT)/TEREC		4,056		2,805				2,805				2,805						2,805
213	IMAGERY TRANS		19,960		12,349				12,349				12,349						12,349
214	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (NDS)																		
215	TACTICAL WARNING SYSTEMS SUPPORT		290		3,435				3,435		-3,000		435		-3,000		-935		2,500
216	NORTH ATLANTIC DEFENSE C3		22,362		26,643				26,643		-4,400		22,243		-4,400		-2,443		24,200
	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS																		
217	AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING EQUIP		162,261		135,549				135,549		-200		135,349		-200		-200		135,349
218	WWMCCS/WIS ADPE		30,220		40,092		-20,000		20,092		-4,300		35,792		15,700		-20,000		20,092
219	MAC COMMAND AND CONTROL SUPPORT		17,129		53,197				53,197		-40,100		13,097		-40,100		-10,197		43,000
220	GLCM COMMUNICATIONS		14,471		5,903				5,903				5,903						5,903
221	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM		52,500		7,154				7,154				7,154						7,154
222	WEAPONS STORAGE/SECURITY		2,944		20,535				20,535		-17,600		2,935		-17,600				20,535
223	RANGE IMPROVEMENTS		90,173		143,878				143,878		-55,000		88,878		-55,000		-35,300		108,578
224	RADAR BOMB SCORER		9,100		12,837				12,837		-3,700		9,137		-3,700		-1,837		11,000
225	PLSS		20,623		27,231		-27,231		0		-27,231		0				-27,231		0
226	C3 COUNTERMEASURES		21,300		8,146				8,146				8,146						8,146
227	JOINT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM				9,410				9,410				9,410						9,410
228	SPACE SHUTTLE		4,970		7,756				7,756		-2,900		4,856		-2,900		-2,900		4,856
229	BASE LEVEL DATA AUTO PROGRAM		47,904		99,221				99,221		-52,000		47,221		-52,000		-50,000		49,221
230	SATELLITE CONTROL FACILITY		64,262		126,614				126,614		-61,400		65,214		-61,400		-17,614		109,000
231	JTIDS																		
232	CONSTANT WATCH		15,924		4,286				4,286				4,286						4,286
233	CONSOLIDATED SPACE OPS CENTER		17,613		75,908				75,908		-13,000		62,908		-13,000				75,908
234	CMD CENTER PROCESSING/DISPLAY SYS		2,552		2,110				2,110				2,110						2,110
235	HAMMER ACE		831		1,703				1,703				1,703						1,703
236	SAMTO TEST RANGES I&M		22,144		66,928				66,928		-45,100		21,828		-45,100		-23,500		43,428
237	EMP HARDENING		12,685		15,786				15,786		-3,300		12,486		-3,300		-3,300		12,486
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS																		
238	PROGRAM 498AJ		1,965		1,927				1,927				1,927						1,927
239	INFORMATION TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS		5,740		8,038				8,038		-2,300		5,738		-2,300		-1,038		7,000
240	TELEPHONE EXCHANGE		52,726		76,599				76,599		-24,400		52,199		-24,400		-24,400		52,199

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
241	JOINT TACTICAL COMM PROGRAM		160,227		234,093				234,093		-76,500		157,593		-76,500		-78,393		155,700
242	USREDCOM		2,971		13,417				13,417		-7,500		5,917		-7,500		-2,917		10,500
243	USCENTCOM		26,043		26,427				26,427				26,427						26,427
244	AFSATCOM																		
245	AUTOMATED TELECOMMUNICATIONS PRG		18,026		23,792				23,792		-5,000		18,792		-5,000		-2,292		21,500
246	CINC MOBILE COMMAND CENTER				30,260				30,260		-30,260		0		-30,260		-30,260		0
247	MILSTAR				50,614		-50,614		0		-50,614		0				-50,614		0
248	TELETYPEWRITER EQUIPMENT		11,724																
249	SATELLITE TERMINALS		65,071		10,977				10,977				10,977						10,977
	DCA PROGRAMS																		
250	WIDEBAND SYSTEMS UPGRADE		45,700		68,740				68,740		-23,500		45,240		-23,500		-23,500		45,240
251	TECH CONTROL EXPANSION																		
252	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMER COMM NET		14,305		97,187				97,187		-83,000		14,187		-83,000		-46,000		51,187
253	DCS SECURE VOICE EQUIPMENT		10,300		37,762				37,762		-19,700		18,062		-19,700		-16,862		20,900
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE																		
254	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT		17,946		66,376				66,376		-40,100		26,276		-40,100		-16,376		50,000
255	PRODUCTIVITY IDV TELECOM		16,431		3,939				3,939				3,939						3,939
256	RADIO EQUIPMENT		38,609		58,307				58,307		-20,300		38,007		-20,300		-10,307		48,000
257	PRODUCTIVITY EDN TELECOMM				4,572				4,572				4,572						4,572
258	FIBER OPTICS		1,430		3,318				3,318		-1,900		1,418		-1,900		-318		3,000
259	TV EQUIPMENT (AFRTV)		4,493		4,920				4,920				4,920						4,920
260	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT		4,657		7,556				7,556		-3,000		4,556		-3,000		-1,556		6,000
261	C3 REPLACEMENT PROGRAM																		
262	E + I REQUIREMENTS		10,795		1,822				1,822				1,822						1,822
263	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		250,662		423,269				423,269		-89,100		334,169		-89,100		-59,500		363,769
264	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		15,468		20,690				20,690		-5,500		15,190		-5,500		-4,400		16,290
	MODIFICATIONS																		
265	COMM-ELECTRONICS CLASS IV		36,179		39,990				39,990		-3,200		36,790		-3,200		-1,490		38,500
266	TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING		3,418																
267	ORGANIZATION AND BASE																		
268	BMEWS (474L)																		

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
269	TACTICAL EQUIPMENT		25,393		21,398				21,398				21,398						21,398
270	ANTIJAM VOICE		10,909		23,758				23,758		-11,300		12,458		-11,300		-3,758		20,000
	SUBTOTAL, ELECTRONICS & TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		2,493,905		3,183,122		-98,109		3,085,013		-1,173,194		2,009,928		-1,075,085		-721,963		2,461,159
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP																		
	TEST EQUIPMENT																		
271	BASE/ALC CALIBRATION PACKAGE		45,027		54,464				54,464		-10,200		44,264		-10,200				54,464
272	REWORK AFS CALIBRATION PACKAGE		3,359		2,851				2,851				2,851						2,851
273	TEST EQUIPMENT-GEN PURP		28,772		12,456				12,456				12,456						12,456
274	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		35,585		49,841				49,841		-15,400		34,441		-15,400		-10,000		39,841
	PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP																		
275	LIFE RAFT, 20 MAN	652	989																
276	AUTOMATIC LIFE PERSERVER	3,000	2,981	5,355	5,396			5,355	5,396			5,355	5,396					5,355	5,396
277	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	683	7,338	1,098	8,763			1,098	8,763			1,098	8,763					1,098	8,763
278	BREATHING APPARATUS TWO HOUR																		
279	CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEF PROG		72,620		114,599				114,599				114,599						114,599
280	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		6,966		9,824				9,824		-3,000		6,824		-3,000		-3,000		6,824
	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ																		
281	BASE MECHANIZATION EQUIPMENT		37,099		34,731				34,731				34,731						34,731
282	AIR TERMINAL MECHANIZATION EQUIP		1,215		3,153				3,153		-2,000		1,153		-2,000				3,153
283	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		13,493		16,085				16,085		-2,900		13,185		-2,900		-2,900		13,185
	ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT																		
284	GENERATORS-MOBILE ELECTRIC		27,892		26,709				26,709				26,709						26,709
285	FLOOD LIGHTS	656	7,745	926	11,282		-8,865	926	2,417	-26	-3,600	900	7,682	-26	5,265	-26	-5,582	900	5,700
286	ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000		479		4,155				4,155				4,155						4,155
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT																		
287	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT				59,793				59,793		-16,300		43,493		-16,300		-8,193		51,600
288	MEDICAL/DENTAL EQUIPMENT		120,839		143,916		-2,000		141,916		-26,600		117,316		-24,600		-12,500		131,416
289	AIR BASE SURVIVABILITY		19,700		22,855				22,855		-22,855		0		-22,855		-11,355		11,500
290	COB ESSENTIAL FACILITIES		5,229		4,060				4,060		-4,060		0		-4,060				4,060
291	BARRIER, AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEM	2	157																
292	PALLET, AIR CARGO, 108"X88"	3,000	2,570	2,077	2,089			2,077	2,089			2,077	2,089					2,077	2,089
293	NET ASSEMBLY, 108"X88"		3,366		4,501				4,501		-1,300		3,201		-1,300		-1,300		3,201
294	PUMPS AND COMPRESSORS		1,282																

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
295	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]																
296	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			[illegible]	[illegible]			[illegible]	[illegible]					[illegible]	[illegible]
297	TACTICAL SHELTERS	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
298	PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]				[illegible]						[illegible]
299	PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCEMENT				[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
300	PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENTS		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]
301	ANTI-TERRORISM EQUIPMENT																		
302	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
303	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]				[illegible]						[illegible]
304	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
305	ITEMS LESS THAN [illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS																		
[illegible]	INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTION ACTIVITY		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
[illegible]	SCIENTIFIC/TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]				[illegible]						[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]				[illegible]						[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]				[illegible]						[illegible]
[illegible]	SELECTED ACTIVITIES		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]
[illegible]	INDUSTRIAL [illegible]				[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		0		[illegible]		[illegible]		0
[illegible]	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]				[illegible]						[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
[illegible]	MODIFICATION		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]
	NON-CENTRALLY MANAGED ITEMS																		
[illegible]	NON-CENTRALLY MANAGED ITEMS		[illegible]																
	SUBTOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE & SUPPORT EQUIP		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]		[illegible]

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	AEROSTAT RADAR SYSTEMS		0		0	3	49,300	3	49,300				0	-3	-49,300				0
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		—		—		-325,900		-325,900				—		325,900				—
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS (FY 85 & 86)		—		—				—		-90,000		-90,000		-90,000		-77,900		-77,900
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		—		—		-10,900		-10,900				—		10,900				—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		—		—		-103,500		-103,500				—		103,500				—
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		—		—		-192,100		-192,100				—		192,100		-192,100		-192,100
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		—		—				—		(-390,200)		(-390,200)		(-390,200)		(-390,200)		(-390,200)
	ROUNDING ADJUSTMENT		—		—				—		-43		-43		-43				—
	TOTAL, AIR FORCE OTHER PROCUREMENT		8,560,003		10,820,300		-702,452		10,117,848		-2,380,200		8,440,100		-1,677,748		-1,719,377		9,100,923

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Overview

The fiscal year 1987 budget request contained authorization of $10,900.3 million for other procurement.

The Senate bill woud authorize 10,117.8 million for other procurement. The House amendment would provide $8,640.1 million in authorization.

The conferees recommend authorization of $9,180.9 million for other procurement in the following manner:

	Millions
Munitions and associated equipment	$1,101.0
Vehicular equipment	300.2
Electronics and telecommunications equipment	2,461.2
Other base maintenance and support equipment	5,588.5

Specific program authorizations are detailed in the foregoing table.

Tactical and suppport vehicles

The budget request contained $331.8 million for procurement of tactical and support vehicles.

The House amendment would authorize $300.2 million for tactical and support vehicles. The Senate bill would authorize $353.3 million for tactical and support vehicles.

The conferees agreed to authorize $300.2 million for tactical and support vehicles. Within the authorization, the conferees agreed to an undistributed reduction of $31.6 million. In applying this reduction, the conferees encourage the Department of the Air Force initially to consider categories such as administrative use vehicles or passenger cars and other noncritical items.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Over the horizon backscatter radar

The budget request contained $188.2 million for the over-the-horizon-backscatter radar (OTH-B) program in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill would provide the requested authorization. The House amendment would provide no authorization for the program.

The conferees recommend authorization of $110.0 million for one sector on the OTH-B radar program. Prior to the submission of the fiscal year 1988 budget request, the Secretary of the Air Force is directed to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives with a report detailing the performance of the OTH-B radar, the planned improvements to the system, the cost of the improvements and the schedule for implementing the improvements.

Base level data automation program

The budget request contained authorization of $99.2 million for the base level data automation program. The House amendment would authorize $47.2 million for the program. The Senate bill would authorize the requested amount.

The conferees recommend authorization of $49.2 million in fiscal year 1987.

The conferees recognize that, during a time of severe budgetary constraints, key programs that under more favorable conditions would be supported by the Congress must be reduced. The Air Force base level data automation program, unfortunately, falls into this category. The conferees are fully aware the funding reductions have direct impact on military mobility and readiness support programs. In light of the importance of this particular program, the conferees recommend that the Air Force, if necessary, make funds available for current or past fiscal years, as sources to support this program.

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE AGENCIES

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD																		
1	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD/WHS		62,651		111,289		-6,000		105,289				111,289		6,000		-6,000		105,289
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA																		
2	CLASSIFIED EQUIPMENT		[ ]		[ ]		61,000		61,000		-59,500		-59,500		-120,500		-2,767		-2,767
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DNA																		
3	VEHICLES		237		232				232				232						232
4	OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT		5,663		4,298				4,298				4,298						4,298
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCA																		
5	WWMCCS ADP SYSTEMS		14,978		14,887				14,887				14,887						14,887
6	DEFENSE SWITCHING NETWORK		10,831																
7	ITEMS LESS THAN $900,000 EACH		43,476		40,169				40,169				40,169						40,169
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIA																		
8	INTELLIGENCE & COMMUNICATIONS		[ ]		[ ]		-4,100		-4,100		-6,000		-6,000		-1,900		-4,773		-4,773
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA																		
9	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		9,666		8,642				8,642				8,642						8,642
10	VEHICLES		1,869		1,387				1,387				1,387						1,387
11	MECHANIZED MATERIALS HANDLING SYS		14,620		24,723				24,723				24,723						24,723
12	ADP EQUIPMENT		26,449		60,026				60,026				60,026						60,026
13	TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT		10,982		17,289				17,289				17,289						17,289
14	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT		10,605		10,023				10,023				10,023						10,023
15	ITEMS LESS THAN $900,000 EACH		5,950		2,900				2,900				2,700						2,900
16	AUTOMATED MARKING/READING SYSTEM(LOGMARS)		220		500				500				500						500
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMA																		
17	ADP EQUIPMENT		19,967																
18	VEHICLES		342		368				368				368						368
19	OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT		29,278		30,120				30,120				30,120						30,120
20	AF PHASE IV COMPUTER		1,800																
21	2-COLOR OFFSET PRINTING PRESS																		
22	DIRECT PRINTING SYSTEM																		

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL QTY	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST QTY	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION QTY	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) QTY	DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE) AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION QTY	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
23	RASTER SCAN EDIT STATION				1,746				1,746				1,746						1,746
24	PASS REPLACEMENT		2,558																
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIS																		
25	VEHICLES		5,686		1,789		932		2,721				1,789		-932				1,789
26	OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT		1,380		1,849		168		2,017				1,849		-168				1,849
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, USUHS																		
27	ITEMS LESS THAN $900,000 EACH		743		1,295				1,295				1,295						1,295
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA																		
28	ITEMS LESS THAN $900,000 EACH		7,588		8,064				8,064				8,064						8,064
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMA																		
29	ITEMS LESS THAN $900,000 EACH																		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, [illegible]																		
30	ITEMS LESS THAN $900,000 EACH		338,200		402,800				402,800		-57,700		345,100		-57,700		-36,000		372,800
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIS																		
31	ITEMS LESS THAN $900,000 EACH		2,145		1,700				1,700				1,700						1,700
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		659,856		742,304				742,304				742,304						742,304
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		---		---		-62,900		-62,900				---		62,900				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-2,300		-2,300				---		2,300				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-17,700		-17,700				---		17,700				---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)		---		---		-26,200		-26,200				---		26,200		-26,200		-26,200
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		---		---				---		(-35,000)		(-35,000)		(-35,000)		(-35,000)		(-35,000)
	TOTAL, DEFENSE AGENCIES PROCUREMENT		1,287,740		1,489,400		-57,100		1,431,300		-123,200		1,365,200		-66,100		-69,740		1,418,660

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE AGENCIES

Overview

The budget request contained $1,488.4 million for procurement, defense agencies, for fiscal year 1987. The House amendment would authorize $1,365.2 million for procurement, defense agencies. The Senate bill would authorize $1,431.3 million.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,418.7 million for procurement, defense agencies for fiscal year 1987. Specific details are presented in the foregoing table.

DOD CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
1	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - RDT&E		0		9,800				9,800				9,800						9,800
2	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - PROCUREMENT		0		49,800				49,800				49,800						49,800
3	CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - O&M		0		60,500				60,500				60,500						60,500
	TOTAL, DOD CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION		0		120,100		0		120,100		0		120,100		0		0		120,100

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		(HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	RESERVE EQUIPMENT																		
1	RESERVE, ARMY		345,000		0		90,000		90,000		100,000		100,000		10,000		90,000		90,000
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT				0		90,000		90,000		100,000		100,000		10,000		90,000		90,000
2	RESERVE, NAVY		100,000		0		45,000		45,000		66,000		66,000		21,000		61,000		61,000
	HCS (HH-60) MODIFICATIONS				0		30,000		30,000				0		-30,000				0
	SEABEES				0		15,000		15,000				0		-15,000		15,000		15,000
	CSAR HELICOPTERS				0				0		38,000		38,000		38,000		38,000		38,000
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				0				0		20,000		20,000		20,000				0
	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES				0				0		8,000		8,000		8,000		8,000		8,000
3	RESERVE, MARINE CORPS		70,000		0		20,000		20,000		74,000		74,000		54,000		65,000		65,000
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT				0		20,000		20,000				0		-20,000		20,000		20,000
	MCM SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES				0				0		3,600		3,600		3,600		5,000		5,000
	MISCELLANEOUS ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT				0				0		29,300		29,300		29,300				0
	ANALYZER SET ENGINE (STE/ICE)				0				0		1,100		1,100		1,100				0
	KC-130 T AIRCRAFT				0				0		40,000		40,000		40,000		40,000		40,000
4	RESERVE, AIR FORCE		180,000		0		150,000		150,000		150,000		150,000		0		150,000		150,000
	C-130 AIRCRAFT				0	8	150,000	8	150,000	8	150,000		150,000		0	8	150,000	8	150,000
	SUBTOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT		715,000		0		305,000		305,000		390,000		390,000		85,000		366,000		366,000

LINE NO.	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL		FY 87 REQUEST		SENATE BILL AS PASSED				HOUSE BILL AS PASSED				DIFFERENCE (HOUSE +/- TO SENATE)		CONFERENCE BILL			
						CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION		CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION				CHANGE FROM REQUEST		RECOMMENDATION	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT																		
5	NATIONAL GUARD, ARMY		531,800		0		140,000		140,000		69,100		69,100		-70,900		147,500		147,500
	UH-60 BLACKHAWK				0	5	30,000	5	30,000				0	-5	-30,000	2	10,000	2	10,000
	5-TON TRUCK				0	250	22,500	250	22,500		20,600		20,600	-250	-1,900	225	20,000	225	20,000
	M-113				0	76	20,000	76	20,000				0	-76	-20,000				0
	AN/PRC-104A				0	754	10,200	754	10,200				0	-754	-10,200	754	10,200	754	10,200
	AN/GRC-193A				0	310	9,900	310	9,900				0	-310	-9,900	310	9,900	310	9,900
	AN/GRC-213				0	184	3,400	184	3,400				0	-184	-3,400	184	3,400	184	3,400
	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING				0				0		25,000		25,000		25,000		50,000		50,000
	COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT				0				0		23,500		23,500		23,500				0
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT				0		44,000		44,000				0		-44,000		44,000		44,000
6	NATIONAL GUARD, AIR		255,000		0		20,000		20,000		50,000		50,000		30,000		50,000		50,000
	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT				0		20,000		20,000		50,000		50,000		30,000		50,000		50,000
	SUBTOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT		786,800		0		160,000		160,000		119,100		119,100		-40,900		197,500		197,500
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)		---		---		-500		-500				---		500				---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)		---		---		-10,200		-10,200				---		10,200				---
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)		---		---				---		(-18,800)		(-18,800)		(-18,800)		(-18,800)		(-18,800)
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT		1,501,800		0		454,300		454,300		509,100		509,100		54,800		563,500		563,500

PROCUREMENT, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE

National Guard and Reserve Forces

The House amendment provided $509.1 million in additional authorizations to the requested amount for procurement of Guard and Reserve Forces equipment in fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill authorized an additional $454.3 million for such purposes.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 105) that would require, beginning in fiscal year 1988, the secretary of Defense to budget separately for the National Guard and Reserve components. Another provision (sec. 106) in the House amendment would establish an obligation ceiling for Guard and Reserve funds.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees recommend authorization of $563.5 million in additional procurement for the Guard and Reserve Forces. Also, the conferees agreed to earmark 18 AH-64 Apache helicopters, 3 UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters, and 6 CH-47 Chinook helicopters for the Guard and Reserve Forces.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Multiyear procurement (sec. 107)

For fiscal year 1987, the Department of Defense requested multiyear procurement authority for eight candidate systems.

The House amendment recommends approval of authority to enter into multiyear contracts for the Patriot missile system and the Stinger missile. The House amendment would deny the authorization for multiyear contracts for the following systems: UH-60A Blackhawk and EH-60A aircraft Quickfix airframes; F/A-18 aircraft; HARM missile; Defense Support Program; and Complementary Expendable Launch Vehicle.

Further, the House amendment contained a provision (sec. 110) that would provide the authority for the service Secretaries to enter a multiyear contract only if (1) the contract contains negotiated and priced options for quantities that vary by 30 percent up or down in each year; and (2) the Secretary certifies that the multiyear contract provides no less than 12 percent savings as compared to the same program executed with annual contracts.

The Senate bill recommends approval of authority to enter into multiyear contract for the UH-60A Blackhawk and EH-60A, Patriot Missile System, HARM weapon system, Defense Support Program. The Senate bill would deny authorization for MK-45 gun mounts, MK-6 ammunition hoists, and F/A-18 aircraft.

The conferees agreed to approve the following multiyear programs: UH-60 Blackhawk airframe, EH-60 Quickfix airframe, Patriot Missile System, Defense Support Program, HARM missile, MK-45 gun mounts, and MK-6 ammunition hoists. The conferees agreed to a provision that provides the authority to enter a multiyear contract only if the Secretary certifies that the multiyear contract provides not less than 12 percent savings as compared to the same program executed with annual contracts. With respect to the Defense Support Program, the conferees agreed to approve a multiyear contract only if that contract provides not less than 20 percent savings as compared to annual contracts.

Concerning the Stinger missile, the Senate bill contained a provision that would direct the Secretary of the Army to solicit bids to establish a competitive second source for the Stinger missile and to determine which contracting method, multiyear or contracting, is more cost-effective. In order to enter into a multiyear contract, the Secretary would need to certify in a report, validated by the Government Accounting Office (GAO), that the multiyear method is less costly.

The conferees agreed to the Senate provision concerning acquisition of the Stinger missile.

Finally, the conferees denied the requested multiyear procurement authority for the F/A-18 aircraft.

Air-to-air Stinger modification (sec. 122(c))

The budget request contained $28.8 million for modification of Army aircraft for incorporation of air-to-air Stinger missiles. Both the Senate bill and the House amendment contained the requested authorization.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 122(d)) that would restrict obligation of funds for the air-to-air Stinger missile aircraft modification program until a comprehensive acquisition plan is developed and integrated into the Army's forward area air defense plan. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conference agreement includes a provision (see. 112(c)) that would require the following condition to be met before funds could be obligated for the program:

(1) a comprehensive acquisition plan for air-to-air Stinger missile, for Army aircraft is established; and

(2) a report on the plan is submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The conferees expect the air-to-air Stinger missile program to be integrated into the overall Army aviation master plan.

DDG-51 destroyer program—racer (sec. 131)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 102(d)(2)) that would repeal section 102(h) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525) that limits obligation or expenditure of funds for the DDG-51 program until the Secretary of the Navy certifies that the lead ship of that class is capable of being equipped with the Rankine Cycle Energy Recovery (RACER) system without rearrangement of ship spaces and equipment or other major modification of the ship.

Section 124 of the House amendment would also repeal section 102(h) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985. The House amendment would further require the Secretary of the Navy to plan for the installation of RACER on the second flight of DDG-51 ships, including preparation of a ship design that includes the RACER system.

The conferees agreed to recommend the repeal of section 102(h) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985.

The House recedes.

Basic point defense missile system on amphibious vessels (sec. 132)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 125) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to maintain in an operational status the Basic Point Defense Missile System on any commissioned amphibious vessel equipped with the system on the date of enactment until a follow-on system of comparable capability is available for immediate replacement.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Rolling airframe missile (sec. 133))

The Administration requested $24.2 million for continuing research and development of the 5-inch Rolling Airframe Missile (RAM), $63.2 million in Weapons Procurement, Navy and $36.0 million in Other Procurement, Navy, for initial production of the missile and associated hardware.

The Senate bill would authorize the development request $40 million of the Weapons Procurement, Navy request and the Other Procurement, Navy request. However, the Senate bill also contained an amendment (sec. 102(g)) that restricted the obligation of those funds pending a certification from the Secretary of Defense.

The House amendment would authorize no funds for either development or procurement of the missile program and contained a provision (sec. 204(j)) that would impose restrictions on the obligation of any funds appropriated in fiscal year 1987 for purposes of further development or procurement of the RAM missile.

The RAM missile program has experienced serious development problems. Cost have risen substantially over initial estimates. Initial flight tests uncovered serious reliability problems. The program has been extensively restructured during the past year. Were this solely a U.S. Navy program, the conferees would likely support its termination.

The RAM missile program is exceptional, however, in that it involves close collaboration with the Federal Republic of Germany. The FRG Navy has a critical need for the RAM program and has borne 50 percent of the development cost to date. The Navy has developed an acquisition strategy that will pit the American and German contractors in competition for annual production orders. In many ways the RAM missile program represents a model for alliance cooperative acquisition strategies.

The conferees believe the joint development aspect of the RAM missile justifies continued development of the program, despite its serious past flaws. Further, while the Navy has taken constructive steps during the past nine months to give the program sound footing, the conferees remain concerned that the RAM missile is still unproven. Consequently, the conferees approve further development of the program and sufficient procurement funds to initiate production capability, contingent upon a certification by the Secretary of Defense as to the cost and performance of the RAM missile program. If that certification is not made by March 30, 1987, the RAM program is to be terminated.

Strategic bombers (secs. 141-142)

No authorization was requested for a strategic bomber contingency fund. Section 107 of the House amendment would authorize a $200.0 million strategic bomber contingency fund using amounts appropriated for procurement of aircraft for the Air Force for fiscal year 1985 that remain available for obligation.

Section 108 of the House amendment would restrict fiscal year 1987 funds for the Advanced Technology Bomber to the level appropriated in fiscal year 1986 unless the Secretary of Defense submits acquisition plans to the Congress providing for dual sourcing. A waiver could be provided if the Secretary prepares an acquisition strategy for the ATB and submits a report describing that strategy. Any revision could not be implemented until 60 days after the date on which the revision report is received by both committees.

Further, the Secretary would be directed to submit a detailed financial analysis on the projected cost of procurement of 132 advanced technology bomber aircraft. The analysis would be the basis on which the President could certify the cost of the ATB program in fiscal year 1988, it would be reviewed by the General Accounting Office, and it would provide the required information base for imposing a cost cap on the program.

Section 1237 of the Senate bill would express the Sense of Congress that the advanced technology bomber (ATB) program and the advanced cruise missile (ACM) program should be supported. The provision would restrict the use of funds authorized for the ATB and ACM programs to those programs exclusively. Also, section 1237 would prohibit the use of any funds for additional B-1B bomber aircraft beyond the 100 B-1B's previously authorized.

The House recedes on section 107. The Senate recedes on section 108 with an amendment. The House recedes on section 1237.

The conferees remain supportive of the Administration's strategic bomber modernization program and note the considerable success of the B-1B program in meeting performance, cost and schedule objectives. This success is attributed, in part, to the two bomber program, which has created a competitive environment, and to the cap on total B-1B program costs.

Notwithstanding this success, however, the conferees agree that the B-1B program be limited to the 100 aircraft for which funds have already been authorized and appropriated and that no funds be authorized for the purpose of preserving a B-1B production option, either by procurement of "long lead" items or in any other manner.

As the B-1B line closes down, the conferees are concerned that the competitive environment that marked the B-1B acquisition will not be present to keep Advanced Technology Bomber (ATB) costs down. Also, although the benefits of a cost cap on the B-1B program have been widely acclaimed, the conferees believe that insufficient information exists at this time to establish a comparable funding cap on the ATB program. For these reasons, the conferees believe that an acquisition strategy for the ATB that provides the greatest possible assurance of delivering an effective force on time and within cost is necessary and important.

To this end, the conferees have included a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to prepare an acquisition strategy for the Advanced Technology Bomber. This strategy should examine alternatives and make recommendations with respect to methods of maintaining cost constraints on the ATB program, including competitive procurement of major systems or subsystems, competition in integration and assembly, and the appropriate timing of and level for a total program cost cap in the ATB program. The section also would require a detailed financial analysis of the procurement program and a review of the completed analysis by the Comptroller General.

CHEMICAL DETERRENT PROGRAMS

Overview

The administration requested a total of $1,135.2 million for the U.S. chemical deterrent program in fiscal year 1987. Of the total amount requested, $814.4 million or 72 percent is for protective measures; $200.7 million or 18 percent is for retaliatory programs; and $120.1 million or 10 percent is for the demilitarization of existing unitary munitions.

Demilitarization (sec. 151)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 106) that provided $120.1 million for the chemical demilitarization program. The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 106) authorizing $120.1 million for this purpose.

The Senate recedes.

Binary chemical munitions (sec. 152)

The fiscal year 1987 budget request contained authorization of $158.5 million for the binary chemical weapons program. The House amendment authorized $68.3 million for the program. The Senate bill authorized the requested amount.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 123) that would prohibit the obligation of fiscal year 1986 and 1987 funds before October 1, 1987 for the binary chemical weapons program. Section 123 would further require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Congress on the military requirements for long-range stand-off chemical weapons and the comparative advantages and disadvantages of the Bigeye bomb vis-a-vis substitutes for it.

Section 128 of the House amendment would prohibit the withdrawal of currently stored chemical munitions in Europe unless they are replaced with binary chemical munitions stationed in at least one European member nation of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 109) that is a technical amendment to section 1411(b)(1) of the fiscal year 1986 Department of Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 99–145). The amendment would clarify the intended reference to the appropriate NATO decision-making body that approves military force goals.

The conferees recommend authorization of $121.3 million for the binary chemical weapons program in fiscal year 1987. The conferees agreed to provide full funding for the 155mm (GB-2) binary artillery shell, both for production facilities and for the procurement of munition components. However, subject to section 1411 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-145), final assembly of binary chemical munitions, including the GB-2, may not occur before October 1, 1987.

The conferees agreed to provide $35.0 million for the production of the Bigeye binary chemical bomb in fiscal year 1987. However, the conferees adopted bill language in section 152 that provided that these funds may not be obligated or expended before October 1, 1987 for the procurement, including components, or assembly of the Bigeye bomb. Section 152 of the bill also directs the Secretary of Defense to involve the Comptroller General in monitoring Bigeye testing, and directs the General Accounting Office to submit an evaluation of these tests to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives not later than June 1, 1987.

In a related action, the conferees agreed to deny funds to develop a second source for the Bigeye bomb. In view of the technical issues that need to be resolved before full scale production of Bigeye can be justified, the conferees believe that the development of a second source at this time is premature. The conferees further agreed that of the fiscal year 1986 funding for Bigeye production facilities, only $90 million shall be available for obligation. Furthermore, these funds may be obligated or expended only upon certification by the President that: (1) production of the Bigeye is in the national security interests of the United States; and (2) all design, planning and environmental requirements for such facilities have been met.

Additionally, the conferees agreed that no final assembly of the Bigeye bomb may occur before October 1, 1988. The bill also requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Congress not later than March 15, 1987, on the requirements for a long-range stand-off chemical weapon system. The conferees agreed to provide $5.0 million under Defense Agencies for research and development of a long-range chemical stand-off weapon.

In other provisions related to the chemical deterrent program, the House recedes on section 109 of the Senate bill. The House also recedes on section 128 of the House amendment, and on section 1221 of the Senate bill. The Senate recedes on section 1021 of the House amendment. The Senate recedes with an amendment to section 123 of the House amendment.

The following table summarizes the conferees' recommendations with respect to the Binary Munitions Production Program.

BINARY MUNITIONS PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

[In millions of dollars]

Item	Fiscal year 1987 request	H.R. 4428 as passed	S. 2638 as passed	Conference
155mm artillery:				
Production facilities	60.6	32.6	60.6	50.6
Metal parts	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
DC facility	18.6	18.6	18.6	18.6
Prove out DC	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5

BINARY MUNITIONS PROCUREMENT SUMMARY—Continued

[In millions of dollars]

Item	Fiscal year 1987 request	H.R. 4428 as passed	S. 2638 as passed	Conference
Total	86.3	58.3	86.3	86.3
Bigeye bomb:				
Production	56.8	10.0	56.8	35.0
Facilities	15.4	0	15.4	0
Total	72.2	10.0	72.2	35.0
Grand total	158.5	68.3	158.5	121.3

Protective equipment

The conferees continue to strongly support both research and development, and procurement of chemical protective equipment for U.S. military personnel. Specific funding for each of these programs is contained in the funding charts for each of the services. Any funding reductions recommended for these programs are without prejudice.

Chemical protective mask.—As part of the binary chemical modernization program, the Army has been developing a new protective mask to replace the M17. The report on the Senate bill (S.Rept. 99-331) encouraged the Army to terminate development work on a new mask, to select a design on a competitive basis among the several candidates, and to begin procurement of the new mask with 1987 procurement funds. In addition, no procurement funds were to be used to procure additional M17 masks.

The report accompanying the House amendment (H.Rept. 99-718) contained no similar direction.

The conferees support the Army's stated requirement on the need for a new generation chemcial protective masks. The Army has been developing a new mask for over a decade, and the conferees believe that the Army has completed sufficient testing—both developmental and operational—to enable it to make a source selection for the production of a new mask. Therefore, the conferees direct the Army to select a new mask from among the competitive developers as soon as possible, but not later than March 1, 1987. Upon completion of this competitive selection, and prior to the obligation of fiscal year 1987 production funds, the Army shall report to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on the results of this competition.

The conferees direct that this selection be made on the basis of a full open competition. However, in making this selection, the conferees encourage the Secretary of the Army to give full consideration to the agent resistance, protection factor, producibility, use acceptance, comfort and durability of the competitive designs, as well as the ability of the competitors to rapidly validate their technical data packages and enter production. In addition, in determining the relative costs and merits of the competitive designs, consideration should be given to those masks that have already incorporated any pre-planned product improvements identified by the Army.

Lexington—Blue Grass Depot (sec. 153)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would prohibit the shipment of chemical weapons into the Lexington—Blue Grass Depot in Richmond, Kentucky. Following disposal of existing munitions at the depot, the facility could not be used for further chemical weapons-related activities. The Secretary of Defense could waive the provision if he determines that it is in the national security interest.

The House amendment contains no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report of chemical weapons demilitarization (sec. 154)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1021) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report by February 1, 1987 to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on the chemical weapons demilitarization program. The report is to describe the methods for carrying out such programs that optimize safety and cost effectiveness considerations. This report will complement the Army's report to Congress on an accelerated chemical destruction plan, and thus help the Congress reach a decision on the most prudent destruction plan to pursue.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions although the Senate asked for a similar report in the report language accompanying its bill.

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

DDG-51 destroyer program—helicopter facilities

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 102(d)(1)) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to incorporate helicopter support facilities into each DDG-51 class destroyer constructed with funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees reaffirm their desire that the Navy develop and produce the most cost-effective design for the DDG-51 class detroyer. The Secretary of the Navy's recent report on options for expanding DDG-51 class helicopter support facilities indiciates that options may exist of upgrading the helicopter capability of these ships in a prudent and relatively inexpensive manner.

The conferees encourage the Navy to explore and move forward with such helicopter support capability for the DDG-51 class as may be accommodated within available resources.

MSH-X minesweeper hunter

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 102(e)) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to procure from one or more allied foreign countries a lead minesweeper hunter ship and a partially outfitted hull of a minesweeper hunter ship. The provision would require that follow-on MSH-X ships be constructed in U.S. shipyards and that funds not be obligated or expended for procurement of an MSH-X ship or a partially outfitted hull until the Secretary of the Navy certifies the inability of U.S. shipyards to build a minesweeper hunter ship in a timely manner.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees remain concerned about the serious shortcomings in U.S. mine countermeasures capability. Despite good faith efforts over the years to redress these deficiencies, the results have been disappointing, and corrective actions are clearly needed.

The conferees are aware that the Navy has contracted to acquire a European minesweeper hunter design—now designated as coastal minehunter (MHC)—in an effort to speed up the acquisition process. In accordance with current law, the Navy plans to construct any ships employing this design in U.S. shipyards.

The conferees expect the Navy to acquire a U.S.-built minesweeper hunter of a design that meets all applicable requirements and specifications, using funds that remain available for that purpose ($115 million).

The Senate recedes.

Consolidation of navy munitions funds

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 102(f)) that would require the President to include within a single account all budget requests for Navy munitions for fiscal year 1988 and subsequent years.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

30mm GAU-8 ammunition

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 103(b)) that would restrict the obligation of funds for the 30 millimeter GAU-8 ammunition program until such time as the requirement for depleted uranium penetrators is broken out as a separate procurement items.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 111) that directs the Secretary of Defense to procure depleted uranium penetrators on a government-furnished material basis from at least two sources in the existing production base for such penetrators.

In addition, the House report (H. Rept. 99-718) directed the General Accounting Office (GAO) to evaluate the cost/benefit tradeoffs to the government of separately providing the depleted uranium penetrator as compared to acquiring a complete munition round from a contractor. Also, the evaluation is to assess the advantages and disadvantages of the government, rather than a contractor, functioning as the integrator of the round.

The conferees agreed to delete both provisions.

The conferees understand and expect the Department of the Army, acting in its capacity as single manager of ammunition, to procure, competitively, the fiscal year 1986 and the fiscal year 1987 30mm API ammunition on a procurement breakout basis.

The conferees also expect that depleted uranium (DU) components for the 30mm API ammunition will be competitively procured from the production base.

The conferees do not intend to reopen competition that may already have occurred with the fiscal year 1986 and fiscal year 1987 30mm API ammunition program.

The conferees also endorse the GAO report requested by the House.

Flight inspection aircraft

The House amendment included section 112 which directed the Secretary of the Air Force to obligate within 180 days of the enactment of the fiscal year 1987 Defense Authorization Act previously authorized and appropriated funds for the procurement of a new flight inspection aircraft.

Such aircraft should be procured competitively and should meet the performance specifications established in paragraph 2C(2) of the Department of the Air Force Program Management Directive for Flight Inspection Aircraft dated July 11, 1984.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Contingent authorization

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 114) that would make available $30 million for Missile Procurement, Army; $57.3 million for Other Procurement, Army (to be derived from other support equipment); and $490.4 million for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force from amounts authorized for procurement only to the extent that amounts are made available through reductions elsewhere in the Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Upgrage of A-10 weapons delivery system

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 115) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to upgrade the A-10 weapons delivery system. Such upgrades should include flight control modifications to improve gun firing stability, enhancement of target strafing accuracy, tactical training against moving targets, accurate lateral toss-capable bombing and effective self-defense against likely air threats.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees support the A-10 upgrade program but are relucant to proceed with the weapons delivery system improvement program without detailed cost and schedule information.

The conferees encourage the Department of the Air Force to evaluate the proposed upgrade program and, if a decision is made to undertake the program, to provide to the respective Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report in support of the decision.

A/F 32T-9 noise suppressor system

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 127(g)) that would restrict the obligation of any funds made available to the Department of the Air Force for the procurement of the A/F 32T-9

Noise Suppressor System unless competitive procurement is utilized.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

GENEAL

The Administration requested a total authorization of $41,929.9 million for fiscal year 1987 Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E) appropriations. The conferees agreed on a total authorization of $36,215.6 million for the RDT&E program, a reduction of $5,714.3 million from the Administration's request. The following table summarizes the conference actions:

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (RDT&E)—SUMMARY

[In thousands of dollars]

Department	Fiscal year request	Senate bill	House amendment	Conference
Army	5,550,300	4,815,669	4,763,664	4,712,729
Navy	10,586,800	9,289,678	8,640,989	9,294,106
Air Force	17,275,400	14,941,773	13,724,958	15,019,084
Defense agencies	8,517,400	7,310,974	6,671,682	7,189,633
Total, RDT&E	41,929,900	36,358,094	33,801,293	36,215,552

CONFERENCE SUMMARY—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (RDT&E)

[In thousands of dollars]

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
61101A	IN-HOUSE LAB INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	25,105	19,020		19,020		19,020			19,020
61102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	233,544	212,594		212,594		212,594		-2,594	210,000
61103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	6,052	12,300		12,300		12,300			12,300
62105A	MATERIALS	12,481	16,346		16,346		16,346			16,346
62111A	ATMOSPHERIC INVESTIGATIONS	5,490	11,563	-5,000	6,563	-1,000	10,563	4,000	-5,000	6,563
62120A	NUCLEAR WEAPONS EFFECTS/FLUIDICS	22,400	47,797		47,797	-4,000	43,797	-4,000	-10,000	37,797
62122A	SURVIVABILITY ENHANCEMENTS	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
62201A	AIRCRAFT WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	3,307	1,416		1,416		1,416			1,416
62202A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS TECHNOLOGY	9,083	11,102		11,102		11,102			11,102
62209A	AERONAUTICAL TECHNOLOGY	27,451	34,814		34,814		34,814			34,814
62210A	AIRDROP TECHNOLOGY	2,053	2,900		2,900		2,900			2,900
62303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	28,649	24,587		24,587		24,587			24,587
62307A	LASER WEAPON TECHNOLOGY	11,133	11,228		11,228		11,228			11,228
62601A	TANK AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	22,120	25,711		25,711		25,711			25,711
62603A	LARGE CALIBER AND NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY	22,442	0		0		0			0
62617A	SMALL CAL AND FIRE CNTRL TECHNOLOGY	11,561	0		0		0			0
62618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	43,413	46,155		46,155		46,155			46,155
62622A	CHEMICAL AND SMOKE MUNITIONS	14,083	23,228		23,228		23,228		-1,166	22,062
62623A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,493	11,119		11,119		11,119			11,119
62624A	WEAPONS & MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	0	28,663	-10,000	18,663	-5,000	23,663	5,000	-10,000	18,663
62701A	TACTICAL TELEPROCESSING TECHNOLOGY	17,213	26,894		26,894		26,894			26,894
62702A	COMBAT SURVEILL TARGET ACQUIS & IDENT	4,516	7,766		7,766		7,766			7,766
62704A	ATM ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA DEV	3,062	3,413		3,413		3,413			3,413
62705A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	21,550	24,029		24,029		24,029		-1,529	22,500
62706A	CHEM BIOLOGICAL DEF / GEN INVEST	39,560	39,656		39,656		39,656			39,656
62707A	MAPPING - GEODESY	9,000	9,678		9,678		9,678			9,678
62709A	NIGHT VISION INVESTIGATIONS	20,840	28,056		28,056		28,056			28,056
62715A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
62716A	HUMAN FACTORS ENG SYS DEV	13,993	17,811		17,811		17,811			17,811
62717A	HUMAN PERFORMANCE EFFECT/SIMULATION	5,220	13,113		13,113		13,113			13,113
62719A	MOBILITY AND WEAPONS EFFECTS TECH	12,265	14,879		14,879		14,879			14,879
62720A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECH	8,930	8,760		8,760		8,760			8,760
62722A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING	7,533	4,730		4,730		4,730			4,730
62723A	CLOTHING EQUIP AND SHELTER TECH	8,996	18,012	-7,000	11,012	-3,000	15,012	4,000	-5,000	13,012
62724A	JT SVC FOOD SYS TECH	5,960	5,162		5,162	-1,500	3,662	-1,500	-662	4,500
62725A	COMPUTER AND INFORMATION SCIENCE	2,196	2,574		2,574		2,574			2,574
62727A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES	5,042	4,397		4,397		4,397			4,397
62730A	COLD REGIONS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	6,990	4,308		4,308		4,308			4,308
62731A	MILITARY FACILITIES ENGINEERING TECHNOLO	5,345	5,764		5,764		5,764			5,764
62733A	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT TECHNOLOGY	13,708	15,914		15,914		15,914		-414	15,500
62734A	MED DEFENSE AGAINST CHEM AGENTS	31,101	34,613		34,613		34,613			34,613
62746A	TACTICAL SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	6,433	10,794		10,794	-2,000	8,794	-2,000	-2,000	8,794
62770A	MILITARY DISEASE HAZARDS TECH	27,372	48,677	-16,000	32,677	-2,000	46,677	14,000	-11,000	37,677
62772A	COMBAT CASUALTY CARE TECH	8,913	10,376		10,376		10,376			10,376
62775A	COMBAT MAXILLOFACIAL INJURY	2,166	2,813		2,813		2,813			2,813
62777A	SYSTEMS HEALTH HAZARD PREVENT TECH	19,426	22,223		22,223		22,223			22,223
62781A	ENERGY TECH APPL FOR MILITARY FACIL	2,259	2,035		2,035		2,035			2,035
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	15,611	18,837		18,837		18,837			18,837
	SUBTOTAL, TECHNOLOGY BASE	831,197	945,917	-38,000	907,917	-18,500	927,417	19,500	-49,375	896,542
63102A	MATERIELS AND STRUCTURES	14,289	20,154		20,154	-2,100	18,054	-2,100	-2,100	18,054
63104A	FUELS AND LUBRICANTS	3,358	3,527		3,527		3,527			3,527
63105A	ACQUIRED IMMUNE DEFICIENCY SYNDROME RESE	39,516	0		0		0			0
63201A	AIRCRAFT POWER PLANTS AND PROPULSION	19,442	20,365		20,365		20,365		-1,000	19,365
63206A	AIRCRAFT WEAPONS	6,077	10,349		10,349		10,349			10,349
63207A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS EQUIPMENT	10,830	4,408		4,408		4,408			4,408
63209A	AIR MOBILITY SUPPORT	0	0		0		0			0
63211A	ROTARY WING CONTROLS/ROTORS/STRUCTURES	16,979	23,560		23,560		23,560			23,560
63216A	SYNTHETIC FLIGHT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	12,462	11,126		11,126		11,126			11,126
63218A	AIRDROP ADVANCEMENT	3,019	4,547		4,547		4,547		-547	4,000
63221A	NOE AVIATION AND NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	3,052	2,370		2,370		2,370			2,370

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
63255A	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	0	2,637	-2,637	0	-2,637	0		-2,637	0
63304A	TERMINALLY GUIDED PROJECTILES	14,394	27,939		27,939	-26,102	1,837	-26,102	-12,939	15,000
63313A	MSL/ROCKET COMPONENTS	10,665	37,881		37,881		37,881		-2,000	35,881
63322A	BATTLEFIELD ENVIRONMENT SIMULATION	988	7,083	-3,000	4,083	-3,000	4,083		-3,000	4,083
63324A	ARMY DEVELOPMENT AND EMPLOYMENT ACTIVITY	9,586	11,411		11,411		11,411			11,411
63402A	ADVANCED LAND MOB SYSTEMS CONCEPTS	16,284	10,890		10,890		10,890			10,890
63606A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER DEV	11,184	11,344		11,344	10,000	21,344	10,000	10,000	21,344
63607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	3,474	9,400		9,400	-2,000	7,400	-2,000	-2,000	7,400
63621A	COMBAT VEHICLE PROPULSION SYS	11,064	9,766		9,766		9,766			9,766
63631A	CMBT VEH TURRET AND CHASSIS SUBSYS	16,912	18,895		18,895		18,895			18,895
63636A	COMBAT VEHICLE ARMOR/ANTI-ARMOR	11,241	9,411		9,411		9,411			9,411
63637A	ADV PROPULSION/LAUNCH SYS FOR KEW	8,134	5,887		5,887		5,887			5,887
63638A	NUCLEAR VULNERABILITY ADV TECHNOLOGY	0	6,614	-6,614	0	-6,614	0		-6,614	0
63640A	AMMUNITION LOGISTICS	1,482	2,170		2,170		2,170			2,170
63710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	31,495	29,000		29,000		29,000			29,000
63719A	SPECIAL PURPOSE DETECTORS	0	2,961	-2,961	0	-1,400	1,561	1,561	-2,961	0
63723A	TACTICAL C4 TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION	24,357	13,335		13,335		13,335			13,335
63725A	REMOTELY PILOTED VEHICLES/DRONES	0	0		0		0			0
63727A	SPACE TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION	0	987		987	-987	0	-987	-987	0
63731A	MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL	13,882	14,204		14,204		14,204		-884	13,320
63734A	ENGINEERING SYSTEMS	1,927	5,393		5,393		5,393			5,393
63736A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING APPLICATIONS	0	2,458	-2,458	0	-2,458	0		-2,458	0
63737A	ANTI-RADIATION MISSILE COUNTERMEASURES	4,890	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
63739A	HUMAN FACTORS IN TNG/OPER EFFECT	8,974	9,191		9,191		9,191			9,191
63742A	ADV ELECTRONIC DEVICES DEV	9,635	5,537		5,537	-5,537	0	-5,537	-1,537	4,000
63743A	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	11,787	7,033		7,033		7,033			7,033
63744A	TRAINING SIMULATION	5,459	6,618		6,618		6,618			6,618
63748A	TEST MEASUREMENT & DIAGNOSTIC EQUIPMENT	5,159	7,174		7,174		7,174			7,174
63749A	TECHNICAL VULNERABILITY REDUCTION	4,299	3,300		3,300		3,300			3,300
63752A	DEMILITARIZATION CONCEPTS	9,463	0		0		0			0
63756A	DOD SOFTWARE INITIATIVES (STARS)	41,492	0		0		0			0
63758A	ARMY BATTLEFIELD TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION	11,856	12,385		12,385		12,385			12,385
63759A	CB DEF/SMK ADV TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	3,009	7,484		7,484	-2,000	5,484	-2,000	-3,057	4,427
63761A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE VULN/SUSCEP TECH SUPP	14,612	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
63762A	EW FEASIBILITY DEVELOPMENT	7,157	[ ]		[ ]	-17,918	-17,918	-17,918	-17,918	-17,918
63763A	NONSYSTEMS MEDICAL MATERIAL DEVELOPMENT	16,026	19,492		19,492		19,492			19,492
63764A	MEDICAL CHEMICAL DEFENSE LIFE SUPPORT	22,353	17,390		17,390		17,390			17,390
63771A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOL	0	1,461	-1,461	0	-1,461	0		-1,461	0
63772A	ADV TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLO	0	13,674	-13,674	0	-3,000	10,674	10,674	-13,674	0
63773A	BATTLEFIELD SUSTAINMENT	0	3,342	-3,342	0	-3,342	0		-3,342	0
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		33,721		33,721		33,721			33,721
	SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECH DEVELOPMENT	582,856	488,034	-36,147	451,887	-70,556	417,478	-34,409	-71,116	416,918
12814A	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
12821A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-39,500	-39,500	-39,500	-15,000	-15,000
33152A	WORLDWIDE MILITARY CMD&CNT SYS INF SYS	30,540	29,557		29,557		29,557			29,557
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	196,337	249,430		249,430		249,430			249,430
	SUBTOTAL, STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	226,877	278,987	0	278,987	-39,500	239,487	-39,500	-15,000	263,987
63209A	AIR MOBILITY SUPPORT	8,281	10,048		10,048		10,048			10,048
63215A	JOINT SURVIVABILITY INVESTIGATIONS	890	735		735		735			735
63217A	GREATER SLOPE	0	0		0		0			0
63220A	ADVANCED ROTORCRAFT TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATIO	44,311	44,420		44,420	-4,420	40,000	-4,420	-3,420	41,000
63225A	AIRDROP EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	980	1,256		1,256		1,256			1,256
63302A	ANTI-TACTICAL MISSILE SYSTEM	61,315	38,635		38,635	-38,635	0	-38,635	-8,635	30,000
63303A	SURF-TO-SURF MSL ROCKET SYS	30,253	42,681		42,681		42,681			42,681
63327A	LIGHT WEIGHT AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM	0	21,717	-7,000	14,717	-21,717	0	-14,717	-7,000	14,717
63604A	NUCLEAR MUNITIONS AND RADIACS	7,832	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
63608A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS	789	173		173	20,000	20,173	20,000	11,827	12,000
63612A	ADVANCED ANTI-TANK WEAPON SYSTEM (MEDIUM	60,338	48,735		48,735		48,735			48,735
63615A	LETHAL CHEMICAL MUNITIONS CONCEPTS	20,141	25,307		25,307		25,307			25,307
63619A	LANDMINE/BARRIER SYS	22,777	10,477		10,477		10,477			10,477
63627A	SMOKE MUNITIONS AND MATERIEL CONCEPTS	8,497	3,109		3,109	-600	2,509	-600	-209	2,900
63628A	ARTILLERY/MORTAR AMMO DEVELOPMENT	10,151	17,210		17,210	-7,403	9,807	-7,403	-3,210	14,000
63633A	TANK/FIGHTING VEHICLE AMMO DEVELOPMENT	5,645	0		0		0			0
63635A	MOBILE PROTECTED GUN	0	0		0		0			0
63639A	ARMAMENT ENHANCEMENT INITIATIVE (AEI)	[ ]	[ ]	-20,000	-20,000	-20,000	-20,000		-20,000	-20,000
63614A	PROGRAM 292	[ ]	[ ]	-10,000	-10,000	-9,871	-9,871	129	-9,871	-9,871
63702A	ELECTRIC POWER SOURCES	11,154	8,017		8,017	-3,000	5,017	-3,000	-1,517	6,500
63705A	PHYSICAL SECURITY	4,397	2,339		2,339		2,339			2,339
63706A	IDENTIFICATION-FRIEND OR FOE DEVELOPMENT	18,479	20,373		20,373		20,373			20,373
63707A	COMMUNICATIONS DEVELOPMENT	2,985	2,673		2,673		2,673			2,673
63711A	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	8,126	4,500		4,500	-4,500	0	-4,500	-4,500	0
63713A	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	37,266	42,122		42,122	-5,000	37,122	-5,000	-5,000	37,122
63718A	ELECT WPN VULNERABILITY/SUCEPTIBILITY	22,633	16,912		16,912	-16,912	0	-16,912	-16,912	0
63720A	CHEMICAL/BIO DETECTION WARN/SAMP MAT CON	15,000	0		0		0			0
63721A	CML BIO PROTECTIVE MATERIEL CONCEPTS	9,370	8,045		8,045		8,045			8,045
63723A	MISSION CRITICAL SOFTWARE	0	0		0		0			0
63725A	REMOTELY PILOTED VEHICLES/DRONES	259	4,917		4,917		4,917			4,917
63726A	COMBAT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	25,148	24,285		24,285	-2,000	22,285	-2,000	-1,000	23,285
63730A	TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	21,441	[ ]		[ ]	-15,300	-15,300	-15,300	-15,300	-15,300
63732A	COMBAT MEDICAL MATERIAL	9,271	8,109		8,109		8,109			8,109
63740A	SHORT RANGE AIR DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONT	10,000	16,109	-6,000	10,109	-16,109	0	-10,109	-8,000	8,109

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
63741A	METEOROLOGIC EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	0	0		0		0			0
63745A	TAC ELECTRONIC SUPPORT MEASURE SYSTEMS	4,807	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
63746A	SINGLE CHAN GND & AIR RADIO SYS (SINCGAR	2,240	0		0		0			0
63747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT/SURVIVABILITY	4,992	4,722		4,722		4,722			4,722
63750A	DRUG AND VACCINE DEVELOPMENT	16,745	17,077		17,077		17,077			17,077
63751A	MEDICAL DEFENSE AGAINST CHEM WARFARE	30,652	26,449		26,449		26,449			26,449
63754A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM (ADV)	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
63755A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC COUNTERMEASURES SYST	78,091	[ ]		[ ]	-31,319	-31,319	-31,319	-31,319	-31,319
63757A	AIR DEFENSE ALTERNATIVES	30,504	103,944		103,944	-103,944	0	-103,944	-83,944	20,000
63766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	6,735	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
63767A	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYS	969	4,608		4,608	-3,608	1,000	-3,608	-1,000	3,608
63774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMEN	0	3,307		3,307		3,307			3,307
64202A	AIRCRAFT WEAPONS	12,527	0		0		0			0
64204A	AIR MOBILITY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,583	4,418		4,418		4,418		-1,000	3,418
64206A	UH-60 BLACKHAWK	16,795	0		0		0			0
64207A	ADVANCED ATTACK HELICOPTER	9,921	12,931		12,931		12,931			12,931
64216A	AIRCRAFT PROPULSION SYSTEMS	69,934	111,301		111,301	-15,000	96,301	-15,000	-5,000	106,301
64217A	SYNTHETIC FLIGHT TRAINING SYSTEMS	7,673	10,680		10,680		10,680			10,680
64218A	AIRDROP EQUIP DEVELOPMENT	3,113	3,281		3,281		3,281			3,281
64220A	ARMY HELICOPTER IMPROVEMENT PROG	6,720	0		0		0			0
64223A	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY LIGHT HELICOPTER SER	0	0		0		0			0
64268A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PR	15,963	0		0		0			0
64306A	STINGER	23,296	6,252		6,252		6,252			6,252
64307A	PATRIOT AIR DEFENSE MISSILE SYSTEM	50,832	0		0		0			0
64310A	HELIBORNE MISSILE-HELLFIRE	4,945	0		0		0			0
64313A	GRASS BLADE	10,747	6,698		6,698	-6,698	0	-6,698		6,698
64314A	MULTIPLE LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM	0	0		0		0			0
64321A	JOINT TACTICAL FUSION PROGRAM	155,209	[ ]		[ ]	-7,600	-7,600	-7,600	-3,500	-3,500
64374A	JOINT TACTICAL MISSILE SYSTEM-ARMY (JTAC	128,426	88,197		88,197		88,197			88,197
64601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	29,113	26,044		26,044	-5,000	21,044	-5,000	-2,044	24,000
64603A	NUCLEAR MUNITIONS	18,074	[ ]		[ ]	-2,000	-2,000	-2,000		[ ]
64604A	MOBILITY	998	47,828	-15,000	32,828	-42,828	5,000	-27,828	-22,828	25,000
64606A	INFANTRY WEAPONS AND AMMUNITION	0	0		0		0			0
64609A	SMOKE MUNITIONS & MATERIEL	5,879	7,892		7,892		7,892			7,892
64610A	LETHAL CHEMICAL MUNITIONS	0	0		0		0			0
64612A	COUNTERMINE AND BARRIERS	7,929	9,728		9,728		9,728		-2,000	7,728
64614A	FIGHTING VEHICLE SYS	0	0		0		0			0
64619A	LANDMINE WARFARE	7,818	16,755		16,755	-6,879	9,876	-6,879	-3,206	13,549
64630A	M1A1 DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	18,717	3,798		3,798		3,798			3,798
64631A	FIELD ARTILLERY AMMUNITION	27,442	107,275	-40,000	67,275		107,275	40,000	-18,000	89,275
64632A	105MM TANK AMMUNITION	1,574	0		0		0			0
64633A	CACTUS PLANT	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-5,997	-5,997	-5,997		[ ]
64701A	COMM ENGINEERING DEV	10,602	6,473		6,473	-1,000	5,473	-1,000	-473	6,000
64702A	JT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYS	12,105	0		0		0			0
64704A	UNATTENDED GROUND SENSORS	494	0		0		0			0
64705A	MODULAR INTEGRATED COMM AND NAVIGATION S	4,957	8,224		8,224	-8,224	0	-8,224	-2,508	5,716
64706A	RADIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT	656	0		0		0			0
64709A	IDENTIFICATION FRIEND/FOE EQUIPMENT DEV	0	9,871		9,871	-9,871	0	-9,871		9,871
64710A	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	14,456	21,944		21,944		21,944		-5,639	16,305
64711A	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	21,662	19,934		19,934		19,934			19,934
64712A	ARMY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS ENGINEE	9,247	6,113		6,113		6,113			6,113
64713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING AND EQUIPMENT	3,986	4,363		4,363		4,363			4,363
64714A	TACTICAL ELECTRICAL POWER SOURCES	10,043	6,449		6,449		6,449			6,449
64717A	GENERAL COMBAT SUPPORT	14,225	11,538		11,538		11,538			11,538
64718A	PHYSICAL SECURITY	6,450	1,216		1,216	6,000	7,216	6,000	3,000	4,216
64722A	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	6,531	4,378		4,378		4,378			4,378
64723A	SPECIAL PURPOSE DETECTORS	3,217	1,181		1,181		1,181			1,181
64724A	CHL/BIO DETECTION WARNING & TRAINING MAT	22,645	31,427		31,427		31,427			31,427
64725A	CHEM BIO PROTECTIVE MATERIEL	15,524	10,550		10,550	-7,550	3,000	-7,550	-1,800	8,750
64727A	COMMAND AND CONTROL	5,757	548		548		548			548
64730A	REMOTELY PILOTED VEHICLES	73,848	91,880		91,880	-91,880	0	-91,880	-31,880	60,000
6' 35A	INFRARED TERMINALLY GUIDED SUBMUNITION	0	27,114		27,114	-14,000	13,114	-14,000	-7,114	20,000
64740A	TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	17,703	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
64741A	DIVISION AIR DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL	32,640	82,065	-30,000	52,065	-52,065	30,000	-22,065	-35,000	47,065
64746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	17,915	12,325		12,325		12,325			12,325
64750A	TAC ELECTRONIC COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEMS	34,706	[ ]		[ ]	-15,130	-15,130	-15,130	-15,130	-15,130
64751A	SINGLE CHAN GND & AIR RADIO SYS (SINCGAR	12,735	13,922		13,922		13,922			13,922
64754A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM (ADV)	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-20,000	-20,000	-20,000	-2,000	-2,000
64757A	MEDICAL CHEMICAL DEFENSE LIFE SPT MAT	7,587	9,204		9,204		9,204			9,204
64758A	DRUG AND VACCINE DEVELOPMENT	10,371	10,563		10,563		10,563			10,563
64766A	TAC ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	11,134	[ ]		[ ]	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000
64770A	JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR S	43,288	27,882		27,882	-7,882	20,000	-7,882		27,882
64779A	JT INTEROP OF TAC CMD & CONT SYS (JINTAC	6,337	7,719		7,719		7,719			7,719
65710A	JT CB POINT OF CONTACT, TEST AND ASSESSM	2,373	6,249		6,249		6,249			6,249
23724A	HV ANTI-TANK ASSAULT WPN SYS (TOW)	11,693	12,468		12,468	-7,468	5,000	-7,468	-7,468	5,000
23726A	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	19,765	40,444	-10,444	30,000	-10,444	30,000		-10,444	30,000
23727A	MED ANTI-TANK ASSAULT WEAPON - DRAGON	2,470	0		0		0			0
23730A	CHAPARRAL	17,452	5,627		5,627		5,627			5,627
23731A	SAM HAWK/HAWK IMP PROG	5,149	10,633		10,633		10,633			10,633
23735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	72,234	79,203		79,203	-29,203	50,000	-29,203	-13,203	66,000
23739A	AN/TSQ-73 MODIFICATIONS	0	9,671		9,671		9,671			9,671
23740A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	8,545	9,401		9,401		9,401			9,401
23741A	PROD IMPV VULCAN AIR DEF SYS	0	0		0		0			0
23743A	155MM	25,474	35,726		35,726		35,726			35,726
23744A	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS	0	0		0		0			0
23745A	EQUIPMENT UPGRADE	25,036	23,343		23,343		23,343		10,000	33,343

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE HOUSE +/- TO SENATE AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
23744A	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0	40,221		40,221	-40,221	0	-40,221		40,221
23747A	HELLFIRE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0	11,947		11,947	-11,947	0	-11,947	-2,000	9,947
23749A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	0	0		0		0			0
23751A	SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES (SOF) EQUIPMEN	0	31,242	15,700	46,942	-6,000	25,242	-21,700		31,242
23752A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PR	0	14,592	-3,000	11,592	-1,000	13,592	2,000	-2,000	12,592
28010A	JOINT TACTICAL COMMO PROGRAM (TRITAC)	36,233	17,401		17,401	-2,000	15,401	-2,000	-1,000	16,401
33145A	EUCOM C3 SYSTEMS	1,938	1,070		1,070		1,070			1,070
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	140,540	616,024		616,024		616,024			616,024
	CONSOLIDATED EW PROGRAMS	0	0		0		0		66,000	66,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—		—	-40,735	-40,735	-40,735		—
	SUBTOTAL, TACTICAL PROGRAMS	2,083,432	2,440,170	-125,744	2,314,426	-760,128	1,680,042	-634,384	-329,615	2,110,555
63713A	MAPPING AND GEODESY	3,106	0		0		0			0
64201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	2,212	2,493		2,493		2,493			2,493
64716A	MAPPING AND GEODESY	2,227	11,473		11,473		11,473		-2,000	9,473
64778A	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POS SYS (USER EQ)	11,146	4,719		4,719		4,719			4,719
31307A	FOREIGN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY CENTER	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
31310A	HUMINT (CONTROLLED)	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
31327A	TECHNICAL RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEILLANC	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
31329A	EUCOM C3 DESIGN	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
31359A	SPECIAL ARMY PROGRAMS	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
33111A	STRATEGIC ARMY COMMUNICATIONS (STARCOM)	0	0		0		0			0
33126A	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS)	4,260	2,684		2,684		2,684			2,684
33142A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT	58,932	94,470	-10,000	84,470	-15,000	79,470	-5,000	-15,000	79,470
33401A	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC)	8,993	7,280		7,280		7,280			7,280
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	6,814	7,293		7,293		7,293			7,293
	SUBTOTAL, INTELLIGENCE & COMMUNICATIONS	97,770	130,429	-10,000	120,429	-15,000	115,429	-5,000	-17,000	113,429
63215A	TARGET MISSILES	3,952	9,731		9,731	-2,000	7,731	-2,000	-1,000	8,731
63780A	NONSYSTEMS TRAINING DEVICES (NSTD) DEV	4,842	3,869		3,869		3,869			3,869
63790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	24,699	49,356		49,356	-49,356	0	-49,356	-9,356	40,000
64715A	NON-SYSTEM TNG DEVICES ENGR	47,122	46,260		46,260	-3,000	43,260	-3,000	-3,000	43,260
64726A	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT AND SYSTEMS	4,967	1,207		1,207		1,207			1,207
65201A	AVIATION ENGINEERING FLIGHT ACTIVITY	9,708	13,217		13,217	-1,000	12,217	-1,000	-1,000	12,217
65301A	KWAJALEIN MISSILE RANGE	152,300	163,470	-8,470	155,000	-8,000	155,470	470	-8,470	155,000
65502A	SML BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH	0	0		0		0			0
65702A	SUPPORT OF DEVELOPMENT TESTING	56,404	50,444		50,444		50,444			50,444
65706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	16,043	16,966		16,966	-1,000	15,966	-1,000	-466	16,500
65709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	3,535	3,984		3,984		3,984			3,984
65712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	51,937	55,745		55,745		55,745			55,745
65716A	THREAT SIMULATORS FOR TESTING	22,641	34,279		34,279		34,279			34,279
65717A	NUCLEAR SURVIVABILITY TESTING & ANALYSIS	0	3,956	-3,956	0	-3,950	0		-3,956	0
65801A	PROGRAM-WIDE ACTIVITIES	171,766	85,404		85,404		85,404		-3,999	81,405
65803A	INTL COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEV	936	977		977	-977	0	-977		977
65802A	TECHNICAL INFO ACTIVITIES	6,196	6,772		6,772		6,772			6,772
65804A	DOD MAJOR RANGES AND TEST FACILITIES	257,120	207,799		207,799		207,799		-4,000	203,799
65805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION EFFECTIVENESS & S	11,267	11,610		11,610	-1,000	10,610	-1,000	-500	11,110
65806A	DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER SYSTEMS TEST FAC	10,940	20,729		20,729		20,729			20,729
65810A	RDTE SUPPORT FOR NON-DEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS	0	9,194		9,194		9,194			9,194
65872A	PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENTS	20,747	53,093	-25,000	28,093	-10,000	43,093	15,000	-17,093	36,000
65874A	REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE (RDTE)	0	174,536	-6,600	167,936	-17,000	157,536	-10,400	-33,825	140,711
65896A	BASE OPERATIONS (RDTE)	0	202,951	-7,500	195,451	-20,000	182,951	-12,500	-38,969	163,982
	MULTIPURPOSE 20MM AMMUNITION	0	0	2,000	2,000		0	-2,000	2,000	2,000
65898A	MGT HQ (RESEARCH/DEVELOPMENT)	12,008	11,244		11,244		11,244			11,244
78011A	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	90,951	29,105		29,105		29,105			29,105
	SUBTOTAL, DEFENSEWIDE MISSION SUPPORT	1,099,150	1,266,772	-49,520	1,217,252	-117,283	1,149,489	-67,763	-124,428	1,142,344
	COMBAT VEHICLE DIESEL ENGINE	0	0		0	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000
	TANK BREAKER	0	0		0	20,000	20,000	20,000		0
	GUARD/RESERVE UNIQUE R&D	0	0		0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
	LIGHT ARMORED VEHICLE/WEASEL EVALUATION	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
	MINE FLAIL WHEELED VEHICLE	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
	ACTIVATED METALS	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	GROUND LAUNCHED HELLFIRE	0	0	15,000	15,000	2,000	2,000	-13,000	2,000	2,000
	STINGER SYSTEM SAFEGUARD	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	NATO COOPERATIVE R&D	0	0		0	31,000	31,000	31,000		0
	INFANTRY ANTI-ARMOR WEAPON	0	0		0	25,000	25,000	25,000		0
	TACTICAL RPV EVALUATION	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000		0
	B T GENERATORS	0	0		0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
	FIBER OPTICS GUIDED MISSILES	0	0		0	83,313	83,313	83,313	83,313	83,313
	HELICOPTER AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000	5,000	5,000
	CLOSE-IN AIR DEFENSE	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
	US-10 EVALUATION	0	0		0	2,000	2,000	2,000		0
	MILAN II EVALUATION	0	0		0	20,000	20,000	20,000	5,000	5,000
	MULTIPURPOSE ASSAULT WEAPON EVALUATION	0	0		0		0		15,000	15,000
	SUBTOTAL, CONVENTIONAL DEFENSE INITIATIV	0	0	15,000	15,000	254,313	254,313	239,313	161,313	161,313
	CLASSIFIED EQUIPMENT UPGRADE	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000		0
	COOPERATIVE DOD/VA MEDICAL RESEARCH	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000		0
	ARMPOR	0	0		0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
	PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM SAVINGS	---	---		---	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—	-366,470	-366,470	-30,052	-30,052	336,418	-300,426	-300,426

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE HOUSE +/- TO SENATE AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
	PRIOR YEAR FUEL SAVINGS	---	---		---	-830	-830	-830	-830	-830
	FY 87 FUEL SAVINGS	---	---		---	-9,100	-9,100	-9,100	-9,100	-9,100
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)	---	---	-1,100	-1,100		---	1,100		---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)	---	---	-40,700	-40,700		---	40,700		---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)	---	---	-82,000	-82,000		---	82,000	-82,000	-82,000
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)	---	---		---	(-73,100)	(-73,100)	(-73,100)	(-73,100)	(-73,100)
	TOTAL, ARMY RDT&E	4,841,472	5,550,300	-734,631	4,815,669	-786,636	4,763,664	-52,005	-837,571	4,712,729

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE HOUSE +/- TO SENATE AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
61103N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH	6,245	12,000		12,000		12,000			12,000
61152N	INHOUSE IND LAB RSRCH	26,221	26,910		26,910		26,910			26,910
61153N	DEF RESRCH SCIENCES	332,932	349,853		349,853		349,853		-9,612	340,241
62111N	AAW/ASW TECHNOLOGY	77,416	75,244		75,244	-15,244	60,000	-15,244	-10,244	65,000
62113N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	15,364	13,946		13,946	-13,946	0	-13,946	-13,946	0
62121N	SURFACE SHIP TECHNOLOGY	13,047	13,515		13,515	-13,515	0	-13,515		13,515
62122N	AIRCRAFT TECHNOLOGY	23,623	21,111		21,111	-1,111	20,000	-1,111		21,111
62131M	MARINE CORPS AIR-GROUND TECHNOLOGY	14,461	17,628		17,628		17,628		-2,000	15,628
62232N	COMMAND,CONTROL & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOL	18,843	20,242		20,242		20,242			20,242
62233N	MISSION SUPPORT TECHNOLOGY	37,671	34,238		34,238		34,238			34,238
62234N	SYSTEMS SUPPORT TECHNOLOGY	67,660	65,799		65,799		65,799			65,799
62314N	ASW TECHNOLOGY	84,763	90,860		90,860	-10,860	80,000	-10,860		90,860
62315N	MINE & SPECIAL WARFARE	12,179	14,038		14,038		14,038			14,038
62323N	SUBMARINE TECHNOLOGY	14,549	12,441		12,441		12,441			12,441
62324N	NUCLEAR PROPULSION	52,026	43,076		43,076	-10,076	33,000	-10,076		43,076
62435N	OCEAN & ATMOSPHERIC SUPPORT TECHNOLOGY	24,412	25,354		25,354		25,354			25,354
62936N	INDEPENDENT EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT	14,173	14,717		14,717		14,717			14,717
	SUBTOTAL, TECHNOLOGY BASE	835,587	851,048	0	851,048	-64,752	786,296	-64,752	-35,802	815,246
63202N	AVIONICS	1,735	3,858		3,858		3,858			3,858
63210N	ADV AIRCRAFT PROPULSION SYS	2,995	6,638		6,638		6,638			6,638
63217N	ADV A/C SUB SYSTEMS	8,464	7,944		7,944	-1,966	5,978	-1,966	-1,696	6,248
63269N	NATIONAL AEROSPACE PLANE	0	25,527		25,527		25,527		-25,527	0
63303N	ENGAGE SYS TECH	9,901	6,486		6,486	2,500	8,986	2,500	1,514	8,000
63308N	A/S-A/A MSL TECH	0	0		0		0			0
63508N	SHIP PROPULSION SYSTEM	30,302	22,474	-7,474	15,000	-22,474	0	-15,000	-7,474	15,000
63524N	ADV COMP TECH	10,534	0		0		0			0
63560N	SUBMARINE HULL ARRAY DEV (ADV)	7,623	8,891		8,891		8,891			8,891
63573N	ELECTRIC DRIVE	9,641	9,816		9,816		9,816			9,816
63701N	HUMAN FACTORS ENG (HFE)	2,088	3,964		3,964		3,964		-1,000	2,964
63706N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	12,442	16,700		16,700		16,700			16,700
63707N	MANPWR & PERSONNEL SYS	3,569	6,658		6,658		6,658		-3,059	3,599
63712N	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECH DEMO	5,000	21,236		21,236	-11,236	10,000	-11,236	-1,236	20,000
63720N	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	2,755	6,536		6,536		6,536			6,536
63732N	ADV MANPWR TRNG SYS	2,933	1,837		1,837		1,837			1,837
63733N	SIMU & TRAINING DEVICES	7,826	8,218		8,218		8,218			8,218
63739N	NAVY LOGISTICS PRODUCTIVITY	754	934		934	-934	0	-934	-934	0
63747N	ADV ASW TECH	0	25,063		25,063	10,000	35,063	10,000		25,063
63792N	ADV TECH TRANSITION	0	13,492		13,492		13,492			13,492
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—		—	-13,492	-13,492	-13,492		—
	SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECH DEVELOPMENT	118,764	196,277	-7,474	188,803	-37,602	158,675	-30,128	-39,412	156,865
63451N	TACT SPACE OPERATIONS	14,002	22,506		22,506		22,506		-2,506	20,000
63500N	SSBN SURVIVABILITY	0	2,703	-2,703	0		2,703	2,703	-1,703	1,000
63725N	WWMCCS ARCHITECTURE SUPPT	0	0		0		0			0
63741N	SATELLITE LASER COMM	0	5,875		5,875	30,000	35,875	30,000	30,000	35,875
64363N	TRIDENT II	2,104,376	1,632,866		1,632,866	-132,866	1,500,000	-132,866	-37,866	1,595,000
65856N	STRAT TECH SUPPORT	3,212	3,914		3,914		3,914			3,914
11221N	FLT BALLSTC MSL SYS	20,778	40,222		40,222	-15,222	25,000	-15,222	-15,222	25,000
11224N	SSBN SEC TECH PROG	30,580	54,739		54,739		54,739			54,739
11228N	TRIDENT	30,195	51,703		51,703	-10,703	41,000	-10,703	-10,703	41,000
11401N	EXTRME LOW FREQ COMM	20,256	18,296		18,296	-10,296	8,000	-10,296	-5,296	13,000
11402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMM	121,162	143,282		143,282	-93,282	50,000	-93,282	-30,000	113,282
12427N	NAV SPASUR	952	1,563		1,563		1,563			1,563
33131N	MEECN	1,615	1,687		1,687		1,687			1,687
33152N	WIS MODERNIZATION	14,932	21,610		21,610	-14,433	7,177	-14,433	-6,610	15,000
	SUBTOTAL, STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	2,386,060	2,001,046	-2,703	1,998,343	-246,882	1,754,164	-244,179	-79,986	1,921,060

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
63109N	INTEGRATED A/C AVIONICS	0	22,484		22,484		22,484			22,484
63270N	ELECT W/F ADV. DEV.	54,039	39,294		39,294	-39,294	0	-39,294	-39,294	0
63207N	AIR/OCEAN TACT. APPLIC.	6,730	7,522		7,522		7,522			7,522
63208N	T-45TS	115,986	134,163		134,163		134,163			134,163
63216N	AIRBORNE LIFE SUPT SYS	1,371	4,377		4,377		4,377		-277	4,100
63219N	ADV AIRCFT ARMAMENT SYS	2,999	0		0		0			0
63220N	CV ASW MODULE	3,977	4,255		4,255		4,255			4,255
63251N	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	9,091	5,547		5,547	-5,547	0	-5,547		5,547
63254N	AIR ASW	4,625	9,131		9,131	-3,500	5,631	-3,500	-2,000	7,131
63256N	JVX	557,326	0		0		0			0
63257N	A-6F	236,051	143,256		143,256	-13,256	130,000	-13,256		143,256
63259N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	0	0		0		0			0
63260N	ASW ASE CNTRMEASURES	12,575	11,565		11,565		11,565			11,565
63261N	TAC AIR RECON	2,287	18,042		18,042	-4,000	14,042	-4,000	-2,000	16,042
63262N	ACFT SURVIV/VULNERBLTY	13,622	19,054		19,054		19,054		-3,000	16,054
63266N	NAVAL AIRSHIP	0	9,834		9,834	-9,834	0	-9,834	-4,834	5,000
63300N	ADV. AIR-TO-SURF MSL.	21,697	42,409		42,409	-4,909	37,500	-4,909		42,409
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---		---	-37,500	-37,500	-37,500		---
63313N	IIR MAVERICK	0	245		245	-245	0	-245		245
63315N	RETRACT YELLOW	0	0		0		0			0
63316N	ADV AIR-AIR SURF-AIR MSL	25,000	79,728		79,728	-79,728	0	-79,728	-32,228	47,500
63320N	LOW COST ANTI-RADIATION SEEKER	17,452	14,704		14,704	25,000	39,704	25,000	25,000	39,704
63367N	SUB ASW STANDOFF WPN	74,820	0		0		0			0
63382N	[illegible]	7,720	13,746		13,746	-6,746	7,000	-6,746	-6,746	7,000
63502N	SURFACE MCM	22,415	22,678	-8,000	14,678	-5,000	17,678	3,000	-8,000	14,678
63504N	SUB SONAR DEV	11,010	11,109		11,109		11,109			11,109
63506N	SURF-SHIP TORPEDO DEFNS	36,207	36,908		36,908		36,908		-17,100	19,808
63508N	SHPBD INFO TRANSFER SYS	0	0		0		0			0
63512N	CATAPULTS	0	0		0		0			0
63513N	SHIPBD SYS COMPNT DEV	15,145	14,917		14,917	-14,917	0	-14,917		14,917
63514N	SHIPBD DAMAGE CONTROL	22,400	41,861		41,861		41,861		-10,000	31,861
63519N	ADV COMMAND DATA SYS	0	0		0		0			0
63522N	SUB ARCTIC WF/SPT EQUIP PROG	9,445	2,946		2,946		2,946			2,946
63525N	PILOT FISH	93,964	75,248		75,248		75,248			75,248
63527N	RETRACT LARCH	0	0		0		0			0
63528N	NONACOUSTIC ASW	21,604	26,139		26,139		26,139			26,139
63529N	ADV ASW TARGET	9,142	10,282		10,282		10,282			10,282
63533N	SHIP SYS ENGR STDS	2,700	0		0		0			0
63536N	RETRACT JUNIPER	21,159	28,935		28,935		28,935			28,935
63542N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	2,140	2,592		2,592		2,592			2,592
63550N	LINK [illegible]	25,247	3,931		3,931	-2,931	1,000	-2,931		3,931
63553N	SURFACE ASW	16,728	23,160		23,160	-11,580	11,580	-11,580	-3,000	20,160
63561N	ADV SUB SYS DEVELOPMENT	169,318	0		0		0			0
63562N	SUB TAC WARFARE SYS	23,118	10,689		10,689		10,689			10,689
63564N	SHIP DEVELOPMENT	13,562	21,686		21,686	-7,686	14,000	-7,686	-10,300	11,386
63565N	SURFACE SHIP NAVSYS	999	6,675		6,675	-6,675	0	-6,675	-2,675	4,000
63569N	ATTACK SUBMARINE DEV	31,582	3,931		3,931	-3,931	0	-3,931	-3,931	0
63570N	ADV NUC REACTOR COMP SYS DEV	120,175	96,037		96,037		96,037			96,037
63571N	PHYSICAL SECURITY	3,690	7,686		7,686		7,686		-1,300	6,386
63574N	CHALK EAGLE	68,783	79,351		79,351		79,351			79,351
63578N	CGN/AEG NUC PROP PLANT	3,327	3,410		3,410		3,410			3,410
63582N	COMBAT SYS INTEGRATION	21,962	24,051		24,051	-24,051	0	-24,051	-11,000	13,051
63589N	DDG-51	0	0		0		0			0
63591N	JOINT ADVANCED SYSTEMS	0	78,600		78,600	-78,600	0	-78,600		78,600
63592N	SEA STAR	0	0		0		0			0
63601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT	4,436	14,836		14,836		14,836			14,836
63603N	CHALK PIKE	0	43,711		43,711		43,711			43,711
63609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	21,974	40,882		40,882		40,882		-5,882	35,000
63610N	ALWT (ADV)	0	7,434	-7,434	0	-7,434	0		-7,434	0
63611N	MC ASSAULT VEHICLES	10,407	11,005		11,005		11,005			11,005
63634N	TAC NUCLEAR WEAPON DEV	7,700	15,151	-7,151	8,000		15,151	7,151	-7,151	8,000
63635N	MC GND COMBAT/SPT SYS	13,126	18,249		18,249	-3,000	15,249	-3,000	-2,749	15,500
63654N	JT SERV EXPL ORDN DEV	12,577	14,791		14,791		14,791			14,791
63702N	OCEAN ENG SYS DEV	1,349	1,417		1,417		1,417			1,417
63704N	ASW OCEANOGRAPHY	11,875	7,065		7,065		7,065			7,065
	NAVAL OCEANOGRAPHY	0	0	3,000	3,000		0	-3,000	3,000	3,000
63705N	LOGISTICS	0	0		0		0			0
63706N	ASW SIGNAL PROCESSING	13,951	17,980		17,980		17,980			17,980
63709N	ADV MARINE BIO SYSTEM	5,409	5,385		5,385		5,385			5,385
63711N	FLEET TAC D&E PROGRAM	1,999	4,649		4,649		4,649			4,649
63713N	OCEAN ENG TECH DEV	13,086	16,373		16,373		16,373			16,373
63717N	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS	30,093	36,525		36,525	-5,000	31,525	-5,000	-5,000	31,525
63719N	CONTAINER OFFLD/TRNSFR SYS	1,512	5,682		5,682		5,682			5,682
63720N	NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE	6,716	15,637		15,637		15,637			15,637
63724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	18,310	16,833		16,833		16,833			16,833
63725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	7,258	9,476		9,476		9,476			9,476
63726N	V/STOL ADV AIR PROG	5,737	6,014	-6,014	0	-3,000	3,014	3,014	-3,000	3,014
63729N	COMBAT SERVICES SUP (ADV)	9,341	15,857		15,857		15,857			15,857
63730N	MC INTEL/ELC WAR SYS	70	0		0		0			0
63734N	CHALK CORAL	4,129	14,694		14,694		14,694			14,694
63736N	STRIKE WARFARE TECH	0	0		0		0			0
63737N	LINK HAZEL	23,064	37,566		37,566		37,566			37,566
63740N	LINK LAUREL	35,345	47,000		47,000	-41,600	5,400	-41,600	-5,000	42,000
63742N	ARIANE	2,185	11,240		11,240		11,240		-2,000	9,240
63744N	LINK SPRUCE	35,000	94,272		94,272	-94,272	0	-94,272	-24,272	70,000
63746N	RETRACT MAPLE	9,747	20,702		20,702		20,702			20,702

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
63763N	ACCS SEAT									
63704N	ASW SURVEILLANCE	7,132	12,599		12,599		12,599		-2,000	10,599
63705N	ASW ENVIRONMENTAL ACOUSTIC SPT	17,395	25,902		25,902		25,902			25,902
63707N	SPECIAL PROCESSES	15,400	12,700		12,700		12,700			12,700
63708N	RDSS	40,302	41,466		41,466		41,466			41,466
64203N	STANDARD AVIONICS DEVELOPMENT	0	0		0		0			0
64211N	IFF SYS DEV	19,400	19,422		19,422	-16,692	2,730	-16,692		19,422
64212N	LAMPS	17,240	23,449		23,449		23,449			23,449
64213N	HELO DEV	14,885	19,712	-15,758	3,954		19,712	15,758	-2,000	17,712
64214N	AV-8B AIRCRAFT (ENG)	5,700	11,806		11,806		11,806			11,806
64215N	SUPPORT EQUIP	45,700	40,637		40,637	16,000	56,637	16,000	6,000	46,637
64217N	S-3 WEAPONS SYS IMPRVMNT	36,103	32,492		32,492		32,492			32,492
64218N	AIR OCEAN EQUIP ENG	13,507	15,600		15,600		15,600			15,600
64219N	AIRBORNE ASW DEV	2,400	3,396		3,396		3,396			3,396
64220N	ACFT IR SIGNATURE SUPPR	5,601	2,366		2,366		2,366			2,366
64221N	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROG	1,500	0		0		0			0
64224N	ASW EW ENG.	38,110	96,877	-19,657	77,220	-19,657	77,220	0	-19,657	77,220
64226N	ASPJ	36,095	55,975		55,975	-55,975	0	-55,975	-55,975	0
64229N	CV IZ ASW HELO	28,796	19,967		19,967	-19,967	0	-19,967	-19,967	0
64252N	A/C PROPULSION (ENG)	11,399	8,662		8,662		8,662			8,662
64255N	EW SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	44,546	27,300		27,300		27,300			27,300
64260N	C/MH-53E	33,200	31,896		31,896	-31,896	0	-31,896	-31,896	0
64261N	ACOUSTIC SRCH SENSORS (ENG)	1,137	3,464		3,464	-1,803	1,661	-1,803		3,464
64262N	V-22	30,046	26,761		26,761		26,761		-10,942	[illegible]
64264N	AVIATION LIFE SPT SYS	0	306,071		306,071	-6,071	300,000	-6,071		306,071
64268N	ACFT ENGINE COMPNT IMP	27,410	29,785		29,785		29,785			29,785
64301N	MK-92 FCS UPGRADE	61,304	45,300		45,300	-5,300	40,000	-5,300	-5,300	40,000
64303N	AEGIS AREA AIR DEF	13,194	7,000	-7,000	0		7,000	7,000		7,000
64307N	AEGIS WPN SYS IMP	10,400	4,332		4,332		4,332			4,332
64308N	LINK ASW	131,742	136,939		136,939	-36,939	100,000	-36,939	-5,000	131,939
64309N	SEA LANCE	10,307	6,846		6,846		6,846			6,846
64314N	AMRAAM	0	108,416		108,416	-10,416	98,000	-10,416	-3,416	105,000
64352N	SUR LNCHD WEAPNRY SHIP SYS	4,707	19,822		19,822	-19,822	0	-19,822		19,822
64353N	VERTICAL LAUNCHING SYS	0	0		0		0			0
64354N	AIR/AIR MSL SYS ENGR	29,141	30,300		30,300		30,300			30,300
64355N	VLS ASROC	18,545	27,796		27,796	5,000	32,796	5,000		27,796
64358N	CLOSE-IN WPN (PHALANX)	41,063	41,447		41,447		41,447			41,447
64361N	NATO SEA SPARROW	4,738	7,495		7,495		7,495			7,495
64362N	SM-2 (ER)	2,467	7,745		7,745	-7,745	0	-7,745	-4,745	3,000
64366N	STNDRD MISSILE IMPRVMNTS	2,795	9,229	-9,229	0	-9,229	0		-9,229	0
64367N	TOMAHAWK	45,453	45,900		45,900		45,900			45,900
64369N	5 IN. ROLLING AIR FRM MSLE POINT DEFENSE UPGRADE	61,987	40,360		40,360		40,360			40,360
64370N	SSN-688 CLASS VLS	10,956	24,200	2,000	26,200	-24,200	0	-26,200		24,200
64371N	HELLFIRE	0	0		0		0	-2,000		0
64372N	NEW THREAT UPGRADE	18,495	18,344		18,344		18,344			18,344
64502N	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS	0	0		0		0			0
64503N	SUBMARINE SONAR DEV	10,802	36,899		36,899		36,899			36,899
64504N	AIR CONTROL ENG	4,426	25,979		25,979	-18,642	7,337	-18,642	-16,000	9,979
64506N	EW COUNTERMEASURES	30,944	32,716		32,716		32,716			32,716
64507N	ENWP	19,788	9,387		9,387		9,387			9,387
64509N	RADAR SURVEILLANCE EQUIP	6,879	7,799		7,799		7,799			7,799
64510N	COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS	95,397	100,345		100,345	-4,000	96,345	-4,000	-4,000	96,345
64511N	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	6,318	9,321		9,321		9,321			9,321
64515N	SUB SPT EQUIP PROG	0	0		0		0			0
64516N	SHIP SURVIVABILITY	790	0		0		0			0
64518N	CMBT INFO CNTR CONVERSN	10,600	32,365		32,365	-15,000	17,365	-15,000	-15,000	17,365
64520N	SUBMARINE HULL ARRAY DEV	3,800	9,196		9,196		9,196			9,196
64524N	SUB COMBAT SYSTEMS	29,196	36,727		36,727		36,727			36,727
64561N	SSN-21 DEVELOPMENT	1,400	0		0		0			0
64562N	SUB TACT WARFARE SYS	179,500	216,449		216,449		216,449			216,449
64563N	PHYSICAL SECURITY (ENG)	0	236,640		236,640	-36,640	200,000	-36,640	-8,740	227,900
64567N	SHIP SUBSYS DEV/LBTS	36,359	47,101		47,101	-7,101	40,000	-7,101	-7,000	40,101
64569N	NATO SEA GNAT	3,440	9,015		9,015		9,015			9,015
64572N	SHIPBD ELEC WARFR IMPRV	107,266	18,822		18,822		18,822			18,822
64574N	STD EMBEDDED COMPUTER RSRCS	2,394	0		0		0			0
64575N	SQS-53C	64,725	31,932		31,932	-31,932	0	-31,932	-31,932	0
64578N	LINK BIRCH	1,140	22,447		22,447	-22,447	0	-22,447	-16,447	6,000
64601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT	36,307	46,314		46,314		46,314			46,314
64602N	NAVAL GUNNERY IMPROVEMENT	4,676	3,977		3,977		3,977			3,977
64603N	UNGUIDED CONV AIRLNCH WEP	9,500	13,748		13,748		13,748			13,748
64604N	CHEM WARFARE WEAPONS	9,600	17,430		17,430	-5,430	12,000	-5,430	-5,430	12,000
64605N	SOL OP/EO SENS DEV	4,427	5,616		5,616		5,616		-1,616	4,000
64609N	BOMB-FUZE IMPR	4,900	4,000		4,000		4,000			4,000
64610N	MK-50 TORP (ALWT)	30,933	21,136	-4,904	16,232	-21,136	0	-16,232	-4,904	16,232
64654N	JT SERV EOD DEV (ENG)	3,417	14,711		14,711		14,711			14,711
64656N	MC ASSAULT VEHICLE	133,626	148,025		148,025		148,025			148,025
64657N	MC GRND CMBT/SPT ARMS	4,900	5,430		5,430		5,430			5,430
64675N	2X 40 ARCAP (ENG)	14,801	0		0		0			0
64704N	ASW OCEANOGRAPHIC EQUIP	7,300	12,541		12,541		12,541			12,541
64705N	CHALK BANYAN	61,465	37,895		37,895		37,895			37,895
64707N	THEATER MISSION PLAN CTR	700	1,162		1,162		1,162			1,162
64708N	INITIAL TRAINERS ACQUISITION	5,804	16,600		16,600		16,600			16,600
64710N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	0	22,375	-12,375	10,000		22,375	12,375	-5,000	17,375
64711N	ACCS ASHORE NODES	9,700	50,621	-50,621	0		50,621	50,621	-18,621	32,000

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FUNDS	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
[illegible]	[illegible] SPT	41,375	36,974		36,974	-4,974	32,000	-4,974	-4,974	32,000
[illegible]	[illegible] SPT	18,095	19,789		19,789		19,789			19,789
[illegible]	[illegible] SPT	73,396	81,325		81,325		81,325		-4,000	77,325
[illegible]	TEST/EVAL SPT	285,629	330,580		330,580		330,580		-13,000	317,580
[illegible]	OPER TEST/EVAL CAPABILITY	6,201	4,853		4,853		4,853			4,853
[illegible]	WEATHER SERVICE	1,116	1,000		1,000		1,000			1,000
[illegible]	[illegible]	45,829	49,040		49,040	-10,000	39,040	-10,000	-7,500	41,540
[illegible]	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY	31,177	31,319		31,319		31,319			31,319
	SUBTOTAL, [illegible]	[illegible]	808,355	0	808,355	-49,442	758,913	-49,442	-64,442	743,913
	NATO COOPERATIVE	0	0		0	30,000	30,000	30,000		0
	[illegible] UPGRADES	0	0		0	30,000	30,000	30,000		0
	[illegible] IMPROVEMENTS	0	0		0	35,000	35,000	35,000		0
	ADVANCED [illegible] WEAPONS SYSTEM	0	0		0	25,000	25,000	25,000		0
	ELECTRO/OPTICAL SYSTEMS	0	0		0	15,000	15,000	15,000		0
	SMALL HEAVY ENGINE, [illegible]	0	0		0	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	MARINE CORPS LIGHT [illegible] AIR DEFENSE [illegible]	0	0		0	7,000	7,000	7,000		0
	SUBTOTAL, CONVENTIONAL DEFENSE INITIATIVE	0	0	0	0	143,000	143,000	143,000	1,000	1,000
	TAIL CONTROL [illegible]	0	0		0		0		16,179	16,179
	INTEGRATED PLATFORM [illegible]	0	0		0	49,021	49,021	49,021		0
	COOPERATIVE [illegible] MEDICAL RESEARCH	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000		0
	V-22 ENGINE TECHNOLOGY	0	0		0	40,000	40,000	40,000		0
	PLATFORM [illegible]	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000		0
	[illegible]	0	0		0	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
	[illegible] LESSONS LEARNED	0	0		0	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—		—	-50,000	-50,000	-50,000	-50,000	-50,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION—[illegible]	—	—	-11,000	-11,000		—	11,000		—
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—	-916,390	-916,390	-10,016	-10,016	906,374	-416,390	-416,390
	PRIOR YEAR FUEL SAVINGS	—	—		—	-72	-72	-72	-72	-72
	FY 87 FUEL SAVINGS	—	—		—	-900	-900	-900	-900	-900
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)	—	—	-1,600	-1,600		—	1,600		—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)	—	—	-41,100	-41,100		—	41,100		—
	INFLATION ESTIMATE (FY 87)	—	—	-182,906	-182,906		—	182,906	-182,906	-182,906
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)	—	—		—	(-74,700)	(-74,700)	(-74,700)	(-74,700)	(-74,700)
	TOTAL, NAVY RDTE	10,071,939	10,506,800	-1,297,122	9,209,678	-1,945,811	8,560,989	-648,689	-1,232,694	9,274,106

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FUNDS	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
[illegible]	IN-HOUSE LAB INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	16,000	17,465		17,465		17,465		-1,974	15,491
[illegible]	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	206,143	212,587		212,587		212,587		-13,000	199,587
[illegible]	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	6,250	12,520		12,520		12,520			12,520
[illegible]	GEOPHYSICS	37,429	40,625		40,625		40,625		-2,451	38,174
[illegible]	MATERIALS	33,235	57,470		57,470	-1,500	55,970	-1,500	-5,937	51,541
[illegible]	AEROSPACE FLIGHT DYNAMICS	68,749	70,281		70,281	-900	69,381	-900	-3,719	66,562
[illegible]	AEROSPACE BIOTECHNOLOGY	46,116	53,371		53,371	-3,500	49,871	-3,500	-6,767	46,604
[illegible]	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	63,297	65,202		65,202	-1,700	63,502	-1,700	[illegible]	61,253
[illegible]	AEROSPACE AVIONICS	71,264	67,026		67,026	-7,026	60,000	-7,026	-7,026	60,000
[illegible]	TRAINING/SIMULATION TECH	25,435	26,802		26,802		26,802		-2,176	24,626
[illegible]	CIVIL ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL QU	9,225	5,425		5,425		5,425			5,425
[illegible]	ROCKET PROPULSION	40,066	41,990		41,990		41,990		-3,199	38,791
[illegible]	ADVANCED WEAPONS	36,282	37,676		37,676	-400	[illegible]	-400	-2,650	35,026
[illegible]	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	41,230	49,084		49,084	-7,084	42,000	-7,084	-9,165	39,919
[illegible]	COMMAND/CONTROL/COMMUNICATION	78,226	79,678		79,678	-400	[illegible]	-400	-4,240	75,438
[illegible]	PERS UTILIZATION TECH	8,556	9,866		9,866	-1,000	[illegible]	-1,500	-1,522	8,344
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—	-78,505	-78,505		—	78,505	—	—
	SUBTOTAL, TECHNOLOGY BASE	881,487	888,270	-78,505	809,765	-25,810	862,460	52,775	[illegible]	779,702
[illegible]	LOGISTICS RESEARCH & DEV GRANTS	9,400	19,661		19,661	-7,661	12,000	-7,661	-5,661	14,000
[illegible]	INTEGRATED [illegible] SYSTEM [illegible] DEVELOPMENT	43,822	46,187		46,187	-46,187	0	-46,187		46,187
[illegible]	ACFT PROPULSION SUBSYS INTEGRATION	26,673	30,188		30,188		30,188			30,188
[illegible]	ADV AVIONICS FOR ACFT	21,639	49,835		49,835	-27,835	22,000	-27,835	-2,000	47,835
[illegible]	FLT VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	19,441	27,557		27,557		27,557		-2,000	25,557
[illegible]	[illegible] TECHNOLOGY	5,031	6,044		6,044		6,044			6,044
[illegible]	AEROSPACE STRUCTURES/MATERIALS	18,084	21,512		21,512	-13,512	8,000	-13,512	-1,512	20,000
[illegible]	AVIATION TURBINE FUEL TECHNOLOGY	7,952	18,422		18,422		18,422		[illegible]	6,675

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED: CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	SENATE BILL AS PASSED: RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED: CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	HOUSE BILL AS PASSED: RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	DIFFERENCE: HOUSE +/- TO SENATE AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL: CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	CONFERENCE BILL: RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
63216F	ADV TURBINE ENGINE GAS GENERATOR	26,933	27,038		27,038	-2,000	25,038	-2,000	-1,000	26,038
63217F	WEAPON SYSTEM POWER	1,225	3,544		3,544		3,544		-1,000	2,544
63226F	DOD COMMON PROG LANGUAGE(ADA)/APP DEV	7,270	6,899		6,899		6,899			6,899
63227F	ADVANCED SIMULATOR TECHNOLOGY	4,297	5,054		5,054		5,054			5,054
63231F	CREW SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	11,387	15,905		15,905		15,905			15,905
63244F	ACFT NON-NUCLEAR SURVIVABILITY	3,191	2,470		2,470		2,470			2,470
63245F	ADV FIGHTER TECH INTEGRATION	19,283	30,679		30,679	-5,679	25,000	-5,679	-4,000	26,679
63250F	LINCOLN LABORATORY	23,000	26,524		26,524	-1,524	25,000	-1,524	-1,000	25,524
63253F	ADVANCED SYSTEM INTEGRATION DEMO	0	9,269		9,269	-9,269	0	-9,269		9,269
63259F	CARTOG APPLICATIONS-TAC & STRAT SYS	1,373	1,786		1,786		1,786			1,786
63269F	NATIONAL AEROSPACE PLANE TECHNOLOGY	0	33,490		33,490		33,490		70,510	104,000
63302F	SPACE & MSL ROCKET PROPULSION	3,295	12,911		12,911	-6,911	6,000	-6,911	-5,000	7,911
63319F	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY CRUISE MISSILE	7,482	0		0		0			0
63363F	HYPERVELOCITY MISSILE	10,456	9,689		9,689		9,689			9,689
63401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECH	6,825	14,373		14,373	-7,373	7,000	-7,373	-4,373	10,000
63410F	SPACE SYS ENVIRON INTERACTIONS TECH	3,854	8,529		8,529	-5,000	3,529	-5,000	-2,500	6,029
63424F	CRUISE MISSILE SURVEILLANCE TECH	11,255	16,850		16,850	-3,000	13,850	-3,000	-16,850	0
63452F	VERY HIGH SPEED INTEGRATED CIRCUITS	201,994	132,097	-5,000	127,097	25,000	157,097	30,000		132,097
63601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS	24,856	30,983		30,983	-4,000	26,983	-4,000	-3,000	27,983
63605F	ADVANCED RADIATION TECH	23,449	23,641		23,641		23,641			23,641
63704F	MANPOWER & PERSONNEL SYS TECH	2,143	2,370		2,370	-2,370	0	-2,370	-670	1,700
63707F	WEATHER SYSTEMS	3,375	3,697		3,697	-300	3,397	-300	-300	3,397
63718F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	25,546	0		0		0			0
63723F	CIVIL/ENVIRONMENTAL ENG TECH	13,697	13,703		13,703	-500	13,203	-500	-500	13,203
63726F	FIBER OPTICS DEVELOPMENT	3,373	2,841		2,841		2,841			2,841
63727F	ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	4,770	1,926		1,926	-1,926	0	-1,926	-526	1,400
63728F	ADVANCED COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY	7,389	10,491		10,491	-10,491	0	-10,491	-10,491	0
63742F	ELECTRO-OPTICAL WARFARE	13,915	53,363		53,363	-53,363	0	-53,363	-53,363	0
63745F	CHEMICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	4,873	5,149		5,149	-200	4,949	-200		5,149
63750F	COUNTER/COUNTERMEASURES -ADV DEV	13,057	7,612		7,612	-1,000	6,612	-1,000		7,612
63751F	TRAINING SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	2,264	2,411		2,411	-1,000	1,411	-1,000	-500	1,911
63752F	DOD SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	11,443	9,541	5,000	14,541	2,500	12,041	-2,500	2,500	12,041
63789F	COMD/CNTRL/COMM ADV DEV	15,858	22,963		22,963	-16,047	6,916	-16,047	-7,000	15,963
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—	-31,620	-31,620		—	31,620	—	—
	SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECH DEVELOPMENT	665,143	783,997	-31,620	752,377	-213,068	570,929	-181,448	-53,393	730,604
63730F	COMMON STRATEGIC ROTARY LAUNCHER	0	0		0		0			0
63311F	ADVANCED STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS	159,756	174,915		174,915	-74,915	100,000	-74,915	-11,915	163,000
63312F	ADVANCED CONCEPTS	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-120,000	-120,000	-120,000		[ ]
63364F	SHORT RANGE ATTACK MISSILE II	34,186	164,702	-45,000	119,702	-164,702	0	-119,702	-94,702	70,000
63367F	STRATEGIC RELOCATABLE TARGET CAPABIL	0	995		995	-995	0	-995	-495	500
63368F	AIR DEFENSE BATTLE MGT TECH	0	2,500		2,500	-2,500	0	-2,500	-2,500	0
63369F	CRUISE MISSILE ENGAG SYS TECH	0	2,100		2,100	-2,100	0	-2,100	-2,100	0
63716F	ATMOSPHERIC SURVEILLANCE TECH	4,851	32,024		32,024	-28,024	4,000	-28,024	-32,024	0
63735F	WWMCCS ARCHITECTURE	7,831	2,224		2,224		2,224			2,224
64221F	SPECIAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	0	0		0		0			0
64226F	B-1B	271,640	118,652		118,652	-68,652	50,000	-68,652	-28,652	90,000
64234F	COMMON STRATEGIC ROTARY LAUNCHER	70,770	14,591		14,591	-1,000	13,591	-1,000	-1,000	13,591
64312F	ICBM MODERNIZATION	1,442,271	2,116,798	-918,000	1,198,798	-541,300	1,575,498	376,700	-506,800	1,609,998
	MX		(352,300)	(-30,000)	(322,300)	(-152,300)	(200,000)	(-122,300)	(-62,300)	(290,000)
	SICBM		(1,375,500)	(-700,000)	(675,500)	(0)	(1,375,500)	(700,000)	(-175,500)	(1,200,000)
	BASING		(389,000)	(-188,000)	(201,000)	(-389,000)	(0)	(-201,000)	(-269,000)	(120,000)
64222F	STRAT COMM STANDOFF CAPA(ESC)	25,865	7,464		7,464		7,464			7,464
64361F	AIR LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE	10,894	6,045		6,045	-2,000	4,045	-2,000	-2,000	4,045
64466F	SPACE DEFENSE SYS	199,500	277,957	-61,800	216,157	-137,957	140,000	-76,157	-77,957	200,000
64711F	SYSTEMS SURVIVABILITY (NUC EFFECTS)	7,725	13,515		13,515		13,515			13,515
11113F	B-52 SQUADRONS	12,829	0		0		0			0
11119F	ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-149,871	-149,871	-149,871		[ ]
11125F	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY ENHANCEMENTS	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
11142F	KC-135 SQUADRONS	944	3,941		3,941	-41	3,900	-41	-41	3,900
11213F	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	5,511	67,526	-13,000	54,526	-20,000	47,526	-7,000	-13,300	54,226
11312F	PACCS/WWABNCP SYS EC-135 CL V MODS	3,993	14,778		14,778	-5,000	9,778	-5,000	-5,000	9,778
11316F	SAC COMMUNICATIONS	7,296	610		610		610			610
12310F	NCMC - TW/AA SYSTEMS	51,109	32,935		32,935		32,935			32,935
	AIR DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	0	0		0		0		30,000	30,000
12311F	NCMC - SPACE DEFENSE SYSTEMS	56,510	41,204		41,204		41,204			41,204
12313F	BALLISTIC MSL TAC WNG/ATK ASSES SYS	2,100	3,004		3,004		3,004			3,004
12322F	TW/AA INTERFACE NETWORK	1,438	0		0		0			0
12325F	JOINT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	2,037	3,509		3,509		3,509			3,509
12411F	SURVEILL RADAR STATIONS/SITES	19,700	25,004		25,004	-6,200	18,804	-6,200	-3,000	22,004
12412F	DEW RADAR STATIONS	39,871	14,430		14,430		14,430			14,430
12417F	OVER-THE-HORIZON RADAR	65,738	33,877		33,877		33,877			33,877
12423F	BALLISTIC MSL EARLY WRN SYSTEM	12,636	16,225		16,225		16,225			16,225
12424F	SPACETRACK	9,368	16,461		16,461		16,461			16,461
12431F	DEFENSE SUPPORT PROGRAM	77,540	138,178	-13,178	125,000	-40,000	98,178	-26,822	-20,000	118,178
12432F	SLBM RADAR WARNING SYSTEMS	8,025	19,752		19,752	-2,000	17,752	-2,000	-1,000	18,752
12433F	INTEGRATED OPER NUDETS DETECT SYS	20,015	31,346		31,346		31,346			31,346
12436F	COMD CTR PROCESS/DISPLAY SYS	14,430	27,827		27,827		27,827			27,827
12702F	LED	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
32015F	NEACP/E-4B CL V MODS	0	0		0		0			0
33131F	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMER COMM NETWORK	99,526	92,327		92,327	-35,000	57,327	-35,000	-20,000	72,327
33152F	WWMCCS INFORMATION SYSTEM	4,873	8,510		8,510		8,510			8,510
33154F	WWMCCS INFORMATION SYSTEM - JPMO	62,097	111,374	-11,374	100,000		111,374	11,374	-11,374	100,000
33601F	MILSTAR SAT COMM SYS (AF TERMINALS)	124,254	298,527	-8,527	290,000	-20,527	278,000	-12,000	-8,527	290,000
33603F	MILSTAR COMM SAT SYSTEM	326,086	493,357	-25,000	468,357	-16,100	477,257	8,900	-25,000	468,357

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
35155F	THEATER NUC WPN STORAGE&SEC SYS	1,018	0		0		0			0
35172F	[illegible]	[ ]	[ ]	-9,500	-9,500		[ ]	9,500	-9,500	-9,500
35892F	SPECIAL ANALYSIS ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
41123F	MILITARY AIRLIFT GROUP (IF)	19,490	8,963		8,963	-8,963	0	-8,963		8,963
	ADVANCED STRATEGIC WEAPONS	0	0		0	100,000	100,000	100,000		0
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—		—	-140,000	-140,000	-140,000		—
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,053,056	2,636,868		2,636,868		2,636,868			2,636,868
	SUBTOTAL, STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	5,357,606	7,076,605	-1,105,379	5,971,226	-1,489,837	5,586,768	-384,458	-838,877	6,237,728
63230F	ADVANCED TACTICAL FIGHTER	164,628	294,099		294,099	-294,099	0	-294,099	-19,099	275,000
63239F	UNMANNED AIR RECONNAISSANCE VEHICLE	9,745	3,940		3,940		3,940			3,940
63254F	CV-22A	2,429	4,920		4,920	-4,920	0	-4,920	-2,420	2,500
63307F	AIR BASE SURVIVABILITY & RECOVERY	4,863	7,078		7,078		7,076			7,078
63320F	LOW COST SEEKER	17,109	14,775		14,775	2,600	17,375	2,600	2,600	17,375
63437F	HAVE DJINN	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-1,000	-1,000	-1,000		[ ]
63607F	F-4 MODERNIZATION DEMONSTRATION	14,618	0		0		0			0
63609F	MILLIMETER WAVE SEEKERS	18,567	14,179		14,179	-14,179	0	-14,179		14,179
63714F	DOD PHYSICAL SECURITY EQ-EXTERIOR	985	984		984		984			984
63717F	TECHNICAL ON-SITE INSPECTION (TOSI)	0	9,850		9,850		9,850			9,850
63742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	8,528	6,314		6,314		6,314			6,314
63749F	C3CM ADVANCED SYSTEMS	1,949	4,512		4,512	-4,512	0	-4,512	-4,512	0
63770F	JT SURV.TGT ATK RADAR SYS(JSTARS)	3,118	0		0		0			0
63801F	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	[ ]	[ ]	20,000	20,000	-46,600	-46,600	-66,600	3,600	3,600
64201F	ACFT AVIONICS EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	28,383	25,092		25,092	-4,000	21,092	-4,000		25,092
64209F	F-100 DURABILITY	2,825	0		0		0			0
64212F	AIRCRAFT EQUIPMENT DEV	8,516	4,862		4,862		4,862			4,862
64218F	ENGINE MODEL DERIVATIVE PROG	60,606	68,132		68,132	-6,000	62,132	-6,000	-3,000	65,132
64219F	INTEGRATED DIGITAL AVIONICS	8,080	13,394		13,394	-13,394	0	-13,394	-6,100	7,294
64220F	EW COUNTER RESPONSE	29,202	34,068		34,068	-34,068	0	-34,068	-34,068	0
64222F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT	1,983	4,341	-2,000	2,341		4,341	2,000	-2,000	2,341
64223F	ALTERNATE FIGHTER ENGINE	32,482	109,376		109,376	-9,376	100,000	-9,376		109,376
64231F	C-17 PROGRAM	372,759	612,262	-65,000	547,262	-25,000	587,262	40,000	-65,000	547,262
64232F	PAVE [illegible]	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	160,000	160,000	160,000		[ ]
64234F	INFRARED SEARCH & TRACK SYS	23,054	34,846		34,846	-4,000	30,846	-4,000	-4,000	30,846
64247F	MODULAR AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT	9,683	23,682		23,682		23,682			23,682
64249F	NIGHT PRECISION ATTACK	39,793	40,103		40,103	-30,103	10,000	-30,103		40,103
64250F	INTEGRATED EW/CNI DEVELOPMENT	0	0		0		0			0
64268F	ACFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVE PROG	121,726	141,776		141,776	-10,776	131,000	-10,776	-27,400	114,376
64302F	DEF SUPPRESSION WPNS ENG DEV	0	0		0		0			0
64313F	T-46A	52,812	10,756	-10,756	0	-10,756	0		-10,756	0
64314F	ADV MED RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MSL	101,291	39,000		39,000	-80	39,000	-80		39,000
64315F	ADV SHORT RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE	0	386		386	-386	0	-386		386
64321F	JOINT TACTICAL FUSION PROGRAM	23,728	24,661		24,661		24,661			24,661
64327F	HARDENED TARGET MUNITIONS	0	15,115		15,115	-15,115	0	-15,115	-15,115	0
64362F	GND LAUNCHED CRUISE MSL	647	7,377		7,377	-7,377	0	-7,377	-7,377	0
64601F	C/B DEFENSE EQUIPMENT	21,915	27,187		27,187	-2,000	25,187	-2,000	-3,000	24,187
64602F	ARMAMENT ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	17,116	29,773		29,773	-15,435	14,338	-15,435		29,773
64604F	SUBMUNITIONS	37,919	4,921		4,921		4,921			4,921
64607F	WIDE-AREA ANTI-ARMOR MUNITION	12,238	15,102		15,102	24,000	39,102	24,000	24,000	39,102
64617F	AIR BASE SURVIVABILITY & RECOVERY	19,393	21,330		21,330	-1,000	20,330	-1,000		21,330
64703F	AEROMEDICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	7,844	9,341		9,341		9,341			9,341
64706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	15,272	12,396		12,396	3,000	15,396	3,000	2,000	14,396
64708F	OTHER OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT	17,507	14,469		14,469	-3,900	10,569	-3,900	-1,900	12,569
64710F	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT	7,621	9,540		9,540		9,540			9,540
64715F	DOD PHYSICAL SECURITY EQ-EXTERIOR	15,332	18,511		18,511		18,511			18,511
64724F	TAC C3 COUNTER-MEASURES	29,661	24,636		24,636	-24,636	0	-24,636	-24,636	0
64725F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS	12,828	12,012		12,012	-12,012	0	-12,012		12,012
64733F	SURFACE DEF SUPRESSION	29,235	44,119		44,119	-44,119	0	-44,119		44,119
64737F	AIRBORNE SELF-PROTECTION JAMMER	5,000	10,071		10,071	-10,071	0	-10,071	-10,071	0
64738F	PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS	50,115	92,705		92,705	-92,705	0	-92,705	-92,705	0
64739F	TACTICAL PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS	43,789	94,910		94,910	-94,910	0	-94,910	-94,910	0
64740F	COMPUTER RESOURCES MGT TECH	11,705	12,957		12,957	-3,000	9,957	-3,000	-1,457	11,500
64742F	PRECISION LOCATION STRIKE SYSTEM	61,474	26,601	-26,601	0	-6,601	20,000	20,000	-6,601	20,000
64750F	INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT	13,138	10,417		10,417		10,417			10,417
64753F	COMBAT HELICOPTER MODERNIZATION	9,745	0		0		0			0
64754F	JT TAC INFO DIST SYS	0	0		0		0			0
64756F	SIDE LOOKING AIRBORNE RADAR (SLAR)	23,440	19,691		19,691		19,691		20,000	39,691
64770F	JSTARS	0	355,692		355,692	-355,692	0	-355,692	-55,692	300,000
64779F	JT INTEROPERABILITY TAC COMD/CNTRL	8,908	9,866		9,866		9,866			9,866
11133F	SR-71 SQUADRONS	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-18,800	-18,800	-18,800	-18,800	-18,800
21131F	US READINESS COMD - COMM	0	0		0		0			0
27129F	F-111 SQUADRONS	47,253	35,236		35,236	-35,236	0	-35,236		35,236
27130F	F-15 SQUADRONS	229,993	208,988		208,988	-208,988	0	-208,988	-30,000	178,988
27131F	A-10 SQUADRONS	3,014	0		0		0			0
27133F	F-16 SQUADRONS	66,270	81,323		81,323	-81,323	0	-81,323	-15,900	65,423
27136F	F-4G WILD WEASEL SQUADRONS	35,740	38,698		38,698	-38,698	0	-38,698		38,698
27137F	CONSTANT HELP	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
27139F	COMPETITIVE FIGHTER PROCUREMENT	0	0		0		0			0
27162F	TACTICAL AGM MISSILES	1,943	2,377		2,377	-2,377	0	-2,377		2,377
27168F	F-111 SELF PROTECTION SYSTEMS	0	0		0		0			0
27215F	TR-1 SQUADRONS	0	23,509		23,509	-2,000	21,509	-2,000	-1,000	22,509
27217F	FOLLOW-ON TAC RECCE SYS	0	30,583		30,583	-12,000	18,583	-12,000	-10,000	20,583
27247F	AIR FORCE TENCAP	244	105		105		105			105
27411F	OVERSEAS AIR WEAPON CONT SYS	3,014	8,534		8,534	-4,000	4,534	-4,000	-2,000	6,534
27412F	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL SYSTEM	14,566	19,261		19,261	-19,261	0	-19,261		19,261

				SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL AMOUNT	FY 87 REQUEST AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST AMOUNT	RECOMMENDATION AMOUNT
27417F	AIR BORNE WARNING & CONTROL SYS	113,762	137,190		137,190	-60,000	77,190	-60,000	-30,190	107,000
27419F	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CMD & CONTROL SYS	7,943	0		0		0			0
27423F	ADV COMM SYS	100,786	33,335		33,335	-33,335	0	-33,335	-335	33,000
27431F	TAC AIR INTELL SYS ACTYS	2,135	492		492		492			492
27435F	TACTICAL RECON IMAGERY EXPLOITATION	7,495	831		831		831			831
27584F	SEEK AILE	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-22,954	-22,954	-22,954	-22,954	-22,954
27585F	SEEK SPINNER	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	25,000	25,000	25,000	80,000	80,000
27591F	OMEGA	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
27595F	BASE COMM - TACTICAL AIR FORCES	862	3,207		3,207	-2,000	1,207	-2,000		3,207
28010F	JT TACTICAL COMM PROG (TRI-TAC)	4,776	11,425		11,425	-3,000	8,425	-3,000	-3,000	8,425
28042F	HAVE FLAG	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
33605F	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS TERMINALS	4,814	4,615		4,615		4,615			4,615
35887F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT INTEL SPT	1,552	1,784		1,784		1,784			1,784
41115F	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF)	0	0		0		0			0
41129F	HAVE FLAG	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
41314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	0	0		0		0			0
41840F	MAC COMMAND/CONTROL SYS	7,741	22,712	-10,000	12,712	-12,000	10,712	-2,000	-12,000	10,712
44011F	SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES	9,745	66,539		66,539		66,539			66,539
52610F	A-7 SQUADRONS	0	4,531	35,000	39,531	-4,531	0	-39,531		4,531
	A-7 STRIKEFIGHTER	0	0		0	450,000	450,000	450,000	35,000	35,000
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---		---	-39,000	-39,000	-39,000		—
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	419,138	723,023		723,023		723,023			723,023
	SUBTOTAL, TACTICAL PROGRAMS	2,723,024	3,904,505	-59,357	3,845,148	-1,136,725	2,767,780	-1,077,368	-470,798	3,433,707
63260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	0	6,081	-2,081	4,000		6,081	2,081	-1,000	5,081
63261F	PAVE RUBBER ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
63431F	SPACE COMMUNICATIONS	41,916	35,805	-10,000	25,805	-2,000	33,805	8,000	-6,000	29,805
64230F	CONSTANT PISCES	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-78,798	-78,798	-78,798	-78,798	-78,798
64778F	NAVSTAR GPS USER EQUIPMENT	0	0		0		0			0
27169F	SEEK CLOCK	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
27582F	HAVE TRUMP	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-51,129	-51,129	-51,129	-51,129	-51,129
31305F	INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTION ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-462	-462	-462		[ ]
31310F	FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
31314F	INFRARED PROCESSING AND EXPLOITATION	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
31315F	MISSILE AND SPACE TECH COLLECTION	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-1,000	-1,000	-1,000		[ ]
31317F	SENIOR YEAR OPERATIONS	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
31324F	FOREST GREEN	[ ]	[ ]	-335	-335	-2,000	-2,000	-1,665	-335	-335
31357F	INTEG OPERATIONAL NUDETS DETECTION SYSTE	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
32053F	WWMCCS-WIDE SUPPORT-COMMUNICATIONS	0	1,970	-1,970	0		1,970	1,970	-1,970	0
33110F	DEF SATELLITE COMM SYS	6,753	14,001		14,001	-6,000	8,001	-6,000	-3,001	11,000
33126F	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS)	11,249	5,630		5,630		5,630			5,630
33128F	I-S/A AMPE SYSTEM	30,600	54,567		54,567	-19,567	35,000	-19,567	-14,567	40,000
33144F	ELECTROMAG COMPATIBILITY ANAL CTR	7,254	7,670		7,670		7,670			7,670
33401F	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
34111F	SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	1,738,619	1,793,491		1,793,491	-52,400	1,741,091	-52,400	-30,000	1,763,491
35114F	TRAFFIC CNTRL/APPROACH/LANDING SYS	23,913	18,575		18,575	-12,536	6,039	-12,536		18,575
35159F	DEFENSE RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITIE	[ ]	[ ]	-5,800	-5,800	20,000	20,000	25,800	14,200	14,200
35164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POS SYS (USER EQ)	27,960	45,024		45,024	-22,024	23,000	-22,024	-10,000	35,024
35165F	NAVSTAR GPS (SPACE/GRD SEGMENTS)	44,365	44,362		44,362	-20,000	24,362	-20,000	-10,000	34,362
84733F	GEN INTELLIGENCE SKILL TNG	5,165	4,788		4,788		4,788			4,788
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	385,912	500,777		500,777		500,777			500,777
	SUBTOTAL, INTELLIGENCE & COMMUNICATIONS	2,243,700	2,540,741	-20,186	2,520,555	-247,916	2,292,825	-227,730	-192,600	2,348,141
63248F	CONCEPT DEVELOPMENT	2,000	3,214		3,214	-3,214	0	-3,214	-214	3,000
63402F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM	64,690	73,692	-30,000	43,692	-56,500	17,192	-26,500	-30,000	43,692
63438F	SATELLITE SYS SURVIVABILITY	4,164	5,405		5,405	-5,405	0	-5,405	-2,000	3,405
63790F	NATO COOPERATIVE R&D	25,000	49,249		49,249	-49,249	0	-49,249	-9,200	40,049
64211F	ADV AERIAL TARGETS DEV	13,119	11,149		11,149		11,149			11,149
64227F	FLIGHT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	128,436	102,736		102,736	-28,137	74,599	-28,137	-3,000	99,736
64237F	VARIABLE IN-FLT TEST ACFT (VISTA)	381	8,680		8,680		8,680			8,680
64411F	SPACE SHUTTLE	128,642	0		0		0			0
64609F	R&M MATURATION/TECH INSERTION	6,578	18,031		18,031	-5,000	13,031	-5,000	-3,000	15,031
64707F	WEATHER SYSTEMS	7,872	8,444		8,444		8,444			8,444
64735F	RANGE IMPROVEMENT	52,624	64,616		64,616	-3,000	61,616	-3,000	-3,000	61,616
64747F	ELECTROMAG RADIATION TEST FACIL	3,290	5,686		5,686		5,686			5,686
64755F	IMPROVED CAPABILITY FOR RDT+E	45,234	89,518		89,518	-20,000	69,518	-20,000	-10,000	79,518
65101F	PROJECT AIR FORCE	18,245	18,295	2,705	21,000	-500	17,795	-3,205	2,705	21,000
65304F	ACQ/COMD SPT - TELECOM	6,550	10,987		10,987		10,987			10,987
65306F	RANCH HAND II EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDY	4,699	7,384		7,384	-4,000	3,384	-4,000		7,384
65502F	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION DEV	0	0		0		0			0
65708F	NAV/RADAR/SLED TRACK TEST SPT	20,671	25,889		25,889	-5,000	20,889	-5,000	-5,000	20,889
65806F	ACQUISITION AND COMMAND SUPPORT	354,520	285,063		285,063		285,063		-5,000	280,063
65807F	TEST AND EVALUATION SPT	426,686	304,151		304,151	-35,000	269,151	-35,000	-4,151	300,000
65808F	ADV SYS ENGINEERING/PLAN	17,000	23,144		23,144	-13,144	10,000	-13,144	-10,000	13,144
65863F	RDT&E AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	55,547	62,404		62,404	-5,000	57,404	-5,000	-1,404	61,000
65872F	PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENTS	2,932	5,106		5,106	-2,106	3,000	-2,106	-2,106	3,000
65874F	PRODUCT PERFORMANCE AGRT CNTR(PPAC)	0	1,157		1,157	-1,157	0	-1,157	-357	800
65894F	REAL PROPERTY MAINT -RDT+E	0	140,310	-4,500	135,810		140,310	4,500	-7,444	132,866
65896F	BASE OPERATIONS (RDT+E)	0	110,268	-3,400	106,868		110,268	3,400	-5,850	104,418
35110F	SATELLITE CONTROL FACILITY	71,259	91,714		91,714	-15,000	76,714	-15,000	-5,000	86,714
35119F	SPACE BOOSTERS	215,751	138,690		138,690	60,000	198,690	60,000		138,690
35130F	CONSOLIDATED SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER	85,187	93,664	-19,000	74,664	-20,000	73,664	-1,000	-19,000	74,664
35160F	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROG	54,874	63,772		63,772		63,772			63,772
35171F	SPACE SHUTTLE OPERATIONS	75,432	175,867	-17,100	158,767	-60,000	115,867	-42,900	-67,867	108,000

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
30610F	INFORMATION MGMT AUTOMATION PROG	0	0		0		0			0
71112F	INVENTORY CONTROL POINT OPERATIONS	2,924	4,788		4,788		4,788			4,788
72207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	0	487		487	-487	0	-487	-487	0
78011F	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	42,895	83,573		83,573	-20,000	63,573	-20,000	-5,000	78,573
78026F	PRODUCT/RELIABLE/AVAIL/MAINTAIN PROG	13,999	31,405		31,405	-16,405	15,000	-16,405	-14,405	17,000
78031F	LOGISTICS C3I SYSTEM	8,283	0		0		0			0
91215F	PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENTS	0	0		0		0			0
92998F	MANAGEMENT HQS-ADP SUPPORT (AF)	0	0		0		0			0
01004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	2,956	2,744		2,744		2,744			2,744
	TAIL CONTROL SPARROW	0	0		0	30,000	30,000	30,000		0
	CONSOLIDATED EW PROGRAMS	0	0		0		0		296,500	296,500
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-57,295	-57,295		---	57,295		---
	SUBTOTAL, DEFENSEWIDE MISSION SUPPORT	1,986,440	2,121,282	-128,590	1,992,692	-278,304	1,842,978	-149,714	85,720	2,207,002
	NATO COOPERATIVE R&D	0	0		0	30,000	30,000	30,000		0
	MINE FLAIL WHEELED VEHICLE	0	0		0	5,000	5,000	5,000		0
	CONVENTIONAL ATTACK STANDOFF WEAPON	0	0		0	5,000	5,000	5,000		0
	SUBTOTAL, CONVENTIONAL DEFENSE INITIATIV	0	0	0	0	40,000	40,000	40,000	0	0
	COOPERATIVE DOD/VA MEDICAL RESEARCH	0	0	0	0	15,000	15,000	15,000		0
	GENERAL REDUCTION (PRIOR YEAR CARRYOVER)	---	---		---	-160,000	-160,000	-160,000		---
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-517,110	-517,110	-24,582	-24,582	492,528	-400,000	-400,000
	PRIOR YEAR FUEL SAVINGS	---	---		---	-2,400	-2,400	-2,400	-2,400	-2,400
	FY 87 FUEL SAVINGS	---	---		---	-26,800	-26,800	-26,800	-26,800	-26,800
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)	---	---	-3,400	-3,400		---	3,400		---
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)	---	---	-100,800	-100,800		---	100,800		---
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)	---	---	-288,600	-288,600		---	288,600	-288,600	-288,600
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)	---	---		---	(-182,400)	(-182,400)	(-182,400)	(-182,400)	(-182,400)
	TOTAL, AIR FORCE RDT&E	13,787,400	17,275,400	-2,333,627	14,941,773	-3,550,442	13,724,958	-1,216,815	-2,256,316	15,019,084
61101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	87,500	95,900		95,900		95,900			95,900
61101W	IN-HOUSE LAB INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	1,748	2,021		2,021		2,021			2,021
61103D	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	70,473	0		0		0			0
61103E	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH	5,980	12,500		12,500		12,500			12,500
62101E	TECHNICAL STUDIES	1,500	0		0		0			0
62301E	STRATEGIC TECHNOLOGY	239,370	240,620		240,620	-10,000	230,620	-10,000		240,620
62702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	94,250	114,000		114,000		114,000			114,000
62707E	PARTICLE BEAM TECHNOLOGY	20,000	15,500		15,500		15,500			15,500
62708E	INTEGRATED COMD/CONTROL TECH	49,000	56,500		56,500	-7,500	49,000	-7,500	-7,500	49,000
62712E	MATERIALS PROCESSING TECH	29,490	34,660		34,660		34,660			34,660
62714E	NUCLEAR MONITORING	19,500	19,400		19,400	3,000	22,400	3,000		19,400
62715H	DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY	350,980	347,013		347,013		347,013			347,013
62716D	TECHNOLOGY BASE	975	1,930		1,930		1,930			1,930
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-82,604	-82,604		---	82,604		---
	SUBTOTAL, TECHNOLOGY BASE	970,774	940,044	-82,604	857,440	-14,500	925,544	68,104	-7,500	932,544
	STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE:	(2,746,100)	(4,785,155)	(-1,188,155)	(3,597,000)	(-1,955,566)	(2,829,589)	(-767,411)	(-1,572,155)	(3,213,000)
63220C	SURVEILLANCE,ACQSN,TRACK & KILL ASSESS	856,956	1,262,413		1,262,413	-418,000	844,413	-418,000		1,262,413
63221C	DIRECTED ENERGY WEAPONS	844,401	1,614,955		1,614,955	-558,000	1,056,955	-558,000		1,614,955
63222C	KINETIC ENERGY WEAPONS	595,802	991,214		991,214	-371,000	620,214	-371,000		991,214
63223C	SYSTEMS CONCEPTS AND BATTLE MANAGEMENT	227,339	462,206		462,206	-194,000	268,206	-194,000		462,206
63224C	SURVIVABILITY,LETHALITY & KEY SPT TECH	221,602	454,367		454,367	-159,000	295,367	-159,000		454,367
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-1,188,155	-1,188,155	-255,566	-255,566	932,589	-1,572,155	-1,572,155
63225D	JOIN DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECH DEV	7,787	8,803		8,803	-1,000	7,803	-1,000		8,803
63226E	EXP EVAL OF MAJOR INNOVATIVE TECH	177,179	172,600		172,600	5,000	177,600	5,000		172,600
63269E	NATIONAL AERO SPACE PLANE TECHNOLOGY PRO	0	60,000		60,000		60,000		-60,000	0
63702D	SPECIAL OPERATIONS SPECIAL TECHNOLOGY OF	3,925	4,365		4,365		4,365			4,365
63703D	COUNTER INSURGENCY & SPECIAL TECHNOLOGY	10,732	12,100		12,100		12,100			12,100
63706D	MICROWAVE/MILLIMETER WAVE MONOLITHIC INT	0	11,172		11,172	-11,172	0	-11,172		11,172
63734D	COMPUTER AIDED LOGISTICS SUPPORT	0	14,831		14,831		14,831		-1,000	13,831
63756D	DOD SOFTWARE INITIATIVES (STARS)	0	35,220		35,220		35,220			35,220
35108K	COMMAND AND CONTROL RESEARCH	1,813	2,062		2,062	-249	1,813	-249	-249	1,813
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-29,351	-29,351	-14,831	-14,831	14,520	28,102	-28,102
	SUBTOTAL, ADVANCED TECH DEVELOPMENT	2,947,536	5,106,388	-1,217,506	3,888,882	-1,977,818	3,128,570	-760,312	-1,661,506	3,444,882
63734K	ISLAND SUN	14,131	31,853		31,853		31,853			31,853
32016K	WWMCCS-WIDE SUPPORT	36,872	10,485		10,485		10,485			10,485
32017K	WWMCCS ADP-JTSA	0	0		0		0			0
32019K	WWMCCS SYSTEM ENGINEER	21,033	19,279		19,279		19,279			19,279
33131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMER COMM NETWORK	11,816	11,301		11,301		11,301			11,301
	SUBTOTAL, STRATEGIC PROGRAMS	83,852	72,918	0	72,918	0	72,918	0	0	72,918
64770D	JT SURVIVABILITY & TARGET ATTACK RADAR S	188,138	0		0		0			0
64771D	COMMON JT TACTICAL INFO DISTRIBUTION SYS	187,931	211,930		211,930		211,930			211,930
64772D	JOINT ADVANCED SYSTEMS	201,896	0		0		0			0
21135K	CINC C2 INITIATIVES	2,083	2,158		2,158		2,158			2,158

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
28045K	C3 INTEROPERABILITY (JOINT TACTICAL C3 A	57,271	68,835		68,835	-7,000	61,835	-7,000		68,835
20296K	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JTC3A)	1,858	8,816		8,816	-816	8,000	-816	-816	8,000
	SUBTOTAL, TACTICAL PROGRAMS	719,177	291,729	0	291,729	-7,816	283,913	-7,816	-816	290,913
63701B	MAP/CHART/GEODESY INV/PROTOTYPE DEV	13,197	15,504		15,504		15,504			15,504
64701B	MAP/CHART/GEODESY ENGR DEV/TEST	3,092	2,337		2,337		2,337			2,337
31011G	CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]	-7,500	-7,500	-114,840	-114,840	-107,340	-43,995	-43,995
31301L	GENERAL DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM	[ ]	[ ]	-4,150	-4,150	-10,756	-10,756	-6,606	-4,389	-4,389
33126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS)	16,256	39,113		39,113	-2,000	37,113	-2,000	-8,000	31,113
33127K	SUPPORT OF THE NCS	2,803	3,041		3,041		3,041			3,041
33401G	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY	[ ]	[ ]	8,000	8,000		[ ]	-8,000	8,000	8,000
35129H	DNA EXPLOITATION PROGRAM	233,771	243,629		243,629		243,629			243,629
35159H	DEF RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	7,250	10,129		10,129		10,129			10,129
35159G	DEF RECONNAISSANCE ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
35159T	DEFENSE RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITIE	252,607	147,055		147,055	128,798	275,853	128,798	128,798	275,853
35167G	COMPUTER SECURITY	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000	-5,000
35884L	INTEL PLAN AND REVIEW ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]		[ ]			[ ]
35885G	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	[ ]	[ ]		[ ]	-11,000	-11,000	-11,000	-5,700	-5,700
	ASDC3I	0	0		0	408,857	408,857	408,857		0
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	---	---	-42,177	-42,177		---	42,177		—
	CONSOLIDATED EW PROGRAMS	0	0		0		0		100,000	100,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,252,379	1,275,813		1,275,813		1,275,813			1,275,813
	SUBTOTAL, INTELLIGENCE & COMMUNICATIONS	1,701,355	1,736,701	-45,827	1,690,874	473,259	2,209,960	519,086	169,714	1,906,415
63790D	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	23,491	49,436		49,436	-5,000	44,436	-5,000	-5,000	44,436
65104D	TECHNICAL SUPPORT TO USDR/E	18,317	20,617		20,617	-2,000	18,617	-2,000	-2,000	18,617
65106D	GENERAL SUPPORT FOR PA/E	2,339	3,912		3,912	-1,500	2,412	-1,500	-1,500	2,412
65107D	SUPPORT TO POLICY	6,192	6,931		6,931	-700	6,231	-700	-700	6,231
65108D	GENERAL SUPPORT FOR NET ASSESSMENT	3,789	4,415		4,415	-600	3,815	-600	-600	3,815
65109D	GENERAL SUPPORT FOR FNAP	1,691	2,049		2,049	-300	1,749	-300	-300	1,749
65110D	CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY	2,623	3,474		3,474	-600	2,874	-600	-600	2,874
65112D	RAND RESEARCH CENTER FOR OSD & OJCS	14,096	16,717		16,717	-2,600	14,117	-2,600	-2,600	14,117
65112E	TECHNICAL ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	0	0		0		0			0
65113D	TAPESTRY	0	2,801		2,801		2,801			2,801
65114E	BLACKLITE	4,000	4,000		4,000		4,000			4,000
65115J	CINC CONTRACT STUDIES SUPPORT	0	5,000		5,000	-5,000	0	-5,000	-5,000	0
65116D	GENERAL SUPPORT TO C3I	2,161	3,164		3,164	-1,000	2,164	-1,000	-1,000	2,164
65117D	FOREIGN MATERIALS ACQUISTION & EXPLOITAT	0	14,831		14,831	-10,000	4,831	-10,000	-4,831	10,000
65119D	GENERAL SUPPORT FOR A&L	2,349	3,263		3,263	-900	2,363	-900	-263	3,000
65502E	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH	0	0		0		0			0
65711S	CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	0	3,000		3,000	-700	2,300	-700	-700	2,300
65801S	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER	20,328	22,500		22,500	-2,000	20,500	-2,000	-1,500	21,000
65802S	INFORMATION ANALYSIS CENTERS	6,500	7,550		7,550	-1,000	6,550	-1,000	-550	7,000
65898C	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS SDI	13,122	17,411		17,411	-1,000	16,411	-1,000	-411	17,000
65898E	MGT HQ (RESEARCH/DEVELOPMENT)	12,140	12,200		12,200		12,200			12,200
78011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	5,000	11,500		11,500	-4,000	7,500	-4,000	-5,000	6,500
01015D	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER FUNCTIONS	1,546	1,739		1,739		1,739			1,739
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—	-9,389	-9,389		—	9,389		—
	SUBTOTAL, DEFENSEWIDE MISSION SUPPORT	139,692	216,510	-9,389	207,121	-38,900	177,610	-29,511	-32,555	183,955
	CONVENTIONAL DEFENSE INITIATIVE	—	—	453,000	453,000		0	-453,000	453,000	453,000
	PROJECT HORIZON	0	0		0	10,000	10,000	10,000	5,000	5,000
	LONG RANGE CHEMICAL WEAPONS	0	0		0	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
	COOPERATIVE DOD/VA MEDICAL RESEARCH	0	0		0		0		20,000	20,000
	NUCLEAR WINTER RESEARCH	0	0		0	8,500	8,500	8,500		0
	UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION	—	—	-100,000	-100,000	-290,443	-290,443	-190,443	-124,604	-124,604
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 85)	—	—	-800	-800		—	800		—
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)	—	—	-48,400	-48,400		—	48,400		—
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)	—	—	-145,500	-145,500		—	145,500	-145,500	-145,500
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)	—	—		—	(86,100)	(86,100)	(86,100)	(86,100)	(86,100)
	SUBTOTAL, DEFENSE AGENCIES RDT&E	6,642,386	8,364,300	-1,205,026	7,159,274	-1,840,718	6,523,582	-635,692	-1,319,767	7,044,533
	DIRECTOR OF TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE									
63790D	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	25,000	47,991		47,991	-5,000	42,991	-5,000	-5,000	42,991
65111D	FOREIGN WEAPONS EVALUATION	17,010	18,010		18,010		18,010			18,010
65804D	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION DEFENSE	76,490	75,799		75,799		75,799		-2,000	73,799
	PRIOR YEAR INFLATION SAVINGS (FY 86)	—	—	-400	-400		—	400		—
	INFLATION REESTIMATE (FY 87)	—	—	-1,000	-1,000		—	1,000	-1,000	-1,000
	OBLIGATION LIMITATION (FY 85 AND 86)	—	—		—	(-700)	(-700)	(-700)	(-700)	(-700)
	SUBTOTAL, DIRECTOR OF TEST & EVALUATION	118,500	141,800	-1,400	140,400	-5,000	136,800	-3,600	-8,000	133,800

PROGRAM ELEMENT	PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE BILL	
				CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
		AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
	DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST + EVALUATION									
65118D	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION DEFENSE	0	11,300		11,300		11,300			11,300
	SUBTOTAL, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	0	11,300	0	11,300	0	11,300	0	0	11,300
	TOTAL, DEFENSE AGENCIES RDT&E	6,760,886	8,517,400	-1,206,426	7,310,974	-1,845,718	6,671,682	-639,292	-1,327,767	7,189,633

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Advanced medium range air-to-air missile

The Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missile (AMRAAM) full scale development contract was certified to Congress by the Secretary of Defense as having a fixed-price type contract for an amount not more than $556,580,480 with the development contractor. The amount of $556,580,480 is for the work content specified within the contract at the time of certification. The conferees understand that a need now exists to activate a third test site and to procure an additional captive carry vehicle. Several other actions need to be taken to assure Congress that adequate test results will be available at key decision points. The conferees agree that these activities may be funded by adding the required scope of work tc the existing contract provided they are accomplished at no overall increase in cost of the total development program. The conferees intend to provide the Air Force with the maximum management flexibility required to carry out a successful development program while continuing to constrain the overall cost of the program.

Advanced technology transition

The Administration requested $13.492 million for the Navy's advanced technology transition program. This program's purpose is to facilitate the transition of promising new ideas from the technology base into advanced development.

Both the Senate bill and the House amendment full supported the request. The conferees encourage the Navy to reprogram additional funds into this important program.

Air defense technology program

The Administration requested a total of $53.5 million in two existing and two new line items for the purpose of investigating technologies relevant to strategic defense against air breathing threats. The House bill would authorize $17.85 million.

These programs, when taken together, are analogous to the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) which is investigating technologies relevant to defense against ballistic missiles. The conferees strongly endorse this initiative but believe that the effort should be consolidated under a single line item. Accordingly, the conferees provided $30 million for an Air Defense Technology Program. The conferees agree that the early emphasis of this program should be on surveillance, acquisition and tracking technologies and that there should be the greatest possible cooperation and interaction with the SDI Organization to take advantage of relevant technologies developed in the SDI program and to avoid duplication of effort.

All source imagery processor

The conferees agreed to authorize the full request for the All Source Imagery Processor with the understanding that the Marine Corps will pursue the tactical system with a complementary secondary dissemination system for other products.

Applied optics university research

The conferees believe that the number of university centers (currently just two) conducting research in applied optics for the Department of Defense should be increased. Any newly designated center should have broad spectrum optics capabilities and be located so as to meet Department of Defense needs. To overcome the shortage of trained personnel in infrared detector fabrication, any newly designated center should also have the capability to train researchers as well as to develop new optics materials.

The conferees recommend that the directors of Department of Defense supported university optics centers be constituted as an informal committee to assess the current and future optics needs of the individual services and of the Department of Defense, as a whole, and that they be tasked to provide assistance and guidance to the Department in that regard.

A-7 strikefighter

Both the Air Force and Air National Guard have expressed strong interest in the evaluation of the A-7 Strikefighter. The A-7 Strikefighter would be a modified version of the existing A-7D and A-7E aircraft. It would consist of upgraded avionics, a new or modified engine, and a stretched fuselage to accommodate the larger engine.

If developed, the A-7 Strikefighter would be procured for the Air National Guard by modifying existing Guard A-7D and Navy A-7E aircraft. It would be procured in lieu of F-16's, at one half the cost of the F-16. The Air Force estimates the total A-7 Strikefighter inventory objective at approximately 350 aircraft.

The conferees support this effort to make maximum use of existing assets. By upgrading the inventory of A-7 aircraft (which have considerable service life remaining) these aircraft will remain operational beyond the year 2010.

The conferees recommend an authorization of $35.0 million for Air Force evaluation of the A-7 Strikefighter. Following the inital evaluation of prototype aircraft, the conferees expect this program to be fully competed. Approval to proceed with overall modification program will be contingent upon the performance of the prototype aircraft and the overall affordability of the program.

Ballistic missile research and development

The conferees agreed that the United States must pursue a vigorous research and development program for penetration aids and for earth penetrating warheads in order to ensure the continued effectiveness of the U.S. ballistic missile deterrent in the face of Soviet active and passive defensive measures. These measures threaten to erode the effectiveness of the U.S. strategic deterrent.

The conferees share a desire that cooperation be enhanced between the Air Force and Navy in pursuing penetration and advance re-entry vehicle programs and that specific plans be developed for the application of systems emerging from these research programs to Trident II, Peacekeeper, and the Small ICBM. Accordingly, at the time of the submission of the fiscal year 1988 budget request, the conferees request that the Secretary of Defense submit: (1) a report on the status of cooperative efforts between the two services and with the nuclear weapons laboratories pertaining to penetration aids and earth penetrating warheads, and (2) specific recommendations regarding the introduction of these capabilities into U.S. modern ballistics missile forces.

Battle group passive horizon extension system

The Senate bill would authorize the amount requested by the Administration for the Battle Group Passive Horizon Extension System (BGPHES). The House amendment would reduce the request by $11.8 million.

The conferees agreed to authorize the requested amount for the BGPHES. The conferees have learned that the Navy is considering a new platform for the BGPHES mission. The conferees have authorized the funds exclusively for the program presented and justified in the budget request. The BGPHES program should be considered an item of Congressional interest.

Follow-on tactical reconnaissance system

The Senate would authorize $30.583 million for the Air Force tactical air reconnaissance system, the amount requested by the Administration. The House amendment would reduce the request to $18.583 million.

The conferees agreed to authorize $20.583 million for the tactical air reconnaissance system. Within the program line is the Advance Deployable Digital Imagery Support System (ADDISS). The conferees do not intend that this reduction be applied against the ADDISS effort.

Ground launched hellfire missile

The Hellfire is a helicopter-fired, laser guided missile intended for deployment as the primary anti-armor weapon system on the Army's AH–64 Apache helicopter.

A need exists for a longer range, more lethal anti-armor weapon system than that currently available to Army divisions. The conferees have been advised that the 9th Infantry Division (Motorized) has been evaluating ground launched Hellfire since 1982. This evaluation has included live firings from two prototype HMMWV surrogate platforms.

The conferees believe the ground launched Hellfire may satisfy the urgent, near-term, anti-armor requirements of the Army's Motorized Division while increasing our overall anti-armor capability. The conferees therefore recommend $2.0 million be authorized for the evaluation and qualification of the ground launched derivative of the Hellfire missile.

Integrated platform communications

The House amendment added $69.021 million for a new line item call Integrated Platform Communications Development. As a related matter, the House recommended reductions in Fleet Telecommunications (24163), Submarine Communications (64502), and Navy Strategic Communications (11402). The Fleet Telecommunications program includes a project to develop a communications data bus for the radio room on DDG–51 class destroyers.

The conferees agreed not to authorize funds for Integrated Platform Communications Development. However, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to conduct a definitive study of data bus technologies and their applicability to surface ships, submarines and aircraft, and to report the results of this study to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives.

Furthermore, the conferees direct that all communications data bus development shall be managed within a single program element. Consolidation of communications data bus development using the data bus for the radio room on DDG-51 class destroyers is mandated where that data bus applicability is found appropriate and cost effective.

Minimum essential emergency communications network

The Senate bill would authorize $92.527 million for research and development associated with the Minimum Essential Emergency Communication Network (MEECN), the full amount requested by the Administration. The House amendment would authorize $57.527 million.

Due to budgetary considerations, $72.527 million is authorized for this purpose. The conferees direct the Air Force to maintain the current pace of the Miniature Receive Terminal (MRT) program in allocating the reduction of $20 million.

M-1 diesel alternative

The M-1 Abrams Main Battle Tank is currently powered by a 1,500 horsepower turbine engine (AGT-1500) which is being procured on a sole source, multi-year basis.

While the performance of the AGT-1500 engine has been excellent, the conferees nevertheless believe that substantial benefits could be derived from introducing competition into the engine procurement program. Such competition could come either in the form of a competitive procurement (or second source) for the AGT-1500 or from evaluation of a diesel alternative to the AGT-1500. The conferees believe both approaches should be considered.

The conferees believe particular attention should be paid to the evaluation of suitable diesel alternative power plants for future production M-1's and possible follow-on applications. This effort should focus on existing engines (particularly where increased commonality with NATO systems might be achieved) and should be conducted at minimal cost to the government.

The conferees encourage the conduct of "side by side" testing of diesel aternatives and the AGT-1500 to evaluate such characteristics as acceleration, maximum speed, fuel economy, etc. To perform these tests the Army is expected to make available at least two vehicles to any engine manufacturer offering a suitable engine for competition.

The conferees authorized $9.0 million for the M-1 Diesel Alternative.

National aerospace plane

The Administration requested $149.0 million for research and development in the Navy, Air Force and Defense agencies accounts for the National Aerospace Plane (NASP) program. The conferees support a vigorous research effort on the critical technical issues pertaining to the feasibility of this vehicle, and agreed to authorize up to $130 million for this purpose in the Department of Defense.

During the authorization conference, the Department of Defense requested that NASP funding to transferred from Navy and Defense Agencies accounts into the Air Force RDT&E account. Accordingly, the conferees agreed that $104 million be included in Air Force RDT&E for this purpose with the understanding that the Director, Strategic Defense Initiative Office will transfer up to $26 million to the Air Force for NASP research.

Navy strategic communications

The Senate bill would authorize $143.282 million for the Navy strategic communications research and development program, the amount requested by the Administration. The House amendment would authorize $50.0 million.

The conferees recognize the importance of survivable communications to the effectiveness of the U.S. submarine launched ballistic missile force and agreed to provide $113.282 million for Navy strategic communications research and development, primarily to support the E-6A aircraft program. On a related issue, the conferees, although supportive of efforts to deploy systems with a common communications architecture, agreed that existing plans for the E-6A should not be changed at this time because of cost, schedule and configuration (weight and space) considerations.

The conferees see considerable potential in the ability of space-based laser communications, if they prove feasible and affordable, to satisfy a broad range of tactical submarine and other communications requirements, and to complement the Take Charge and Move Out (TACAMO) aircraft program in ensuring strategic connectivity. Accordingly, the conferees provided $35.875 million to accelerate research and development that would be required to support a full scale development decision for a laser communications satellite.

Penguin integration/LAMPS MK-III

The conferees believe the cost to develop, integrate and procure the Penguin missile may exceed its military value to the Battle Force. Therefore, the conferees have established two cost ceilings. First, total RDT&E costs for Penguin-unique development should not exceed $66.7 million, with a ten percent allowable adjustment for exchange rate fluctuation. Second, recurring fly-away unit price should not exceed $550,000 for 193 missiles. Both amounts are measured in fiscal year 1986 dollars.

The conferees agreed to specify that the Penguin program be terminated if either of these cost thresholds is exceeded.

Shuttle recovery

The conferees have long recognized the critical need for ensured access to space and strongly support the recovery of our space launch posture at the earliest possible time. Accordingly, the conferees have supported a number of adjustments in space related programs that have been requested since the submission of the fiscal year 1987 budget. The conferees are aware, however, that these recovery efforts are dynamic and that additional changes in the allocation of fiscal year 1987 resources to space needs may be required. The conferees, therefore, invite the submission of reprogramming requests for these purposes, if required and will give such requests priority attention.

Stinger air defense missile

The House recommended an addition of $1.0 million to develop an electronic safety device for the Stinger air defense missile. This safety device would make it more difficult for unauthorized individuals (such as terrorists) to fire a Stinger missile.

The conferees agreed to provide $1.0 million to conduct a study evaluating the cost and programmatic impacts of such a safety device. The study shall address in detail the operational implications of such a modification to the Stinger. The conferees direct that this study be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by April 30, 1987.

Submarine technology

In the Navy's budget for technology base programs, projects are normally developed to support single effects, or one-dimensional improvements. Some technologies, however, are polyfunctional, changing more than one characteristic of a weapon system. For example, in submarines a new development might increase propulsion efficiency while simultaneously reducing noise. Sometimes these polyfunctional technologies also reduce cost. Despite potential benefits, however, the budget review process does not specifically promote the development of polyfunctional technologies.

The Navy is encouraged to pursue such polyfunctional technologies for the purpose of improving submarine performance characteristics.

Surface ASW systems

The conferees note that the Surface ASW (63553) and Surface ASW Systems Improvement programs (64713) are managed by two separate offices within the Navy.

To improve coordination and avoid unnecessary duplication, the conferees direct the Navy to bring these programs under a single manager.

Theater mission planning centers

The Administration requested $22.275 million for Theater Mission Planning Centers, including over the horizon targeting. The Senate bill would authorize the Administration request. The House amendment would authorize $10 million. The conferees agreed to authorize $17.275 million.

The conferees urge the Administration to have a significantly improved operational system for flexible targeting of the nuclear variant of the Tomahawk missile on line at the earliest possible date and funded out of the Tomahawk program (PE 64367). Further, the conferees urge the Administration to improve significantly the operational system for rapid reprogramming of the conventional variant of the Tomahawk missile by the end of fiscal year

1987. The conferees note the lack of timely progress in this area and will oppose any further funding of this program beyond fiscal year 1987 if such improvements to the program are not evident by the end of that year.

The conferees note that a considerable amount of money and effort has been expended in supporting the Defense Mapping Agency in gathering and processing appropriate data to support worldwide targeting missions. The conferees urge the Department of Defense to better utilize the Defense Mapping Agency and its assets in implementing a system for flexible targeting of the nuclear variant of the Tomahawk missile, and a rapidly reprogrammable targeting capability for the conventional variant of the Tomahawk missile. The conferees further urge the Department of Defense to complete the full system upgrade for rapid re-targeting capabilities of all variants of the missile by the end of fiscal year 1991.

PROGRAM LIMITATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Copperhead guided projectile (sec. 202)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 203) that would require the Army to provide commonality on guided projectiles and to select a second source for the Cooperhead.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

V-22 Osprey aircraft (sec. 203(a))

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(a)) that would limit authorization of funds for the V-22 Osprey aircraft until the Secretary of the Navy makes certain certifications to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Marine Corps pegasus engine (sec. 203(b))

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(e)) that would provide authorization of $16 million for certain improvements to the Marine Corps AV-8B aircraft Pegasus engine program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to reduce the authorization to $8.0 million.

Floating beam avionics rack (sec. 203(c))

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(f)) that would add $10.0 million for the floating beam avionics rack program. This authorization was not requested in the budget and was not included in the Senate bill.

The conferees take no position on whether deficiencies which may exist in the current avionics rack in S-3A aircraft should be corrected by modifying the existing rack or by procuring new ones.

The conferees agreed to direct the Secretary of the Navy to report to the Armed Services Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than November 1, 1986 on how the Navy intends to correct whatever deficiencies exist within S-3 avionics rack.

Rankine cycle energy recovery (Racer) system (sec. 203(d))

The Administration requested $22.474 million for the Ship Propulsion System program, which included $7.474 for development of the Rankine Cycle Energy Recovery (Racer) system. For a variety of operational and economic reasons, the Navy subsequently terminated this program. The Senate bill did not include funds for Racer. The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(g)) which provided $20.0 million for testing of the Racer system.

The conferees agreed to transfer $20.0 million from Navy research and development to the Office of the Secretary of Defense. The Secretary is to use up to $20.0 million to evaluate and test the Racer system, if the Secretary determines such testing is necessary.

The conferees take no position on the merits of Racer and agree to abide by the program decisions made by the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Harm block IV/low-cost seeker (LCS) (sec. 203(e) and 204(b))

The Administration requested $14.7 million for Navy research and development of the Low-Cost Seeker (LCS) and $3.9 million for research and development of the High Speed Anti-Radiation Missile (HARM). In addition, the Administration requested $14.8 million for Air Force development of the LCS and $2.4 million for Air Force research and development of the HARM missile. The Senate bill would approve the Administration's request for these programs. The House amendment (sec. 212) would provide no authorization for either Navy or Air Force research and development of the HARM missile, and would provide an additional authorization of $27.6 million for research and development of the LCS (sec. 204(h) and 205(d)).

The conferees agreed to provide $39.2 million for Navy research and development of the LCS (sec. 203(e)) and $17.4 million for Air Force LCS (sec. 204(b)) research and development. The conferees also agreed to approve the Administration's request in both services for HARM research and development.

The conferees recognize the need to improve the capabilities of the HARM missile in order to counter advanced threat systems. Both LCS and the Block IV upgrade to the current HARM seeker appear to offer substantial enhancements in the missile's performance. The conferees expect the Navy to conduct a competitive evaluation between the HARM Block IV seeker and the LCS and to select the most cost-effective alternative.

Trident II (sec. 203(f))

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 202(c)) that would fence the funds for the Trident missile development program from undistributed reductions in Navy programs.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Libyan lessons learned equipment modification (sec. 203(g))

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(i)) to authorize $50.0 million to the Navy for equipment modification identified from the Libyan lessons learned study.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to provide the Navy with authorization of up to $50.0 million for the equipment modifications.

Short range attack missile (sec. 204(a))

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 205(a)) that would limit the Air Force to one of two new systems—either the Advanced Cruise Missile or the Short Range Attack Missile (SRAM II).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed that there exists a requirement for a short range standoff capability for the penetrating bomber force in addition to the requirement for longer range cruise missiles. The conferees were also in agreement that the existing Short Range Attack Missile (SRAM A) has limitations. The conferees do not believe, however, that the Department of Defense has provided sufficient justification for the development of a new SRAM versus modifying the existing SRAM.

In view of likely budgetary constraints, and the funding demands of a very robust bomber modernization program over the next several years, the conferees are reluctant to commit to a new missile development program without the benefit of clear justification. Accordingly, the conferees agreed to provide $70 million to initiate full scale development of a SRAM A modification or SRAM II replacement and stipulated in the bill that none of these funds can be obligated or expended for full scale development activities until the Secretary of Defense provides to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report containing analyses of the comparative total program cost and effectiveness of SRAM missile and warhead alternatives.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Space defense system (sec. 204(c))

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 205(c)) that would limit authorization for the Space Defense System to $140.0 million and deny authorization for production verification of the Miniature Homing Vehicle.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to authorize $200.0 million for the Space Defense System research and dvelopment and to deny funding for production verification.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Pave tiger/seek spinner (sec. 204(d))

The Senate bill would authorize the Administration's report for the Seek Spinner program. The House amendment would increase the request by $185 million.

The conferees agreed to increase the Seek Spinner program by $80.0 million. The $80.0 million is for facilitization for production of the Pave Tiger/Seek Spinner system.

Medical application of free-electron laser (sec. 205(a))

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 206(a)) to authorize $15.0 million to the Defense Agencies only for the application of Free-Election Lasers for medical research and material.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Laser Pantography (sec. 205(b))

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 206(c)) that would authorize $4.0 million to the Defense Agencies only for the Laser Pantography project.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Strategic technology (sec. 205(c))

The Senate bill would authorize the Administration's request of $240.62 million for the Strategic Technology program in the Defense Agencies. The House amendment would reduce the request by $10.0 million.

The conferees agreed to authorize the request and to make $10.0 million of this request available only for independent research in ocean science and related areas to provide assessment of non-acoustic submarine detection possiblities.

Advanced field artillery tactical data system (AFATADS) marine integrated fire and air support systems (MIFASS) (sec. 206(a)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 202(a)) that would limit funds for the Army AFATADS and the Navy MIFASS programs until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the Congress that both systems are necessary.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(d)) that would deny funds for MIFASS.

The House recedes with an amendment.

Advanced tactical aircraft, Navy (sec. 206(b))

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 202(b)) that would deny funds for the full-scale development of the Navy Advanced Tactical Aircraft (ATA) until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the Congress that the ATA design will accommodate essential Air Force peculiar requirements for such aircraft.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment Secretary of Defense certification to Congress that both the ATA and Advanced Tactical Fighter (ATF) requirements have been finalized and agreed to by Air Force, Navy and the Office of Secretary of Defense.

Advanced tactical fighter, Air Force (sec. 206(b))

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 202(d)) that would require a Secretary of Defense certification on the design of the Air Force Advanced Tactical Fighter (ATF) and the ability of that design to accommodate essential Navy-peculiar requirements for such aircraft.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Advanced anti-armor weapon system (AAWS) (sec. 207)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 216) that would authorize $48.735 million to the Army for the AAWS medium following certification to the Congress by the Secretary of the Army on certain aspects of the development program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Consolidation of electronic warfare programs (sec. 208)

The House bill deleted funding for 25 individual program dealing with electronic warfare in each of the service's research and development accounts and consolidated those programs into a single electronics warfare program under the direction of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence. Section 206(b) of the House bill provided that $488.8 million would be available only for research, development test and evaluation of electronic warfare systems. Section 206(b) also provided that not less than $125 million of the $488.8 million be available solely for development and demonstration of the Integrated Communication Navigation Identification Avionics and the Integrated Electronic Warfare System and the associated Very High-Speed Integrated Circuit based modular architecture programs. The Senate bill contained no similar provision. The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees note the continuing difficulty the Department of Defense is having in developing a coordinated and integrated electronic warfare masterplan. The plans that have been developed to date illustrate the lack of coordination among the services. Although some progress has been made during the past year, the actions fall short of the serious tasks facing the services.

The conferees directed the services last year to prepare an electronic warfare masterplan. The masterplan represents modest progress but falls short of the serious challenge facing the department in this crucial area. More concrete and far reaching steps are justified. Therefore, the conferees direct that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition establish a coordinating council, which he shall head, to develop a Department of Defense Electronic Warfare Masterplan that shall guide the services in developing and executing individual electronic warfare projects.

The conferees decided to remove funding from the following individual electronic warfare programs in each of the services and to institute in their place a single consolidated electronic warfare program element within each of the Services' research accounts.

Army	Nav	Air Force
63711A	24575N	63743F
63718A	24576N	63749F
63755A	25674N	64220F

Army	Navy	Air Force
63762A	62113N	64724F
64750A	63206N	64737F
	64224N	64738F
	64226N	64739F
	64255N	27584F
	64573N	27582F

A single account will finance designated electronic warfare activities within each of the individual services. During fiscal year 1987 the Service's may not obligate more than 80 percent of the funds in those consolidated accounts until the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition has issued an Electronic Warfare Masterplan.

Further, the conferees direct that $100 million be made available to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition for purposes of funding electronic warfare programs. The Under Secretary is expected to use that $100 million to strengthen or broaden programs within individual services that offer significant promise. The funds may also be used to initiate projects or activities that lack sufficient attention within Service electronic warfare programs.

One electronic warfare program not consolidated was the Integrated Electronic Warfare System. This system is scheduled to be the common electronic warfare suite in both the Air Force's Advanced Technology Fighter and the Navy's Advanced Tactical Aircraft. The Air Force is responsible for advanced development of this system. The conferees understand that additional funding may be required for the Integrated Electronic Warfare System so that it will be available in time to be integrated into the Navy's Advanced Tactical Aircraft. The conferees encourage the Air Force to submit a reprogramming to accelerate development of that program if necessary.

Limitation of testing electromagnetic pulse (sec. 209)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 219) that would deny certain types of testing in the Chesapeake Bay during fiscal year 1987.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes.

STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Strategic defense initiative (SDI) funding (sec. 211)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 205(a)) that would authorize $3,597.0 million for the SDI program.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 208) that would authorize $2,846.0 million for the SDI program.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to authorize SDI at $3,213.0 million.

Anti-tactical ballistic missile (ATBM) system (sec. 212)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 205(a)(2)) that would authorize $50 million within the SDI program for the development of an anti-tactical ballistic missile (ATBM) system on a cooperative basis with our allies. The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 209), that would also direct the Secretary of Defense to establish a separate ATBM office within the SDI organization.

The House recedes.

SDI institute (sec. 213)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would deny the expenditure of funds for a Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) for SDI until the Secretary of Defense and Comptroller General submitted a report on such a center and 30 legislative days had passed.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 218). The House version, however, would only require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report. It also specified that an FFRC could not be established unless funds for that purpose are specifically authorized to be appropriated in an Act other than an appropriation Act or continuing resolution.

The conferees recongize the need for technical support to carry out the system tradeoffs and system integration efforts required to manage a program as complex as the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI). The conferees agreed, however, that the Congress should specifically authorize the establishment of a new FFRDC for this purpose. In assessing the most appropriate means to provide technical support to the SDIO, the conferees agreed to require a report from the Comptroller General, in addition to a report from the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate recedes with an amendment

SDI cost report (sec. 214)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 207) that would amend the SDI cost report requirement contained in the fiscal year 1986 Defense Authorization Act to require the Secretary of Defense to provide certain additional SDI cost related information to the Congress.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on SDI deployment schedule (sec. 215)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1226) that would require the Department of Defense to provide a report to Congress detailing what SDI technologies can be developed and deployed within the next 10 years.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on Soviet ABM Treaty compliance (sec. 217)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1228) that would request a report on the implications for the Strategic Defense Initiative of the application of the restrictive and the less restrictive interpretations of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile treaty.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1024) that would request an annual report on Soviet compliance with the anti-ballistic missile treaty.

The House recedes on section 1228.

The House recedes on section 1024, noting that the information requested is covered by the reporting requirement levied last year in section 1002 of the Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1986, mandating an annual report on Soviet compliance with all arms control commitments.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Limitation on SDI contracts to foreign countries

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 208) that would prohibit funds for any SDI related contracts to foreign countries or companies unless the Secretary of Defense certifies that this work could not reasonably be done in the U.S. This provision would not apply to projects associated with tactical ballistic missile defense nor to existing contracts.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Transfer of SDI technology to the Soviet Union

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1047) that would specify the military technology developed with SDI funds from fiscal year 1987 and 1988 could not be made available to the Soviet Union unless the President determine such a transfer to be in the interests of maintaining peace and national security.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

BALANCE TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

SDI, the Balanced Technology Initiative and the Conventional Defense Initiative (sec. 221 and 222)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 205) that would authorize funding for the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI), and would establish within the Department of Defense under the research and development appropriation for the Defense Agencies a Balanced Technology Initiative (BTI), with funding to be allocated by the Director of Defense Research and Engineering to finance promising new technologies that could substantially advance our conventional defense capabilities or that offered the promise of "leapfrogging" areas of Soviet and Warsaw Pact advantages in conventional forces. Additional funds would be authorized for the project in the conventional defense technology base, to be allocated to promising technologies that offer the prospect, when developed, of significant new conventional defense capabilities.

The House amendment contained a provision (Sec. 207) that would establish a Conventional Defense Initiative (CDI) program and designated in the House Report (H. Rept. 99-718) projects amounting to $462.3 million authorized within the various Research, Development Test and Evaluation accounts.

The House recedes to the Senate on section 205 with an amendment, and the Senate recedes to the House on section 207 with an amendment and accepts many of the CDI projects proposed in the House report.

The Balanced Technology Initiative and the Conventional Defense Initiative share a common purpose which is to improve the capabilities of U.S. conventional forces. Beyond this commitment to a common purpose, however, the two proposals have complementary objectives. The BTI developed from the conviction of the Senate that the creative energy and initiative currently being applied to technologies for the SDI should be directed as well to improving conventional forces.

The CDI emerged from a conviction by the House that other countries have developed capable weapons and systems that could be used by U.S. military forces. The House intends the CDI to constitute the start of a dedicated comparative evaluation program in which systems developed in other countries or for other applications might be evaluated for use by U.S. defense forces. The conferees characterized the differences by stating that the CDI was designed to take advantage of conventional systems that are available today, whereas the BTI is designed to take advantage of technologies that hold great promise for the weapons systems of tomorrow.

The conferees endorse both approaches. They accepted the Senate provision establishing and authorizing funding for the Balanced Technology Initiative, and designated for funding a set of specific programs with special promise that were proposed in the Conventional Defense Initiative. The conferees believe the Department should expand its efforts to improve conventional defense capabilities by utilizinag both approaches.

The Administration requested $4.78 billion in DoD accounts for the President's Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI) program in fiscal year 1987. The Administration also requested $534 million for SDI in Department of Energy (DOE) accounts. The SDI program is intended to determine the feasibility of comprehensive strategic defenses against ballistic missiles that are survivable and cost effective at the margin. The program is also tasked with developing defensive deployment options as a possible response should the Soviet Union break out of the ABM Treaty.

SDI Funding

The Administration requested a significant funding increase for SDI in fiscal year 1987, reflecting the high priority it attaches to this program. Compared to last year's SDI appropriation of $3.018 billion, this year's request for $5.319 billion represents a 73% real increase. The funding request in the five major DOD program elements was as follows:

Strategic Defense Initiative Funding

[In millions of dollars]

Surveillance, Acquisition, Tracking and Kill Assessment	1,262.4
Directed Energy Weapons	1,614.9
Kinetic Energy Weapons	991.2

Systems Concepts and Battle Management	462.2
Survivability, Lethality, and Key Support Technologies	454.4
Total	4,785.1

The conferees continue to support a robust SDI research program because they believe the program serves a number of valid U.S. national security purposes. However, they believe that SDI should be but one part—albeit an important part—of a broad-based and balanced U.S. technology initiative that addresses the entire range of nuclear and conventional deficiencies in our defense posture.

The conferees are concerned that the current and projected funding levels for SDI, as reflected in the Administration's fiscal year 1987 request and the Five Year Defense Plan, threaten to absorb an inordinate proportion of defense dollars allocated to research and development. The conferees also believe that the Administration's fiscal year 87-91 funding profile for the SDI is excessive in light of the absence of basic architectures for this program and continuing indications of basic disagreements within the administration as to the program's goals.

Accordingly, the conferees decided to provide a 13% real increase in SDI funding for fiscal year 1987 in lieu of the 71% real growth requested by the administration. The conferees authorize $3,213.0 million of SDI in DOD accounts and $317.0 million in DOE accounts, for a total of $3,530.0 million. The allocation of these funds among the five line-items within the SDI is left to the discretion of the Director of the SDI Office, bearing in mind the Congressional guidance herein. In making this decision, the conferees endorsed a concept for a Balanced Technology Initiative proposed in the Senate bill, and directed that $453 million in funds be applied to, but not limited to, specified conventional defense enhancement purposes consistent with this concept. No portion of these funds may be applied to any project or activity in support of the SDI. The conferees also accepted a number of near-term demonstration projects totalling $162.3 million under the Conventional Defense Initiative in the House amendment. These programs are described in House Report (H. Rept. 99-718).

The conferees intend to support the Balanced Technology and Conventional Defense Initiatives in the years ahead. As part of this broad technological thrust, the conferees also urge support for SDI at a robust but measured level consistent with the Administration's policy of a restrictive interpretation of the ABM Treaty until such time as progress in defining appropriate and realistic architectures, and determining the technical feasibility, survivability and cost effectiveness at the margin of potential SDI systems warrants further growth in funding.

Refocusing the SDI

The conferees further accept the Senate's view that SDI should be structured to promote a number of realistic goals consistent with our national security interests. First, SDI continues to represent an important response to the threat to U.S. national security posed by the Soviet Union's activities in the areas of strategic defense research, development, and deployment. Since the signing of the ABM Treaty in 1972, the Soviet Union has continued to spend as much on its strategic defense forces—including air and civil defense—as it has on its strategic offensive forces. This indicates that since the signing of the ABM Treaty, the Soviet Union has continued to maintain the high priority that it has historically attached to strategic defense of its homeland. SDI research is necessary in order to understand better the nature and pace of Soviet research on strategic defenses, especially research on "exotic" technologies.

Second, the conferees believe that a portion of the SDI research program should emphasize options for near-term deployment as a hedge against the possibility of a Soviet ABM "breakout" in the near-term. The committee continues to believe that the SDI Organization (SDIO) is paying inadequate attention to such issues.

Third, the Administration has continued to place primary emphasis within the SDI program on comprehensive strategic defenses that would be highly effective in the face of a determined adversary utilizing responsive countermeasures. Defenses of this type would be very complex, and the ability of defensive technologies to support these goals is as yet uncertain. Issues such as effective mid-course discrimination and the ability of computer software to perform the necessary battle management functions remain to be resolved.

Accordingly, while the conferees believe that the potential ability of ballistic missile defenses to provide comprehensive nation-wide population protection should continue to be explored, the major emphasis within the SDI should be dedicated to developing survivable and cost effective defensive options for enhancing the survivability of U.S. retaliatory forces and command, control and communications systems.

Fourth, the conferees also support a robust SDI research program for the leverage it provides to our negotiators in Geneva. The conferees, however, believe that it is not necessary to fund the President's entire request for SDI to maintain our negotiating leverage in Geneva. It is their belief that leverage for arms control negotiations comes only from real defense programs which are aimed at realistic objectives, adequately funded, and broadly supported by a bipartisan consensus. The conferees believe our negotiators in Geneva are well-served by a robust and well-managed SDI research program.

In this regard, the conferees would note that negotiating leverage is sometimes a perishable commodity. If we are to capitalize on the historic opportunities in arms control created in part by SDI, we should be prepared to consider adjustments to the pace and scope of SDI if the Soviet Union agrees to significant, stabilizing and verifiable reductions in strategic offensive forces.

The Question of Priorities

The conferees had to deal with broad policy issues, including the addressing of priorities. The conferees note that if SDI research were successful in rendering nuclear weapons "impotent and obsolete," the United States would still require a second research and development effort to negate bomber-delivered and cruise-missile-carried weapons. In the judgment of the conferees, the advent of low observables technology may well make this effort quite as challenging as the President's vision of SDI.

And even if technology succeeds in eliminating the threat of both missile and bomber delivered nuclear weapons, the world's citizens may still not be freed from the threat of mass destruction, whether from clandestinely delivered nuclear weapons or from other forms of warfare. Moreover, our European allies would surely have reason to pause at the prospect of the elimination of nuclear weapons, in light of the enormous disparity in conventional weapons between NATO and the Warsaw Pact.

Therefore, NATO needs to vigorously pursue its technological capabilities in dealing with the threat of tank armies as well as the threat of ballistic missiles. NATO must find ways in which its collective technological capabilities can be made to counter the masses of Soviet weapons systems that we are not prepared to match numerically but which we must deter.

Exploiting Technology at the Conventional Level

Research and development on basic new technology and on technology for conventional warfare has suffered greatly in recent years: in 1981 over 16% of RDT&E funds were invested in the defense technology base, but in the fiscal year 1987 budget request, only 8.7% was allocated to the technology base. In real terms, the technology based funding has declined every year since fiscal year 1983. The sharpest decrease coincides precisely with the buildup of the SDI program. Indeed, the funding level proposed for SDI next year will be larger than the entire research and development budget for the Department of the Army

The conferees have decided to expand the role of technology and innovative weapons concepts while reducing the growth rate of the SDI research program. This involves measures to strengthen the defense technology base, research on advanced conventional warfare technologies (with the goal of "leapfrogging" current quantitative imbalances and the narrowing qualitative advantages of current fielded equipment) and careful evaluation of available weapons and systems developed by our allies. Therefore, the conferees accepted the Senate action in redirecting a portion of SDI funding to meet these other high-priority objectives, and have provided appropriate bill language establishing the BTI; they also have accepted many of the items proposed in the House's CDI with corresponding statutory provisions.

The conferees intend to encourage balanced technology development efforts across-the-board, in areas such as armor/anti-armor initiatives; defenses against armed helicopters; hypervelocity missiles for ground combat use; defense against anti-ship missiles, including those with "stealth" characteristics; "smart" mines for both land and ocean warfare; lightweight, air transportable vehicles with anti-armor capabilities for rapid transport to remote areas; improved conventional anti-submarine warfare munitions; and "smart" standoff munitions and submunitions for aircraft delivery outside of lethal air defense ranges. They also intend to pursue vigorously opportunities to exploit weapons and systems developed by other friendly countries.

The conferees recognize that technology alone cannot constitute a solution to conventional force imbalances. Continued investment in major end items of equipment is also an important contribution to redressing force imbalances. The conferees have, in many cases, acted to preserve production rates of major end-items from reductions taken in lower-priority accounts. They have also supported the evaluation of a number of equipment items of foreign manufacture that were included in the House's CDI. The conferees are convinced that, unless our technology development efforts for conventional forces are enhanced, a serious imbalance between NATO and the Warsaw Pact will continue for the foreseeable future. The solution to this requires greater balance in our defense technology efforts.

Programmatic Recommendations for the Balanced Technology Initiative and the Conventional Defense Initiative

A Balanced Technology Initiative fund for enhancing technology research in selected areas of importance to conventional defenses is established. Fiscal year 1987 funding is authorized at not less than $300 million, and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering shall be responsible for the apportioning of the funds to the military services and defense agencies, in accordance with the priorities expressed herein and in the bill language. In addition to these funds, not less than $153 million is authorized for enhancing the defense technology base; the Director of Defense Research and Engineering shall be responsible for apportioning these funds among the services and defense agencies. Finally, an additional $162.3 million is authorized for specific projects proposed in the House's Conventional Defense Initiative, as enumerated in the Army and Navy RDT&E tables accompanying this report.

The Director of Defense Research and Engineering will have a critical role to play in the establishment of the BTI program, both in apportioning funds authorized in this bill and in identifying ongoing research efforts that should be augmented, consolidated, or otherwise included in a broad-based conventional defense technology development effort aimed at "leapfrogging" current deficiencies. Therefore, the committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives expect to receive a detailed report on the programs he selects, their prior and enhanced funding levels for fiscal year 1987, their prior and enhanced funding profiles for the current FYDP, and a set of major milestones and dates against which the Congress will be able to measure progress under this initiative.

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Testing of the anti-satellite weapons (sec. 231)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 203) that would repeal section 8097 of the Department of Defense Appropriation Act, 1986.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 215) that would direct the Secretary of Defense not to carry out tests of the Space Defense System (F-15/miniature homing vehicle anti-satellite system) against an object in space until the President certifies that the Soviets, after enactment of this act, had tested a dedicated anti-satellite system against an object in space. The House provision would expire on October 1, 1987.

The conferees agreed to accept the House provision with the understanding that tests of the Space Defense System against "points" in space using celestial bodies, such as were conducted during fiscal year 1986, would be permitted during fical year 1987 without restriction.

The Senate recedes.

MX missile and development of small mobile missile (sec. 232)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 204) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on ICBM modernization to include the configuration for the small mobile missile and to specify options for follow-on basing of the MX. The section would also limit authorization on the small mobile missile to $675.0 million and $200.0 million for the follow-on basing development effort.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 210) that would deny deployment of more than 10 MX missiles until certain milestones were achieved in the small mobile missile program.

The conferees remain strongly committed to a modern triad of strategic forces and to the unique attributes that a modern ICBM element brings to the triad. Moreover, there is strong commitment to continued investment in ICBM modernization in order to provide survivability, and continued effectiveness in the face of Soviet active and passive defenses. In this regard, the conferees agreed with the Senate request that the recommendations and decisions pertaining to the Small ICBM and follow-up basing research, which are addressed below, be presented to the Congress in the form of an ICBM modernization "roadmap" encompassing Small ICBM, MX, and MX survivable basing options, including the role of strategic defenses.

The conferees applaud the success of the Peacekeeper missile development program and agree to provide $290 million in research and development, recognizing that the program is now at the critical juncture of transitioning to a deployed system.

The conferees also agreed to provide $120 million for follow-on basing research. The conferees do not intend approval of funding for follow-on basing research to imply any change in the existing limitation on the deployment of Peacekeeper missiles. Moreover, the conferees believe that any basing options for Peacekeeper involving superhard silos are unlikely to provide the desired degree of long term survivability in the absence of defense options.

The conferees are in complete agreement that the configuration of the Small ICBM must assure sufficient mobility to secure the benefits of high survivability and the resulting enhancement of crisis stability. There is also agreement that a commitment to develop and deploy a small ICBM be based on a realistic assessment of its affordability. The conferees are confident that the research and testing conducted in support of the upcoming decision on the Small ICBM will have a very positive bearing on the resolution of important issues pertaining to mobility and cost, and will enhance support for whatever missile configuration emerges from that decision process.

The conferees agree to provide $1,200.0 million for Small ICBM research and development, and encourage the Administration to pursue as a goal, a 1992 initial operational capability for the missile configuration selected.

In addition to the reporting requirement addressed above, the conferees agree to a provision in law that would repeal the provisions of the fiscal year 1984 Defense Authorization Act pertaining to the linkage of MX deployment and the Small ICBM when full scale engineering development of the Small ICBM begins.

Restrictions on use of research and development funds of the Department of Defense (sec. 233)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 206) that would prohibit the use of funds authorized in this Act for the purpose of making any grant or contribution to any educational institution unless the Secretary of Defense determines that the purpose of the grant or contribution has a potential relationship to a military function or operation and the grant is based on the technical merit of the proposed research.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Coordination of research activities (sec. 234)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 217) that would require the Secretary of Defense to strengthen coordination among Department of Defense (DOD) research facilities and other organizations in DOD.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Department of Defense Veterans' Administration medical research (sec. 235)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 211) that would establish a cooperative medical research program with the Veterans' Administration. The Senate bill did not contain a similar provision.

The conferees agreed that an authorization of $20.0 million should be available only for cooperative medical research to be administered by the Secretary of Defense and the Administrator of Veterans' Affairs.

In addition to this $20 million authorization, the Secretary of Defense is directed to conduct a study of assess the feasibility and potential benefits of establishing a continuing, joint medical research program between the Defense Department and the Veterans' Administration. In determing the feasibility of such a program, the Secretary shall consider all appropriate programmatic, organizational and budgetary issues. Upon completion of this evaluation, the Secretary is requested to make a recommendation regarding establishing such a program. This study and recommendation shall be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives by April 1, 1987.

The conferees agree to abide by the decision of the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Software Engineering Institute (SEI) and Very High Speed Integrated Circutis (VHSIC)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 202(f)) that would authorize $14.54 million for the SEI and $127.897 for VHSIC programs.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to authorize funds for the SEI and VHSIC with no legislative language.

Standoff land attack missile

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(b)) that would limit funds for the Navy Standoff Land Attack Missile.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Inter-cooled recuperated cycle (ICRC) gas turbine propulsion system

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(c)) that would deny authorization for the ICRC gas turbine system.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) technology

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 204(k)) that would authorize $5.0 million for SAR technology efforts. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to authorize $1.0 million for this effort with no legislative language.

Advanced medium range air-to-air missile (AMRAAM)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 205(b)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to validate to the Congress the AMRAAM cost certification required by Public Law 99-145.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Technical on-site inspection

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 205(e)) that would authorize $9.85 million to the Air Force for technical on-site inspection.

The Senate Bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to authorize $9.85 million for this project with no legislative language.

Transfer of funds

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 202) that would authorize $90.0 million to the Director of Central Intelligence for certain specified activities.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Ballistic missile defensive system

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1045) that would provide for a Congressional declaration on the development of an anti-tactical ballistic missle (ATBM) system comparable to the Soviet SA-12.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to drop the provision given that there were provisions in other sections of the Senate and House bills (sec. 205(b) and sec. 207, respectively) that provided funding and direction for the development of an ATBM system.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE AND WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

OVERVIEW

The Senate bill would authorize $80,707,274,000 for Department of Defense Operations and Maintenance and $1,097,300,000 for Working Capital Fund accounts, a reduction from the President's request of $5,065,726,000 and $100,000,000, respectively.

The House would authorize $81,351,600,000 for Department of Defense Operations and Maintenance and $1,155,800,000 for Working Capital Fund accounts, a reduction from the President's request of $4,421,400,000 and 41,500,000, respectively.

The conferees agreed to authorize $78,597,260,000 for Department of Defense Operation and Maintenance and $908,300,000 for Working Capital Fund accounts, a reduction from the President's request of $7,175,740,000 and $289,000,000 respectively. This level of authorization is $2,299,014,000 below the Senate bill and $3,008,840,000 below the House amendment.

The conferees only agreed to these lower authorization levels for Operation and Maintenance and Working Capital Fund accounts after extensive discussion revealed the virtual inevitability of substantially greater reductions recommended by the House and Senate Appropriations committees. Faced with this prospect, the conferees agreed to authorize a level of funding for the Operation and Maintanence and Working Capital Fund accounts that equalled the higher of the two levels recommended by House and Senate Appropriations committee. In reaching these levels, the conferees increased reductions contained in the Senate bill and House amendment and made additional reductions from among those contained in the House and Senate Defense Appropriations bills. Every effort was made to limit the impact on readiness and quality of life programs. The conferees also agreed to a provision authorizing higher levels of spending for readiness programs in these accounts, if appropriated.

Despite these efforts to protect readiness and quality of life programs, the conferees remain seriously concerned about the potential adverse impact of substantially lower funding levels and reductions to specific programs.

Looking at the situation from a macro point of view, the recommended authorization for Operation and Maintenance accounts essentially represents zero real growth over fiscal year 1986 actual obligations of $76.8 billion. In the case of Working Capital Funds it represents 47.2 percent negative program growth. What must be kept in mind is that the Operation and Maintenance accounts are "level of effort" programs that respond to existing and emerging requirements. Unless force structure is pared back, or new weapon systems deliveries decline—neither of which has happened—the operation and maintenance accounts are being asked to do more with less. What makes this problem even more difficult is that about 80 percent of the operation and maintenance budget is fixed to support existing operations, honor existing contracts, and provide minimum quality of life requirements. The discretionary part of the operation and maintenance budget is largely composed of programs that contribute to readiness and have been strongly supported by Congress, such as training, depot maintenance, and quality of life improvement programs. Nevertheless, if operation and maintenance funding is not sufficient to maintain existing and emerging requirements, these essential programs will have to be cut back with an adverse impact on readiness, morale, and job satisfaction. Unless quick action is taken to bring operation and maintenance resources in line with mission requirements, the conferees fear that the military services may be on the fast track to "unreadiness" and serious quality of life problems.

The conferees are also concerned about the impact of emerging requirements that have not been adequately addressed in the budget request or during congressional deliberations. For example, the President's request very likely understated military medical care requirements by as much as $500 million. In addition, congressional reductions in the operation and maintenance request associated with fuel savings were based on the assumption that a barrel of crude oil would cost between $13-$14 dollars a barrel in fiscal year 1987. Recent developments raise serious questions about the validity of such projections and could result in a substantial funding shortage. Because medical care costs will have been paid and anticipated stock fund fuel refunds may not be forthcoming, offsetting reductions would have to be made in discretionary areas of the operation and maintenance budget at the expense of readiness and quality of life programs.

Finally, the necessity to achieve such large reductions in the Operation and Maintenance and the Working Capital Fund accounts required the acceptance of virtually all reductions recommended by the House and Senate Armed Services committees. And in cases where such program reductions were reflected in the House and Senate Appropriations bills, the level of reduction was increased beyond that recommended by the Armed Services Committees. In many instances, the conferees believe these reductions to be excessive and fear that they may seriously degrade readiness and military capabilities.

Recognizing the potentially serious problems associated with the recommended funding levels and specific program reductions, the conferees direct that during fiscal year 1987, the Secretary of Defense shall give priority in reprogramming funds that become available through lower than anticipated inflation, contract savings, or other sources into the operation and maintenance accounts to redress the impact on force readiness of the reductions imposed on these accounts during the fiscal year 1987 budget process.

PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE	
			CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQ'ST	RECOMMENDATION
	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY:	19,097,557	21,341,870							
Base Operation Support (BOS)									
C 3			-43,000		0		43,000	-43,000	
Contract Stud. & Tech Supt			-8,000		0		8,000	-8,000	
Commissary Automation			0		0		0	-10,000	
Engineer Support			0		0		0	-7,000	
Enhanced Skill Training			0		0		0	-2,170	
Specialized Skill Training			0		0		0	-2,424	
Efficiency Review/Contracting Out Savings			0		0		0	-3,000	
Travel			0		0		0	-25,000	
ROTC Base Operations			0		0		0	-25,000	
Industrial Preparedness Ops			0		0		0	-4,907	
Personnel Administration			0		0		0	-7,055	
Professional Development Education			0		0		0	-2,409	
One Station Unit Training			0		0		0	-3,000	
Supply Operations			0		0		0	-1,290	
Recruiting, Advertising & Examination			-28,000		-5,000		23,000	-28,000	
Medical			-1,000		-1,000		0	-18,700	
Administration			-2,000		0		2,000	-2,000	
Other O&M			-2,000		0		2,000	-4,000	
Studies Program			-7,000		0		7,000	-7,000	
Stockfund, Nonfuel Repricing			-25,000		-15,000		10,000	-25,000	
Industrial Fund Refund			-59,000		0		59,000	0	
Stockfund, Fuel Refund			-100,000		-60,000		40,000	-155,100	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-237,800		-185,700		52,100	-185,700	
Military Personnel, FY87 Increase			-91,000		0		91,000	-75,500	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-200		-100		100	-200	
Hazardous Waste Cleanup			-135,100		0		135,100	-246,200	
Deployable Medical Hospitals			-6,000		0		6,000	-6,000	
Minor Construction			0		-62,000		-62,000	-33,000	
Approp Fund Support of MWR			0		-10,000		-10,000	-10,000	
Cap on USTF Funding			0		-10,000		-10,000	-10,000	
Coordination of Benefits			0		-19,400		-19,400	-19,400	
Health Care Enrollment			0		-5,300		-5,300	-5,300	
6th Division Support			0		-2,700		-2,700	-2,700	
Flight Training			0		-28,600		-28,600	-14,300	
Flying Hours			0		-4,700		-4,700	-4,700	
ADP Program			0		-6,000		-6,000	-16,000	
Army Civ Training Educ & Dev System			0		-40,000		-40,000	-60,000	
Civilian Personnel Offices			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Commercial Activities			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Force Modernization			0		-9,000		-9,000	-9,000	
Other Depot Storage			0		-60,000		-60,000	-100,000	
Remote Multimedia Mode Educ Network			0		-3,000		-3,000	-3,000	
Care of Supplies in Storage			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Family Action Programs			0		-4,000		-4,000	-4,000	
Civilian Substitution			0		-6,000		-6,000	-6,000	
CONUS Base Telephone Modernization Program			0		-10,000		-10,000	-10,000	
Conventional Ammunition Demilitarization			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Civilian Training Educ & Dev Intern Programs			0		-6,000		-6,000	-6,000	
Local Area Networks			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Manpower Requirements & Documentation Agency			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Other Force Structure			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Rail Program			0		-4,000		-4,000	-4,000	
Transfer of Personal Property			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Leased Communications			0		-1,000		-1,000	-1,000	
Maintenance Support Activities			0		-3,000		-3,000	-3,000	
Real Property Maintenance			0		-2,000		-2,000	-2,000	
Classified Programs			0		-20,000		-20,000	-80,000	
			900		-7,500		-8,400	-5,236	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-745,800		-599,800		146,000	-1,315,979	
ARMY SUBTOTAL:				20,596,070		20,742,070			20,025,891
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY:	24,514,719	25,608,500							
Base Operations Support (BOS)									
C 3			-45,000		-10,000		35,000	-40,000	
Real Property Maintenance			-15,000		-2,000		13,000	-15,000	
Contract Studies			0		0		0	-25,000	
Supply Operations			0		0		0	-25,000	
Other Logistics			-12,000		-3,000		9,000	-12,000	
Recruiting, Advertising & Examination			-13,000		0		13,000	-13,000	
Medical			-2,000		0		2,000	-14,900	
Administration			-2,000		0		2,000	0	
Other O&M			-6,000		-800		5,200	-6,000	
Stockfund, Nonfuel Repricing			-30,000		0		30,000	-30,000	
Industrial Fund Refund			-71,200		0		71,200	0	
Stockfund, Fuel Refund			-100,000		-70,000		30,000	-386,900	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-1,007,600		-889,700		117,900	-889,700	
Military Personnel, FY 1987 Increase			-126,100		0		126,100	-63,050	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-8,000		-11,300		-3,300	-11,300	
Hazardous Waste Cleanup			-230,600		0		230,600	-420,000	
Morale, Welfare & Rec-Related BOS			-6,000		0		6,000	-6,000	
Morale, Welfare & Rec-Related Minor Construction			0		-5,000		-5,000	-5,000	
Depot Maintenance			0		-4,000		-4,000	-4,000	
Average Flying Hours			0		-245,000		-245,000	-401,000	
			0		0		0	-25,000	

			SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE	
	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
PROGRAM	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
Medical Legislative Contingencies			0		-3,100		-3,100	-3,100	
Modernization			0		-18,000		-18,000	-18,000	
Cap on USTF Funding			0		-15,500		-15,500	-15,500	
Deployable Medical Hospitals			0		-3,000		-3,000	-3,000	
Classified Program			-3,240		-11,100		-7,860	-10,466	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-1,678,540		-1,311,500		367,040	-2,523,716	
NAVY SUBTOTAL:				24,009,960		24,377,000			23,164,784
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS:	1,612,050	1,864,100							
Stockfund, Renfuel Repricing			-4,800		0		4,800	0	
Stockfund, Fuel Refund			-14,800		-12,600		2,200	-12,600	
Real Property Maintenance			0		0		0	-13,000	
Recruiting & Advertising			0		0		0	-3,500	
Maritime Prepositioning Program			0		0		0	-9,000	
Supply Operations			0		0		0	-1,000	
Military Personnel, FY 1987 Increase			-1,000		-1,800		-800	-1,800	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-13,200		0		13,200	-24,000	
Base Operations Support			0		-2,000		-2,000	-3,000	
Approp Fund Support of MWR			0		-2,000		-2,000	-2,000	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-33,800		-18,400		15,400	-69,900	
MARINE CORPS SUBTOTAL:				1,830,300		1,845,700			1,794,200
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE:	19,620,530	21,214,500							
Base Operation Support (BOS)			-31,000		0		31,000	-31,000	
C 3			-27,000		0		27,000	-27,000	
Medical			-2,000		0		2,000	0	
Administration			-2,000		0		2,000	-2,000	
Other O&M			-60,000		0		60,000	-160,000	
Stockfund, Renfuel Repricing			-39,000		0		39,000	0	
Industrial Fund Refund			-100,000		-70,000		30,000	-100,000	
Stockfund, Fuel Refund			-1,485,900		-1,254,300		231,600	-1,271,400	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-1,600		0		1,600	-1,600	
Military Personnel, FY 1987 Increase			-1,300		-900		400	-1,300	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-152,700		0		152,700	-200,000	
Shuttle Launches			0		-260,000		-260,000	0	
Space Shuttle Operations			0		-244,200		-244,200	-144,200	
Hazardous Waste Cleanup			-4,700		0		4,700	-4,700	
Transfer of Drug Interdiction Helicopters			12,615		0		-12,615	12,615	
Morale, Welfare & Rec-Related BOS			0		-10,000		-10,000	-10,000	
Morale, Welfare & Rec-Related Minor Construction			0		-7,000		-7,000	-7,000	
Classified Programs			-6,129		-9,700		-3,571	-10,829	
Medical Legislative Contingencies			0		-3,900		-3,900	-3,900	
Modernization			0		-32,000		-32,000	-32,000	
TR-1 Program			0		0		0	-10,000	
KC-135 Hours			0		0		0	-6,300	
Recruiting & Advertising			0		0		0	-3,100	
Recruit/Special Training			0		0		0	-25,000	
Surveillance Radar			0		0		0	-15,000	
Travel			0		0		0	-39,400	
Titan Missile			0		0		0	-2,000	
Historian			0		0		0	-3,300	
REDCOM Command Post			0		0		0	-2,000	
4950th Test Program			0		0		0	-7,000	
C-21A Lease			0		0		0	-16,000	
Contract Services			0		0		0	-15,000	
Real Property Maintenance			0		0		0	-80,000	
Cap On USTF Funding			0		-15,100		-15,100	-15,100	
Deployable Medical Hospitals			0		-4,000		-4,000	-4,000	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-1,903,714		-1,920,700		-16,986	-2,320,314	
AIR FORCE SUBTOTAL:				19,310,786		19,293,800			18,894,186
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE AGENCIES:	7,600,894	8,573,700							
C 3			-21,000		0		21,000	-21,000	
Medical			-5,000		0		5,000	-5,000	
Administration			-21,000		0		21,000	-21,000	
Travel			0		0		0	-10,400	
Office of the JCS			0		0		0	-26,900	
Joint Recruiting and Advertising			0		0		0	-4,900	
Industrial Fund Refund			0		0		0	-20,000	
Stock Fund Fuel Refund			0		0		0	-1,500	
Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences			0		0		0	-3,176	
Defense Nuclear Agency			0		0		0	-7,392	
Defense Communications Agency			0		0		0	-27,695	
Stockfund, Renfuel Repricing			-2,200		0		2,200	0	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-166,200		0		166,200	-52,600	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-47,300		0		47,300	-86,000	
Intelligence Collection			12,000		0		-12,000	0	
DMA General Reduction			0		-4,000		-4,000	-15,000	
AFIS General Reduction			0		-1,000		-1,000	-3,918	
Defense Medical Support Agency			0		-1,500		-1,500	-1,500	
DIS General Reduction			0		-1,000		-1,000	-8,125	
WHS General Reduction			0		-2,000		-2,000	-15,728	
DLA General Reduction			0		-10,000		-10,000	-20,000	
DOD Dependents Education			0		-2,000		-2,000	-15,000	

PROGRAM	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE	
			CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
WHS:									
Approp Fund Support			0		-2,000		-2,000	-2,000	
Tower Construction			0		-2,000		-2,000	-2,000	
Food and Hospitality Services			0		1,000		1,000	1,000	
Special Ops Unified Command/Control			0		20,000		20,000	0	
Military Health Care (CHAMPUS)			0		-171,000		-171,000	-171,000	
Classified Programs			21,928		-131,900		-153,828	-93,266	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-228,777		-307,400		-78,623	-594,320	
DEFENSE AGENCIES SUBTOTAL:				8,344,923		8,266,300			7,979,300
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE:	780,100	792,100							
Stackfund, Renfuel Repricing			-2,200		0		2,200	0	
Stackfund, Fuel Refund			-8,400		-7,500		900	-7,500	
Travel			0		0		0	-4,700	
Recruiting & Advertising			0		0		0	-3,700	
Military Personnel, FY 1987 Increase			-8,000		-1,800		6,200	-4,900	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-4,400		0		4,400	-8,000	
Realignment of IRR Annual Screening Funds			6,000		6,000		0	6,000	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-17,000		-3,300		13,700	-22,800	
ARMY RESERVE SUBTOTAL:				775,100		788,800			769,300
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE:	894,750	975,900							
Stackfund, Renfuel Repricing			-4,800		0		4,800	0	
Stackfund, Fuel Refund			-72,400		-63,900		8,500	-64,000	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-1,100		0		1,100	0	
Military Personnel, FY 1987 Increase			-4,100		-5,000		-900	-5,000	
Recruiting & Advertising			0		0		0	-6,900	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-6,600		0		6,600	-12,800	
Realignment of IRR Annual Screening Funds			500		500		0	500	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-88,500		-68,400		20,100	-88,500	
NAVY RESERVE SUBTOTAL:				887,400		907,500			887,400
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE:	57,300	65,300							
Stackfund, Fuel Refund			-900		-700		200	-700	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-2,100		0		2,100	0	
Military Personnel, FY 87 Increase			0		-300		-300	-300	
Recruiting & Advertising			0		0		0	-100	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			0		0		0	-500	
Realignment of IRR Annual Screening Funds			500		500		0	500	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-2,500		-500		2,000	-1,100	
MARINE CORPS RESERVE SUBTOTAL:				62,800		64,800			64,200
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE:	982,700	975,600							
Stackfund, Renfuel Repricing			-2,200		0		2,200	0	
Stackfund, Fuel Refund			-68,800		-60,500		8,300	-63,300	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-16,300		0		16,300	0	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-2,200		0		2,200	-2,200	
Installation of GFE Drug Interdiction Radar Pods			0		10,000		10,000	10,000	
Installation of GFE Drug Interdiction Tanker Pods			0		1,000		1,000	1,000	
Realignment of IRR Annual Screening Funds			1,000		1,000		0	1,000	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-88,500		-48,500		40,000	-53,500	
AIR FORCE RESERVE SUBTOTAL:				887,100		927,100			922,100
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD:	1,652,800	1,786,900							
Stackfund, Renfuel Repricing			-9,200		0		9,200	0	
Stackfund, Fuel Refund			-31,200		-27,800		3,400	-27,800	
Travel			0		0		0	-1,300	
STAMIS			0		0		0	-11,597	
Recruiting & Advertising			0		0		0	-1,600	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-17,100		0		17,100	0	
Military Personnel, FY 1987 Increase			-5,800		-6,000		-200	-6,000	
Federal Support for Armories			0		25,000		25,000	0	
Drug Interdiction Support			0		12.000		12,000	12,000	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-8,800		0		8,800	-16,000	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-72,100		3,200		75,300	-52,297	
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD SUBTOTAL:				1,714,800		1,790,100			1,734,603

			SENATE BILL AS PASSED		HOUSE BILL AS PASSED		DIFFERENCE	CONFERENCE	
	FY 86 FINAL	FY 87 REQUEST	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION	HOUSE +/- TO SENATE	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	RECOMMENDATION
PROGRAM	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT	AMOUNT
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD:	1,866,200	1,932,700							
Stockfund, Nonfuel Repricing			-4,600		0		4,600	0	
Stockfund, Fuel Refund			-181,800		-160,900		20,900	-149,400	
Civilian Personnel, Productivity			-10,300		0		10,300	0	
Military Personnel, FY 1987 Increase			-2,600		-1,300		1,300	0	
Drug Interdiction Support			0		9,000		9,000	9,000	
Aircraft Mods			0		3,600		3,600	3,600	
Inflation Adjustment (FY 87)			-5,500		0		5,500	-5,500	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-204,800		-149,600		55,200	-142,300	
AIR NATIONAL GUARD SUBTOTAL:				1,727,900		1,783,100			1,790,400
RIFLE PRACTICE, ARMY	920	930							
Support to National Rifle Competitions			0		0		0	3,386	
TOTAL CHANGE:			0		0		0	3,386	
RIFLE PRACTICE SUBTOTAL:				930		930			4,316
CLAIMS, DEFENSE	143,300	155,600							
General Reduction			-10,400		-2,500		7,900	-10,100	
Inflation Adjustment			-1,100		0		1,100	-1,100	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-11,500		-2,500		9,000	-11,200	
CLAIMS SUBTOTAL:				144,100		153,100			144,400
COURT OF MILITARY APPEALS, DEFENSE:	3,200	3,400							
General Reduction			-200		0		200	-200	
TOTAL CHANGE:			-200		0		200	-200	
COURT SUBTOTAL:				3,200		3,400			3,200
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FUND, DEFENSE:	(379,100)	385,900							
TOTAL CHANGE:			0		0		0	0	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION SUBTOTAL:				385,900		385,900			385,900
PAN AMERICAN GAMES:	10,000	15,000							
TOTAL CHANGE:			0		0		0	0	
PAN AMERICAN GAMES SUBTOTAL:				15,000		15,000			15,000
AFGHANISTAN AID	(10,000)	0							
Continuation of Program			10,000		6,000		-4,000	17,000	
TOTAL CHANGE:			10,000		6,000		-4,000	17,000	
AFGHANISTAN AID SUBTOTAL:				10,000		6,000			17,000
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS									
Army Stock Fund	393,000	296,700	-25,000	271,700	-10,000	286,700	15000	-66,562	230,138
Navy Stock Fund	638,500	478,500	-40,000	438,500	-20,000	458,500	20,000	-98,930	379,570
Marine Corps Stock Fund	37,700	45,900	-4,000	41,900	-1,500	44,400	2,500	-14,667	31,233
Air Force Stock Fund	415,900	239,400	-20,000	219,400	0	239,400	20,000	-38,990	200,410
Defense Stock Fund	91,700	136,000	-11,000	125,000	-10,000	126,000	1,000	-69,851	66,149
TOTAL - REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS	1,576,800	1,197,300	-100,000	1,097,300	-41,500	1,155,000	58,500	-289,000	908,300

Additional authorization for the Army National Guard

The House amendment included $25 million in additional authorization for increased Federal support for the operation, maintenance, modification, and repair of Army National Guard armories.

The Senate bill contained no similar authorization.

Due to the extraordinary funding constraints on the operation and maintenance accounts, the conferees deleted the $25 million in specific authorization but agreed to a provision that would authorize such additional funds as necessary to support increased Federal support to Army National Guard Armories, If subsequently appropriated by Congress.

The House recedes with amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISTLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Assistance for the Tenth International Pan American Games (sec. 303)

Section 303 of the Senate bill would authorize $15 million for Department of Defense support of the Tenth International Pan American Games.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 303).

The Senate recedes.

Transportation of livestock feed (sec. 304)

Section 316 of the House amendment would authorize the Secretary of Defense to use National Guard equipment and personnel to transport livestock feed to drought stricken areas of the United States during 1986.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority for payment to NASA (sec. 305)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1229) that would authorize the use of $556.3 million of funds authorized for the Air Force for payments to NASA for space shuttle launch services.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1050) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make payments to NASA for space shuttle services in advance of receipt of such services subject to certain conditions and an overall limitation of $531 million.

The conferees agreed to include a provision that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make payments to NASA from funds authorized to be appropriated for Operations and Maintenance, Air Force for 1987 subject to certain conditions and an overall limitation of $268.8 million.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

PROGRAM CHANGES AND PERMANENT LAW CHANGES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Management of civilian personnel (secs. 311, 531–533)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 421) that would authorize fiscal year 1987 end strength for the Department of Defense civilian personnel of 1,084,836 and limit funding obligations for civilian personnel at $31,811,640,000.

Section 304(c) of the House amendment would eliminate the requirement for the authorization of civilian personnel appropriations by end strength and section 304(a) would prohibit the Department of Defense from using end strength or any related methodology to manage civilian personnel.

The conferees agreed to extend for one more year the lifting of congressional end strength ceilings, not to impose a limitation on funding obligations for civilian personnel and to continue the prohibition on Department of Defense management of civilian personnel or related methodology through fiscal year 1987.

The conferees directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives by February 1, 1987 on the experiences of the Department of Defense concerning the management of civilian personnel without a congressionally imposed end strength. In submitting this report, the conferees expect the Secretary of Defense to address in detail claimed efficiencies and cost savings achieved through the increased use of part time and temporary civilian employees in a ceiling free management environment.

The conferees also agreed to modify the existing quarterly reporting requirements on the number and dollar obligations associated with Department of Defense civilians to reflect the number of civilians and dollar obligations for each month within the quarter.

The Senate recedes with amendments.

The conferees agreed to reduce the operation and maintenance accounts by $192.8 million with the understanding that such a reduction is not to be associated with civilian end strength but a general productivity target reduction to encourage better management of civilian personnel and other resources within the Department of Defense.

Section 304(b) of the House amendment would exclude from any internal Department of Defense management controls civilian employees who are being paid from non-Government funds.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 305) that would amend section 2203 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to capture and reflect, in budget justification materials provided to Congress, data regarding the numbers and costs of contract civilian employees in the same fashion as is done for Federal employees.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Procurement of certain bakery and dairy products outside the United States (sec. 312)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 306) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to authorize Department of Defense elements outside the United States to procure through other than competitive procedures bakery and dairy products produced by the Army and Air Force Exchange Service in a facility outside the United States.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Functions of military commissaries; purchase of alcoholic beverages by nonappropriated fund instrumentalities (sec. 313)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 307) that would specify the categories of merchandise that may be sold in commissaries, would apply the surcharge on sales prices in commissary stores as a uniform percentage of the sales price of all merchandise sold in commissary stores, and would limit the release of commissary sales information. The provision would also provide that alcoholic beverages purchased for resale on a military installation located in the United States shall be purchased from the most competitive source, except that military installations in the contiguous United States would purchase and accept delivery for wine and beer products from a source within the state in which the military installation is located.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority for the Secretary of Defense to accept gifts for the Defense Dependents' Education System (sec. 314)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 308) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to accept gifts to be used in connection with the operation or administration of the Department of Defense Dependents Schools.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The Senate recedes.

Prohibition of purchase of petroleum products from companies producing oil in Angola (sec. 316)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 310) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from entering into a contract for the purchase of petroleum products from a company, if the company (1) is engaged in the production of petroleum in Angola, or (2) during the preceding 12-month period has purchased petroleum products, directly or indirectly, from Angola.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would (1) limit the prohibition to petroleum products which originated in Angola from a company engaged in the production of petroleum products in Angola, (2) permit the Secretary to waive the prohibition when he determines that it is in the best interest of the United States, and (3) delete the prohibition as it applies to a company which has purchased Angolan products during the preceding 12-month period.

The conferees agreed that the goal of the prohibition is to prevent the Department of Defense from furnishing financial support to the Marxist Government of Angola. They were concerned, however, that the outright prohibition on the procurement of petroleum products from a company engaged in the production of petroleum in Angola could have a detrimental effect on the readiness of U.S. armed forces, particularly in the Middle East and in parts of Africa. The waiver authority is intended to grant the Secretary the flexibility necessary to procure petroleum products and ensure the readiness of U.S. armed forces.

The conferees intend that the Secretary will exercise the waiver authority on a specific procurement-by-procurement basis. The conferees do not intend to grant a standing authority which would permit the Secretary to grant a waiver without a review of the circumstances of the specific procurement. It is the intent of the Congress that Department of Defense procurement of Angolan petroleum products be eliminated, if possible, or at least reduced to the absolute minimum consistent with the readiness of U.S. armed forces.

Prohibition of contracts for the performance of certain Army ammunition activities (sec. 317)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 305) that would prohibit the use of funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for continuing or completing any study relating to the conversion to contract of McAlester Army Ammunition Plant, at McAlester, Oklahoma, or Crane Ammunition Activity, at Crane, Indiana until:

(1) detailed reports have been submitted to Congress by the General Accounting Office or Department of Defense concerning the cost effectiveness of such a conversion and its impact on readiness and mobilization base requirements, or

(2) March 31, 1987.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 311) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army from contracting out functions currently performed by Department of Defense employees at McAlester Ammunition Plant and Crane Ammunition Activity.

The Senate recedes.

National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice (sec. 318)

Section 313 of the House amendment would amend section 4308 of title 10, United States Code, to provide the Secretary of the Army with the authority to support national and regional rifle matches sponsored by the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice by providing necessary personnel services and allowances for competitors.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Prohibition on joint use of Gray Army Airfield with civilian aviation (sec. 319)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 314) that would prohibit joint use with civilian aviation of Robert Gray Army Airfield at Fort Hood, Texas.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on proposed regulations relating to movement of household goods and cargoes (sec. 320)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1040) that would preclude the implementation of certain regulations until such time as the Military Traffic Management Command submits a report discussing the effect of the regulations on cost and quality of service.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Preference for United States agricultural products in commissary food purchases

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 315) that would require the Secretary of Defense when acquiring food products through the use of competitive procedures for resale in commissary stores located in the United States to give preference to United States companies that use United States agricltural commodities in their products.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

HUMANITARIAN AND OTHER ASSISTANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Extension of authorization for humanitarian assistance (sec. 331)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would extend the authority of the Secretary of Defense to transport humanitarian relief supplies abroad for fiscal year 1987.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. The conferees note that the reports on applications for shipments under this provision of law do not contain detailed information about the sponsoring organization. The conferees direct the Secretary of State to have available more detailed information on each future applicant, to include the applicant's address, telephone numbers, and principal officers. Future reports should also be filed with the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs as well as with the Committees on Armed Services.

Humanitarian assistance (sec. 332)

Both the House and Senate Bills contained sections on the transportation of humanitarian relief supplies to Afghanistan refugees. The House provisions were contained in section 312. The Senate provisions were reflected in section 304.

The House recedes with amendment.

The conferees recommend that a total of $17.0 million be authorized for appropriation in fiscal year 1987 and that all funds remain available until expended. Of this total, $10.0 million represents new authorization; and $7.0 million represents fiscal year 1986 funding whose availability has been extended.

Of the total available, the conferees agree that $3.0 will be for the purpose of administrative costs for managing the transportation program and for transportation costs outside the United States. The charges for transportation outside the United States may include both acquisition and lease/rent of local transportation assets.

The House bill included a worldwide distribution provision for $3.0 million. The funds were to provide worldwide transportation of excess materials as defined in section 2547(b) of title 10, United States Code. Because the destination of these excess materials was not defined, the House provision was not accepted by the conferees. However, the conferees did agree that they would look favorably upon a Department of Defense reprogramming request, within the total amount authorized, for the refugee problems in the region of the Thailand and Cambodia border subject to the following guidelines:

(a) The Department of Defense shall provide a prediction of unobligated balances within this account as of September 30, 1987.

(b) The maximum amount of funds available for reprogramming for other than Afghanistan refugees is limited to $3.0 million.

(c) The status reports required in the bill language will include unobligated balance estimates and plans for Thailand-Cambodian humanitarian relief.

The reports required by this section are to satisfy conferee concerns about prior-year unobligated balances and efficient obligation of fiscal year 1987 funding amounts.

Humanitarian and civic assistance provided in conjunction with a military operation (sec. 333)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1215) authorizing the Secretary of Defense to conduct humanitarian and civic assistance in countries where U.S. Armed Forces were conducting authorized military operations, provided (a) prior approval was granted by the Secretary of State, (b) all expenses incurred were paid for with funds appropriated specifically for humanitarian and civic assistance, and (c) that an annual report describing such activities was filed with Congress after the fact.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with several amendments. The conferees were concerned with potential problems at both the low end and the high end of the civic action scale. On the high end, to avoid the possibility of the legislation being interpreted as a major new foreign aid program, the conferees imposed an expenditure cap of $3 million for fiscal year 1987 and $16.4 million for the period of fiscal years 1987 through 1991. The conferees also tightened the definitions of acceptable activities under this legislation, for example, clarifying that no funds could be spent on airstrips. On the low end of the scale, the conferees were concerned that modest activities could generate burdensome paperwork because of the requirements for prior approval, separate financing, and annual reporting. The conferees, therefore, exempted diminimus activities from this section. The conferees did not put a specific dollar ceiling on the definition of diminimus but wish to make clear they had in mind activities that have been commonplace on foreign exercises for decades. These would include a unit doctor's examination of villagers for a few hours with the administration of several shots and the issuance of some medicines—but would not include the dispatch of a medical team for mass innoculations. Diminimus would also include the opening of an access road through trees and underbrush for severla hundred yards—but would not include the asphalting of any roadway.

TITLE IV—PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

PART A—ACTIVE FORCES

END STRENGTHS FOR ACTIVE FORCES

Active duty military strengths for the Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force in the Senate bill and the House amendment differed by a total of 4,850. The House recedes to the strengths in the Senate bill and the conference agreement, 6,850 below the Administration's request, is summarized in the following table:

Active forces	Administration request	House	Senate	Conference
Army	780,900	780,800	780,800	780,800
Navy	592,700	583,300	587,000	587,000
Marine Corps	200,300	198,800	199,600	199,600
Air Force	607,200	606,470	606,850	606,850
Total	2,181,100	2,169,370	2,174,250	2,174,250

Extension of control on enlistments into the Army (sec. 402)

The Senate bill contained a provision (section 402) that would extend for another year the requirement that at least 65 percent of male non-prior-service Army recruits be high school graduates. The House amendment included no similar provision. The House recedes with an amendment making the requirement permanent law.

Strength of active duty officer corps (sec. 403)

The House amendment contained a provision (section 651(d)) that would require officer personnel strengths to be managed to attain a ratio within the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard) of enlisted members to officers of not less than 6.12 to 1 by September 30, 1987, with the goal of achieving a ratio of 6.5 to 1 by September 30, 1990.

The Senate bill included a provision (section 403) that would reduce the size of the total commissioned officer corps in the Department of Defense by 2 percent per year for each of the next three fiscal years from the fiscal year 1986 active commissioned officer end strength. This total reduction would be allocated among the military services by the Secretary of Defense based on his assessment of the relative priorities of the military services. In addition, the Senate bill included a provision that would require annual authorization of the size of the active commissioned officer corps in each of the military services beginning in fiscal year 1988.

The conferees are concerned about the overall size of the officer corps in each of the military services and about the disproportionate growth in the officer corps in the last five years. The House recedes with an amendment providing for a reduction of 6 percent in the size of the total active commissioned officer corps (including commissioned warrant officers) in the Department of Defense by the end of fiscal year 1989 from the fiscal year 1986 active commissioned officer end strength. In order to enable the military services prudently to plan and execute these reductions, the provision calls for a 1 percent reduction by the end of fiscal year 1987, an additional 2 percent reduction by the end of fiscal year 1988, and the final 3 percent reduction by the end of fiscal year 1989. The total reduction is to be allocated among the military services by the Secretary of Defense based on his assessment of the relative priorities of the military services. The provision requires the Secretary of Defense to report to the Congress no later than February 1, 1987, on the allocation and impact of the reductions in commissioned officer strength among the military services for fiscal years 1987 and 1988, and no later than February 1, 1988, on the allocation and impact of the reductions for fiscal year 1989.

Representatives of the military services have expressed concern that they might not be able to execute a 2 percent reduction in the active commissioned officer corps in fiscal year 1987 under existing officer personnel management statutes. The conferees, however, believe that existing laws—including, for example, sections 632 and 638 of title 10, United States Code—are adequate to enable prudent execution of any portion of the 1 percent reduction in fiscal year 1987 that might not be achieved by other means.

The conferees intend to review the implementation of these reductions in the size of active commissioned officer corps during the fiscal year 1988 Defense authorization process. This review will also consider whether Congress should establish an annual authorization for the end strength of the active commissioned officer corps. To assist in this review, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives by February 1, 1987 a report identifying any temporary or permanent changes in current officer personnel management law he deems necessary to carry out the full 6 percent reduction in the size of the active commissioned officer corps by the end of fiscal year 1989.

PART B—RESERVE FORCES

RESERVE FORCES END STRENGTH

End strengths for selected reserve (sec. 411)

The Senate bill froze the average strengths of the selected reserve components at the fiscal year 1986 authorized levels. The House amendment reduced the Administration's minimum end strength request by 10,845—a 61,759 increase over the fiscal year 1986 levels.

The conference agreement, which establishes selected reserve end strengths with a two percent management flexibility, is summarized in the following table:

Reserve forces	Adminstration request (minimum end strength)	House (minimum end strength)	Senate (average strength)	Conference (end strength)
Army National Guard	462,800	456,596	440,025	452,681
Army Reserve	327,600	326,600	290,639	318,011
Naval Reserve	155,700	152,994	134,212	149,486
Marine Corps Reserve	44,400	43,663	41,900	43,470
Air National Guard	115,200	115,013	108,700	113,767
Air Force Reserve	80,500	80,489	75,600	79,562
Coast Guard Reserve	13,000	13,000	12,500	12,850
Total	1,192,200	1,188,355	1,103,576	1,169,827

The House amendment also contained a provision (sec. 403) that would prescribe drill strength levels for the reserve components as of the end of a fiscal year (end strengths) and allow the Secretary of Defense to increase the total end strength by 2 percent on a determination that such action is in the national interest.

The House recedes with an amendment prescribing drill strength levels as end strengths, giving the Secretary of Defense flexibility to vary the total strength by up to 2 percent.

End strength for reserves on active duty in support of the reserve components (sec. 412(a))

The Senate bill froze full-time manning for the reserve components at the fiscal year 1986 authorized levels, reducing the Administration's request for full-time support by 12,112. The House amendment reduced the Administration's request for full-time strength levels by a total of 5,946.

The conference agreement is summarized in the following table:

Full-time suppoort	Administration request	House	Senate	Conference
Army National Guard	28,721	25,731	23,731	24,731
Army Reserve	13,657	12,657	12,157	12,407
Naval Reserve	23,197	21,476	19,010	21,476
Marine Corps Reserve	1,782	1,745	1,475	1,745
Air National Guard	8,356	8,169	7,269	8,169
Air Force Reserve	676	665	635	665
Total	76,389	70,443	64,277	69,193

Counting of personnel in certain reserves call-up situations (sec. 412(b))

The Senate bill contained a provision (section 412(b)) that would exclude certain personnel from the number of reserves counted as ordered to active duty under section 673 or section 673b of title 10, United States Code. Excluded would be members of reserve components who perform duties in connection with call-ups under those sections but who would be on active duty in support of the reserve components in any event.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment making clear that members on active duty in support of the reserve components may perform duties in connection with call-ups.

Accounting for certain authorized reserve component members (sec. 413)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 413) that would revise the manner in which reserve strength levels are prescribed to improve accounting and to accommodate reserve component training requirements. The provision would: (1) clarify that levels of military personnel on full-time duty in support of the reserve components must be authorized by law; (2) clarify that the authority of the Secretary of Defense to increase the authorized end strengths by up to 0.5 percent applies only to the active forces; (3) provide to the Secretary of Defense authority to increase the end strength for reserves on active duty or full-time National Guard duty in support of the reserve components by up to 2 percent; (4) exclude members of reserve components on active duty for training and members on full-time National Guard duty for 180 days or less from the active duty and full-time support personnel strengths; and (5) require additional information to be included in the annual manpower requirements report to permit Congressional review of the incidence of long-term training for members of the reserve components. The Senate bill also contained a provision (sec. 411) that would prescribe drill strength levels for the reserve components on the basis of man-years (average strengths).

TITLE V—DEFENSE PERSONNEL POLICY

Part A—Active Forces

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Assignment of active-duty members outside the United States (sec. 501)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 501) that would modify the requirement that a recruit have 12 weeks of basic training or its equivalent before being posted overseas. The House provision would simply require basic training or its equivalent.

The Senate bill included a similar provision (sec. 501) but would retain the 12-week aspect of current law for times of war or national emergency.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Service of members on state and local juries (sec. 502)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 503) that would authorize the Secretary concerned to exempt active military personnel from state jury duty.

The Senate bill included no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension of expiring authority for spot promotions of Navy lieutenants (sec. 503)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 503) that would extend for two years the authority to promote certain Navy lieutenants to lieutenant commander outside the normal promotion process.

The House amendment included a similar provision (sec. 504) but would extend the authority for only one year on the reasoning that spot promotion may not be an appropriate long-term solution to a shortage of field grade engineering officers.

The Senate recedes.

Repeal of requirements regarding enlistment of women in the Air Force during fiscal year 1987 (sec. 504)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 505) that would remove the 19 percent statutory female enlisted accession goal for the Air Force for fiscal year 1987.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority to exempt physicians at Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences from reductions in retired pay (sec. 505)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 506) that would exempt from the dual compensation restrictions of section 5532 of title 5, United States Code, retired military physicians who are members of the faculty of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment limiting the number of physicans who may be exempted under the authority provided by this section to no more than two at any one time.

Treatment of excess leave upon reenlistment (sec. 506)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 502) that would permit a member who has taken leave in excess of that authorized and who is being discharged or released from active duty for the purpose of immediate reenlistment or commissioning to count the negative leave against leave that will accrue on the new term of service.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Termination of gender-based distinctions in promotions of officers of the Naval Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve (sec. 507)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 508) that would remove end sex-based distinctions in the promotion process by eliminating a requirement that women compete separately from their male contemporaries in the promotion process and allowing both men and women in the reserve components of the naval services to compete for promotion through the grades of rear admiral and major general without restriction.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Senate confirmation of certain flag and general officer positions (sec. 508)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 509) that would require Presidential appointment, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, for a small number of miltary positions currently authorized in law to entitle their incumbents to specified flag or general officer grades.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Position of staff judge advocate to the commandant of the Marine Corps (sec. 509)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 510) that would establish in statute the position of staff judge advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps, to be filled by Presidential appointment by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment. The conferees note their belief that the exception of this particular position from the general rule governing retired grade is entirely consistent with both the language and the intent of section 1370 of title 10, United States Code.

Technical correction relating to personnel administration at Air Force Institute of Technology (sec. 510)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 507) that would make a technical change to the statute concerning the classification and pay of civilian employees of the Air Force Institute of Technology.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Temporary increase in the number of general and flag officers authorized to be on active duty in three and four-star grades (sec. 511)

The Senate bill included a provision (sec. 511) that would extend through fiscal year 1987 temporary authorities for the military services to have on active duty a greater number of three-star and four-star flag and general officers than would be permitted under existing statutory formulas. Specifically, the Army could have an additional lieutenant general to serve as the Director of the Department of Defense Task Force on Drug Enforcement; the Marine Corps could have an additional lieutenant general, plus an additional general to serve as the Commander-in-Chief of the United States Central Command; the Air Force could have an additional general to serve as the Director of the Strategic Defense Initiative Organization; and the Navy could have three additional vice admirals.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment deleting the authority for an additional Army lieutenant general and deleting the provisions in the Senate bill restricting the authorities for additional Air Force and Marine Corps generals to particular positions.

Extension of mandatory retirement age for medical officers (sec. 512)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 508) that would extend the mandatory retirement age of active duty medical officers from 62 to 67.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment requiring the Secretary of Defense to report not later than March 1, 1987, on initiatives designed to improve the staffing of critical wartime medical specialities (including physician, nurse and enlisted specialties) in the active and reserve components. Among other initiatives, the report should address the desirability of extending the mandatory retirement age of active and reserve medical personnel, extending the age limitations on enlistments and on officer accessions into the active and reserve components, improving Department of Defense marketing techniques for attracting critical medical personnel into the active and reserve components and relaxing participation requirements for medical personnel in the reserve components.

Study of representation of religious faiths in the armed forces (sec. 513)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 507) finding that the Department of Defense has taken insufficient steps to alleviate an imbalance in the proportion of chaplains serving from the various faiths and calling for a study of ways to bring the distribution of chaplains more in line with the distribution of faiths among members of the Armed Forces.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate conferees objected to the finding in the House provision but agreed to a study of the faith balance issue. The Senate, therefore, recedes with an amendment deleting any congressional findings and calling for a study to determine whether a faith balance problem exists within the Corps of Chaplains of the Armed Forces.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Wearing of religious apparel by members of the Armed Forces while in uniform.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 502) permitting members of the Armed Forces to wear religious apparel so long as it was "neat and conservative" and did not interfere with the performance of the member's duties.

The Senate bil contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

PART B—RESERVE FORCES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Increased Presidential authority to augment active forces with the Selected Reserve (sec. 521)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 505) that would: 1) increase from 100,000 to 250,000 the number of Selected Reservists the President may order to active duty involuntarily pursuant to section 673b of title 10, United States Code, and 2) permit the President to extend the current 90 day period outlined in section 673b and to require that he notify the Congress immediately of any decision to extend the 90 day period.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 511) that would increase the number of Selected Reservists the President may order to active duty involuntarily to 200,000.

The Senate recedes with amendments that would: 1) authorize the President to extend the current 90 day limit for an additional 90 days provided that he immediately notify the Congress of his decision to extend the period and provide the Congress with a rationale for his decision; and 2) make various technical changes to the existing statute.

The conferees emphasize that this provision does not affect the President's responsibilities under the War Powers Resolution or Congress' ability to terminate the status of all personnel on active duty under section 673b.

Active duty of national guard (sec. 522)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 513) that would limit the statutory power of a governor of a state to withhold his consent to active duty training of his national guard as part of a reserve component of the armed forces on the basis of the loaction, purpose, type, or schedule of the active duty training.

The Senate bill included no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment placing the provision in title 10, United States Code (relating to Armed Forces). The conferees reiterate that under this provision, the governor still will have the authority to block the training if he or she thinks the guardsmen are needed at home for local emergencies. The conferees intend that nothing about the words "location, purpose, type, and schedule" should constrain a governor in according appropriate priority to a state or local emergency, such as a flood or other natural disaster.

Treatment of single parents enlisting in reserve components of the armed forces (sec. 523)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 514) that would prohibit the service Secretaries from disqualifying a person applying to enlist in a reserve component upon discharge or release from active duty because the person is a single parent if the person became a single parent while serving on active duty. The House amendment also authorized the Secretary concerned to require such a person to execute a written agreement with respect to child care.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with amendments that would: 1) require that the single parent be otherwise eligible for enlistment; 2) stipulate that the single parent status must not have been a factor in the person's discharge or release from active duty; 3) authorize the Service Secretaries to require an acceptable child care agreement as a prerequisite to enlistment; and 4) provide the authority for fiscal years 1987 and 1988 only.

The conferees intend to ensure that members who were successful single parents while on active duty are not held to a higher standard by the reserve components. The conferees intend to revisit this issue in two years in order to review the impact of the provision.

Active duty status of reserve component members in a captive status (sec. 524)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 506) that would permit the involuntary order to active duty of a member of a reserve component who, as a result of his status as a member of the armed forces, is taken captive in a hostile action directed at the United States.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

CIVILIAN PERSONNEL

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Eligibility for appointment as Secretary of a military department (sec. 534)

The House amendment contained provisions (Division D) that would provide for reorganization of the Department of Defense. The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The House recedes with an amendment. The provisions of Division D have been overtaken by the enactment of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986, (Public Law 99-433) and are therefore unnecessary. However, the conferees agreed that Act required an excessive time period (ten years) following an individual's military service before that individual could be eligible for appointment as a service secretary. The House amendment reduces that ten year period to five years.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

PART A—PAY AND ALLOWANCES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Military pay raise for fiscal year 1987 (sec. 601)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 601) that would increase the rate of basic pay, basic allowance for quarters, and basic allowance for subsistence for members of the uniformed services by four percent effective on October 1, 1986. This provision also included a four percent increase in monthly cadet and midshipman pay.

The House amendment contained no legislative provision authorizing a pay raise but recommended sufficient budget authority to ensure funding for a three percent pay raise effective on January 1, 1987.

The House recedes with an amendment providing a three percent pay raise for all members of the uniformed services, (including cadets and midshipmen) effective on January 1, 1987.

Authority to pay ROTC members in advance for field training (sec. 602)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 603) that would authorize the service Secretaries to pay in advance up to one month's pay to ROTC participants who are ordered to participate in field training or a practice cruise.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 602).

The Senate recedes.

Reimbursement for accomodations in place of quarters (sec. 603)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would extend through fiscal year 1991 the existing authority to pay basic allowance for quarters to members for housing expenses incurred when shipboard quarters are uninhabitable during overhaul and government quarters are unavailable. Reimbursement under this authority would not exceed $1,657,000 per year through fiscal year 1991.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Pay, allowances, and benefits for members of Reserve components (sec. 604)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 512) that would restructure compensation payable to a member of the reserve component incapacitated in the line of duty.

In addition, section 512 would consolidate the legislative authority for medical care, incapacitation pay, disability retirement, and death benefits dispersed throughout title 10, 32, and 37, United States Code, and would repeal outdated or duplicative sections of law.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 636).

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Report on variable housing allowance recapture provision

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 603) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on the operation of the variable housing allowance program under the recapture provision enacted in section 602(c)(2) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 636).

The Senate bill included no similar provision.

The House recedes. The conferees agree that a statutory report requirement is unnecessary. The conferees fully expect that the military services and the Department of Defense will want to inform the Committees on Armed Services concerning their experiences with the recapture provision, especially if the developing evidence suggests that the provision should be repealed.

Termination of pay inequity between officers and enlisted personnel in certain health professions training

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 604) that would prescribe the rate of pay for pay grade 0-1 for all students attending the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS) and for all students enrolled in the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship (AFHPS) program when they are serving on active duty.

The House amendment contained no similar provision

The Senate recedes.

The conferees believe that students participating in the USUHS and AFHPS programs who have more than four years of prior enlisted service should continue to be paid at the 0-1E rate and note that Congress created the enhanced company grade rates to eliminate pay disincentives to enlisted personnel pursuing officer status. The conferees, emphasize, however, that if officials at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Services continue to assert that the 1-1E pay rate creates an inequity, the conferees would intend to resolve the matter in accord with the approach taken in the Senate provision.

PART B—TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Transportation of motor vehicles for members making permanent changes of station (sec. 611)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 611) that would revise existing statutory authority for the shipment of privately owned vehicles (POVs) at government expense by (1) eliminating the requirement that the major portion of a POV shipment be by ship; (2) eliminating the requirement that surface transportation be between customary ports of embarkation and debarkation; and (3) defining "change of permanent station," for purposes of POV moves, as moves to and from areas outside the continental United States, and moves attending homeport changes.

The Senate bill contained provisions (sec. 612 and sec. 613) that would authorize the overland shipment of POVs (1) for COHORT overseas moves, (2) in adverse conditions, and (3) from ports in northern Europe to one or more inland processing points in southern Germany.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment preserving the existing authority for overland transportation of POVs in certain circumstances within the continental United States.

Coordination of permanent change of station moves with school year (sec. 612)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 617) that would require the service Secretaries to establish procedures to ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, permanent change of station (PCS) moves of members with dependents in elementary or secondary schools occur between normal school years.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment requiring that coordination of PCS moves of appropriate members occur at times designed to avoid disruption of school schedules to the maximum extent practicable within operational and other military requirements.

Authorization limit on funds for PCS travel (sec. 613)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 617) that would limit the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 to not more than $2,657,553,000 for permanent change of station (PCS) travel.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 651(a)) that would limit the total amount expended during fiscal year 1987 for PCS moves to $2,533,760,000.

The Senate recedes with an amendment limiting the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1987 for PCS travel to not more than $2,533,760,000.

Reimbursement or actual lodging expenses plus per diem for members entitled to travel allowances (sec. 614)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 615) that would convert the military system of reimbursement for expenses incident to temporary duty to the "lodgings plus" system established for Federal civilian employees in Public Law 99-234. The conversion would only be effective in fiscal years for which the Secretary of Defense were to certify that lodgings plus would cost no more than the existing system.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 612).

The House recedes with a technical amendment resolving drafting differences between the two provisions.

Transportation and travel allowances for escorts for certain dependents (sec. 615)

The House amendment contained an amendment (sec. 615) that would authorize the service Secretaries to provide space-required travel on military aircraft or to pay transportation and travel allowances to a member who can not reasonably bring an underage or incapacitated dependent to a new duty location when that member first reports to a new duty location.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Travel expenses for overseas dependents requiring medical care in certain circumstances (sec. 616)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 616) that would authorize government reimbursement for travel expenses of dependents accompanying members stationed outside the continental United States who require medical care not available at the duty location and who travel to another location for such medical treatment.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Per diem for dependents receiving transportation allowance (sec. 617)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 613) that would authorize the payment of per diem to military dependents who must change their permanent duty station due to reasons of separation or retirement of the member or because of unusual or emergency circumstances like the evacuation of dependents, death of the service member, early return of dependents for personal reasons and the cancellation of military orders while dependents are enroute to the new duty station.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Modification of family separation allowance (sec. 618)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 614) that would prohibit the payment of family separation allowance type II to members who elect unaccompanied overseas tours in accompanied tour areas. In addition, section 614 would grandfather those members receiving family separation allowance on September 30, 1986 for the remainder of their unaccompanied overseas tours.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Improved dislocation allowance (sec. 619)

The Senate bill contain a provision (sec. 611) that would authorize the payment of a dislocation allowance under the following six new circumstances:

(1) when the member's dependents actually move pursuant to section 406(e) of title 37, United States Code, under unusual or emergency circumstances and no permanent change of station (PCS) orders providing authority for such movement have been issued; (2) when the member's dependents actually move, pursuant to section 406(h) of title 37, when the secretary concerned determines it is in the best interests of the member or the dependents and the United States; (3) when the member's dependents actually move after the member is reported dead, injured, ill, or absent in a missing status for more than 29 days; (4) when the member's dependents, or (5) a member without dependents, actually begin some travel pursuant to PCS orders before the order's effective date and the orders are then cancelled, modified or revoked requiring the dependents, or the member without dependents, to return to the original duty station or to another duty station; and (6) when a member performs consecutive overseas tours at the same location but one of these tours is with dependents and one of the tours is without dependents.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Transportation and storage of household goods (sec. 620)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 614) that would authorize the services Secretaries to pay the cost of members' excess household goods storage and to recoup these payments from the members involved. Section 614 also would permit the immediate return shipment from overseas of household goods and a motor vehicle that had been shipped in advance of dependents when unexpected and uncontrollable circumstances (e.g., sickness) prevent the dependents from actually moving overseas.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with amendments that would: 1) permit the service member to pay or arrange for disposition of the excess household goods if he so chooses; and 2) make a technical change.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Foreign currency exchange for movement of household goods

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1039) that would require the reinstitution of the foreign currency rate adjustment program of the Army's Military Traffic Management Command effective April 1, 1987 if the Comptroller General certified to Congress no later than September 30, 1986 that cost savings to the government could reasonably be expected by the reinstitution of the program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that the Comptroller General certified on September 30, 1986 that cost savings to the government could not reasonably be expected by the reinstitution of the foreign currency rate adjustment program.

PART C—BONUSES AND SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Enhanced aviation officer continuation pay (sec. 631)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 621) that would permit certain aviators to enter 6-year retention agreements in exchange for the highest level of aviation officer continuation pay as late as their eighth year of aviation service and would terminate the existing limitation on aviation career incentive pay for officers receiving aviation officer continuation pay.

The House amendment included a provision (sec. 601) that would terminate the existing restriction against hazardous duty incentive pay for officers receiving aviation officer continuation pay.

The Senate recedes with an amendment adding to the House provision the Senate provision lengthening the period during which 6-year retention agreements may be entered into.

Aviation career incentive pay is career-directed; aviation officer continuation pay is continuaton period-directed. The statutory coordination of the two recognizes that aviation officer continuation periods constitute segments of aviation officer careers. It seeks to optimize the relationship between the cumulative costs and benefits of the two compensations. The conferees are well aware that the existing statutory limitation on aviation career incentive pay for officers serving under retention bonus agreements has the effect of making the real value of the bonus something less than its "face" value. The conferees are confident, however, that military aviators are able to take that effect into account evaluating the bonus. The conferees, therefore, reject the suggestion that the limitation on aviation career incentive pay is a surreptitious taking with one hand what is offered with the other.

Inclusion of aviation cadets under aviation career incentive pay (sec. 632)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 622) that would include aviation cadets in the definition of officer for all purposes related to aviation career incentive pay.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Special authority relating to the payment of Selected Reserve enlistment bonus (sec. 633)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 623) that would authorize the payment of a selected reserve enlistment bonus for an enlistment during the period of October 1, 1985, through November 8, 1985. The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that there was no statutory authority to pay a selective reserve enlistment bonus to persons entering the reserve components between October 1, 1985, and November 8, 1985, because of the delay in final Congressional approval of the conference report accompanying the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986.

Despite advance Department of Defense notification to all the reserve components that no authority existed for payment of these bonuses after September 30, 1985, pending enactment of new legislation, the Army National Guard did not comply with Department of Defense instructions. As a result, some individuals were led to believe that they would receive an enlistment bonus, notwithstanding the lack of legislative authority to pay such bonuses. The conferess have agreed to the Senate provision in order to keep faith with the individuals involved. The conferees are very distressed that corrective action is required in this case and want to make clear that they do not expect to this situation to occur again.

Sepcial pay for members proficient in foreign languages (sec. 634)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 624) that would authorize a new special pay for foreign language proficiency. This stipend, not to exceed $100 a month, would be paid to members certified as being proficient or receiving training in a foreign language identified by the Secretary of Defense as necessary for national defense. The Senate provision would limit the total obligational authority for this special pay to no more than $7,300,000 during fiscal year 1987.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment limiting obligational authority for the program to $9,000,000 in fiscal year 1988.

The conferees intend that this program be designed to—

(1) pay a stipend to those members who develop a proficiency in critical foreign language communication skills that test at or above a utility level established by the Department of Defense; and

(2) encourage the development of critical foreign language skills by judiciously limiting the specialty pay to members who obtain reading, speaking, and listening skills as a second language.

In addition, the conferees plan to review the critical foreign language program next year and, if warranted, institute a graduated pay scale to encourage members to further increase their foreign language proficiency.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Special pay for board certified psychologists

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 625) that would authorize special pay for psychologists who have been awarded a diploma as a Diplomate in Psychology by the American Board of Professional Psychology. The special pay would range from $2,000 per year for officers with less than ten years of service to $5,000 per year for officers with 18 or more years of service.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note their displeasure with the continued failure of the Department of Defense to expand the use of non-physician providers. The conferees believe that non-physician providers like psychologists are a cost-effective way to significantly extend the capability of the Department of Defense to provide care to its beneficiary population. The conferees serve notice that, if the Department of Defense does not implement a plan for expanded use of non-physician providers, they intend to mandate such an expansion in the future.

Although the conferees are very sympathetic to the intent of the Senate special pay provision and believe that tying such special pay to a board certification requirement is an innovative way to encourage non-physician providers to enhance their professional credentials, they believe that the issue requires further study and have, therefore, deferred acceptance of the Senate provision.

PART D—BENEFITS FOR SURVIVORS AND FORMER SPOUSES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Court-ordered survivor annuities for former spouses (sec. 641)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 631) that would provide state courts with the option of ordering military service members to participate in the Survivor Benefit Plan and to designate a former spouse as beneficiary as part of a divorce agreement.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Miscellaneous survivor benefit and former spouse amendments (sec. 642–644)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 632) that would: 1) authorize the payment of survivor benefits to the surviving dependent children of a retirement-eligible active duty member who dies if no surviving spouse exists or if the surviving spouse subsequent dies; 2) provide 55 percent of retired pay for life to eligible incapacitated dependent children; 3) lower from 60 to 55 the age as which a surviving spouse may remarry without losing the entitlement to survivor benefits; and (4) revise the statutory definition of dsposable military retired pay for purposes of court orders addressing military retired pay as divisible marital property or for awarding alimony or child support that could be collected directly from military retired pay.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment making the effective date of the incapacitated dependent children provision March 1, 1986.

Revision of open period to elect former spouse and child coverage (sec. 645)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 633) that would authorize members who elected former spouse coverage under the Survivor Benefit Plan during the period November 8, 1985 through February 28, 1986 to convert to former spouse—child coverage within one year of the date of enactment.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension of medical benefits for certain former spouses (sec. 646)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 634) that would provide care in the military medical care system followed by the right to convert to a private health insurance plan to certain long-term former spouses whose divorces were finalized after April 1, 1985 until the end of the two-year period following the final decree of divorce or April 1, 1988, whichever is later. Section 634 would also require the Secretary of Defense to report on the development of a medical conversion plan and any legislative authority that may be required to ensure its prompt implementation.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Improved death gratuity

Currently, the death gratuity is equal to six months of basic pay with a minimum payment of $800 and a maximum payment of $3000.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would change the death gratuity computation to three months of basic pay, basic allowance for subsistence, and basic allowance for quarters with a minimum payment of $3,000 and a maximum payment of $9,000.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that, although the death gratuity was established in 1908. the military estate program has been expanded to include social security benefits, dependency and indemnity compensation, and Serviceman's Group Life Insurance (SGLI). The maximum SGLI payment was recently increased to $50,000.

PART E—MISCELLANEOUS BENEFITS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Tuition assistance for Army reserve components officers (sec. 651)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 641) that would authorize the use of the tuition assistance program by reserve components officers in order to complete baccalaureate degree requirements. The House provision would limit eligibility to members of the Army reserve components for undergraduate courses only and would limit funding in fiscal year 1987 to $4.2 million.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment limiting the authority for this program to fiscal year 1987 only and restricting funding to $3 million. As outlined in the report of the House Committee on Armed Services (H. Rept. 99-718), the conferees intend that this program be used only by individuals unable to use the reserve G.I. Bill, i.e. those able to attend class at a less than half-time rate.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report outlining current policy with respect to the educational requirements for both initial commissioning and promotion of officers in the reserve components. The conferees intend to review the policy to determine whether to provide authority for use of the tuition assistance program by reserve component officers in the future.

Benefits for dependents of certain sentenced, discharged or dismissed members (sec. 652)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 633(a)) that would authorize the service Secretary to provide travel and transportation allowances as well as the movement of household goods for a military member with dependents who is discharged from the service for other than honorable conditions. Additionally, section 633(b) would authorize abused dependents of military members who were discharged from the service because of child or spouse abuse to receive medical care that may be required as a result of the abuse for up to one year following the member's discharge.

The House amendment contained a similar provision on transportation (sec. 616). With regard to medical care, the House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would require a report on the desirability of establishing a program to provide one year of medical care to the dependents of military members who are discharged or who leave the service voluntarily, who abused their dependents while in the service and whose dependents require medical care as a result of the abuse.

The House recedes with an amendment substituting the House language on transportation for the Senate language on transportation.

Improved employment opportunities for military spouses (sec. 653)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 634) that would lower from GS-8 and above to GS-5 and above the level at which a military spouse would be given hiring preference for Department of Defense jobs if the spouse is among those determined to be best qualified for the position and if the position is located in the same geographic area as the permanent duty station of the member.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Retirement credit for certain National Guard technicians (sec. 654)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 635) that would provide Federal retirement credit for National Guard civilian technicians who served as technicians prior to January 1, 1969, regardless of whether they were serving in a technician position on or after January 1, 1969.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment prohibiting the provision of retirement credit for service prior to January 1, 1969 to former National Guard technicians who have already retired from Federal service.

Meal reimbursement for nonprofit youth groups residing at military installations (sec. 655)

Section 1038 of the House amendment would authorize meal reimbursement for nonprofit youth groups when residing at a military installation pursuant to an agreement in effect on June 30, 1986.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authorization for members of the Selected Reserve to have limited use of commissary services (sec. 655).

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 637) that would authorize members of Selected Reserve components to use military commissary stores for a number of days each year equal to the number of days a member is on active duty.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Administration of Personnal Benfits

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Enhanced method of determining true costs of military retirements (sec. 661)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 642) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to compute the contribution required to be made into the Military Retirement Fund based on two amounts—one for the active components and one for the reserve components. Current law requires contributions to be calculated as a single amount based on the combined force. Althought the change would not affect the degree to which future benefits were funded, it would change the amount contributed to the fund in a particular year. As a result, less contributions would be required on an annual basis.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree that the change would be an appropriate methods of computing the contributions into the fund. However, they were concerned that the data centrally available on the members of the reserve components are less than adequate. Collecting the requisite data would be valuable for many purposes and essential for computing the contribution to the fund under the revised methodology.

The House recedes with an amendment that would direct the service Secretaries to submit data required pursuant to Department of Defense Instruction 7730.54 (dated May 7, 1986) to the Secretary of Defense by September 30, 1987—particularly data related to the following categories identified in the instruction:

(1) Date of initial entry into military service

(2) Total days of active Federal military service

(3) Years creditable for reserve retirement

(4) Reserve component accumulated total creditable retirement points earned during the most recently completed retirement year

(5) Reserve component accomulated paid points earned during the most recently completed retirement year

(6) Reserve component total accumlated creditable points toward retirement earned during career

Authority to pay bank charges in the event of government error in mandatory direct deposit of members' pay (sec. 662)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 632) that would authorize government reimbursement of a service member who incurs overdraft charges as a result of a government error in depositing the member's pay if the member's participation in the direct deposit program is mandatory.

The House amendment included no similiar provision.

The House recedes.

Limit on reserve unit and individual training spending (sec. 663)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 651(e)) that would limit the amount spent in fiscal year 1987 for reserve unit and individual training to $5,084,500,000.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Taxation of military benefits

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1225) that would express the sense of the Senate that military benefits are not subject to federal income taxation.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The Senate recedes. The Conferees note the favorable treatment accorded military compensation and benefits in section 144 and 1168 of the Tax Reform Act of 1986.

Accounting for payments of claims for benefits

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 641) that would amend section 1552(c) of title 10, United States Code, to provide that when paying a claim for benefits arising from an error in a service member's record, payment may be made out of applicable current appropriations or from appropriations (if available from which the expense would have been paid if payment had been made when due under the corrected record.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Enlistment bonus cost reduction

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 651(c)) that would prohibit the payment of enlistment bonuses to new Navy, Marine Corps and Air Force recruits in fiscal year 1987.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE VII—HEALTH-CARE REFORM MANAGEMENT REFORM

Legislative Provisions

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Improvement in military health-care delivery system (sec. 701)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 811) that would make four changes in the operation of the military health care system.

First, section 811 would provide specific authority to the Secretary of Defense to contract for health care services with a variety of providers and insurers, including health maintenance organizations and preferred provider organizations, and would authorize the Secretary to prescribe a premium, deductible, copayment, or other charge for health care provided under this section.

Second, section 811 would establish a Military Health Care Account into which all funds currently appropriated to Operation and Maintenance, Defense Agencies, for the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS) would be transferred. The Secretary of Defense would transfer to each service on a quarterly basis the funds determined necessary by the Secretary to meet each service's CHAMPUS obligations for that quarter; using their operation and maintenance appropriation for the direct care system and their allotment from the transfer account, the services would then be responsible for providing all medical care to their beneficiary populations (including CHAMPUS costs).

Third, section 811 would direct the Secretary of Defense to establish a health care enrollment system in which beneficiaries would elect to enroll in a specific health plan, for example, the local military hospital, CHAMPUS, a civilian health maintenance organization, or the proposed Champus Reform Initiative; the plan selected would have responsibility for ensuring the availability of all covered services to its beneficiary population.

Fourth, section 811 would require the use of Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs) as the primary budgetary tool for allocation of resources to military medical facilities, beginning October 1, 1987, for inpatient procedures and beginning October 1, 1988, for outpatient procedures.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would permit the Secretary of Defense to waive the copayment requirements and restrictions on covered services prescribed in sections 1079 and 1086 of Title 10, United States Code, for the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS), in the case of certain contracted health care services.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 702) that would permit the sharing of staff, equipment, and resources between the civilian and military health care systems, in contracts entered into under sections 1079 and 1086 of title 10, United States Code, if such sharing would result in more effective, efficient, or economical health care for authorized beneficiaries.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes to the Senate provisions with amendments. The Senate recedes to the House provision with amendments.

As proposed by the House bill, health care enrollment is to be phased in over a three-year period beginning October 1, 1987. However, initial implementation is required only as part of the CHAMPUS Reform Initiative demonstration project contained in section 702. The conferees expect that enrollment can be achieved on a voluntary basis. Beneficiaries may be assigned to military hospitals, however, if necessary to ensure full utilization of those hospitals, but no involuntary enrollment may occur prior to October 1, 1990.

In the case of the Military Health Care Account, the conferees have clarified that the Secretary of Defense may obligate funds to the extent they are available in the account for medical care under CHAMPUS, the demonstration project authority contained in section 1092 of title 10, United States Code, and the new authority to contract for alternative delivery of health care provided in this section. The Secretary of Defense will then make available to the Secretary of each service the amount from the account that the Secretary of Defense determines necessary to meet that service's CHAMPUS expenditures. Using their operation and maintenance appropriation for the direct care system and their allotment from the transfer account, the services would be responsible for providing all medical care to their beneficiary population (including CHAMPUS costs) and would have to seek to reprogram funds appropriated for other programs to make up any shortfall.

The Military Health Care Account would become effective October 1, 1987. The conferees expect the account to be reflected in the President's budget request for fiscal year 1988.

Diagnosis Related Groups are to be used to replace the current Health Care Unit as the primary resource allocation tool for patient care provided in military hospitals. However, military hospitals would continue to receive additional budget resources for their wartime mobilization and training missions.

CHAMPUS Reform Initiative (sec. 702)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 815) that would affect the Department of Defense's proposed CHAMPUS Reform Initiative, commonly called Project Imprint, by requiring the Secretary of Defense, within 60 days after the date of enactment, to submit a report on (1) methods to guarantee competition, (2) the merits of using a voucher system or fee schedule, and (3) methods to guarantee participation of community hospitals. Section 815 would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of funds for the CHAMPUS Reform Initiative until a period of 30 days of continuous session of Congress elapses after the Secretary submits such report.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with amendments striking the prohibition on the obligation or expenditure of funds and directing a demonstration project on the phased implementation of the CHAMPUS Reform Initiative.

The Secretary of Defense is to conduct a demonstration project on the proposed CHAMPUS Reform Initiative to begin during fiscal year 1988, operate the project for at least one year, and apply the project to not more than one-third of the CHAMPUS program. A health care enrollment system is to be included in the demonstration. Based on the results of the demonstration project, the Secretary may proceed to phase in fully the CHAMPUS Reform Initiative over the next two years in remaining areas.

The Secretary is to report to Congress on the design, implementation, and operation of the demonstration project and the full reform initiative at specified points in the process. If the demonstration project is to be conducted in one contiguous geographic area, the report must be submitted not later than 60 days before initiation of the project. If the Secretary of Defense opts instead to implement the demonstration project based on one-third of the CHAMPUS population, rather than one-third of the geographic area, the report must be submitted not later than 60 days before issuance of any Request for Proposals for that project.

CHAMPUS catchment areas (sec. 703)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 813) that would authorize the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS) to pay for the nonemergency inpatient hospital care of beneficiaries residing with a 40-mile radius of a military treatment facility if private insurance provides primary coverage.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Medical informatin systems acquisition (sec. 704)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 812) that would redirect the Department of Defense's proposed procurement of a medical information system, commonly known as the Composite Health Care System (CHCS).

Section 1203 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145) directed the Department of Defense to conduct a demonstration project at two military hospitals using the Veterans Administration's Decentralized Hospital Computer Program (DHCP). In the meantime, the Department of Defense was permitted to proceed with its plan for procurement of CHCS, including the extended benchmark test, but with certain prescribed milestones and reporting requirements on the part of the General Accounting Office and the Secretary of Defense.

Section 812 of the House bill would cancel the extended benchmark test and would instead direct the department to base its medical information system procurement on operational testing in military medical facilities. In the operational test, the department would be required to compare the functional capabilities and costs of at least three competing systems in a realistic testing environment in military hospitals. Vendors would be required to provide incremental price and delivery schedules for each function described in phases I, II, IID, and III of the Request for Proposals.

Section 812 of the House bill would also extend the test of the Veterans Administration's DHCP and would establish procedures for evaluating of the results of both test programs, such as the use of military evaluation teams.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 705) that would repeal section 1203 of Public Law 99-145 and would require that the Department of Defense's procurement of a medical information system proceed in accordance with the existing Request for Proposals, namely utilization of an extended benchmark test.

The Senate recedes with amendments requiring an extended benchmark best, striking a number of the requirements included in the House amendment for the conduct and evaluation of the results of the operational test, and terminating the demonstration project of the Veterans Administration's DHCP effective October 1, 1987.

Confidentiality of medical quality assurance records (sec. 705)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 703) that would protect military medical quality assurance records—for example, peer review proceedings—from release or disclosure, in order to foster the candor necessary to ensure the best possible quality of military medical care.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment restricting the use of information obtained during epidemiologic assessment interviews of military personnel identified as having been exposed to a virus associated with the acquired immune deficiency syndrome.

Use of Public Health Service hospitals as facilities of the uniformed services (sec. 706)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 814) that would extend from December 31, 1987 to December 31, 1988 the earliest date after which the Unformed Services Treatment Facility status of 10 former Public Health Service hospitals could be terminated.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Limitation on dental insurance program (sec. 707)

Section 651 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145) authorized the Secretary of Defense to establish a dental insurance program for spouses and children of active duty service members but provided that no contracts could be signed or funds obligated prior to September 30, 1986.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 704) limiting authorization for initial implementation of a dental insurance program to $18,000,000 in fiscal year 1987. The President's budget requested $78 million.

The dental insurance program would provide on a voluntary enrollment basis 100 percent coverage for preventive, diagnostic, and emergency services and 80 percent coverage for composite and amalgam fillings and dental applicance repairs. Additionally, the program would be structured so that the cost of premiums would not exceed ten dollars per month per family. The provision would restrict the government's share to no more than 60 percent of total program cost with an annual expenditure cap of $105,000,000 in fiscal year 1986 dollars.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment deleting the requirement that the government cost may not excede 60 percent. However, the conferees expect that the cost to the government will very closely approximate the 60 percent level, as previously estimated by the Department of Defense. If this does not prove to be the case, the conferees intend to revisit the issue and will consider lowering the $105,000,00 annual expenditure cap.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Eligibility of philanthropic institutions to receive reimbursement under CHAMPUS

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 816) that would prohibit the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS) from denying payment for services to a hospital operated primarily for philanthropic purposes on the basis that such a hospital does not impose a legal obligation on patients to pay for such services.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE VIII—UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Legislative Provisions

Defense of lack of mental responsibility (sec. 802)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 702) that would conform the military defense of lack of mental responsibility under the Uniform Code of Military Justice to the civilian defense of insanity title 18, United States Code.

The Senate bill included a similar provision (sec. 802).

The House recedes with an amendment creating a verdict of not guilty only by reason of lack of mental responsibility.

Court-martial jurisdiction over reserve members (sec. 804)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 704) that would extend military criminal jurisdiction over reservists to conform with the modern total force.

The Senate bill included no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment ensuring the fair and prudent exercise of the new authority to call reservists involuntarily to duty for proceedings under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

Statute of limitations (sec. 805)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 705) that would conform the statute of limitations in the Uniform Code of Military Justice to the civilian counterpart provisions of title 18, United States Code.

The Senate bill included a similar provision (sec. 805).

The House recedes.

Detail of judge advocates (sec. 807)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 707) that would clarify the circumstances under which judge advocates are detailed to assist, for example, the Department of Justice in litigation involving the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill included a similar provision (sec. 807).

The House recedes with a technical amendment clarifying that judge advocates may assist another agency without reimbursement to the Department of Defense by the other agency in cases of interest to the Department of Defense.

TITLE IX—PROCUREMENT POLICY REFORM

MANAGEMENT OF THE ACQUISITION PROCESS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Senior civilian management of acquisition process (sec. 901–903)

The Senate bill contained five provisions (sec. 911, 912, 913, 914, and 915) that would (1) establish the position of Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, define the appointment process, powers, duties, and precedence of that office; (2) establish the position of Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition; (3) establish the position of Director of Defense Research and Engineering; (4) require the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation to report to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, and (5) amend the Small Business Act to require the Director of the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business of the Department of Defense to report to the Under Sercretary of Defense for Acquisition.

The House amendment contained two provisions (sec. 901 and 213) that would (1) define the duties of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, maintain existing supervision and policy for contract audit, and require the Director Operational Test and Evaluation to report personally to the Secretary of Defense and (2) convert the position of Director of Operational test and Evaluation to Assistant Secretary level.

The House recedes to the Senate with an amendment that would (1) define the duties and procedence of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition; (2) establish the position of Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition; and (3) modify the status of other senior civilian acquisition officials.

The conferees agreed to:

> Cleraly and specifically prescribe the duties and precedence of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition (USD–A) so as to establish the authority and jurisdiction of the Level-II position;

Maintain existing law governing audit policy and the Inspector General. In conjunction with the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition will coordinate audit and oversight of contractor activities to prevent duplication of effort on the part of the different elements within the Department of Defense;

Establish a Lever III position of Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition. The position of Director, Defense Research and Engineering would be reestablished as an Executive Level IV position;

Maintain the current requirement that the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation report directly to the Secretary of Defense; but require, in addition, that any reports by such Director also be forwarded directly to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition;

Require the Director of the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization to report to the Under Secretary of-Defense for Acquisition.

Enhanced program stability for major defense acquisition programs (sec. 904)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec 921) that would require the Department of Defense to establish a cost, performance and schedule baseline for all programs designated as defense enterprise programs.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 903) that would require the department to establish a baseline for all major defense acquisition programs. The baseline would include cost, performance characteristics and specifications, scheduled milestones, testing parameters and initial provisioning plans. The House amendment would require that these baselines be transmitted to the Congress prior to approval of funding for either full-scale development or full-rate production.

The Senate recedes with technical amendments. Sec 907 directs the Department to prepare baselines for all major defense acquisition programs. The Department need transmit to the Congress only those baselines for programs designated as defense enterprise programs (sec. 909).

Defense enterprise programs (sec. 905)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would require the Department of Defense to establish within each military service Defense Enterprise Programs with streamlined reporting procedures for program managers.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 902). The House provision, however, would preclude the Secretary from designating a program for streamlined management unless the program was a major defense acquisition program.

The House recedes with an amendment. The conferees intend that all major acquisition programs eventually become defense enterprise programs. The conferees, however, do not wish to preclude the services from designating as a defense enterprise program, programs which do not qualify as major defense acquisition programs.

Milestone authorization of defense enterprise programs (sec. 906)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would establish procedures for authorizing defense enterprise programs on a milestone basis. Sec. 921 would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a cost, schedule and performance baseline for an entire acquisition phase, and would require the Secretary of Defense, in submitting the budget for the fiscal year in which a new acquisition phase (either full-scale development or full-rate production) is to begin, to request authority to obligate funds required to complete the phase. The Senate provision also contained procedures for reviewing defense enterprise programs which breached the baseline which was approved at the time the Congress authorized the Secretary to proceed with the program on a milestone basis.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 903), but would allow the Secretary to designate a program for milestone authorization even if such program has not been subject to streamlined program management.

The conferees decided that the management baseline for defense enterprise programs would be the same as the baseline required for all major defense acquisition programs as specified in sec. 904 of the conference report. Those baselines are not otherwise required to be submitted to the Congress if the programs are not designated as defense enterprise programs.

The conferees note that milestone authorization does not necessitate any specific contractual arrangement or type. The requirement to stay within the baseline under which the program is authorized is a commitment by the Department of Defense to manage a program to the minimum requirements stated in the baseline in return for an agreement by Congress to commit to a stable funding profile. Accordingly, contractual requirements may be more stringent than the requirements stated in the baseline.

The House recedes with technical amendments.

Preference for nondevelopmental items (sec. 907)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 945) that would establish a preference for the procurement, where appropriate, of nondevelopment, or off-the-shelf, items. The provision would establish a requirement that, to the maximum extent practicable, the services define requirements so that nondevelopment items could be used and fulfill those requirements using nondevelopment items. In addition, the Senate provision would require the Department of Defense to issue implementing regulations, designate a person responsible for overseeing the implementation, and submit a report to Congress within one year detailing the actions taken to implement these requirements.

The House amendment (sec. 905) would add a new section to chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, that would establish a preference for the acquisition of nondevelopmental items. This new section would require the Department of Defense, to the maximum practicable extent, to state its requirements in the broadest functional description and in such a manner that nondevelopmental items may be offered to fulfill those needs. In addition, it would require an independent evaluation by the Comptroller General of the actions taken by the Secretary of Defense. It would also require the Secretary of Defense to identify impediments to the Defense Department's acquisition of nondevelopmental items, to remove those impediments within his jurisdiction, and to recommend to Congress any legislative changes the Secretary deems necessary.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would: (1) direct that the Secretary of Defense appoint the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition to be the official responsible for implementation of this policy; (2) require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives identifying actions taken to implement the requirements of this provision; (3) provide that the Department of Defense fulfill its requirements through the procurement of nondevelopmental items; (4) clarify that the Department of Defense should state its requirements in the broadest possible terms, be it in terms of required functions, performance or essential physical characteristics; (5) delay the deadline for the General Accounting Office report on the progress made by the Department of Defense to two years; and (6) add to the definition of nondevelopmental items, items currently being produced, but not yet in use or not yet available in the commerical marketplace. The conferees agreed to the additional category of items to ensure that newly developed products would be able to compete on an equal basis with products already in the marketplace but to continue to distinguish between items already produced and those that require continuing development or testing prior to actual production.

Requirements related to undefinitized contractual actions (sec. 908)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 925) that would limit the use of funds for undefinitized contractual actions. For each quarter during fiscal years 1987 and 1988, the provision would require the Secretary of Defense, with respect to the Defense Logistics Agency, and the Secretary of each military service to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the percentage of all funds placed on contract that were undefinitized. If the report showed that undefinitized contractual actions represented more than 10 percent of all contractual actions during a given quarter, the service Secretary would be prohibited from obligating additional funds using undefinitized contractual actions. The provision would also establish general restrictions and guidelines regarding the use of undefinitized contractual actions.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agreed to a provision that would require a service Secretary to report to the committees only if the service breached the 10 percent threshold. The quarterly reporting requirement was changed to a semi-annual review. In addition, the agreement would not prevent the service Secretary from obligating funds using undefinitized contractual actions during the first reporting period and extended the duration of the 10 percent ceiling into the first half of fiscal year 1989. These changes were made because the conferees agreed that the services should be allowed adequate time to implement this new requirement and to avoid unnecessary delay in the issuance of undefinitized contractual actions for valid purposes.

The conferees agreed to revise the method contained in the House amendment for determining the amount of funds represented by undefinitized contractual actions. Instead of basing the total on the "not to exceed" price, the conference agreement would only count obligations on undefinitized contracts. This change would allow the services to use existing contract reporting mechanisms for tracking the percentage of funds obligated on undefinitized contractual actions. It would also encourage the services to hold down the amount of funds obligated on undefinitized contractual actions.

Four categories of contracts would be exempted from the limitations under this provision: (1) foreign military sales, (2) purchases less than $25,000, (3) special access programs, and (4) Congressionally-mandated long-leadtime purchases. Undefinitized contractual actions for foreign military sales were excluded because the departments cannot prevent a foreign nation from requesting that an item be purchased by the most expeditious process. Purchases less than $25,000 were exempted because undefinitized contractual actions under this amount are not currently subject to regulations governing undefinitized contractual actions. Special access items are subject to special oversight procedures, and the conferees agreed that the services cannot control the definitization of long-leadtime items until a full procurement contract is negotiated.

The conferees also agreed on a waiver provision allowing the Secretary of Defense to breach the 10 percent threshold for urgent and compelling considerations relating to national security or public safety by notifying the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives of such a waiver within 30 days of the issuance of the waiver. The conferees agreed that the services might, in an extreme emergency situation, need the flexibility to obligate funds using undefinitized contractual actions without being restricted by the 10 percent ceiling.

The conferees agreed to two changes in the general restrictions on the use of undefinitized contractual actions that were contained in the House amendment. First, the requirement that an undefinitized contract action provide for agreement on a definitization schedule within 180 days was changed to require definitization within 180 days of the submission of a qualifying proposal. This change reflects a recognition by the conferees that definitization of undefinitized contractual actions depend on the timely submission of contractor proposals.

Second, initial spares procurement was exempted from the requirement to definitze within 1870 days of the submission of a qualifying proposal or the expenditure of 50 percent of the "not to exceed" price because initial provisioning contracts must remain undefinitized until sufficient logistics experience is gained to determine the requirement and configuration for each spare part.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representative by July 1, 1987 detailing the actions taken by the Department of Defense and the Armed Forces to effectively manage the issuance and timely definitization of these contract actions. The report should include a determination by the Secretary on the feasibility of the 10% limitations effective on October 1, 1987 as well as a recommendation for any modification or repeal of the limitation. The conferees will consider any recommendations for the modification or repeal of the 10% limitation based on demonstrated ability to manage effectively undefinitized contracts. Should no Congressional action be taken, the 10% limitation will be effective on October 1, 1987.

The reports submitted under this section to the Committees on Armed Services will be made available for review by all interested Members of Congress.

Competitive prototype strategy requirement for major defense acquisition programs (sec. 909)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 934) that would require the use of a competitive prototype strategy in the development of a major weapon system or subsystem. The provision also required contractors to submit bids for full scale engineering development of the prototype, production estimates, and if possible, fixed price or not to exceed production price options on the prototypes. The provision provided Secretarial waiver authority if competitive prototyping is either impractical or too costly.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment. The conferees endorse an expanded use of competitive prototype procedures in the acquisition process. The conferees believe that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition should direct a thorough review of Department policies on prototyping generally and competitive prototyping specifically. The conferees invite the Under Secretary to present the results of that review to the Congress, and suggest revisions to sec. 909 if he judged that to be necessary.

The conferees amended provisions in the original House provision which required fixed price or not to exceed production price options on pre-tested prototypes. The conferees judge that this procedure, like an earlier concept of"total package procurement" is not a viable approach to major defense acquisition.

Survivability, lethality and operational testing (sec. 910)

Section 214 of the Houe amendment contained a provision that would require all new major conventional systems and weapons to be subjected to realistic, live-fire testing before entering production. A system would be tested for vulnerability and survivability by firing all the conventional threat munitions likely to be encountered in combat at the system configured for combat. A weapon would be tested for lethality by firing it at foreign targets configured for combat. The amendment would also require that independent operational testing be conducted for all new major conventional systems before entering production and that such test would include a side-by-side test of the system being acquired with equal-cost quantities of the system intended to be replaced or the nearest competitor of the system being acquired.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to a modified version of the House provision contained in section 214. The provision would require that a major conventional weapons system not proceed beyond low-rate initial production until (1) a realistic survivability or lethality test is completed; and (2) an initial operational test and evaluation is completed. Such survivability and lethality tests would be carried out early enough to allow design deficiencies to be corrected before production. Employees of the contractor for the system being tested would not be involved in the conduct of the initial operational test and evaluation.

The conferees direct that the Secretary of Defense conduct, as a matter of high priority, a comprehensive review of testing policy in the Department. The conferees believe that the Secretary's review should include the following issues:

(1) A review of the length of time currently required in the acquisition process and ways to reduce the time devoted to testing;

(2) A review of existing testing policies of the Department and the Military Departments, and a determination of inconsistencies in fundamental testing philosophies and approaches;

(3) A review of the relationship between development testing and initial operational testing, and what role each plays in the acquisition process.

The last issue merits special attention by the Secretary. The conferees believe that developmental testing and initial operational testing are separate, yet complementary, elements in the acquisition process. Developmental testing is designed to support the development of improved weapon systems. Initial operational testing is designed to prevent the production of flawed systems. Initial operational testing can never assume the functions of developmental testing, because the legislative history that established the Office of Operational Test and Evaluation inherently created an independent inspector general-type of function. Similarly, development testing (by definition) implies close collaboration with the developers of new systems, which prohibits such testing from performing the role the Congress intended for initial operational testing.

This situation suggests that fundamental review by the Secretary is in order. The conferees invite the Secretary to comment on section 910, as well as section 123 in Public Law 99-145 and other testing statutes. The Committees on Armed Services in both the U.S. Senate and the House of Representatives intend to conduct comprehensive hearings on testing policies and procedures next year and are prepared to amend section 910 and other statutory testing provisions after thorough consideration of the Secretary's review. The Secretary is invited to offer draft legislation if his review suggests such a course is warranted.

The Secretary shall transmit his report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by March 15, 1987 to facilitate early hearings.

Goals for increased use of multiyear contracting authority (sec. 911)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 954) that would ensure that the Department of Defense increase the use of multiyear contracting authority in fiscal year 1988. An amount not less than 10 percent of the total obligational authority used by the Department of Defense for procurement programs of the department during fiscal year 1988 would be established as the multiyear procurement goal. Further, not later than January 1, 1987, the Secretary of Defense would provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives with (1) a report on the procurement programs eligible for multiyear contracting; (2) an assessment of the feasibility and desirability of the multiyear procurement goal; and (3) an assessment of whether the Secretary of Defense expects to achieve the multiyear procurement goal or, if not, the reasons why the goal would not be achieved.

The House amendent contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Federally funded research and development centers (sec. 912)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 923) that would limit the work placed by the Secretary of Defense with a Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) to work that was within the purpose, mission, and general scope of effort of such center as established in the sponsoring agreement. In addition, the House provision would require an extensive report by the General Accounting Office (GAO) on the role of FFRDCs and would prohibit the creation of new FFRDCs unless the sponsoring agency first provides to Congress a report on the planned purpose and mission of the proposed FFRDC.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the focus of the GAO review and report.

Requirements Relating to the Acquisition Process

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Small business set-asides (sec. 921)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 911) that would require agencies to ensure that a fair proportion of contracts per industry category, rather than overall contracts, be awarded to small businesses. It would also require, that in order to be eligible for a contract under a set-aside or the 8(a) program, the contractor itself must agree to perform at least 50 percent of the work under the contract. Exceptions would be authorized when warranted to reflect industry practice. The amendment would also direct that the Small Business Administration reduce the size standard for any industry if over the past three years more than 30 percent of the dollar amount of all contracts in that industry category were awarded under a set-aside. Finally, it would provide that the cost of a set-aside contract to the awarding agency would not be allowed to exceed a "fair market price", a term already defined in the Federal Acquisition Regulations, and would provide that the public be given timely information regarding the decision to set-aside a contract.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House conferees agreed that allowing agencies to satisfy small business contract award goals through set-aside of a predominant number of contracts in virtually a handful of industries has been of marginal benefit to smaller firms, has prevented larger small businesses from growing past the size standard and diverted efforts from other industry segments in which little progress has been made.

The House conferees believe the requirement to reduce the size standards within certain industry categories must work hand in hand with a corresponding increase in the number of contracts open to unrestricted competition. Without this corresponding increase in the number of unrestricted bidding opportunities, those companies no longer considered small would be at an extreme disadvantage. The intent of the provision is to ensure that such companies would have every opportunity to compete for the approximately 70 percent of the government contracts which would be unrestricted. In short, the provision is intended to insure that there is an incentive to grow, modernize and achieve economies of scale by providing for market opportunities beyond the set-aside program.

The House conferees were also concerned that there was no adequate improvement mechanism requiring contractors to perform at least 50 percent of the work with their own work force. Although an agreement could not be reached on a provision to established specific remedial measures against contractors who agree to perform as required and then violates the provision, the conferees intend to monitor closely the Small Business Administration's efforts to enforce this section.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would establish the right of a company affected by a size standard change required under this section to judicial reveiw of the Small Business Administration's detemination of the appropriate size standard. In addition, the conferees agreed to increase the industry categories affected by the requirement to reassess the size standard to include refuse systems and related services and dredging, two additional categories in which the existing size standard is inappropriately high. The provision allows the Small Business Administration flexibility to evaluate the existing size standards and to craft actual size standards consistent with the objectives of the Act.

Finally, the amendment delays specifically the date for implementation of the provision to allow the Committees on Small Business of the Senate and the House of Representatives the opportunity to examine the information required to be provided by the Small Business Administration after the agency's review of existing size standards its promulgation of proposed new size standards pursuant to these provisions, and to reconsider the issues raised.

Thresholds for certain requirements relating to small purchases (sec. 922)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 936) that would increase the threshold for requiring publication of notice in the *Commerce Business Daily* of planned competitive purchases from $10,000 to $25,000. Although small purchases in the Department of Defense account for only eight percent of all dollars spent, they represent 98 percent of all contract actions. An increases of the threshold to $25,000 is projeced to result in considerable savings in administrative lead time.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would, in addition to the House provision, require that all agencies at the activity purchasing the item, a notice of proposed procurement actions expected to exceed $10,000 but nore more than $25,000, except that the Department of Defense must post a notice of all solicitations for contract actions expected to exceed $5,000. This requirement is consistent with existing Federal Acquisition Regulations and the Defense Supplement to the Federal Acquisition Regulations.

Requirements relating to procedures other than competitive procedures (sec. 923)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 946) that would amend section 2304 to clarify that a determination to award a contract for services using noncompetitive procedures should be based on the unique capabilities of the contractor rather than the uniqueness of the services to be provided. It would also provide, in statute, recognition that for purposes of allowing the award of a noncompetitive follow-on contract, some contracts for services require the contractor to develop an expertise that would be lost or extremely expensive to replace were the contract awarded to another company.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with technical amendments and a provision that would add in section 2304(c)(1) an additional proviso to allow a noncompetitive award when a limited number of sources are available, rather than just one source. This addition was made in recognition of certain procurements, generally limited to procurements for critical items, where more than just one source may be available but where limitation of sources is warranted. The conferees expext this exception to be used infrequently and only when absolutely required.

Evaluation factors in award of contracts (sec. 924)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 947) that would amend section 2305 of title 10, United States Code, to recognize that, particularly in the acquisition of services, the quality of the services provided is of paramount importance.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees generally believe that the Department of Defense places too little emphasis on the quality of the product or service provided. Many reasons are postulated for the priority placed on price rather than quality, and without agreeing on the cause of such over reliance on price, the conferees have highlighted the procurement of services as one area in which clearly the quality of the service provided is at least as significant as price, if not more significant. The conferees also agreed that, if an agency determines that the quality of the services, rather than cost or price, is the highest priority, the agency should reflect that determination through appropriate weighting and evaluation factors.

The House recedes with technical amendments.

Computation of contract bid prices (sec. 925)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1048) that would preclude the Department of Defense from awarding a contract based on the evaluation of option prices if the department was not reasonably certain that the options would be exercised.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Prices for products sold commercially (sec. 926)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 941) that would amend section 2323 of title 10, United States Code, adopted in the Defense Procurement Improvement Act (Public Law 99-145). Section 2323 requires a contractor to certify that the price it is offering the Department of Defense is not greater than the lowest price given to its commercial customers if the offer is for a product that is sold to the public. The Senate bill would clarify that this provision applies only to spare or repair parts rather than all items of supply, and limit the types of sales that must be considered when determining the contractor's lowest commercial price. The types of sales to be excluded in this calculation were limited in one instance by providing that sales to the government be excluded but only for a one year period.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 914) that would exclude the following transactions when determining the company's lowest commercial price: (1) sales to the Federal government; (2) intracompany sales or transfers; (3) sales to dealers, distributors, or original equipment manufacturers, unless the government can demonstrate that the sale is under the same terms and conditions as a sale to a dealer, distributor or original equipment manufacturer; (4) sales to foreign purchasers; and (5) sales to educational institutions for educational purposes.

The conferees agreed that this provision was not intended as an overall requirement for the government to receive most favored customer status but, rather, to focus on the issue of noncompetitive sales to the Department of Defense of spare or repair parts at prices greatly in excess of the prices charged for the same item when sold in the commercial marketplace.

The conferees agreed to make permanent the exclusion of sales to the Federal government in the calculation of the contractor's lowest commercial price. In keeping with congressional efforts to allow the government to make small dollar purchases with a minimum amount of restraint and requirements, the conferees agreed also to exclude from the application of this provision purchases made utilizing simplified small purchase procedures.

The House recedes with an amendment.

Allocation of overhead to parts to which the contractor has added little value (sec. 927)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 942) that would amend section 1245 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525) to eliminate from the application of that provision items of supply included in contracts or subcontracts for which the price is based on established catalog or market prices of items sold in substantial quantities to the general public.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete subsection 942(d). The conferees concluded that, because the section did not require the submission of cost or pricing data but, instead, relates to how the Department of Defense would require contractors to price items sold to the government, subsection (d) was unnecessary.

Clarification of requirements to mark supplies to identify suppliers and sources (sec. 928)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 944) that would amend section 2384 of title 10, United States Code, to exclude from the requirments of that section items of supply for which the price is based on established catalog or market prices of commerical items sold in substantial quantities to the general public. Section 2384 requires the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations requiring that, whenever practicable, the contractor identify the actual manufacturer or producer of the item or of all sources of supply of the contractor for that item, the national stock number of the item and the identification number of the actual producer or manufacturer of the item or of each source of supply, and the source of any technical data delivered under the contract.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed that vendors of commerical products should not be required to provide to the government the identity of the actual manufacturer or producer of an item, its sources of supply for the item, the actual manufacturer or producer's identification number, or the source of any technical data provided under the contract, as long as the government purchased the item using competitive procedures or the contractor's price was based on established catalog or market prices. The purpose of this provision was to ensure that when the government purchased an item it could identify the actual manufacturer or producer of the item, if it was other than the company that provided the item to the government, so that it could determine whether to purchase the item directly from the manufacturer or producer. When items being provided are standard commercial products sold to the government under competitive procedures or at an established catalog or market price, the government is assured of the reasonableness of the price, and attempting to identify the actual manufacturer is not essential to ensuring the reasonableness of that price.

The House recedes with a technical amendment that would clarify that the exemption from the requirement to identify supplies applies only with respect to identification of the actual manufacturer or producer or sources of supply, the actual manufacturer's or producer's identification number, or the source of any technical data provided under the contract.

PROCUREMENT PERSONNEL POLICY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Conflict of interest in defense procurement (sec. 931)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 931) that would prohibit contacts between certain senior defense officials and a defense contractor regarding future employment and would entitle a covered senior defense official to receive severance pay for a certain time period until that official obtains employment outside the federal government.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 924) that would prohibit the acceptance of compensation from a defense contractor by former Department of Defense officials for a period of two years after separation from government service if that official had personal and substantial responsibility for a procurement function involving contact with that contractor during the two-year period preceding separation. The restrictions would apply to officials in a civilian position of grade GS-13 or above or a military grade of O-4 and above.

The Secretary of Defense would have the authority to exempt certain senior civilian officials, except when those officials participated personally and substantially in the negotiation or settlement of a contract with a defense contractor. It would also require a contractor to submit annually a report to the Secretary of Defense on those persons in its employ who held positions in the Department of Defense in the previous two years. Violation of the prohibition would result in a fine and up to one year's imprisonment for a person knowingly accepting or providing such compensation; a fine of $100,000 or three times the compensation paid for a contractor knowingly violating the prohibition; and a fine of $10,000 for a company failing to file the required reports.

The Senate receded with an amendment that would modify the House provision by restricting coverage to certain former Department of Defense officials who, for a majority of the working days within the two years before separating from the Department of Defense (1) performed a procurement function at a site or plant that is owned or operated by a contractor and was the official's principal duty assignment or (2) personally and substantially participated in procurement functions relating to a major defense system involving contact with a contractor.

The prohibitions on accepting compensation would also apply to an official in a Senior Executive Service position or a military grade of 0-7 or above who acted as a primary representative of the United States in the negotiation or settlement of a Department of Defense contract in excess of $10,000,000. By the term "primary representative" the conferees intend to describe an official who was an actual decision-maker, even though without official responsibility for the negotiations.

The amendment would also limit coverage to contractors who had contracts with the Department of Defense during the preceding fiscal year in an aggreagate amount of at least $10,000,000. The amendment would further substitute increased civil penalties for criminal penalties. The Senate amendment would provide that a current or former officer of employee, before accepting compensation, could obtain a written opinion from a designated agency ethics official and such opinion, if finding that this section is inapplicable to the acceptance of compensation by the current or former officer or employee, would serve as a conclusive presumption in favor of such officer or employee.

The conferees intend these measures to help dispel the appearance and deter any actual occurrence of conflicts of interest by precurement officials in the Department of Defense and to improve the post-employment reporting of former government procurement officials employed in the defense industry.

Plan for enhancement of professionalism of acquisition personnel (sec. 932)

The House amendment contained two provisions (sec. 906 and sec. 926) that would (1) require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a report that describes methods to enhance career opportunities for program managers and other acquisition personnel and (2) establish a Defense Acquisition Corps within the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the military departments, and the various defense agencies.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would combine the two provisions into a single provision that would require that the Secretary of Defense submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a plan to enhance the professionalism and career opportunities for the acquisition personnel of the Department of Defense. The plan would (1) include criteria for the examination, selection, appointment, placement, classification, training, and assignment of acquisition personnel; (2) assess the feasibility and desirability of the designation of certain acquisition personnel as acquisition professionals and of the establishment of an alternative personnel system, and (3) include recommendations for appropriate legislative recommendations.

Educational requirements for acquisition personnel (sec. 933)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 916) that would modify section 1622(b) of title 10, United States Code, to require that, before a person is appointed a program manager in the Department of Defense, that person must not only have attended a program management course at the Defense Systems Management College, or a comparable course at another institution, but have actually completed the course.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Plan for coordination of defense acquisition educational programs (sec. 934)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 927) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to establish by regulation a Defense Acquisition University that included acquisition related defense schools already in existence.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense, through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives with a plan for the coordination of educational programs managed by the Department of Defense for its acquisition personnel. The plan should include provisions for the education of acquisition personnel:

(1) Through programs offered by the Department of Defense either through Department of Defense courses or programs offered in lieu of those courses;

(2) In various acquisition specialties, including contracting, logistics, quality, program management, systems engineering, production, and manufacturing; and

(3) To ensure that duplication of functions and courses among defense department schools providing educational courses for acquisition personnel is avoided.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Safeguarding of military whistleblowers

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 921) that would provide protection through administrative and judicial review for members of the military services who disclose to appropriate government officials information concerning government wrongdoing or waste of government funds.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO DEFENSE CONTRACTORS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Codification and extension of prohibition on employment of persons convicted of defense contract related felonies and related criminal penalty on defense contactors (sec. 941)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 933) that would amend section 932 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145) to extend the prohibition on employment of persons convicted of a felony in relation to a government contract to prohibit the service of such persons on the board of directors. In addition, the Senate bill would also increase the minimum time in which such persons would be prohibited from being employed from one to three years.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would strike the section of the Senate bill that would have increased the minimum time that such persons would be prohibited from working on any defense contract and clarifying that the provision would prohibit any person so convicted of serving on the board of directors of any company that contracts with the Department of Defense. The conferees agreed that the specified period is a minimum one and that establishing a minimum period greater than one year would not be in the best interest of the public. Accordingly, the conferees agreed that some flexibility should exist for the Secretary to consider the circumstances of the conviction, the penalty already imposed by the court, efforts to make restitution, and the interest to be served by the application of the prohibition.

The conferees also agreed to clarify that the fine established in Public Law 99-145 was a criminal penalty.

Protection of contractor employees from reprisal for disclosure of certain information (sec 942)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 922) that would provide certain protection for employees of Department of Defense contractors who disclose information on violations of law, regulation, mismanagement, and abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would (1) provide that a contractor employee may not be discriminated against as a reprisal for disclosing substantial violations of statutory law to a Member of Congress or the Departments of Defense or Justice and (2) requires the Inspector General to investigate and report on allegations of such reprisals.

Revision of work measurement provisions (sec. 943)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 943) that would repeal section 917 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1986 (Public Law 99-145) relating to cost and price management. Section 917 required defense contractors to record and maintain certain types of cost and pricing data, including bills of material, and bills of labor expressed in standard hours of work content.

The Huse amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The amendment would require the heads of Department of Defense agencies to require contractors to make available (by providing access to) cost and pricing information in a timely manner with respect to covered contracts. The head of the agency or his authorized representative would have access to such information. The conferees intend that regulations governing access by authorized agency representatives under this section be consistent with the efforts of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition to coordinate audit and oversight of contractor activities.

Such data to be made available would include work measurement system data, including standard hours of work content; various categories of proposed, negotiated and incurred costs; and bills of material. The conferees intend that cost data maintained by the contractor which reflects recurring as well as nonrecurring costs in the various categories should also be made available. The conferees further intend that revisions to the data be made available as the contractor records such revisions.

The requirements under this new section would apply to all manufacturing contracts for major weapon systems either pending or entered into on or after the date of enactment of this act. Further, the requirements of this section would only apply to contracts covered by the requirements of 10 U.S.C. 2306a.

The amendment would make clear that this section would not require a contractor to maintain additional data or data in a form or manner different from that in which the contractor otherwise maintains such data.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Certification of allowable indirect costs

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 933) that would require a contractor submitting a proposal for interim or final settlement of indirect costs to certify that all indirect costs included in the proposal are allowable.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Miscellaneous

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Contracting with firms owned or controlled by governments which support terrorism (sec. 951)

The Senate bill contained two provisions (sec. 951 and sec. 952) relating to Department of Defense contracts with companies owned or controlled by foreign governments or agents of those governments that support acts of international terrorism as determined by the Secretary of State under section 6(j) of the Export Administration Act.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would make technical changes and limit the requirement for contractors to report foreign ownership to those with foreign ownership by countries listed under section 6(j). In additon, the conferees direct that the requirement to report be implented in the least obtrusive means.

The conferees note that the Department of Defense has developed a strict policy with respect to the involvement of hostile foreign government ownership of contractors eligible for Department of Defense contracts. The conferees believe that the Department of Defense has been diligent in implementing such policy and expect that this provision will only serve to provide additional information and enhance the existing Department of Defense policy.

Truth in Negotiations Act amendments (sec. 952)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 948) that would amend section 2306(f) of title 10, United States Code, to state that cost or pricing data include only data that are factual and verifiable and do not include judgmental data. It would further provide that no judgmental or intentional understatement of cost or pricing data may be used as an offset to an adjustment under this section.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 912) that would also define the term "cost and pricing" data to exclude information that is judgmental in nature, such as estimates of future productivity. Such data would, therefore, not be considered for purposes of determining whether defective pricing occurred or for purposes of determining whether a contractor may be entitled to an offset against an amount to be recovered for defective pricing.

The House amendment would also recognize for the first time in statute a contractor's right to offset against an amount that the government is entitled to recover, an amount that reflects cost or pricing data not revealed to the government that would have resulted in an increase in the agreed-to price. This provision parallels that which authorizes the government to recover when the failure to provide accurate, complete and current data resulted in an increase in price. As in the case of a recovery by the government, proof that information available to the contractor prior to the agreement on price establishes the right to recover that amount. The entitlement to recovery may be rebutted through proof by the government that, even if the required data had been provided, the government would not have agreed to a price increase. Finally, the section would restructure the existing Truth in Negotiations Act language to clarify its application.

The Senate recedes to the House with an amendment that would prohibit an offset, if the contractor intentionally withheld from the government information that would indicate a higher cost for an item or service and, thus, certified that the cost or pricing data it submitted was accurate, complete and current when, in fact, the contractor knew it to be false. The amendment would also clarify that a subcontractor may be required to provide cost or pricing data even though the requirement has been waived for the prime contractor or higher-tier subcontractor. The conferees acknowledge the practice of the Department of Defense to waive the requirement for certified cost or pricing data for universities under cost no-fee contracts but to require such data from subcontractors of the university.

The conferees were very concerned with clarifying the definition of cost or pricing data that a contractor is not required to provide and certify to data relating to judgments, business strategies, plans for the future or estimates. A contractor is required, on the other hand, to disclose any information relating to execution or implementation of any such strategies or plans. For example, a corporate decision to attempt to negotiate a new labor wage rate structure with its employee union, although verifiable, is not cost or pricing data for purposes of this section. If the company has made an offer to the union, the fact that an offer has been made, and the details and status of the offer, on the other hand, is information that should be conveyed to the government. Finally, this provision was amended to clarify that it applies to contracts and modifications to contracts entered into after the effective date of this Act.

Thus, the provisions of this Act apply only as to information provided to support a new contract or the exercise of an option or modification of an existing contract but not to the cost or pricing data provided to support an existing contract entered into prior to the effective date.

Rights in technical data (sec. 953)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 953) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations defining the rights of the United States, its contractors and subcontractors, in technical data relating to items sold to the Department of Defense.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 913).

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agreed to the House provision which would require the Department of Defense to publish regulations defining the terms "developed" and "at private expense". Efforts to define the terms have been ongoing since 1962 without resolution. Because of the lack of definitions in the Federal Acquisition Regulations and the Defense Supplement to those regulations, the military departments have differed in their approach on the issue. The conferees agreed that a uniform approach throughout the Department of Defense was desirable and necessary. In addition, the conferees believe that every effort should be made to make the policy and definitions similar in the Department of Defense and the civilian agencies to the extent the agencies are dealing with similar items.

Although agreeing that some flexibility in defining terms is necessary, the conferees believe that a statement of congressional intent is appropriate. The conferees believe that previously proposed Department of Defense regulations published for public comment September 10, 1985, defined the term "developed" in an excessively stringent manner by requiring an "actual reduction to practice"—a term of art used to establish an inventor's priority rights under the patent laws. The conferees agree that, for purposes of determining whether an item or process has been developed at private expense, an item should generally be considered "developed" if the item or process exists and reasonable persons skilled in the applicable art would conclude that a high probability exists that the item or process will work as intended. The conferees determined, however, that, because circumstances may exist in which such a standard may be inappropriate, crafting of more exact parameters would be better accomplished through the regulatory process.

In addition, the conferees agree that as a matter of general policy "at private expense" development was accomplished without direct government payment. Payments by the government to reimburse a contractor for its indirect costs would not be considered in determining whether the government had funded the development of an item. Thus, reimbursement for Independent Research and Development expenses and other indirect costs (capital funds and profits), although such payments are in indirect support of a development effort, are treated for purposes of this Act as contractor funds.

The conferees also agreed that, although Congress has mandated increased competition in the Department of Defense's acquisition of goods and services, many alternatives exist to achieve that goal and do so more effectively, without coercing contractors and subcontractors into relinquishing legitimate rights in technical data. On the other hand, where the government is likely to purchase a substantial number of these items in the future, the government should attempt to acquire unlimited rights in data for items developed at private expense.

The Department of Defense should generally seek to acquire the same rights in data that a commercial customer would in acquiring the same product. For example, if a contractor were to purchase an item in the commercial sector, it would not receive unlimited rights to use, release or disclose technical data necessary to manufacture the item or perform the necessary processes to manufacture the item. If a contractor paid for a modification to an existing item, it may acquire rights in data to the modification but not the rights to use, release or disclose data to the underlying product. If, on the other hand, one contractor pays another contractor to develop a new item, the purchasing contractor, to some extent, is paying for the expertise of the developing contractor and, if so, is likely to acquire the rights to manufacture or release and disclose the data to someone else to manufacture. In the event funds are mixed in such a way that no clear allocation of funds from either party to the development of a segregable item can be determined, the parties should agree to the rights to be accorded each party. The same applies to the government in contracting with its suppliers.

When entering into a contract with a supplier for which the government will fund directly a portion of the development costs, the government must evaluate whether its contribution is substantial enough to warrant the government's unlimited rights to use, release or disclose technical data pertaining to that item. The Department of Defense should establish by policy negotiation objectives to be used as guidance in determining whether the government should acquire rights when the contractor would be entitled to retain them and the trade-off when paying for some portion of the development. Such guidance should factor into account the number of items to be purchased in the future, the amount of funding contributed by the government, if any, and other variables that would take into account the benefit to be achieved by the government acquiring unlimited rights to use, release or disclose such data. The conferees agree that such guidance should also provide that, with exception, the government should not require a contractor to provide technical data relating to commercial products, except that data necessary for maintenance, repair and training.

Notwithstanding the above, the government should continue to evaluate, in determining which contractor should receive a contract, whether the government will have the ability to compete the item in future acquisitions—either through the acquisition of data rights or a requirement to develop alternative sources.

The conferees agreed to make the provisions of this section applicable in 210 days. The Department of Defense is required to issue proposed regulations within 90 days and final regulations within 180 days. This will allow the public to comment on the proposed regulations, as well as review the final regulations 30 days prior to their effective date. The conferees hope that with the requirement to publish the final rules 30 days before they become effective the public will have the opportunity to review the regulations as they have been adjusted from the initial proposed regulations, prior to their becoming effective. Finally, the amendment would clarify that the validation procedures required under this section apply only as to technical data delivered under contracts entered into after the effective date of this Act. As to data required to be delivered under contracts entered into prior to the effective date, the standards in effect on the date the contract was entered into continue to apply.

The conferees also agreed to the Senate provision requiring notification to a contractor that technical data delivered with restricted rights was released or disclosed under section 2320(D). The conferees wish to make clear that the notification need not be made prior to the government's release, but should be made as soon as reasonably possible.

Recovery of costs to provide technical data (sec. 954)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 935) that would authorize the government to charge those who do not need the technical data to bid on a government contract an amount equal to the true administrative cost of searching for and reproducing the data. The provision would require the release of data at no additional cost to any requestor who is a U.S. citizen or U.S. corporation that will certify that it needs the data in order to bid on or perform a government contract. In addition, the provision would authorize the Defense Department organization that responds to the request to retain those amounts recovered under this section.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment deleting the provision in the House amendment specifying that the fees established under this section may not exceed those established under section 9701 of title 31. Because that section related to user fees, which are consistent with the cost recovery allowed under this section, the conferees concluded the reference was confusing and unnecessary. The amendment would also provide that the definition of technical data is the same as provided under section 2302 of title 10, United States Code, and would clarify that this provision is not intended to prevent the government from requiring contractors to provide a refundable bond in order to secure technical data.

This provision is not intended to require the agency to establish new procedures for release of technical data packages with bid sets, and the conferees strongly advocate the continuation of the existing procedures for releasing technical data to bidders at the time bid sets are provided. The conferees are aware of numerous instances, however, when extraordinary volumes of technical data have been requested when the requestor did not require the data to bid on a government contract or to determine whether it could bid on a future requirement. The conferees agreed that in such cases the government ought to be able to recover the full cost of dedicating personnel and equipment to provide such data.

This provision is also not intended to have an affect on the standards for releasing data. The Department of Defense shall continue to determine releasability based on existing law, and this provision relates only to the fees which the government may change once a determination as to releasability is made.

Comparable budgeting for similar systems (sec. 955)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 904) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in preparing the defense budget for any fiscal year, (1) to identify each common procurement weapon system included in the budget; (2) to take all feasible steps to minimize variations in procurement unit costs of such common procurement weapon systems; and (3) to identify and to justify in the budget any variations in procurement unit costs for common procurement weapon systems.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Funding of procurement technical assistance programs serving distressed areas (sec. 956)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 915) that would amend section 2411(2) of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Department of Defense to pay up to 75 percent of the cost of running a technical assistance program sponsored by any state, local government or private, nonprofit organization, if the program serves an area with an unemployment rate one percent higher than the national average or per capita income of 80 percent or less of the state average.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the term "area" to be served by the program to mean: any civil jurisidiction, group of civil jurisidictions, or civil jurisidiction or group of jurisdictions excluding any civil jurisdiction or jurisdictions, served by the procurement assistance program. In addition, the amendment would clarify that a procurement technical assistance program would be eligible for 75-percent funding by the Department of Defense, if it solely serves a distressed area (an area that, as a whole, meet the requirements established under the section). Thus, a state or local government or private nonprofit organization may operate more than one technical assistance program: one solely serving a distressed area that would qualify for 75-percent funding and another serving an area not considered distressed that would be eligible for 50-percent funding.

Subcontractor information to be provided to procurement technical assistance centers (sec. 957)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 937) that would require defense contractors awarded a contract in excess of $100,000 in that year to provide to the provide to the procurement technical assistance centers a listing of the name, business address, telephone number, and area of responsibility of employees of the contractor responsible for issuing subcontracts.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would increase the threshold for requiring contractors to provide the information to $500,000. The conferees agreed that the $500,000 threshold, which is consistent with the threshold for requiring small business subcontracting plans, was a more appropriate level.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Priority for domestic firms

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 938) that would require the Department of Defense to award a contract to a U.S. firm that would provide a product domestically produced if the price offered by the U.S. firm is not more than 5 percent higher than the price of the otherwise low foreign bid.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree that every effort is needed to be made to ensure that the U.S. industrial base is not eroded by imports of cheaper foreign goods. However, the conferees acknowledge that in the defense sector the United States continues to maintain an approximate two-to-one advantage of exports over imports. In addition, the conferees expressed concern that other countries might retaliate against U.S. defense exports if the provision was adopted.

Consequently, the conferees could not reach an agreement on this issue, but have committed to holding hearings on this subject in the next session of Congress. The House recedes.

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Amending section 139a concerning selected acquisition reports (sec. 961)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would amend various provisions in section 139a and section 139b of title 10, United States Code, concerning Selected Acquisition Reports (SAR). The fiscal year 1986 Defense Authorization Act (Public Law 99-145) contained a provision (sec. 1201) directing the Department of Defense, the General Accounting Office and the Congressional Budget Office to recommend revisions to the SAR reports to improve their utility. The changes incorporated in section 1203 of the Senate bill were recommended by the Department of Defense and have the effect of bringing SAR reporting criteria more in line with current systems. More fundamental changes were suggested by the Department, by GAO and by CBO but were not incorporated in this section.

The House conferees intend to conduct a thorough review of the Selected Acquisition Reports during the coming year, with a goal of incorporating more sweeping revisions. The conferees judged, however, that the changes incorporated in section 1203 were constructive and should not be delayed pending a more systematic review.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees emphasize the importance of the Selected Acquisition Reports in the oversight process and commend the Department of Defense for its efforts to improve the reports.

Report on efforts to increase contract awards to Indian-owned businesses (sec. 962)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1025) that would require a report on the efforts of the Department of Defense to increase awards of defense contracts to Indian-owned businesses in accordance with the memorandum of understanding between the Department of Defense and the Small Business Administration.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the report to cover fiscal years 1986 and 1987 and delaying the reporting requirement until 1988.

Report on geographic distribution of defense contracts (sec. 963)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1026) that would require a report on the distribution of contracts within geographic regions in the United States and Department of Defense efforts to reduce the disparity in the distribution of contracts by dollar value and number.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide that the Secretary of Defense submit a report on the efforts of the department to increase competition and enhance the defense industrial base, including efforts such as the use of technical assistance centers and other programs to assist contractors in bidding on defense contracts.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Components manufactured outside the United States

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 804) that would require that each comprehensive annual Selected Acquisition Report (SAR) for a major defense acquisition program include information detailing the use of components manufactured outside the United States, including the dollar value and country of origin of such components.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE X—MATTERS RELATING TO ARMS CONTROL

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

SALT II compliance (sec. 1001)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1210) that would express the sense of the Senate that the United States should continue voluntary compliance with the central numerical sublimits on delivery systems of the strategic offensive arms agreements with the Soviet Union, provided that the Soviet Union complies with those sublimits.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would deny funds to deploy weapons in excess of the numerical sublimits in the SALT II agreement unless the President certified that the Soviets had exceeded these sublimits.

The conferees agreed to accept non-binding language that incorporated the sense of the Senate provision in the Senate bill, and the definitions of the numerical sublimits in the House amendment.

The House recedes with an amendment.

Nuclear testing (sec. 1002)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1230) stating it was the sense of the Congress that the President should request advice and consent of the Senate to ratification of the Threshold Test Ban Treaty and the Peaceful Nuclear Explosives Treaty, and that he should propose to the Soviet Union immediate negotiations on a comprehensive test ban treaty.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3036) that would prohibit funds from being spent on nuclear tests above one kiloton yield for one year starting January 1, 1987 unless the President certified that the Soviets had conducted a nuclear test above one kiloton after January 1, 1987, or had refused to accept and implement verification measures.

The House recedes. The conferees took this position in light of the October 10, 1986 letter from the President giving his commitment to take two important steps limiting nuclear testing.

First, he pledged to inform General Secretary Gorbachev in Reykjavik that as a first order of business for the 100th Congress, if the Soviet Union will, prior to the initiation of ratification proceedings in the Senate next year, agree to essential TTBT/PNET verification procedures which could be submitted to the Senate for its consideration in the form of a protocol or other appropriate codicil, he will request the advice and consent of the Senate to ratification of the TTB and PNE treaties. However, if the Soviet Union fails to agree to the required package of essential procedures prior to the convening of the 100th Congress, he will still make ratification of these treaties a first order of business for the Congress, with an appropriate reservation to the treaties that would ensure they would not take effect until they are effectively verifiable. He will work with the Senate drafting this reservation.

Second, he pledged to inform the General Secretary in Reykjavik that, once our verification concerns have been satisfied and the treaties have been ratified, he will propose that the United States and the Soviet Union immediately engage in negotiations on ways to implement a step-by-step parallel program—in association with a program to reduce and ultimately eliminate all nuclear weapons—of limiting and ultimately ending nuclear testing.

Military impact statement by the joint chiefs of staff on United States non-compliance with existing strategic offensive arms agreements (sec. 1003)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1220) that would require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs to submit to Congress by October 1, 1986, classified and unclassified versions of a report on matters related to a U.S. policy on non-compliance with existing strategic offensive arms agreements. It would also withhold funds for JCS studies and analyses pending receipt of the report on October 1, 1986.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to adopt a modified version of the Senate bill, changing the date the report is due to October 15, 1986 in order to accommodate to the date of enactment of the fiscal year 1987 Defense Authorization Act.

Sense of Congress expressing support for a central role for nuclear risk reduction centers (sec. 1004)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1027) expressing support for the President's willingness to negotiate an agreement with the Soviet Union establishing Nuclear Risk Reduction Centers and recommending that the U.S. center be assigned a central role in the coordination of federal risk reduction activities.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees strongly support the Nuclear Risk Reduction Center initiative and agree that the U.S. center should be the locus of U.S. nuclear risk reduction activities specified in any agreement that may emerge from the on-going negotiations with the Soviet Union. The conferees amended the language of the House amendment to make clear that, if an agreement with the Soviet Union establishing the centers is reached, the responsibilities of the U.S. center should include making recommendations to the President's National Security Advisor regarding additional risk reduction arrangements that might be proposed to the Soviet Union in any future negotiations on this subject.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Strategic arms agreements

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1211) that would express the Sense of the Senate that, consistent with international law, it is not in the U.S. national interest to continue to comply with any strategic arms agreements that the Soviet Union is violating

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XI—MATTERS RELATING TO NATO

LEGISLATION PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Modernization of NATO's southern flank (sec. 1101)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec 1205) that would authorize the President to transfer excess equipment from Department of Defense stocks, at no cost, to those member nations of NATO's southern flank which are eligible for U.S. sercurity assistance and are integrated into NATO's military structure, for the purpose of helping to modernize the defense capabilities of these nations. Prior notification is required for each proposed transfer including a certification of need and an assessment of their impact of the transfer on the U.S. Armed Forces. It is the committee's intent that equipment be transferred which is dated by our standards, but which could still make a military contribution to the defense of NATO's southern flank.

The authorization would provide that:

(1) The equipment to be transferred be that which is excess to the requirements of U.S. active duty forces, or programmed to be excess;

(2) The security assistance eligibility in the legislation be limited to those countries which are eligible for Foreign Military Sales credit and/or participation in the Military Assistance Program; and

(3) (The President grant such equipment on the basis of the military requirements of the southern flank nations and the contribution such transfers will make to the defense of the southern flank of NATO.

The conferees understand that, at the present time, this provision would authorize the transfer of equipment to Greece, Portugal, and Turkey.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with amendments.

The authority of this provision is placed under the framework of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, for fiscal years 1987 and 1988 only. The conferees, expect that the Administration will submit to the Foreign Relations Committee of the Senate and the Foreign Affairs Committee of the House of Representatives a proposal for permanent authority in the fiscal year 1988 budget request for foreign assistance.

For the purposes of this authority, the conferees intend that items such as F-4, A-7, and T-33 aircraft, M-48A1 tanks and M114A2 howitzers, or other equipment of comparable military utility are illustrative of the types of equipment that could be transferred.

In providing this authority, the conferees intend that transfers to recipient nations be consistent with the current policy framework for the Eastern Mediterranean region that is established in section 620C of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1981, and amended.

Cooperative logistics arrangements in NATO (sec. 1102)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec 1206) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into bilateral or multilateral NATO Weapon System Partnership Agreements with NATO member nations, under the aegis of the NATO Maintenance and Supply Organization (NAMSO), for the cooperative logistic support of weapon systems fielded in Europe by the United States and one or more NATO ally. Each partnership would be jointly managed by the participating nations, and administrative costs would be equitably shared among them. The transfer of defense acticles or defense services to NAMSO for the purposes of a Weapon System Partnership Agreement would be in accordance with the provisions of the Arms Export Control Act.

The House amendment contained no similar provisions.

The House recedes with an amendment specifying that defense articles or defense services transferred to NATO member nations, as well as NAMSO, would be in accordance with the provisions of the Arms Export Control Act.

NATO Cooperative Projects (sec. 1103)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1207) that would amend section 27 of the Arms Export Control Act to expand authority for NATO cooperative armaments projects to other friendly countries.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with two amendments. The first amendment would provide that the reporting requirements of Section 36(b) of the Arms Export Control Act would continue to apply for all sales conducted under the authority of section 27 of the Arms Export Control Act for countries other than NATO member nations. The second amendment would specify that the existing reporting requirement in section 27 requires a cost estimate of revenue loss incurred by a waiver of various FMS surcharges under sections (21(e)(1)(d) and 43(b) of the Arms Export Control Act.

For fiscal year 1987, it is the intention of the conferees that, in addition to the NATO countries, the four countries eligible for cooperative projects under section 27 will be Australia, Israel, Japan, and the Republic of Korea.

In adopting the amendment to section 27, the conferees intend in no way to alter the exclusive legislative jurisdiction of the Foreign Relations Committee of the Senate and the Foreign Affairs Committee of the House of Representatives over the Arms Export Control Act.

Acquisition and cross servicing agreements (sec. 1104)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1208) that would extend the terms of the NATO Mutual Support Act to countries with which the United States has defense alliances or conducts joint exercises, or which allow the stationing or positioning of U.S. forces or materiel, by authorizing the Secretary of Defense to enter into agreements with such countries for the acquisition and reciprocal provisions of logistical support, supplies and services. The Secretary may designate a country, after consultation with the Secretary of State, if he determines that the designation is in the national security interest of the United States.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the Committees on Armed Services in the Senate and the House of Representatives and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee and the House Foreign Affairs Committee 30 days prior to the designation of a non-NATO country for cross-servicing agreements. The conferees believe that the designation of such countries should be examined by the relevant committees on the Congress on a case-by-case basis. The conferees urge the Secretary of Defense to exercise caution in extending this authority outside of NATO. The conferees understand that for fiscal year 1987, this authority will be extended to acquisition and cross-servicing agreements with Egypt, Israel, Japan, and the Republic of Korea only.

Cooperative research and development with non-NATO allies and other friendly countries (sec. 1105)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1209) that would allot up to $40 million of funds authorized in section 201 of the Act for cooperative R&D projects with major non-NATO allies. The provision would define a "major non-NATO ally" as "a country with which the United States has a mutual security treaty or mutual security assistance treaty or a country which shares with the United States a mutual commitment to freedom and individual freedoms."

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House conferees felt the definition of non-NATO ally was too broad and vague. The House recedes with an amendment changing the definition to: "any country designated as an allied country by the Secretary of Defense with the concurrence of the Secretary of State," and with technical amendments.

TITLE XII—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

MANAGEMENT OF CERTAIN PROCUREMENT MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Contracts for overhaul, repair, and maintenance of naval vessels (sec. 1201)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 801) that would amend section 7799a of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of the Navy to consider all costs of performing overhaul, repair, or maintenance work on a combatant or escort naval vessel in an area outside the homeport of that vessel (including costs to the Navy that are subject to estimation before contract award) in the evaluation of bids or proposals for a contract to perform such work.

The House amendment would also require the Secretary of the Navy to award contracts for overhaul, repair, or maintenance work on naval vessels of six months or less duration to a contractor able to perform the work in the vessel's homeport, provided that adequate competition is available among firms able to perform the work in the vessel's homeport.

The House amendment would also repeal section 8104 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1986 (section 101(b) of Public Law 99-190), which provides that none of the funds available to the Department of the Navy may be used to enter into any contract for the overhaul, repair, or maintenance of any naval vessel on the West Coast of the United States which includes charges for interport differential as an evaluation factor for award.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to the House provision with an amendment. Before contract award the Secretary of the Navy would be required to consider the foreseeable costs of moving the ship and its crew from the homeport to the outside area and from the outside area back to the homeport at the completion of the contract work in the evaluation of bids or proposals for a contract to perform overhaul, maintenance, or repair on a naval vessel outside the vessel's homeport.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Handling of hazardous waste generated during repair or maintenance of naval vessels (sec. 1202)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 802) that would require that the Secretary of the Navy ensure that contracts entered into for repair or maintenance of a naval vessel include the following provisions.

(1) That types and amounts of hazardous wastes that are expected to be generated during performance of repair or maintenance be identified in the contract;

(2) That the contractor be responsible for the removal, handling, storage, transportation, and disposal of hazardous wastes generated during performance of repair or maintenance; and

(3) That the contractor be compensated for the duties listed in paragraph (2).

The House amendment would further provide the Secretary of the Navy shall renegotiate the contract if the contractor, during the performance of repair or maintenance under the contract, discovers hazardous wastes different in type or amount from those identified in the contract and if such hazardous wastes originated on the naval vessel on which the repair or maintenance is being performed, including any waste materials rendered hazardous as a result of the performance of the work required by the contract.

The House provision would also require each naval vessel, or Supervisor of Shipbuilding in lieu of each vessel, at or upon which hazardous wastes are produced or from which hazardous wastes are removed, to obtain an identification number in accordance with regulations issued by the Environmental Protection Agency.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to the House provision with two amendments. First, the Secretary of the Navy would be required to renegotiate the contract if the contractor, during performance of the contract, discovers hazardous wastes different in type or amount from those identified in the contract and if such hazardous wastes originated on the naval vessel on which the repair or maintenance is being performed.

Second, the conferees agreed to delete the provisions that would require naval vessels or Supervisors of Shipbuilding to obtain hazardous waste identification numbers.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Limitation on transfer of certain technical data packages (sec. 1203)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 803) that would authorize, under certain conditions, the use of Department of Defense appropriations for the transfer of a technical data package from any U.S. government-owned and operated defense plant manufacturing large caliber cannons to a friendly foreign government. It would further authorize such funds to be used to assist a foreign government in producing a defense item currently being manufactured or developed in such plants.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Requirment concerning transportation of members of the armed forces by chartered aircraft (sec. 1204)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 805) that would improve the procedures for ensuring the safety of passenger aircraft chartered by the Department of Defense for the transportation of military personnel. The provision would require airlines to meet certain safety requirements prior to contracting with the Department of Defense and would provide for a series of safety inspections after contract award and during the operation of chartered aircraft. The Secretary would also be required to establish a review board that would make recommendation on suspension and reinstatement of air carriers if involved in a fatal or serious accident or if found in violation of Federal Aviation Administration regulations.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes to the House with an amendment.

The House provision contained a section that would require a preflight safety inspection of all aircraft subject to this provision be conducted not more than 72 hours before each flight to ensure a high degree of flying safety. The Senate amendment would require a preflight safety inspection anytime during the operation of but not more than 72 hours before each internationally scheduled charter mission departing the United States and would require these same inspections for domestic charter missions to the greatest extent practical.

Fuel sources for heating systems on military installations (sec. 1205)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2181(a)) that would restrict the Secretary of a military department from converting a heating facility at any United States military facility in Europe from coal-fired to any other energy source unless that conversion is required by the host government or is life cylce cost effective. If the Secretary of the military department makes such a determination, conversion could not begin until 30 days after notification of Congress.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 806(c)) that would direct the Secretary of a military department to convert, where practical, from coal-fired heating plants to district heat utility systems at United States military facilities in the Federal Republic of Germany.

The House recedes.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2181(b)) that would require the Secretary of Defense, where he determines it to be cost effective, to use coal produced in the United States to operate heating facilities at military installations in the United States. In addition, the Secretary of Defense would be required to take such actions as may be necessary to implement the program for rehabilitation and conversion of current steam heating plants to coal burning facilities set forth in section 8110 of the Defense Appropriations Act, 1986 (as contained in section 101(b) of Public Law 99-190) and to make maximum use of private venture capital and long-term contracting. In achieving the coal consumption target of 1.6 million short tons coal a year over current levels set forth in section 8110, the Secretary of Defense is required to consume at least 300,000 short tons of anthracite coal. The Senate bill would also require the Department of Defense to purchase at least 300,000 tons of anthracite coal in fiscal year 1987. Finally, the Secretary of Defense would be required to make an annual report to Congress on his actions to implement the program set forth in section 8110.

Section 806(a) and (b) of the House amendment would amend section 2689 of title 10 United States Code to lift all restriction on the use of oil and gas for heating systems at military installations in the United States and repeals the mandatory coal consumption levels for new and replacement heating systems at military installations set forth in section 8110.

The conferees agreed to accept the House provision, but with the recognition of a continuing need to ensure secure sources of domestic energy, such as coal, in support of national security and defense readiness interests. Accordingly, the conferees direct the Department of Defense to pursue a vigorous program to increase the use of U.S. coal at its CONUS facilities wherever cost-effective. This program should make a maximum use of private venture capital, expertise and initiative through third party contracting to maximize benefits to both private and public interests.

The Senate recedes.

The Senate bill contained a related provision (sec. 2186B) that would amend section 2690 of title 10, United States Code, requiring that each new heating or cogeneration system that is installed or constructed at any military installation which requires 100 million

British thermal units input per hour or more shall have multiple fuel capability unless the Secretary of the military department concerned waives this requirement for the following reasons:

(1) Local restrictions, or

(2) Costs make the installation or construction of solid or dual fuel equipment infeasible, and

(3) He notifies the appropriate committees of Congress in writing of the waiver and the reasons for exercising such waiver authority.

The Senate recedes.

Defense Investigative Service investigation of special access program contractors (sec. 1206)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 808) that would require the Director of the Defense Investigative Service to conduct inspections of the classified documents control system at least every six months of each special access program contractor. It would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual unclassified report to Congress that would certify contractor compliance with established procedures, would describe failures to comply, and identify planned corrective action.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agreed the protection of classified material should be considered among the Department of Defense's highest priorities. While oversight of the security of classified material at most defense contractors is the responsibility of the Defense Investigative Service, for programs designated as requiring special access, oversight is retained within the military department responsible for such programs.

The Senate amendment would require the Defense Investigative Service conduct a one-time review of security administration of Department of Defense special access programs at all Department of Defense contractors involved in such programs. The review would include the frequency and adequacy of security inspections conducted by the Department of Defense of these contractors.

The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to provide an unclassified report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by May 1, 1987.

Finally, the amendment authorizes the Director of the Defense Investigative Service, after consultation with the Secretary of Defense, to conduct inspections of such programs as he deems appropriate, unless otherwise directed by the Secretary.

Contract goal for minorities (sec. 1207)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would provide for not less than ten percent of each of the amounts appropriated for the Department of Defense in procurement; research, development, test and evaluation; military contruction; and operations and maintenance to be set-aside for small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, historically Black colleges and universities; and minority institutions. It would provide for technical assistance to potential contractors, competitive procedures and advance payments. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit a report to Congress by May 1 of each year on the compliance with this section. The program would terminate in five years.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes to the House provision with amendments that would replace the mandated 10% set-aside with a goal of 5% of the total combined amount of contracts and subcontracts for the next three years. Further, the amendment would allow the Department of Defense to enter into contracts using less than full and open competitive procedures, but paying a price not to exceed the "fair market" value by more than 10%. The technical assistance program would be further defined to ensure that real strides would be made in assisting these firms.

Penalties would be imposed on any person found misrepresenting the status of a business under this section. They could receive a fine of not less than $10,000 or imprisonment of not less than one year, or both.

The Secretary would be directed to report to Congress twice a year on this program; once in May of each year on the progress made to date and again in October on the compliance with the goals for that fiscal year.

The conferees further agree that this program needs time to operate before a determination can be made as to its success or failure, and the conferees agree that the issue of mandating any specific amount of set aside or increasing the 5% goal from that agreed to in this act shall not be considered by them in the fiscal year 1987, 1988, 1989 Defense Authorization or Defense Appropriations bills or the Continuing Resolutions.

Manpower reports on major defense acquisition programs (sec. 1208)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 441) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives, at least 90 days in advance of a decision to proceed with full-scale engineering development or with production and deployment of a major weapon system, on the manpower requirements of the system.

The House amendment included no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment making the provision applicable only to decisions taken after December 31, 1986.

Economy and Efficiency

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Increase in threshold applicable to statutory contracting-out procedures (sec. 1221)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would amend section 502(d) of the Defense Authorization Act, 1981 (10 U.S.C. 2304 note) to raise the threshold for the performance of full cost studies from 40 to 50 or fewer Department of Defense civilian employees.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to raise the threshold for the performance of full cost studies to 45 or fewer Department of Defense civilian employees.

The House recedes with amendment.

Prohibition on contracts for performance of firefighting and security functions (sec. 1222)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1004) that would extend through fiscal year 1987 the current prohibition on the contracting out of firefighting functions currently being performed by Department of Defense civilians. The Senate provision would also require a report to Congress from the Department of Defense and United States Fire Administration reviewing the performance standards, inherently governmental activities within the firefighting function, and an estimate of cost savings associated with the contracting out of such functions over a five year period.

Section 807 of the House amendment would amend chapter 159 of title 10, United States Code, to permanently prohibit the contracting out of firefighting and security guard functions currently being performed by Department of Defense civilians except for:

(1) Contracts at locations outside the United States;

(2) Contracts at Government-owned but privately operated installations; and

(3) Contracts (or the renewal or contracts) in effect on September 24, 1983.

The conferees agreed to the House provision for a permanent prohibition on firefighting functions currently being performed by Department of Defense civilians. The conferees also agreed to extend the current prohibition on security guard functions currently being performed by Department of Defense civilians for one additional year with the provision that the Secretary of Defense may contract out new security guard functions if he determines that it will not have an adverse effect upon installation security, safety, and readiness. The conferees agreed to strike section 1233 of the Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145) and adopted the following language providing general policy guidance:

> Except as otherwise provided by law, the Secretary of Defense shall procure each supply or service necessary for or beneficial to the accomplishment of the authorized functions of the Department of Defense (other than functions which the Secretary of Defense determines must be performed by military or government personnel) from a source in the private sector if such a source can provide such supply or service to the Department at a cost that is lower (after including any cost differential required by law, executive order, or regulation) than the cost at which the Department can provide the same supply or service.

The Senate recedes with amendments.

Realistic and fair cost comparisons in determinations relating to contracting out the performance of certain Department of Defense functions (sec. 1223)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1005) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that all costs (including quality assurance, technical monitoring of performance, liability insurance, employee retirement and disability benefits, and all other overhead costs) considered in determinations relating to contracting out the performance of certain Department of Defense functions be realistic and fair.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to amend the Senate provision to read that the Secretary of Defense "shall" ensure that such costs be considered and are fair and realistic."

The House recedes with amendment.

Requirements relating to proposed increased utilization of civilian personnel (sec. 1224)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 422) that would prohibit conversion of any commercial or industrial type activity that is being performed by a private contractor on the date of enactment of this Act to performance by Department of Defense civilian employees except:

(1) In time of war, national emergency declared by Congress or the President, or upon a written declaration of the Secretary of Defense that such conversion is required in the interest of national security interests; or unless

(2) The Secretary of Defense notifies Congress of such conversion and provides a detailed cost study that compares all relevant direct and indirect costs of contractor and Department of Defense civilian performance of such activity over a five year period.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require that an estimate of costs of performance of any commercial or industrial activity (including workload) being performed by private contractors by more than 50 employees which is to be returned to in-house performance be prepared and that the Secretary of Defense transmit periodic compilations of these reports to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Prevailing wage provisions

Section 1002 of the Senate bill contained a provision that would exempt all military construction contracts of $250,000 or less from the provisions of the Davis Bacon Act (40 United States Code 276a(a)), which currently requires the Federal government to pay local prevailing wage rates on all contracts in excess of $2,000, and would prevent severing of contracts for the purpose of avoiding the proposed threshold.

Section 2715 of the House amendment contained a similar provision that would amend section 2852 of Title 10, United States Code, for fiscal year 1987 to raise the threshold for military construction and family housing contracts from $2,000 to $25,000; to prevent severing of contracts to avoid the new threshold; and to establish the private right to seek court enforcement of the contract splitting language.

The Senate recedes from its provision.

The House recedes from it provision.

The conferees agree to delete each of the differing House and Senate prevailing wage provisions, resulting in no change in current law.

TITLE XIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Financial Matters

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Transfer authority (sec. 1301)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1001) that would permit the transfer of an authorization made available in the fiscal year 1987 Defense Authorization Act to any other authorization made available in the Act upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such a transfer would be in the national interest. The provision would provide the authority for reprogrammings involving the transfer of authorization between authorizations as set out in bill language. The authority to transfer could only be used to provide authorization for higher priority items than the items from which authorization was transferred and could not be used to provide authorization for an item that was denied authorization by the Congress. The Secretary of Defense would be required to promptly notify the Congress of transfers. The total amount of transfers would be limited to $2 billion.

The House provision would also provide that a transfer made pursuant to this section would transfer the obligation limitation associated with the authorization.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

General authorization for civilian pay contingencies (sec. 1302)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1201) that would place contingencies on civilian pay and other matters.

The House amendment contained similar provisions (sec. 201(b) and section 301(b)).

The House recedes.

Foreign currency (sec. 1303)

The Senate bill and the House amendment each contained a provision (sec. 306 and sec. 202, respectively) that would authorize to be appropriated $3.5 million for the purchase of foreign currencies to carry out programs for the Department of Defense.

The conferees have placed this provision in the general provision section.

Special Defense Acquisition Fund (sec. 1304)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1213) that would increase to $1.1 billion the capitalization of the Special Defense Acquisition Fund (SDAF). The current ceiling is $1.0 billion.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees recommend increasing the SDAF ceiling to $1.07 billion.

The contract authority granted in this section is available only in such amounts as provided in appropriations acts.

Limitation on obligation of fiscal year 1985 and fiscal year 1986 funds (sec. 1305)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 4007) that would provide that $4.4 billion of funds appropriated for fiscal years 1985 and 1986 and which remain available for obligation may not be obligated.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to a provision that would limit the obligation of approximately $4.4 billion of funds. The amount of the limitation would be reduced, on an account year basis, by rescissions made in appropriations bills for fiscal year 1987.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Limitations on obligations contained in Titles I–III (sec. 1308)

The House amendment contained limitations on obligations that could be incurred from various budget accounts for fiscal year 1987 in sections 101(b), 102(a)(2), 102(b)(2), 102(c)(1), 102(c)(2), 102(d)(2), 102(e)(2), 103(b), 104(b), 106(b), 201(c), and 301(c).

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to include obligation limitations, where consistent with conference agreements, as contained in the House amendment but included a provision that would provide that:

(1) The limitations would apply only to funds directly appropriated or funds transferred by provisions in appropriations acts that explicitly identify the funds transferred; and

(2) The limitations would not apply to obligations from an account that would be reimbursed from other accounts or from sources outside the government.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Codification of requirement for periodic reports on unobligated balances (sec. 1307)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would amend section 1407 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145) to require that the required reports on unobligated balances be submitted each year not later than March 1, April 25, and September 1.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 932) that would amend chapter 131 of title 10, United States Code, by inserting a new section containing the text of section 1407 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986.

The conferees agreed to the House provision, with an amendment to require that the required reports be submitted each year not later than February 1, April 25, and August 15.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Reports on inflation budgeting (sec. 1307 and sec. 1308)

The House amendment contained five provisions relating to budgeting for defense inflation.

Section 928 would require that the President's budget submission include separate identification in each budget account of amounts requested to offset inflation: limit the total request for inflation on all defense purchases to the amount that would be estimated with the GNP deflator projections in the President's budget; require separate identification of inflation amounts by account for the two fiscal years immediately preceding the fiscal year for which the budget request is made; require justification for use of any inflation index other than the GNP deflator; require the Secretary of Defense to identify the effect of any Congressional programmatic adjustments on inflation estimates; establish a defense inflation fund in the Treasury to contain appropriations for inflation on Selected Acquisition Report programs and mandate reporting requirements for the funds; and limit disbursements from the fund to inflation costs as determined under the economic price adjustment clauses that would be required by section 929 of the House amendment. This provision would become effective with respect to fiscal year 1988.

Section 929 would require the use of economic price adjustment clauses in contracts for major Selected Acquisition Report programs and include limitations on the terms of such clauses. This provision would become effective with respect to contracts entered into 180 days after enactment.

Section 930 would require periodic reports from GAO on the implementation of sections 928 and 929, and section 931 would require a GAO report on a financial management system for DOD.

Section 939 would preclude the Secretary of Defense from obligating or expending appropriations in excess of the amount needed to carry out a program and, more specifically, preclude the Secretary from obligating appropriations for a program unless a contract relating to the program had been entered into within three years after the appropriation became available.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The conferees agreed to require two reports from the Secretary of Defense in order to obtain information on inflation estimates, appropriations to offset estimated inflation, and actual inflation experience in the defense budget and defense contracts.

The Secretary of Defense would be required to report annually on amounts appropriated for the fiscal year in progress, and the preceding two fiscal years, to offset estimated inflation in each budget account and to compare amounts appropriated for inflation with estimated requirements at the time the report is submitted. In addition, the report would include an explanation of all variances between amounts originally appropriated for inflation and current estimates of inflation requirements in each account and specifically identify the disposition of any excess appropriations and the source of funds used to make up for any deficient appropriations.

In addition, the Secretary of Defense would be required to report on February 1, 1987, on the current incidence and types of economic price adjustment clauses in contracts for weapon systems subject to Selected Acquisition Report reporting requirements. The Secretary would also be required to provide information on the methodology used in determining estimated and actual inflation for defense budget accounts and on actual inflation in contracts for weapon systems subject to Selected Acquisition Report reporting requirements that contain economic price adjustment clauses. Finally, the Secretary would be required to compare inflation in such contracts with inflation for major weapon system budget accounts determined using the methodology as reported by the Secretary. The conferees expect this report to reflect a careful analysis using numerical examples taken from actual contracts and historical experience with actual inflation indices.

The Senate recedes on sections 928 and 929 of the House amendment with amendments. The House recedes on sections 930, 931 and 939.

Debt collection (sec. 1309)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into contracts for collection services to recover indebtedness owed to the United States Government, arising out of activities related to the Department of Defense and delinquent more than three months. The authority to enter into such contracts would be subject to the availability of appropriations. In any such contract, the collector would be required to submit a status report to the Secretary on his success in collecting such debt at least every six months.

The House amendment also would authorize the Secretary to report any such debt of more than $100, which is delinquent more than 31 days, to consumer reporting services.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Contingency reduction in authorization levels (sec. 1310)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 4005) that would shift the pay date for military personnel from the last day of the month to the first day of the following month effective in September, 1987, thereby lowering the outlays projected for fiscal year 1987 by approximately $2.9 billion.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment. The conferees agreed to a provision that would reduce authorizations in this bill by $6.6 billion unless an act or resolution making appropriations for the Department of Defense implements at least one of two actions that would lower outlays in fiscal year 1987. The authorization reduction of $6.6 billion would reduce estimated outlays by $2.9 billion. The two actions are (a) a delay in the pay date for military personnel from the last day of the month to the first day of the following month, or (b) a reduction in the current level of progress payments for defense contractors.

In the absence of one of the actions, the provision would:

Reduce the military pay raise in the conference report from 3% on January 1 to 2%;

Reduce the conference report authorization for procurement by $3.0 billion;

Reduce the conference report authorization for RDT&E by $1.2 billion;

Reduce the conference report authorization for O&M and Stock Funds by $1.6 billion;

Reduce the conference report authorization for military construction and family housing by $166 million; and

Reduce the conference report authorization for Department of Energy National Security programs by $167 million.

Other than reducing the military pay raise from 3% to 2%, the contingency reductions would not be allocated to specific programs. The reductions would simply be general reductions to the Procurement, R&D, O&M, Military Construction and the Department of Energy titles of the bill.

The conferees stress that they adopted a contingent redcution because of the unrealistic budget authority to outlay ratio implied in the spending targets incorporated in the fiscal year 1987 budget resolution. The budget resolution requires unachievable reductions in outlays in light of the target for budget authority. The conferees found it impossible to meet both the budget authority and outlay targets without imposing unacceptable reductions on readiness accounts or resorting to gimmicks.

The conferees decided not to use the budgetary gimmick of delaying the military pay data to meet the budget resolution targets. A contingent reduction in authorization levels was adopted by the conferees to illustrate the types of reductions in defense spending that would be necessary to meet the fiscal year 1987 outlay target for National Defense in the fiscal year 1987 budget resolution. The conferees expressed the hope that the budge resolutions for fiscal year 1988 and beyond will have a more realistic combination of budget authority and outlay targets that do not force Congress to choose between disproportionate reductions in faster-spending personnel and readiness accounts and the use of budgetary gimmicks that make no meaningful contribution to deficit control and which, in fact, may exacerbate the problem of deficit control in the future.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Reductions in authorizations

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 4006) that would reduce authorization contained in other sections of the bill.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees considered the authorization reductions contained in section 4006 in agreements on the various titles and incorporated the reductions as appropriate in the authorizations provided.

The House recedes.

Report on Army Debt Collection

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would require the Secretary of the Army to report to the Congress within 60 days of the date of enactment on actions he had taken to collect debts reported in a December 13, 1985 General Accounting Office report.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Limitations on certain spending authority

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1234) that would subject certain specified spending authorities in the Senate bill to the availability of appropriations.

The House amendment included a similar provision (sec. 1003) that would subject any entitlement provision of the House amendment to the availability of appropriations.

The House recedes. The conference report subjects certain specified provisions to the availability of appropriations on a section-by-section basis, as appropriate.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Special Operations Forces (sec 1311)

The conferees determined that there are serious deficiencies in the capabilities of the United States to conduct special operations and to engage in low intensity conflicts. The conferees recognized that the current Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff has brought attention to these deficiencies and has been actively seeking effective solutions. Although lauding the efforts of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the conferees determined that legislation is necessary to overcome the unending resistance in the Department of Defense to necessary organizational and other reforms of special operations forces.

The conferees carefully considered the degree of specificity to include in this provision. Although several elements of this provision are more specific than may normally be expected in legislation, the conferees determined that the seriousness of the problems and the inability or unwillingness of the Department of Defense to solve them left no alternative. The action of the conference committee is fully consistent with the power provided in the Constitution for the Congress to "provide for the common Defence," The conferees determined that the failure to act forcefully in this area and at this time would be inconsistent with the responsibilities of the Congress to the American people.

Congressional Findings

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(a)) that would present 15 congressional findings concerning deficiencies in the special operations capabilities of the United States.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Assistant Secretary of Defense

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(e)) that would establish the position of Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special

Operations and Low Intensity Conflict and specify the responsibility of such official.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1011(b)) that would establish the position of Director of the National Special Operations Agency and specify that such official has the rank of an Assistant Secretary of Defense.

The House recedes with an amendment to revise the principal duty of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict.

Unified Combatant Command

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(b)) that would:

(1) Require the President to establish a unified combatant command for special operations forces;

(2) Specify the grade of general or admiral for the commander of such command;

(3) Specify that the commander of such command shall be responsible for and shall have the authority necessary to conduct all affairs of such command relating to special operations forces, including nine specified functions;

(4) Require the commander of such command to be fully prepared to assume operational command of selected special operations if directed to do so;

(5) Assign to such command all active and reserve special operations forces of the Army, Navy, and Air Force based in the United States unless otherwise directed by the National Command Authorities;

(6) Require the President to assign special operations forces located outside the United States to the unified combatant command in whose geographic area such forces are stationed;

(7) Require special operations missions to be conducted under the operational command of the unified combatant commands in whose geographic areas such missions are to be conducted; and

(8) Require the Secretary of Defense to substantially augment the capabilities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense to provide effective policy and resource oversight of special operations forces.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec 1011(b)) that would:

(1) Establish a National Special Operations Agency;

(2) Require the Director of such agency to be appointed from civilian life by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate;

(3) Specify that the Director reports directly to the Secretary of Defense and is the principal adviser to the Secretary and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff for all matters pertaining to special operations forces and activities;

(4) Specify the authority of the Director;

(5) Specify the titles, qualifications, and selection of three Deputy Directors of such agency;

(6) Require all special operations forces to be assigned to such agency;

(7) Authorize the Secretary of Defense to reassign forces from such agency to a combatant command;

(8) Require forces assigned to such agency to be organized as the Joint Special Operations Command;

(9) Require such command to be commanded by the Deputy Director for Operations of such agency;

(10) Require the Director of such agency to assign to each unified combatant command, subject to the approval of the commander of such command, an officer to serve as the theater special operations commander;

(11) Provide the commander of a unified combatant command with command and operational control of special operations forces assigned or allocated to such command;

(12) Provide that such operational control is to be exercised through the theater special operations, commander, except in the case of elements of the Navy assigned to fleet operations;

(13) Assign responsibility to the Director of such agency for the preparation, justification, and execution of budgets for such agency; and

(14) Authorize the Director of such agency to select, train, and assign the military and civilian personnel of such agency.

The House recedes with amendments to:

(1) Add to chapter 6 of title 10 a new section 167 dealing with the unified combatant command for special operations forces (hereinafter referred to as the "special operations command");

(2) Require all active and reserve special operations forces stationed in the United States to be assigned to the special operations command unless otherwise directed by the Secretary of Defense;

(3) Delete the requirement that the President assign special operations forces located outside the United States to the unified combatant command in whose geographic area such forces are stationed;

(4) Specify additional responsibilities of the commander of such command to conduct specialized courses of instruction for commissioned and noncommissioned officers; to establish priorities for special operations forces requirements; to acquire, as well as develop, special operations-peculiar equipment; to acquire special operations-peculiar material, supplies, and services; and to formulate, as well as submit, requirements for intelligence support;

(5) Require the commander of such command to monitor the preparedness of special operations forces assigned to other unified combatant commands to carry out assigned missions;

(6) Provide that the separate budget proposal for such command is to include funding for the development and acquisition of special operations-peculiar equipment and the acquisition of other materiel, supplies, or services that are peculiar to special operations activities;

(7) Require that, to the extent that programs and budgets approved by the Congress for special operations forces may be revised, such programs and budgets may be revised only by the

Secretary of Defense after consulting with the commander of the special operations command; and

(8) Delete the requirement that the Secretary of Defense substantially augment the capabilities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense to provide effective policy and resource oversight of special operations forces.

The action of the conference committee reflected the view that the organizational arrangement for special operations forces should be institutionalized to provide long-term stability for the development of required mission capabilities. The confereees determined that the most effective organizational arrangement is the establishment of a unified combatant command for special operations forces.

The conferees agreed that the command authority provided to combatant commanders by the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986 would substantially enhance the ability of the commander of the special operations command to correct deficiencies in the special operations capabilities of the United States. At the same time, the conferees agreed that the commander of the special operations command needs to have additional authority to control or influence resource decisions and the execution of such decisions. For this reason, the conferees agreed to require that the separate budget proposal (which is to be submitted for each combatant command by the Secretary of Defense under section 166 of title 10) for this command include funding for development and acquisition of special operations-peculiar equipment and acquisition of other material, supplies, or services that are peculiar to special operations activities. The conferees intend that the budget, after it is enacted into law, would be executed by the commander of the special operations command.

To further strengthen the resource role of the commander of the special operations command, the conferees agreed to require that authorized revisions of the programs and budgets approved by the Congress for special operations forces be made only by the Secretary of Defense after consulting with the commander. This mechanism is designed to protect special operations forces funding from reallocation by the Military Departments. The conferees determined that this mechanism was necessary to counter the low priority that the Military Departments have traditionally assigned to special operations forces funding and the tendency of the Departments to shift such funding to meet their higher priorities.

With respect to the assignment of forces to the special operations command, the conferees agreed to require all active and reserve special operations forces stationed in the United States to be so assigned unless otherwise directed by the Secretary of Defense. The conferees believed that special operations forces now assigned to the U.S. Atlantic Command and U.S. Pacific Command and stationed in the United States should continue to be assigned to those commands. Although holding this belief, the conferees determined that the law need not specify such assignments. Section 167(c) of title 10 (as added by subsection (b)) would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make these assignments if he determines that they are appropriate.

In listing ten specified functions for the commander of the special operations command, the conferees were especially mindful of the critical requirement to improve and update currently lagging strategy, doctrine, and tactics for the employment of special operations forces. Of equal concern to the conferees is the imperative to fix responsibility in one place for training of all assigned special operations forces and for the conduct of specialized courses of instruction (including supplemental language and area training) for commissioned and noncommissioned officers relating to special operations activities.

The conferees determined that there are critical deficiencies in current intelligence support for special operations forces. Accordingly, the conferees agreed that the Secretary of Defense should ensure that the intelligence requirements formulated and submitted by the commander of the special operations command receive sufficient priority to enable the effective conduct of operations.

Intelligence and Special Activities, Regulations, and Definitions

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1011(b)) that would:

(1) specify certain intelligence and special activities of the National Special Operations Agency that would not be authorized by this section;

(2) require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations for the activities of such agency to permit operational security for forces and activities while providing effective oversight, accountability, and assignment of responsibility in the execution of such operational security measures;

(3) define special operations forces; and

(4) define special operations activities.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with amendments to specify certain intelligence and special activities of the special operations command that would not be authorized by this section, to require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations for the activities of such command, to revise the definition of special operations forces, and to specify special operations activities.

In the absence of common Service definitions regarding the composition and activities of special operations units, the conferees determined that clarification of the terms "special operations forces" and "special operations activities" was necessary. Accordingly, the conferees agreed to identify special operations forces and specify special operations activities. The principal purpose of clarifying these terms is to establish the extent of the responsibility of the commander of the special operations command for strategy, doctrine, tactics, resources, and activities.

Major Force Program Category

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(b)(6)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to create a major force program category for special operations forces in the Five-Year Defense Plan of the Department of Defense.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1011(b)).

The House recedes with an amendment to provide that the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, with the advice and assistance of the commander of the special operations command, shall provide overall supervision of the preparation and justification of program recommendations and budget proposals to be included in such major force program category.

Theater Special Operations Commanders

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(c)) that would require the commanders of the theater special operations commands assigned to the U.S. European Command and the U.S. Pacific Command to be of general or flag officer grade.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment to also require the commanders of other theater special operations commands designated by the Secretary of Defense to be of general or flag officer grade.

Interagency Coordination

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(d)) that would require the President to establish within the National Security Council a "Board for Unconventional Warfare."

The House amendment contains no similar provision.

The House recedes with amendments to establish a "Board for Low Intensity Conflict" and to codify this requirement in section 101 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 402).

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(e)) that would express the sense of the Congress that the President should designate a Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs for Unconventional Warfare.

The House amendment contained no similar provison.

The House recedes with an amendment to title this position as Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs for Low Intensity Conflict.

Special Operations Forces Master Plan

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(f)) that would require programs and activities under the Special Operations Forces Master Plan to be paid for with funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for such purposes.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Reports to the Congress

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(g)) that would require the President to submit by March 1, 1987 a report to the Senate and House Committees on Armed Services on the actions that have been taken to correct deficiencies identified in special operations capabilities and to implement the Senate provision.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with amendments to require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Congress not later than 120 days after the date of enactment a report on the plans of the Secretary for implementation of this section, to require the President to submit his report to the Congress (instead of the Armed Services Committees), to revise the matters to be included in the President's report, and to require the President's report to be submitted not later than one year after the date of enactment.

Transition

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1224(b)) that would require the President to establish the unified combatant command for special operations forces within 180 days of the date of enactment.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1011(d)) that would:

(1) Require the nomination of the Director of the National Special Operations Agency not later than 30 days after the date of enactment;

(2) Require establishment of the National Special Operations Agency not later than six months after the date of enactment;

(3) Authorize the Secretary of Defense to spend unobligated funds to carry out the House provision; and

(4) Require the Secretary of Defense to provide that the initial assignment of personnel to the National Special Operations Agency be made from headquarters staffs whose functions are rendered redundant by the establishment of such agency.

The House recedes with an amendment to authorize the Secretary of Defense to spend unobligated funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal years before fiscal year 1987 in such sums as are necessary to carry out this section and section 167 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (b)), during fiscal year 1987.

Special operations airlift (sec. 1312)

The conferees agreed that elimination of special operations airlift deficiencies is deserving of the highest priority within the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained authorization of $268.3 million for service life extension of HH–53 aircraft, conversion of CH/HH–53 aircraft to PAVE LOW-enhanced configuration, and application of blade- and boom-folding modifications to PAVE LOW helicopters.

The Senate bill contained authorization for $55.0 million for HH–53 service life extension only.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to provide $106.5 million for the purpose of PAVE LOW modification. The conferees agreed to defer consideration of the application of blade- and boom-folding modification to PAVE LOW helicopters, without prejudice, until consideration of the fiscal year 1988 authorization request. Similarly, the conferees agreed to defer consideration of the House authorization of $20 million for tactical-upgrade modifications for forward-deployed HH–3 helicopters without prejudice and direct that the Air Force maintain these special operations/combat rescue aircraft in support of the unified combatant commands, with adequate logistics and modification resources, until their replacement overseas by new joint-service HH/MH–60 helicopters.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would require a joint-services development program for the HH-60/MH-60 special operations/combat rescue helicopter, designating the Air Force as executive agent.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Army to perform as executive agent for the HH/MH-60 program, require a single airframe capable of both land and shipboard operation for the Army, Navy and Air Force, and direct that there be maximum practicable commonality between HH/MH-60 and MH/47 avionics.

PAYMENT OF CERTAIN EXPENSES WITH RESPECT TO DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION ADOPTED

Authority to pay expenses of developing countries for participation in combined military exercises (sec. 1321)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1216) that would provide authority for the Secretary of Defense to pay the expenses of a developing country participating with the United States in a combined military exercise.

The House amendment has no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would allow such reimbursement, in the amount not to exceed $1.6 million in fiscal year 1987 or $13.4 million in the period of fiscal years 1987 through 1991.

Authority to pay certain expenses of defense personnel of foreign countries (sec. 1322)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1217) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to pay the travel, subsistence and similar personal expenses of defense personnel of developing countries in connection with the attendance of such personnel at bilateral or regional meetings where their practicipation is determined to be in the national security interest of the United States. This authority currently exists for defense personnel from Latin America only.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with amendment that would (1) impose a spending cap of $800,000 per year for fiscal years 1987, 1988, and 1989; (2) add a reporting requirement, and (3) grant the authority for a three-year period only.

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Army National Guard Reporting (sec. 1331)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1238) that would require that the Secretary of Defense require the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to develop and implement procedures to monitor the backlog of maintenance and repair projects of Army National Guard armories.

The House amendment contained no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes.

Submarine overhaul study (sec. 1332)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1235) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to conduct a study as to the desirability and feasibility of applying production line techniques for the overhaul of *Los Angeles* class submarines.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on landing area for AV-8B harrier aircraft on Iowa class battleships (sec. 1333)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Congress within six months of the enactment of this Act a report on the feasibility and benefits of removing the rear turret from an *Iowa* class bettleship to create a landing area for AV-8B Harrier aircraft.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report of cleanup of National Presto Industries (sec. 1334)

The House amendment contained a provision (Sec. 1052) that directed the Secretary of Defense to investigate and report to the committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on the sources, origin, and responsibility for the hazardous waste contamination at the National Presto Industries, Eau Claire, Wisconsin. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Technical corrections (sec. 1341-1342)

The House amendment contained two provisions (sec. 4002 and 4003) that would effect technical corrections in the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525), and the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145).

The Senate bill included no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes.

Clerical amendments (sec. 1343)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 4001) that would effect clerical amendments in titles 10, 14, and 37, United States Code.

The Senate bill included no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes.

MISCELLANEOUS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Limitation on sources of funds for Nicaraguan Democratic Resistance (sec. 1351)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1044) that would restrict the source of funds for reprogramming to provide aid to the Contras to the procurement accounts. Operation and maintenance funds could not be used as the source of the reprogramming. In addition, not more than 10 percent of the total amount could be taken from ammunition procurement accounts. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit a report to Congress describing the source of these funds.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would prohibit the use of any funds from the ammunition procurement accounts.

Prohibition on shuttle (sec. 1352)

The conferees agreed to include a provision in the conference agreement that would prohibit the use of defense budget function 050 funds for the procurement of the fourth shuttle orbiter. This action is taken in view of overall fiscal pressures on the defense budget sector.

Reporting of terrorist threats (sec. 1353)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1219) that would require the Secretary of Defense to instruct appropriate officials of the Department of Defense to take such action as may be necessary to ensure that credible, time-sensitive intelligence concerning potential terrorist threats be promptly reported to the headquarters or office of Department of Defense concerned. This intelligence should be reported through the most appropriate and expeditious communications network available to the Department of Defense.

The provision would also exempt the Secretary of Defense from the requirement to issue these instructions if he determines that such instructions would be inappropriate or unwise and notifies Congress of that determination before March 1, 1987.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Use of marine mammals for national defense purposes (sec. 1354)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would provide that notwithstanding the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Commerce and after consultation with the Marine Mammal Commission, may authorize the taking of not more than 25 marine mammals each year for national defense purposes.

The House amendment would also provide that any mammal taken under this authority shall be captured, supervised, cared for, transported, and deployed in a humane manner consistent with conditions established by the Secretary of Commerce.

The House amendment would further provide that a mammal may not be taken if such mammal is determined to be a member of an endangered or threatened species under section 4 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Reimbursement for incidental expenses incurred while providing voluntary services (sec. 1355)

The House amendment (sec. 1034) contained a provision that would authorize the Secretaries of the military departments to reimburse incidental expenses incurred by volunteers providing services as ombudsmen or for a family service center program.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that reimbursements made to volunteers may only be paid with nonappropriated funds.

Defense of legal malpractice suits (sec. 1356)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1035) that would provide for the United States to defend and be the exclusive defendant in a legal malpractice claim against a lawyer acting in the scope of employment with the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill included no similar provision.

The Senate recedes. This provision is not intended to create a new cause of action. It is merely intended to provide protection for Department of Defense lawyers similar to that provided for doctors in section 1089 of title 10, United States Code.

Off-post rental housing lease indemnity pilot program (sec. 1357)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would establish a pilot program under which the service Secretaries could guarantee to compensate certain landlords who rent to military members in the event the members damage the rental property. Such compensation would be in lieu of the landlords requiring the members to pay a security deposit.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

Wage rate for certain Corps of Engineers employees (sec. 1358)

Section 1037 of the House amendment would require that electric powerplant controllers and powerplant shift operators assigned to the Soo Locks Power Plant in the Detroit District in the North Central Region of the United States Army Corps of Engineers be paid wages determined in the same manner as employees paid from the Special Power Rate Schedules.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Reimbursement for transferred Defense Industrial Reserve Equipment (sec. 1359)

Section 1043 of the House amendment would require the military departments to reimburse the Defense Industrial Reserve for the "full cost (including direct and indirect costs) of storage, repair, maintenance, and allocated overhead" of property transferred to such departments from the Defense Industrial Reserve.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Extension of exemption for polygraph test program (sec. 1360)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would amend section 1221(e) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 727):

(1) By striking out "cryptologic" and inserting in lieu thereof "cryptographic", and

(2) By exempting from the test program and polygraph examination conducted under the authorization granted by the Secretary of Defense on August 31, 1982, on a person in a national program—

(A) Which has as its purpose the collection of specialized intelligence through reconnaissance;

(B) Which is under the purview of the Director of Central Intelligence; and

(C) For which a polygraph examination was established as a condition for participation in such programs before October 1, 1985.

The provision would limit the number of polygraph examinations that could be conducted during fiscal year 1987 so as not to exceed the number conducted pursuant to said authorization during fiscal year 1986.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Facilities for the detention of certain aliens (sec. 1361)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1223) directing the Secretary of Defense to transmit to the Attorney General a list of facilities which would be suitable for use for the detention of the Marielito criminal aliens together with information on costs.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes, with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives any suitable facilities not otherwise needed for Department of Defense activities.

Correctional facilities at Fort Riley, Kansas (sec. 1362)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1236) that would prohibit the correctional facilities at Fort Riley, Kansas from being closed, transferred or relocated unless the Secretary of Defense informed the Congress of such an intent and 180 days had elapsed.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Minuteman education program (sec. 1363)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would restrict obligation of graduate educational funds under the Minuteman Education Program unless specific contractual requirements were met.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from altering the source of education services for the program during fiscal year 1987 without first advising the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives of the reasons for altering the source of those services.

Treatment of Communist countries (sec. 1364)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1233) that would amend the Foreign Missions Act by requiring the Secretary of State to impose on members of foreign missions and their dependents of Soviet bloc countries in the United States limitations and restrictions equivalent to those imposed by the Soviet Union. The Soviet bloc countries included Bulgaria, Cuba, Czechoslovakia, the German Democratic Republic, Hungary, Poland, and Rumania. The restrictions were also applicable to government activities involving international trade and instrumentalities of these foreign missions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agreed that espionage activities by the Soviet Union and its allies in the United States are a grave threat to the national security of the United States, and that the United States must begin to act vigorously to counter the espionage activities of these countries. The conferees believe, however, that prior to enacting any measures into law, the President should submit to the chairmen of the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the chairmen of the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives a report prepared under the direction of the Secretary of State in close cooperation with the Director of Central Intelligence, the Secretary of Defense and the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. This report should describe the espionage activities of the Soviet Union and certain other countries in the United States and assess the impact of such activities. The report should further assess how these countries use the relative freedom of access they have in the United States and should include an analysis of how the principle of diplomatic reciprocity affects the security interests of the United States. The report also should propose to Congress measures that would be effective in curtailing espionage against the United States. The report should be issued not later than March 1, 1987 and should be submitted in both classified and unclassified versions.

Such measures as the Congress deems appropriate should be enacted in the Foreign Relations Authorization Act for fiscal years 1988 and 1989.

In preparing this report, the conferees emphasize that the Office of Foreign Missions of the Department of State not be used for the conduct of or assessment of intelligence activities.

Civil Air Patrol (sec. 1365)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 4004) that would amend section 9441(b)(9) of title 10, United States Code, that relates to activities of the Civil Air Patrol. Specifically, section 4004 would permit the Civil Air Patrol to improve major equipment items that are obtained by other means than through the Department of Defense excess property program. Current law precludes the Civil Air Patrol from modernizing equipment that is obtained through donation or other means of acquisition.

The Senate bill contains no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Amendment of Military Selective Service Act to provide eligibility for benefits to certain persons who fail to register (sec. 1366)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would bar any person born since 1960 who has failed to fulfill a requirement to register with the Selective Service System from working with any firm under a Department of Defense contract or subcontract. The House amendment also contained a provision (sec. 4008) that would bar the Federal government from denying any Federal benefit to any non-registrant who was honorably discharged from the Armed Forces or who was permanently disabled.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The House recedes on section 1051.

The Senate recedes on section 4008 with an amendment that would clarify that a non-registrant is not to be denied any Federal benefit if he can demonstrate that his failure to register was not knowing or willful. The conferees added this provision in order not to penalize an individual with an obvious disqualifying handicap, such as total paralysis of the limbs or an individual who has been honorably discharged from the armed services. Under the law, such an individual is required to register but the conferees did not believe it would be fair to extend this provision to an individual who honestly believed he did not have an obligation to register because of such a handicap or because he was a member of the armed forces. At the same time, the conferees do not intend for this provision in any way to provide an option for self-classification. For example, the conferees do not intend that a person who knowingly failed to register because he viewed himself as a conscientious objector would meet the standards of the conference provision as someone whose failure to register was not knowing or willful. Established procedures for classification, which follows registration, are the only means for determining conscientious objector status. Total paralysis or the existence of an honorable discharge certificate, on the other hand, are objective factors that could lead one to believe, albeit erroneously, that he was not required to register.

Correction of effects of contamination at Rocky Mountain Arsenal (sec. 1367)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1036) that would direct that any monies awarded to the United States, as a result of a judgment or settlement in the litigation concerning the effects of the contamination at the Rocky Mountain Arsenal, be made available to the Secretary of the Army for the exclusive purpose of correcting the effects of the contamination. The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Sense of Congress regarding death of Lt. Col. Arthur D. Nicholson, Junior (sec. 1368)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1049) that would express the sense of the Congress that (1) the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics should apologize for and renounce the murder of Lt. Col. Nicholson and should indemnify the family of Lt. Col. Nicholson financially; and (2) the President should name the East-West control point between the American and Soviet sectors in Berlin, currently known as Checkpoint Charlie, in honor of Lt. Col. Nicholson.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to an amendment to modify the House provision by deleting the section renaming Checkpoint Charlie.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Status of members of Commission on Merchant Marine and Defense; extension of report deadline and availability of appropriations (sec. 1369)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 821) that would amend section 1536 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525) to provide that members of the Commission on Merchant Marine and Defense shall not be considered to be Federal employees for certain purposes, to extend the deadline for reports of the Commission and to extend the availability of funds appropriated to the Commission.

The House amendment would further require that the President make the required appointments to the Commission before the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to that portion of the House provision that establishes a time limit for presidential appointments to the Commission. The remainder of the House provision was enacted in Public Law 99-426.

The Senate recedes.

Nuclear non-proliferation information (sec. 1370)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would amend the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-242) to include the Department of Defense among those executive departments and agencies required to keep certain congressional committees informed of their activities with respect to nuclear non-proliferation. The Senate bill would also provide that, upon request, the Secretary of Defense have access to all information regarding nuclear proliferation matters that the Secretary of State or Secretary of Energy has or is entitled to have.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to the Senate provision with an amendment that the access granted to the Secretary of Defense by the provision would not apply to any intra-departmental document or portion thereof that solely provides internal, confidential advice on policy concerning the conduct of interagency deliberations on nuclear proliferation matters.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees recognize that nuclear proliferation is, by its very nature, a national security problem. The prupose of this provision is to assure that the Secretary of Defense is fully informed on nuclear proliferation matters and has access to national security information of legitimate interest to him.

The conferees intend that the information to be provided under this provision include all information necessary for the Secretary of Defense to discharge fully his national security responsibilities in the area of nuclear proliferation. It includes intelligence information, documents, and cables pertaining to nuclear proliferation matters, including agreements for nuclear cooperation and negotiations leading thereto, subsequent arrangements, as well as all other information available to the Secretaries of State and Energy dealing with nuclear proliferation diplomacy and initiatives of the United States.

Because of concerns that this provision might permit access to internal information that is inappropriate, not needed, or beyond the legitimate national security interests of the Secretary of Defense, the conferees make clear their intent that the information be provided under this provision does not and is not meant to include inter-departmental documents or portions of documents within the Departments of State or Energy that solely provide internal, confidential policy advice to the Secretaries of those Departments about the conduct of interagency deliberations or other policy advice of an internal, confidential nature that is not related to the national security responsibilities of the Secretary of Defense.

Nuclear winter study and report (sec. 1371)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3302) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a comprehensive study on various phenomena associated with nuclear winter and to report to the President and the Congress not later than November 1, 1987 on the results. The House provision also provides that upon submission of this report the Secretary of Defense shall contract with the National Academy of Sciences for an independent evaluation of the report and for a subsequent report on the findings to the Secretary of Defense and the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than April 1, 1988.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Transfer of two naval vessels to Taiwan (sec. 1372)

The conferees agreed to a provision that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to sell two patrol gunboats of the *Tacoma* class to the Coordination Council for North American Affairs, representing the people on Taiwan.

The sale would be subject to such terms and conditions as the President may require, shall be for a price not less than the value in U.S. dollars of the vessels involved, and any expenses incident to the transfer would be charged to the purchaser.

The transfer authority would expire two years after the date of enactment of this Act.

Drug interdiction efforts by DOD (sec. 1373)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1218(a)) that would require the National Drug Enforcement Policy Board to report on the manner and extent to which the Department of Defense should be involved in drug enforcement activities.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment requiring the President to submit to Congress, not later than 180 days after enactment, a comprehensive program for drug interdiction and enforcement, including the appropriate role of the military in such a program. The conferees believe that such a comprehensive program, coordinating the authority and mission of the various agencies involved in drug enforcement, is essential. The apparent confusion and conflict among the drug agencies has been detrimental to the United States government's drug enforcement efforts to date.

The amendment also modifies section 374 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to provide such assistance in the form of manpower or equipment as he deems appropriate when requested by a civilian drug enforcement agency. The conferees support an increased role for the military in drug interdiction but believe that the proper role for the military and the impact on military preparedness must be addressed at the outset. Therefore, the form and extent of the assistance provided is to be at the Secretary's discretion, subject to the limitations contained in Chapter 18 of title 10, United States Code, and other applicable law, such as the Economy Act.

Authorization of funds for the Henry M. Jackson Foundation (sec. 1374)

The conferees agree to authorize $10 million for the Henry M. Jackson Foundation. Established as a memorial to the late Senator from Washington, the foundation supports a highly successful program in the area of Middle East and Chinese studies and United States-Sino-Soviet relations. The foundation has already raised more than $4 million from public contributions since its establishment in 1983, and the conferees believe this one-time appropriation to the foundation is a fitting tribute to Senator Jackson's lifetime of dedicated service to the nation.

89 LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Drug interdiction efforts by DoD

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1218(a)) that would require the National Drug Enforcement Policy Board to report on the manner and extent to which the Department of Defense should be involved in drug enforcement activities.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this provision is contained in separate legislation under consideration by the Congress.

Drug educational and counseling report

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1218(b)) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress concerning: 1) the extent to which military dependents are receiving drug and substance abuse education in Department of Defense operated schools; 2) the type of drug education programs currently provided; 3) whether additional drug education programs are needed; and 4) the extent to which drug education programs do or should include preventative peer counseling classes.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this provision is contained in separate legislation under consideration by the Congress.

Coast Guard

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1222(a)) that would provide that, from funds authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense in fiscal year 1987, $200 million shall be provided for the acquisition and maintenance of U.S. Coast Guard defense-related inventories and $100 million shall be provided in operating funds for the performance of defense readiness responsibilities of the U.S. Coast Guard. The provision would also provide that of these amounts, $15 million be available for transfer to the Secretary of Transportation to be used only for enhanced drug interdiction assistance as described in section 1222(b) of the Senate bill.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Enhanced drug interdiction assistance

The Senate bill contained provisions (secs. 1222(b) and (c)) that would authorize the assignment on-board naval vessels at sea in drug-interdiction areas Coast Guard personnel who are trained in law enforcement and who have the power to arrest, search, and seize property and persons suspected of violating the law.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this provision is contained in separate legislation under consideration by the Congress.

Authorization for appropriations for enhanced drug interdiction activities

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1227) that would authorize $212,115,000 to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for enhanced drug interdiction assistance. Section 1227 also would direct loans of aircraft to the Customs Service and the Navy and require the Customs Service to assume responsibility for the operation and maintenance cost of certain equipment.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that this provision is contained in separate legislation under consideration by the Congress.

Sense of Congress on use of armed forces to protect United States citizens and air carriers at airports outside the United States

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1041) declaring it to be the Sense of Congress that members of the Armed Services be detailed to provide security for American citizens and American air carriers at foreign airports.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees note that section 553 of the International Security and Development Cooperation Act of 1985 mandated that the Secretary of Transportation undertake a study of an expanded air marshal program for providing security on air routes outside the United States. The Committee of Conference on that bill intended that this report should include consideration of modifying the role of the current air marshal program. Specifically, consideration should be given to expanding the role of the air marshal to more fully utilize them as ground security coordinators to complement and provide U.S. Government representation as security screening points in high-risk foreign international airports. The conferees on the National Defense Authorization Act note that the report was due at the start of 1986 and is now long overdue. The conferees direct the Secretary of Transportation to complete that required report and to include within it consideration of the possible use of military personnel. The Secretary of Defense should be given the opportunity to append any comment he may choose on the use of military personnel. Therefore, the House recedes.

Introduction of U.S. Armed Forces into Nicaragua

Section 1046 of the House amendment would provide that funds appropriated to the Department of Defense may not be obligated or expended for the purpose of introducing U.S. Armed Forces into or over Nicaragua for combat unless the Congress has declared war on enacted specific authorization for such introduction, or such introduction is necessary to meet a clear and present danger of hostile attack upon the United States, its territories or possessions or its allies, or to meet a clear and present danger to, and to provide necessary protection for, the U.S. Embassy, U.S. Government personnel, or U.S. citizens, or to respond to hijacking, kidnapping, or other acts of terrorism involving citizens of the United States or its allies.

Moreover, section 1046 would provide that its provisions would not apply if MIG aircraft, or other aircraft of similar design or capability, or nuclear weapons are introduced into Nicaragua. Finally, section 1046 would not invalidate any requirement of the War Powers Resolution nor any authority of the United States to act under the provisions of the Inter-American Treaty of Reciprocal Assistance.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Authorization for nuclear winter research

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3301) that would authorize for fiscal year 1987 $8.5 million for the Department of Defense and $2.5 million for the Department of Energy for nuclear winter research. The House provision further provides that the Secretaries of Defense and Energy shall submit a report by September 30, 1987 on the allocation of funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Other Provisions Adopted

Intelligence Program Reductions

The conferees considered the impact undistributed and inflation reductions contained in this Act might have on the National Foreign Intelligence Program and agreed to exempt that program from all undistributed reductions. With respect to inflation adjustments, the conferees agreed to exempt the Central Intelligence Agency and certain Air Force special activities from inflation adjustments. These exemptions have been taken into account in the recommended reductions for each account and line item.

Communications Security

In light of the vulnerability of certain domestic communications to intercept by hostile intelligence services the Senate authorized $129 million to permit prompt implementation of an NSA plan to encrypt selected domestic communications circuits and for a number of other initiatives the details of which are classified. The House has no comparable provisions.

In conjunction with the conferees on the Intelligence Authorization Act for FY 1987, the conferees agreed to authorize $44.5 million for certain initiatives. Additional details relating to this action are addressed in section 102 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 1987 and the Classified Schedule of Authorizations related thereto.

Improved DOD Security/Stilwell Commission

The Senate authorized $22.3 million to accelerate implementation of the Stilwell Commission recommendations to improve personnel and industrial security and reduce the likelihood of espionage in the military services and defense agencies. The House had no comparable provision. The conferees endorsed the Senate position but noted that Defense Appropriations measures failed to include funds for this purpose. Accordingly, the conferees did not include these funds in the conference report. The conferees encourage the Department of Defense to reprogram such funds as might be available during fiscal year 1987 to implement those actions identified in the Senate Report on this subject.

TITLE XIV—BARRY GOLDWATER SCHOLARSHIP AND EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION PROGRAM

Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Foundation (secs. 1401–1412).

The Senate bill contained a number of provisions (secs. 5100–5111) that would create and establish procedures for the operation of the Barry Goldwater Scholarship and Excellence in Education Foundation.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with technical amendments.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

COMPARISON OF HOUSE AND SENATE BILLS

The Senate bill would authorize $8,507,438,000 in Division B to provide military construction and related authority in support of the military departments during fiscal year 1987.

The House amendment provided $8,347,576,000 in new authorization.

The Department of Defense and the respective military departments requested $9,987,138,000.

SUMMARY OF RESOLUTION OF DIFFERENCES

The conferees agree to an authorization of appropriations for military construction and family housing for fiscal year 1987 of $8,409,289,000.

Authorization of appropriations conference agreement, fiscal year 1987

Title I—Army:	
Inside the United States	660,510,000
Outside the United States	452,210,000
Unspecified minor construction	20,000,000
Planning and design	130,580,000
Family housing construction	354,330,000
Family housing support	1,247,266,000
Homeowners assistance program	5,500,000
Subtotal	2,870,396,000
Title II—Navy:	
Inside the United States	1,109,795,000
Outside the United States	156,290,000
Unspecified minor construction	15,000,000
Planning and design	143,770,000
Defense access roads	5,500,000
Family housing construction	159,292,000
Family housing support	557,981,000
Subtotal	2,147,438,000
Title III—Air Force:	
Inside the United States	784,642,000
Outside the United States	351,878,000
Unspecified minor construction	16,000,000
Planning and design	117,260,000
Defense access roads	32,700,000
Family housing construction	108,840,000
Family housing support	732,409,000
Subtotal	2,143,729,000
Title IV—Defense Agencies:	
Inside the United States	180,130,000
Outside the United States	227,100,000
Unspecified minor construction	4,000,000
Contingency construction	5,000,000
Planning and design	88,200,000
Conforming storage facilities	10,000,000
Family housing construction	270,000

Family housing support	17,330,000
Subtotal	532,030,000
Title V—NATO Infrastructure	247,000,000
Title VI—Guard and Reserve Forces:	
Army National Guard	133,271,000
Army Reserve	86,700,000
Naval and Marine Corps Reserve	44,500,000
Air National Guard	145,325,000
Air Force Reserve	58,900,000
Subtotal	468,696,000
Total authorization of appropriations	8,409,289,000

SUMMARY OF MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING, 1987

[Dollars in thousands]

Item	Army	Navy	Air Force	Defense agencies
New construction	$250,830	$130,712	[1] $43,196	$270
Number of units	3,032	1,368	522	3
Number of mobile home spaces	108	300	24	0
Improvements	$79,500	$26,580	$58,644	0
Planning and design	$24,000	$2,000	$7,000	0
Operation	$495,466	$260,515	$344,615	$2,794
Number of units	158,009	90,688	138,498	652
Maintenance	569,852	265,846	307,684	509
Leasing	$173,885	$22,459	$72,745	$14,027
Number of domestic units [2]	4,825	1,665	1,463	0
Number of foreign units	22,581	1,923	9,200	916
Debt payment	$8,063	$9,071	$7,365	0
Total request	$1,601,596	$717,183	$841,249	$17,600
Summary				
Total Housing Program	$3,177,628			
New construciton	[1,3] 435,008			
Imnprovements	164,724			
Planning and design	33,000			
Operations	[4] 1,103,390			
Maintenance	1,143,891			
Leasing	[5] 283,116			
Debt payment	24,499			

[1] Includes $.9 million for housing management offices at Davis-Mouthan AFB,Arizona, McGuire AFB, New Jersey, and Pope AFB, North Carolina; and $..2 million for a maintenance shop at Bealle AFB, California.

[2] Includes authorization for 7,063 Section 801 Program lease points.

[3] For 5,357 units/mobile home spaces; 4,925 and 432 mobile home spaces.

[4] $2,247,281 for 387,847 units.

[5] For 42,573 units.

GENERAL TOPICS

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING BUILD-TO-LEASE AND RENTAL GUARANTEE PROGRAMS

The Senate bill extended the sec. 801 program for two years and authorized each service to construct up to 3,000 additional units. The Senate bill also extended the sec. 802 program for four years and included several changes to make the sec. 802 program more viable as a housing alternative to military construction. The House amendment placed a moratorium on the Section 801/802 housing program and instructed the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study of other alternatives.

The conferees are encouraged by the savings that the current sec. 801/802 projects which have been submitted to the committees may provide to the government. Eight of the ten projects report savings of 10 percent or greater over military construction. Significant progress has also been made on the issues of cost effectiveness analysis, permanent financing, and efficiency in the mechanics of bringing new projects on line. The conferees are concerned, however, with how these programs relate to the overall housing acquisition program, specifically with the lack of criteria to determine when to use sec. 801 or sec. 802 in lieu of military construction, and what portion of the housing deficit should be earmarked for these programs.

The conferees agree to extend the sec. 801 program for an additional two years and to provide for 1,000 additional leases per service with no limitation on the number of contracts, provided that the Office of the Secretary of Defense submits to the appropriate committees of the Senate and House of Representatives: 1) uniform criteria establishing when the sec. 801–802 programs are to be used, prior to advertising for any proposals; 2) a report by January 31, 1987, addressing the current CONUS housing deficits and multi-year plan to meet the needs of the services and defense agencies; and 3) economic analyses establishing the cost of the military construction alternative and corresponding sec. 801 alternative lease ceiling for each project prior to bid opening. The department will continue to submit to the appropriate Committees of the Senate and House of Representatives the selected proposals with corresponding economic analyses for 21-day notification prior to anticipated award date. The conferees expect savings of at least five percent versus the military construction alternative before the committees will approve the lease request.

The sec. 803 program has the potential to reduce the military housing shortage with a minimum amount of appropriated funds and show significant savings over the traditional military construction procedure. Savings of 38 to 45 percent have been reported on the two projects submitted to the committees this year. The House recognizes the difficulty in financing new construction utilizing the current sec. 802 rules and recedes to the Senate amendments. The services are also authorized an additional 600 leases under the sec. 802 program with no limitation on the number of contracts that can be entered into.

IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING

The budget request contained $145.9 million for improvements to existing Army family housing units and $51.6 million for improvements to existing Navy family housing units.

The Senate bill would authorize $79.5 million for the Army improvements and $26.6 million for the Navy improvements. The reductions were based on the authorization balances remaining from the fiscal year 1986 authorizations, which were larger than the fiscal year appropriations for housing improvements. The House amendment would authorize the amounts requested.

The House recedes.

The Army and Navy are directed to apply the remaining authorization balances from fiscal year 1986 toward their respective fiscal year 1987 appropriation requests for housing improvements.

SPACE LIMITATIONS ON OFFICER HOUSING

The Senate bill contained a provision to increase the square footage for family housing for officers holding special command positions and the senior noncommissioned officer of a military installation. The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree with waivers to the maximum allowable square footage for officer housing at Ft. Drum, New York and Yongson, Korea to meet the special requirements of certain command positions. In previous authorization requests, similar waivers have been granted. Rather than taking a piecemeal approach, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to study this problem and recommend appropriate action to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives. No further waivers will be granted until the committees have received the department's recommendation.

PHILIPPINE BASES

The Senate bill contained $73 million in fiscal year 1987 for construction projects at air and naval bases in the Philippines. The House amendment contained no funds for that purpose.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree that it is in the best interest of the United States to modernize the facilities on these bases and, therefore, have funded the department's request to the maximum extent given the current budget contraints.

BASE CLOSURES

It has been estimated that significant savings could be realized each year if non-essential military installations were closed. The fiscal year 1986 Defense Authorization Act streamlined procedures to close non-essential bases, responding to criticism by the Defense Department that it lacked sufficient legislative authority to expeditiously close such installations. The revised procedures essentially restored the department's authority to what it had been in the early 1970s, a period during which the Nixon and Ford Administrations successfully closed a number of installations. In providing these streamlined procedures, the conferees strongly encouraged the Secretary of Defense to take aggresive action in this area, and to provide closure proposals to Congress as part of the fiscal year 1987 budget request.

Despite this guidance, the Department of Defense budget request for fiscal year 1987 again contained no closure candidates. Earlier requests by the Senate Committee on Armed Services for an analysis of the department's installation structure which addressed the relative priority of each base have been ignored. In response to another request by the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Armed Services, the department did provide an illustrative list of three installations which might be considered typical closure candidates. However, department witnesses testified that these installations, like those similarly identified last year, were used for illustrative purposes only, and were not closure candidates. The department maintained that the revised closure procedures were still too cumbersome to permit it to formally propose installations for closure.

In response to the repeated unwillingness of the department to exercise stewardship over its installations through analysis and identification of closure candidates, the Senate bill authorized the formation of a "Blue Ribbon Task Group on Military Base Closures." Its purpose would be to study and evaluate military installations with a view to determining and recommending which based should be closed. The report of the Task Group would be complete no later than July 31, 1987. The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees remain convinced that the department's installation structure does contain bases which could be closed at substantial long term savings. In this era of resource scarcity, particularly in personnel and operations and maintenance accounts, the conferees view as irresponsible the department's continued unwillingness to address this matter. The Senate recedes on the basis that waiting for the Blue Ribbon Task Group's report could actually hinder department action as part of the two year budget submission due Congress early in 1987.

The conferees again admonish the department to perform a thorough analysis of its installation structure, identifying bases of marginal utility which should be closed. This analysis should be completed promptly so that a list of closure candidates providing significant savings can be included in the fiscal years 1988 and 1989 budget request.

MINOR CONSTRUCTION

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2162) that increased the Minor Construction threshold to $2 million from $1 million. A further provision (sec. 2171) provided for a lump sum authorization for appropriation for projects costing less than $2 million and authority to reprogram minor military construction projects not otherwise authorized.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 2711) that codified the threshold for Minor Construction at $1 million, the threshold for unspecified minor construction requiring Congressional notification at $500 thousand and the threshold for unspecified construction out of the operations and maintenance accounts at $200 thousand.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that the Senate approach should be explored because it could increase the department's flexibility to meet unforeseen construction requirements. Since this represents a major change to current law, the conferees have deferred action until the concept can be further studied and a consensus reached with appropriate committees of Congress with jurisdiction over this matter. It is the intention of the conferees to explore this matter in detail before taking final action on the Military Construction bill for fiscal year 1988-89.

TEST PROGRAM FOR MILITARY INSTALLIATION MASTER PLANNING

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2173) requiring a test program for military installation master planning.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that in order to provide Congress with information necessary to determine the desirability and feasibility of using installation master plans as a basis for determining long-term military construction requirements, the Secretary of Defense shall, at the same time he submits the annual request for military construction authorization pursuant to section 2859 of title 10, United States Code, for fiscal year 1988, include in such request:

(1) master plans for at least two military installations of each military department; and

(2) a request for the authorization of those construction projects included in the installation master plans referred to in clause (1) that are scheduled to be constructed within five fiscal years after the fiscal year in which the request is submitted.

LAND TRANSFER

The conferees authorized all specific land transfers contained in the Senate bill and the House amendment. The conferees believe that the Department should propose general authorizing legislation to accommodate these situations rather than requesting case by case authorization. At a minimum such legislation should include sale of such property at fair market value and replacement of facilities displaced at no cost to the government.

TITLE I—ARMY

The Senate bill provided $2,949,746,000 in authorization for the Department of the Army military construction and family housing programs. The House amendment provided authorization of $2,913,930,000 for such purposes. The conferees agree to a total of $2,870,396,000, which is $43,534,000 below the House figure and $79,350,000 below the Senate figure. Among the items considered in conference and acted upon by the conferees were the following:

10TH MOUNTAIN DIVISION, FORT DRUM, NEW YORK

The budget request contained authority to build $615 million in military construction projects to support the 10th Mountain Division being organized at Fort Drum, New York.

The request included $232 million in authorization for fiscal year 1987, and advanced authorizations of $221 million for fiscal year 1988 and $162 million for fiscal year 1989. The Senate bill contained $615 million in project authority and would reduce authorization for fiscal year 1987 to $200 million. The Senate bill did not contain any advance authorizations for fiscal years 1988 and 1989. The House amendment would authorize $180 million for fiscal year

1987 and advanced authorizations of $221 million for fiscal year 1988 and $214 million for fiscal year 1989.

The Senate recedes with an understanding that the Secretary of the Army is directed to keep the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives fully advised of construction progress at Fort Drum by providing status reports on a regular basis. The Secretary of the Army is directed to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives each year with the Army's annual military construction budget a report that details the problems and progress of the construction during the previous year as well as anticipated milestones during the coming year. As a minimum, this report should address the following:

(1) scheduled versus actual obligation of funds

(2) significant events since the last report

(3) status of contractors performance on his approved subcontracting plan, and

(4) status of the schedule for fielding the light infantry division.

In addition, the Secretary should notify the committees prior to award of each prime contract specifying who is to receive the contract, the amount of the contract, and a copy of the subcontracting plan for small and small disadvantaged businesses.

6TH INFANTRY DIVISION, ALASKA

The budget request contained $126.7 million for 7 military construction projects and $33 million for 150 units of family housing to support the formation of the 6th Infantry Division at Fort Wainwright, Alaska.

The Senate bill would authorize a lump sum for the 7 construction projects requested and would reduce the total amount to $96.7 million. The Senate bill also would authorize $33 million requested for family housing. The House amendment contained no funds for the military construction projects or the family housing units.

The conferees recommend authorization of $72.3 million for 5 specific construction projects and no funds for family housing. The approved construction projects are barracks modernization, $19 million; child development center, $5.5 million; dining facility, $10 million; tactical equipment shop, $9.9 million; and utilities expansion, $27.9 million.

DISTRIBUTED REDUCTIONS TO ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

The Senate bill contained a general reduction of $65.1 million in authorization for Army military construction. The House amendment contained no such general reduction.

The conferees agree to a distributed reduction of $34.8 million as follows:

[In thousands of dollars]

Location and project	Budget Request	Conference Agreement
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD—Barracks complex	19,000	18,000
Fort Leonard Wood, MO—Engineer school/post headquarters	14,000	13,000
Fort Polk, LA—Multipurpose range complex	27,000	26,000
Fort Myer, VA—Physical fitness training center	3,800	0
New Cumberland Army Depot, PA—Eastern Distribution Center phase II	51,000	43,000
Germany—Site security enhancements	17,000	7,000

The distributed reduction also includes a $10 million general reduction in appropriations for Army projects in Germany made by the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

TITLE II—NAVY

The Senate bill provided $2,161,343,000 in authorization for the Department of the Navy military construction and family housing programs. The House amendment provided authorization of $2,110,333,000 for such purposes. The conferees agree to a total of $2,147,438,000, which is $37,105,000 above the House figure and $13,905,000 below the Senate figure. Among the items considered in conference and acted upon by the conferees were the following:

STRATEGIC HOMEPORTING

The Senate bill contained $85 million for facilities in support of the Navy's strategic homeporting program at Naval Station, Everett, Washington. The House amendment contained no similar provision. The conferees agree to authorize $43.58 million for this purpose with legislative language restricting the obligation of funds.

The conferees have serious concerns about the unresolved environmental and cost sharing issues associated with the homeport at Everett, Washington. In regard to the environmental issue, a final supplemental environmental impact statement is still outstanding and Federal, state and local permits have not been obtained. Final approval of a dredging permit is also outstanding. Therefore, in order to ensure that environmental concerns are fully addressed prior to the initiation of construction at the base, the conferees have prohibited the obligation and expenditure of fiscal year 1987 military construction funds for the Everett homeport until all Federal, state and local permits for dredging activities have been issued.

With respect to cost sharing, the conferees support commitments from state and local officials to play an active role in addressing overall costs of the project. The conferees have also prohibited the obligation and expenditure of fiscal year 1987 military construction funds for Everett, Washington, until the State of Washington has appropriated in fiscal year 1987 its share of funds for fiscal years 1988 and 1989 for all projects agreed with by the Navy for homeporting at Everett, Washington. The conferees anticipate a more formal definition of such commitments over the next few months and direct the Secretary of the Navy to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the status of these commitments by December 31, 1986.

It is the understanding of the conferees that the terms "all projects agreed with by the Navy" includes, but is not limited to, the contribution by the State and local government of $10.1 million for off-base road work and related capital projects associated with the access road to the new base as stated in a letter received by the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives, Subcommittee on Military Installations and Facilities, from the Secretary of the Navy dated June 16, 1986.

Further, the conferees agree that not more than a total of $272 million including $16 million for defense access roads, may be obligated or expended by the Department of Defense and the Department of the Navy during fiscal years 1986 through 1991 for construction associated with strategic homeporting at Everett, Washington.

The Senate bill contained $57.65 million for facilities to support the Naval Station, New York. The House amendment contained $54.4 million for these facilities. The Senate recedes.

The conferees express their support for the strategic homeporting concept but are concerned whether funds will be available to support the homeports on the West Coast and the Gulf Coast. Therefore, the Secretary of the Navy is expected to support the amounts for the Gulf Coast homeports as outlined in the following table:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year	
	1988	1999
Pensacola, FL	16,500	15,229
Mobile, AL	10,923	17,731
Pascagoula, MI	17,437	30,054
Lake Charles, LA	10,470	5,301
Galveston, TX	29,563	1,804
Corpus Christi (Ingleside), TX	39,517	33,862
	124,420	103,981

NAVY BRIGS

The Senate bill contained no funds for the consolidated brig facilities at Naval Air Station, Miramar, California and Naval Weapons Station, Charleston, South Carolina. The House amendment contained $22.5 million for the brig at Miramar and $16.5 million for the brig at Charleston.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that the Secretary of Defense should continue to study Defense Department-wide alternatives to meet the confinement facility needs for all services and report its findings to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The conferees further direct that the Secretary of Defense designate the Charleston Brig as a pilot joint-use facility to test the concept of tri-service consolidation.

LEASE AND DEVELOPMENT OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 2183) to lease and develop certain Navy property in San Diego, California. The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2208).

The Senate recedes to the House language with additional language to ensure that the development of the land is formulated through the San Diego Association of Governments' Broadway Complex Coordinating Committee and to allow the Navy to obtain an ownership interest in new facilities if such an arrangement is in the best interest of the Navy.

PROPELLANT FACILITY UPGRADE, NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION, INDIAN HEAD, MARYLAND

The House amendment authorized $950,000 for the upgrade of the Propellant Facility at Indian Head, Maryland in lieu of the $3.68 million requested. The Senate bill provided no authorization. The reduced scope for this project will provide for the construction of this facility at a greatly reduced cost. The facility upgrade will eliminate costly delays, reduce labor costs and provide for a safer production environment.

The conferees direct the Department of the Navy to provide funding for this project from the specified minor construction account.

TITLE III—AIR FORCE

The Senate bill provided $2,149,749,000 in authorization for military construction and military family housing for the Department of the Air Force. The House amendment provided $2,108,710,000 for such purposes. The conferees agree to a total of $2,143,729,000, which is $35,019,000 above the House figure and $6,020,000 below the Senate figure.

TITLE IV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

The Senate bill authorized $568,500,000 in new construction for Defense Agencies while the House amendment provided $516,403,000. The conferees agree to a total of $532,030,000, which is $15,627,000 above the House figure and $36,470,000 below the Senate figure. Among the items considered in conference and acted upon by the conferees were the following:

BROOKE ARMY MEDICAL CENTER

Sec. 104 of the Fiscal Year 1985 Military Construction Authorization Act (Pub. L. 98-407) directed the Secretary of the Army to design a replacement hospital of no less than 450 beds for the Brooke Army Medical Center (BAMC) located at Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

At the same time, Congress directed the Secretary of Defense to establish a Blue Ribbon Panel of Sizing of Department of Defense Medical Treatment Facilities to review, among other things, the appropriate sizing and utilization of military medical facilities. The Blue Ribbon Panel's final report in June, 1985, was too late for its findings regarding the BAMC replacement to be incorporated in the Congress' consideration of the Defense Department's Fiscal Year 1986 Military Construction requests.

In the Conference Report on the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1986, the conferees stated, "Future requests for authorization for medical facilities will be carefully matched against the comments and recommendations of both the Blue Ribbon Panel and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs."

Taking into account the recommendations of the Blue Ribbon Panel, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs determined in July, 1986, that the BAMC replacement hospital should be designed as ". . . a 450 bed teaching hospital with initial construction of 200 beds which can be expanded, if required in the future, by 250 beds. Fifty beds of the initial construction will be devoted to the world's most modern Burn Center and Institute for Surgical Research. The hospital will include a state-of-the-art outpatient care center capable of providing nearly one million outpatient visits annually. The replacement hospital will be designed and sited to accommodate rapid expansion to 450 beds, if the military medical requirement makes that necessary in the future."

Based upon this determination, the Senate repealed Sec. 104 of P.L. 98-407. It further authorized $129 million for the construction of a replacement facility for the BAMC, authorizing $10 million for appropriation in Fiscal Year 1987. This action accelerated by two years the construction of this important facility and resulted in an estimated savings of $250 million over the project which had been under design.

The House, citing the progress which the Army had made in designing a 450 bed hospital on a 695 bed frame, a project estimated to cost $390 million, authorized $10 million to begin site preparation for this hospital. A $10 million project to provide unaccompanied officer housing at Goodfellow Air Force Base was deferred to finance this initiative.

The House recedes with amendment.

The conferees authorize the design of a 450 bed hospital with initial construction of a 200 bed facility. The 200 bed hospital shall be designed and sited for efficient expansion to its full 450 bed capacity if the increase is required in the future. The conferees increased the project's authorization to $135 million to accommodate this flexible design.

CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES

The budget request contained $21.4 million for the construction of conforming storage facilities at various worldwide locations. These facilities would be buildings for the storage of hazardous material and waste. The Administration also requested that the provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), which require the issuance of a permit prior to construction, be removed allowing the department to construct the facilities while the permit application is under consideration; however, the operation of the completed facility would not commence until the final permit was issued.

The House amendment would authorize a total of $3.263 million for 6 specified conforming storage facilities. The 6 authorized projects derived from a list provided to the committee of over 90 possible projects, were the only projects that at the time of submission were at the 35% design level and had a permit already requested. The House bill did not consider any changes to RCRA.

The Senate bill would authorize the Administration request of $21 million and provide a class authorization to construct conforming storage facilities as the required permits become available. The Senate bill also did not provide for any changes to RCRA.

The conferees agree to provide a total of $10 million for the construction of conforming storage facilities in fiscal year 1987. The facilities to be constructed are limited to those listed on a report provided to the committees of the House and Senate by the Comptroller, Defense Logistics Agency. Prior to construction of each project, the Secretary of Defense is to notify the Armed Services committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives of the justification, estimated costs, and the permit process for each project.

TITLE V—NATO

The Senate bill authorized $239,000,000 for the NATO Infrastructure program.

The House amendment provided authorization in the amount of $247,000,000.

The Senate recedes.

RESTRICTION ON NATO INFRASTRUCTURE EXPENDITURES

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 2504) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from obligating any funds after fiscal year 1987 with respect to the NATO Infrastructure program until the Secretary submits to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives the following:

(1) a comprehensive master plan for establishing adequate active defenses for air bases in Europe where U.S. aircraft now operate or plan to operate, and

(2) a report certified by the Secretary that sufficient funds have been budgeted by the Department of Defense in the fiscal year 1988 five-year defense plan to meet the objectives of the master plan.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE VI—GUARD AND RESERVE

The Senate bill provided a lump sum authorization of $438,100,000 for the Guard and Reserve construction program. The House amendment contained authorization of $451,200,000. The conferees agree to a total of $468,696,000, which is $17,496,000 above the House figure and $30,596,000 above the Senate figure.

Among the items considered in conference and acted upon by the conferees were the following:

ALLOCATION OF FUNDING FOR NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE PROJECTS

The House amendment contained a provision directing the Secretary of Defense to program at least 10 percent of the total amount requested for military construction authorization (excluding the amount requested for military family housing) for a fiscal year for construction of facilities in support of the Guard and Reserve forces.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree to drop the legislative provision mandating that at least 10 percent of the military construction budget be dedicated to the Guard and Reserve components. However, the conferees strongly encourage the Department of Defense to request higher levels of funding in order to begin to eliminate the construction backlog which has resulted from years of failure on the part of the Department of Defense to provide an equitable distribution of funds between the active and reserve components.

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
ALABAMA						
	ARMY					
		ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	1,950	0	1,950	0
		FORT MCCLELLAN				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	540	540	540	540
		REDSTONE ARSENAL				
		MICROWAVE/MILLIMETER WAVE SIMULATOR	19,500	0	19,500	0
		FORT RUCKER				
		AERIAL GUNNERY RANGE	17,500	17,500	17,500	17,500
		STAGEFIELDS	27,000	27,000	27,000	27,000
	AIR FORCE					
		GUNTER AFB				
		ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900
		MAXWELL AFB				
		ADD-ALTER ACADEMIC FACILITY (ACSC)	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		CHAPEL ANNEX	510	510	510	510
		VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,100	3,100	0	3,100
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		FORT MCCLELLAN				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,830	2,830	0	0
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		FORT MCCLELLAN				
		STATE MILITARY ACADEMY-GENERAL INSTR BLDG	825	825	825	825
		MONTGOMERY				
		U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	360	360	360	360
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	375	375	375	375
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NMCRC MOBILE				
		VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY	250	250	250	250
		RNMCB-24 REDSTONE ARSENAL				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RNMCB-24	175	175	175	175
TOTAL: ALABAMA			79,515	58,065	73,585	55,235

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
ALASKA						
	ARMY					
		FT J M WAINWRIGHT				
		BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	21,000	0	21,000	19,000
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	11,000	0	11,000	5,500
		DINING FACILITIES	16,500	0	16,500	10,000
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	30,000	0	30,000	0
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	9,900	0	9,900	9,900
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	7,300	0	7,300	0
		UTILITIES EXPANSION	31,000	0	31,000	27,900
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(30,000)	0
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (150)	33,000	0	33,000	0
		FORT RICHARDSON				
		BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	11,200	0	11,200	0
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION ADAK				
		CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FACILITY IMPROVES	500	0	500	500
		RADAR SUPPORT FACILITIES	24,400	0	24,400	24,400
		NAVAL FACILITY ADAK				
		TERMINAL BUILDING ADDITION	5,700	5,700	5,700	5,700
		NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY ADAK				
		OCEAN SURVEILLANCE BUILDING	13,400	13,400	13,400	13,400
		WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
	AIR FORCE					
		EIELSON AFB				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800
		DINING HALL	5,700	0	0	0
		FIRE PROTECTION-FLIGHTLINE	2,150	2,150	2,150	2,150
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE	3,450	3,450	3,450	3,450
		LIBRARY	2,500	0	0	0
		LOOP ACCESS ROAD	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200
		SECURITY FENCE	990	990	990	990
		ELMENDORF AFB				
		BATTERY SHOP	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM ALTERATION	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670
		SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	5,000	5,000	0	0
		GALENA AIRPORT				
		ADD TO-ALTER POWER PLANT	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
		UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,600	7,600	7,600	7,600
		KING SALMON AFB				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,050	4,050	4,050	4,050
		SHEMYA AFB				
		ADD-ALTER WATER SYSTEM-PHASE I	4,200	4,200	4,200	4,200
		DIESEL STORAGE TANKS	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
		SOLID STATE UNINTERRUPT POWER SUPPLY SPT	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		FORT WAINWRIGHT				
		TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	8,800	0	0	0
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		ANCHORAGE				
		CSMS SEWER LINE EXTENSION	204	204	204	204
		U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE IMPROVEMENTS	144	144	144	144
		ANGOON				
		SCOUT ARMORY	184	184	184	184
		SAVOOGNA				
		SCOUT ARMORY EXPANSION	193	193	193	193
TOTAL: ALASKA			292,535	79,735	240,535	171,935
ARIZONA						
	ARMY					
		FORT HUACHUCA				
		COMMUNICATION TEST FACILITY	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
		ELECTRONIC TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400
		GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	8,200	8,200	8,200	8,200
		NAVAJO ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	3,900	0	3,900	0
		YUMA PROVING GROUND				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT-RELIGIOUS EL FACILITY	820	820	820	820
	NAVY					
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION YUMA				
		ARMORY	640	640	640	640
		CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT FACILITY	450	450	450	450
		ENGINE MAINTENANCE FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	300	300	300	300
		MAINTENANCE HANGERS ADDITIONS	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650
		MARINE AIR CONTROL SQUADRON FACILITY	2,950	2,950	2,950	2,950
		TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS	1,870	1,870	1,870	1,870
	AIR FORCE					
		DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		AIRCRAFT PROCESSING APRON (MASDC)	3,400	0	3,400	3,400
		BASE CIVIL ENGINEER ADMINISTRATION FAC	2,600	2,600	0	2,600
		CONTROL TOWER	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
		ECIP-ALTER HEAT-VENTILATION-AIR COND	230	0	0	0 1/
		GLCM-CONSOLIDATED STORAGE	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,300	0	5,300	5,300
		HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE	300	300	300	300
		HOLBROOK				
		STR-PURCHASE UEPH-LAND ACQUISITION	630	630	630	630
		LUKE AFB				
		JP-4 VAPOR INCINERATION SYSTEM	1,350	1,350	1,350	1,350
		MEDICAL WAR RESERVE MATERIAL FACILITY	720	720	720	720
		NONDESTRUCT INSPECTION FACILITY	740	740	740	740
		SMALL AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE DOCKS	6,380	6,380	6,380	6,380
		F15E-ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FAC	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200
		F15E-ALTER FAC FOR F-15 FLIGHT ACADEMIC FAC	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		F15E-FIELD TRAINING DETACHMENT FAC	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800
		F15E-FUEL TANK STORAGE FACILITY	650	650	650	650
		F15E-SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100
		WILLIAMS AFB				
		CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT FACILITY	3,800	0	0	0
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR RESEARCH FACILITY	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900
	JOINT TACTICAL C3 AGENCY					
		FORT HUACHUCA				
		COMMUNICATIONS TEST FACILITY	9,890	9,890	9,890	9,890
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CHANDLER				
		60 PERSON ARMORY	0	0	810	810
		PHOENIX				
		RANGES, RIFLE BAFFLED	210	210	210	210
		TUCSON				
		400 PERSON ARMORY	0	0	1,576	1,576
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	390	390	390	390
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		TUCSON IAP				
		COMPOSITE ACFT MAINT FACS-PARKING APRON	12,440	12,440	12,440	12,440
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					

1/ authorized to be funded with prior year energy savings

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		LUKE AFB				
		FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
TOTAL: ARIZONA		---	105,410	88,780	101,166	99,866
ARKANSAS						
	AIR FORCE					
		BLYTHEVILLE AFB				
		ADD-ALTER TARGET INTELLIGENCE FACILITY	820	820	820	820
		ALTER TAXIWAYS	2,000	2,000	0	2,000
		PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	540	0	540	0
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		LITTLE ROCK AFB				
		ADD-ALTER FUEL SYSTEMS MAINT DOCKS	2,750	2,750	0	2,750
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		ARKADELPHIA				
		ARMORY ADD-ALT	584	584	584	584
		CAMP ROBINSON				
		DINING FACILITY, MILITARY ACADEMY	221	221	221	221
		GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING	297	297	297	297
		RANGE, 25M BASIC-NIGHT FIRE	166	166	166	166
		RANGE, 50 CALIBER SCALED	107	107	107	107
		RANGE, RECORD FIRE	305	305	305	305
		WASTEWATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT	711	711	711	711
		WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT	454	454	454	454
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	250	250	250	250
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		FT SMITH MAP				
		ADD-ALTER JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	570	570	570	570
	ARMY RESERVE					
		CAMP ROBINSON				
		WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT	150	150	150	150
		FORT CHAFFEE				
		ARMY RESERVE CENTER	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		NORTH LITTLE ROCK				
		ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER-MAINT FAC	7,486	7,486	7,486	7,486
TOTAL: ARKANSAS		---	21,061	20,521	16,311	20,521

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
CALIFORNIA						
	ARMY					
		FT IRWIN				
		GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	2,050	0	0	0
		TROOP STAGING AREA	820	820	820	820
		FAMILY HOUSING-MOBILE HOME SPACES (38)	730	730	730	730
		FORT ORD				
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
		PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	4,450	4,450	4,450	4,450
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (385)	34,000	34,000	34,000	34,000
		PRESIDI SAN FRANCISCO				
		BARRACK	5,100	0	5,100	0
		SIERRA ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	2,450	0	2,450	0
	NAVY					
		COMBAT SYS TECH SCHOOLS CMD MARE ISLAND				
		COMBAT SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE TRAINING FAC	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200
		FLEET INTELL TRNG CENTER PAC SAN DIEGO				
		INTELLIGENCE TRAINING BUILDING	4,220	4,220	4,220	4,220
		FLEET TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO				
		WEAPONS TRAINING BUILDING	3,930	3,930	3,930	3,930
		MARCORP AIR-GRND COMB CTR TWENTYNINE PALMS				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	22,600	17,600	22,600	17,600
		COMBINED ARMS TRAINER FACILITY	1,280	1,280	1,280	1,280
		ORGANIZATIONAL AUTOMOTIVE MAINT SHOP	9,820	9,820	9,820	9,820
		REGIMENTAL BATTALION OPERATIONS CENTER	3,930	3,930	3,930	3,930
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (392)	33,900	33,900	33,900	33,900
		FAMILY HOUSING-MOBILE HOME SPACES (75)	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION CAMP PENDLETON				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE TRAINING BUILDING	1,080	0	1,080	1,080
		AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS BLDG AND CONTROL TOWER	2,160	2,160	2,160	2,160
		ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATIONS MAINT SHOP	350	350	350	350
		ENGINE TEST CELL	3,240	3,240	3,240	3,240
		OIL SPILL PREVENTION	540	540	540	540
		ORDNANCE HANDLING PAD	3,040	3,040	3,040	3,040
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION EL TORO				
		ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,570
		MAINTENANCE HANGAR MODERNIZATION	11,880	11,880	11,880	11,880
		RUNWAY EXTENSION	0	0	14,400	14,400

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		FAMILY HOUSING-NEW CONSTRUCTION	0	2,300	0	2,300
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION TUSTIN				
		AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SQUADRON FACILITY	2,450	2,450	2,450	2,450
		AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	870	870	870	870
		ARMORY	790	790	790	790
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	10,800	10,800	10,800	10,800
		MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP PENDLETON				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	12,300	12,300	12,300	12,300
		BATTALION OPERATIONS CENTER	1,080	1,080	1,080	1,080
		COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	[illegible]	4,320	4,320	4,320
		COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT STOREHOUSE	[illegible]	2,650	0	0
		MESS HALL ADDITIONS AND MODERNIZATIONS	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100
		OIL SPILL PREVENTION	1,180	1,180	1,180	1,180
		PARACHUTE AND SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,160	2,160	2,160	2,160
		PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850
		TRAINING SUPPORT CENTER	5,500	5,500	0	0
		FAMILY HOUSING-MOBILE HOME SPACES (100)	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT SAN DIEGO				
		SUPPLY COMPLEX	8,440	8,440	0	0
		NAVAL AIR STATION ALAMEDA				
		BERTHING PIER AND UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	17,675	17,675	17,675	17,675
		WATERFRONT SUPPORT FACILITY	950	950	950	950
		NAVAL AIR STATION LEMOORE				
		INDUSTRIAL WASTE TREATMENT FACILITY	980	980	980	980
		NAVAL AIR STATION MIRAMAR				
		AVIONICS SHOP ADDITION	3,240	3,240	3,240	3,240
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	13,200	9,200	13,200	9,200
		CONSOLIDATED BRIG	22,500	22,500	0	22,500
		HANGAR UTILITIES IMPROVEMENTS	350	350	350	350
		MAINTENANCE HANGAR ADDITION	5,110	5,110	5,110	5,110
		NAVAL AIR STATION MOFFETT FIELD				
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (126)	11,600	11,600	11,600	11,600
		NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE CORONADO				
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	9,800	9,800	9,800	9,800
		ELECTICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE WAREHOUSE	3,720	3,720	0	0
		SEALIFT SUPPORT PIER	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
		NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION STOCKTON				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		FIRE PROTECTION PIPELINE	2,750	0	2,750	0
		NAVAL CONSTRUCT BATTALION CTR PORT HUENEME				
		ABRASIVE BLAST FACILITY	300	300	300	300
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	3,040	3,040	3,040	3,040
		ENGINEERING SUPPORT FACILITY	3,140	3,140	0	0
		HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	640	640	640	640
		NAVAL CONSTRUCTION TRNG CTR PORT HUENEME				
		AUTOMOTIVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,470	0	1,470	0
		SEABEE FLEET SUPPORT TRAINING FACILITIES	3,240	3,240	0	0
		NAVAL FACILITY CENTERVILLE BEACH				
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370
		NAVAL SECURITY GROUP DETACHMENT SAN DIEGO				
		CLASSIFIED MATERIALS ISSUE OFFICE ADDITION	1,570	0	1,570	0
		NAVAL SHIPYARD MARE ISLAND				
		SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	0	0	5,100	0
		NAVAL SHIPYARD LONG BEACH				
		ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS EVALUATION FACILITY	1,180	1,180	1,180	1,180
		HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	2,450	2,450	2,450	2,450
		NAVAL STATION LONG BEACH				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	7,050	7,050	7,050	7,050
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	3,730	0	0	0
		LIGHTING SYSTEMS	210	210	210	210
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (300)	22,900	22,900	22,900	22,900
		NAVAL STATION MARE ISLAND				
		RELIGIOUS EDUCATION BUILDING ALTERATION	470	0	470	0
		NAVAL STATION SAN DIEGO				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	18,200	18,200	18,200	18,200
		DREDGING	1,260	1,260	1,260	1,260
		NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE SAN DIEGO				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	9,100	6,200	9,100	9,100
		SEWAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	300	300	300	300
		NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER OAKLAND				
		FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	540	540	540	540
		GENERAL WAREHOUSE ADDITION & MODERNIZATION	2,950	2,950	0	2,950
		NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER SAN DIEGO				
		PERSONAL PROPERTY OFFICE	1,370	0	1,370	1,370

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		RAILROAD TRACK RELOCATION	2,450	2,450	0	0
		NAVAL TECHNICAL TRNG CTR SAN FRANCISCO				
		FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER FACILITY	9,820	9,820	0	0
		NAVAL TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO				
		BARRACKS	4,220	4,220	4,220	4,220
		BRIDGE	2,950	0	0	0
		ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	8,250	8,250	8,250	8,250
		MACHINERY REPAIRMAN TRAINING BUILDING	5,700	5,700	5,700	5,700
		NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER CHINA LAKE				
		EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE BREAKDOWN FACILITY	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370
		GUIDED MISSILE LABORATORY	10,300	0	10,300	0
		MESS HALL	2,450	0	2,450	0
		NAVAL WEAPONS STATION CONCORD				
		MISSILE TEST CELLS	790	790	790	790
		NAVAL WEAPONS STATION SEAL BEACH				
		QUALITY EVALUATION LABORATORY	6,100	0	6,100	0
		NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN DIEGO				
		DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
		NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SAN FRANCISCO				
		NOISE ATTENUATION	450	450	450	450
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (300)	26,450	26,450	26,450	26,450
		NAVY TACT INTEROP SUPPORT ACT LONG BEACH				
		TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM SUPPORT FACILITY	510	510	510	510
		PACIFIC MISSILE TEST CENTER POINT MAGU				
		TELEMETRY FACILITIES	590	590	590	590
	AIR FORCE					
		BEALE AFB				
		KC135 COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER SIM FAC	890	890	890	890
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	400	400	400	400
		T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	710	710	710	710
		TR1-AIRCRAFT SHELTER/APRON	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
		U2R-APRON AND SHELTERS	2,549	2,549	2,549	2,549
		U2R-SHELTERS AND APRON	967	967	967	967
		HOUSING MAINTENANCE SHOP	180	180	180	180
		CASTLE AFB				
		SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	830	830	830	830

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		EDWARDS AFB				
		ADD TO PROPELLANT LABORATORY COMPLEX	5,500	0	5,500	0
		ADD-ALTER AVIONICS RESEARCH LAB	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200
		ADD-ALTER TEST MANAGEMENT AND SECURITY FAC	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
		ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	2,300	0	2,300	2,300
		FAMILY HOUSING-ADD.MOBILE HOME SPACES (24)	376	376	376	376
		GEORGE AFB				
		ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
		RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FACILITY	7,650	7,650	7,650	7,650
		VISITING PERSONNEL QUARTERS	5,000	0	0	0
		FT MACARTHUR				
		ALTER PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITY	3,000	0	0	0
		MARCH AFB				
		KC10-HYDRANT FUELING-CENTRAL ACFT SPT SYS	13,600	13,600	13,600	13,600
		T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	840	840	840	840
		MATHER AFB				
		T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	740	740	740	740
		MCCLELLAN AFB				
		ADD TO-ALTER DEPOT WAREHOUSE	9,400	9,400	0	9,400
		AMMUNITION STORAGE COMPLEX/LAND ACQ	4,300	4,300	4,300	4,300
		DEPOT FLIGHT INSTRUMENT OVERHAUL FACILITY	9,400	9,400	9,400	9,400
		REGIONAL WASTEWATER CONNECTION	5,800	5,800	5,800	5,800
		NORTON AFB				
		ADD-ALTER APPROACH LIGHTS	1,450	1,450	1,450	1,450
		SUNNYVALE AFS				
		ALTER AUTOMATED REMOTE TRACKING STATION	600	600	600	600
		ALTER MISSION CONTROL CENTERS	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
		TRAVIS AFB				
		ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
		PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	4,700	4,700	4,700	4,700
		SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
		VANDENBURG AFB				
		ADD/ALTER OAK MOUNTAIN POWER PLANT	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
	STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE ORGANIZATION					
		EDWARDS AFB				
		ROCKET PROPULSION BRILLION FACILITY	4,140	0	4,140	4,140

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		DEFENSE LANGUAGE INSTITUTE - MONTEREY				
		BARRACKS	5,400	5,400	5,400	5,400
		EDWARDS AFB				
		DENTAL CLINIC	3,950	3,950	3,950	3,950
		MARCH AFB				
		ADD/ALTER MEDICAL LOGISTIC FACILITY	2,350	2,350	0	0
		FORT ORD				
		HOSPITAL ADDITION	530	530	0	530
		VANDENBERG AFB				
		ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CENTER CLINIC ANNEX	1,900	1,900	0	0
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT ESTERO BAY				
		FIRE PROTECTION	680	680	680	680
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP SAN LUIS OBISPO				
		CLOSE COMBAT COURSE	184	184	184	184
		LONG BEACH				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT. SHOP MODIFICATIONS	191	191	191	191
		SANTA BARBARA				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT. SHOP MODIFICATIONS	125	125	125	125
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	500	500	500	500
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		MOFFETT NAS				
		AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON SHOULDER	160	160	160	160
		POINT MUGU NAS				
		ANG OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX-PHI	19,700	19,700	19,700	19,700
	ARMY RESERVE					
		OAKLAND				
		ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	2,986	2,986	2,986	2,986
		SANTA ANA				
		ADD/ALTER ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	1,158	1,158	1,158	1,158
		VAN NUYS				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		MAINTENANCE SHOP/KITCHEN	300	300	300	300
		WEST LOS ANGELES				
		ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER	3,648	3,648	3,648	3,648
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NMCRC LONG BEACH				
		PARACHUTE LOFT	190	190	190	190
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		MARCH AFB				
		AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	1,980	1,980	1,980	1,980
		COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
		NORTON AFB				
		ALTER 445 MAW HEADQUARTERS	180	180	180	180
TOTAL: CALIFORNIA		--	652,169	578,789	576,349	561,769
COLORADO						
	ARMY					
		FORT CARSON				
		RANGE ROADS - PHASE III	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500
		VEHICLE WASHRACK	7,800	7,800	7,800	7,800
		PUEBLO DEPOT ACTIVITY				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	600	0	600	0
		ROCKY MOUNTAIN ARSENAL				
		GROUND WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	0	0	6,000	0
	AIR FORCE					
		FALCON AFS				
		LAND ACQUISITION (EASEMENT)	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400
		LA JUNTA				
		STR-COMPOSITE SUPPORT FACILITIES	2,150	2,150	2,150	2,150
		STR-LAND ACQUISITION	260	260	260	260
		STR-TECHNICAL OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		STR-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (40)	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
		PETERSON AFB				
		ADD-ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	590	590	590	590
		BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE-PHASE I	4,000	0	0	0
		TROOP SUBSISTENCE STORAGE FACILITY	990	0	990	0
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,050	3,050	3,050	3,050

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		US AIR FORCE ACADEMY				
		ADD-ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING FACS	990	990	990	990
		ADD-ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	600	0	600	0
		ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
		LAND ACQUISITION	230	230	230	230
		ADD-ALTER CADET GYMNASIUM	0	0	0	7,400 1/
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NRC FORT CARSON				
		PERMANENT DRILL SITE RMCFSU-2	390	390	390	390
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		PETERSON AFB				
		ADD-ALTER SECURITY POLICE FACILITY	200	200	200	200
		COMPOSITE TRAINING FACILITY	1,060	1,060	1,060	1,060
TOTAL: COLORADO		--	43,860	37,670	45,860	45,070
CONNECTICUT						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE NEW LONDON				
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	9,300	0	0	0
		FUEL STORAGE TANKS	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,470
		QUAYWALL	3,140	3,140	3,140	3,140
		WEAPONS STORAGE IMPROVEMENTS	2,950	0	0	0
		NAVAL SUBMARINE SCHOOL NEW LONDON				
		ADVANCED ENGINEERING TRAINING FACILITY	6,200	6,200	6,200	6,200
		SUBMARINE FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER FACILITY	3,360	3,360	3,360	3,360
TOTAL: CONNECTICUT		--	26,420	14,170	14,170	14,170
DELAWARE						
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		DAGSBORO				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	396	396	396	396
TOTAL: DELAWARE		--	396	396	396	396
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA						
	NAVY					
		COMMANDANT NAVAL DISTRICT WASHINGTON				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
		WHITE HOUSE SUPPORT COMPLEX	24,000	0	0	0
		NAVAL OBSERVATORY WASHINGTON				

1/ authorized for appropriations in lieu of extending authorization without an appropriation

FISCAL YEAR 1997 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		EMERGENCY POWER BUILDING	980	980	980	980
		NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY WASHINGTON				
		PHYSICS LABORATORY IMPROVEMENTS	8,730	0	8,730	0
	DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY					
		NAVAL RESEARCH LAB				
		PULSE POWER RESEARCH FACILITY	3,200	0	3,200	0
TOTAL: DISTR OF COLUMBIA			30,910	2,980	14,910	2,980
FLORIDA						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY PENSACOLA				
		AIRCRAFT STRUCTURES REPAIR FACILITY	8,050	8,050	8,050	8,050
		NAVAL AIR STATION CECIL FIELD				
		AIRCRAFT ACOUSTICAL ENCLOSURE	6,910	6,910	0	6,910
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	3,730	3,730	3,730	3,730
		NAVAL AIR STATION JACKSONVILLE				
		AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	2,160	2,160	2,160	2,160
		BRIG	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650
		HELICOPTER TRAINING FACILITY	4,220	4,220	0	0
		NAVAL AIR STATION KEY WEST				
		AIR COMBAT TRAINING DEBRIEFING FACILITY	930	930	930	930
		HYDROFOIL BERTHING WHARF	8,490	8,490	0	0
		MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION IMPROVEMENTS	720	720	720	720
		NAVAL AIR STATION WHITING FIELD				
		RUNWAY IMPROVEMENT	2,120	0	2,120	0
		NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEMS CENTER PANAMA CITY				
		UNDERWATER EQUIPMENT LABORATORY	880	880	880	880
		NAVAL DIV & SALVAGE TRNG CTR PANAMA CITY				
		DIVER TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850
		NAVAL HOSPITAL PENSACOLA				
		FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	690	690	690	690
		NAVAL STATION MAYPORT				
		PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER ADDITION	880	880	880	880
		NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER DETACHMENT MAYPORT				
		FLEET SUPPORT WAREHOUSE ADDITION	3,730	3,730	3,730	3,730

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	COMP. AGREEMT
		NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER JACKSONVILLE				
		OIL SPILL PREVENTION	830	830	830	830
		NAVAL TECHNICAL TRAINING CENTER PENSACOLA				
		BOILER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	1,180	1,180	1,180	1,180
		CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE FACILITY	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,570
		INSTRUMENTATION TRAINING FACILITY	4,610	4,610	4,610	4,610
		NAVAL TRAINING CENTER ORLANDO				
		ADVANCED UNDERWATER WEAPONS TRAINING FAC	2,950	2,950	0	0
		BARRACKS	8,440	8,440	8,440	8,440
		FIRE STATION	1,230	1,230	1,230	1,230
		PUBLIC WORKS SHOP	2,550	0	0	0
		NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PENSACOLA				
		ELECTRICAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	1,470	1,470	1,470	1,470
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE & HANDLING FAC	400	400	400	400
		OIL SPILL PREVENTION	250	250	250	250
	AIR FORCE					
		AVON PARK				
		EXTEND RUNWAY	4,100	4,100	0	4,100 1/
		EGLIN AFB				
		FUEL SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,650	0	0	0
		NON-DESTRUCT INSPECTION FACILITY	720	720	720	720
		HOMESTEAD AFB				
		DINING HALL AND TROOP ISSUE FACILITY	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400
		F16-ECM PID SHOP AND STORAGE	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650
		MEDICAL WAR RESERVE MATERIAL FACILITY	770	770	770	770
		SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	730	730	730	730
		MACDILL AFB				
		ALTER USREDCOM COMMAND CENTER	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
		BASE CONTRACTING FACILITY	1,350	1,350	0	0
		MEDICAL WAR RESERVE MATERIAL FACILITY	780	780	780	780
		PATRICK AFB				
		AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITIES	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		TYNDALL AFB				
		ECIP-FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	600	0	0	0 2/
		F15-ADD/ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS FAC	760	760	760	760
		F15-ADDITION TO AIRCRAFT APRON	9,300	9,300	9,300	9,300
		F15-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,650	4,650	4,650	4,650
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					

1/ project authorized in fiscal year 1986, use prior year authorization

2/ authorized to be funded with prior year energy savings

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		AVON PARK				
		RANGE, 25 METER ZERO	109	109	109	109
		RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	165	165	165	165
		CAMP BLANDING				
		MOBILIZATION & TRAINING EQUIP SITE ADD/ALT	1,043	1,043	1,043	1,043
		CRYSTAL RIVER				
		200 PERSON ARMORY	1,017	1,017	1,017	1,017
		DAYTONA BEACH				
		ARMORY MODIFICATION	389	389	389	389
		LAKELAND				
		TRAINING SET FIRE OBSERVATION BUILDING	116	116	116	116
		PANAMA CITY				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	809	809	809	809
		PENSACOLA				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	307	307	307	307
		SARASOTA				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	617	617	617	617
		TAVARES				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	487	487	487	487
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	315	315	315	315
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		JACKSONVILLE IAP				
		ADD-ALTER AIRCRAFT ENGINE SHOP	360	360	360	360
		FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM	750	750	750	750
		SPECIAL FUELS FACILITY	240	240	240	240
		MACDILL AFB				
		COMMUNICATIONS-ELECTRONICS TRNG COMPLEX	4,700	4,700	4,700	4,700
	ARMY RESERVE					
		PERRINE				
		ARMY RESERVE CENTER/MEDICAL WING/MAINT	6,700	6,700	6,700	6,700
TOTAL: FLORIDA		--	125,274	118,354	94,454	101,344
GEORGIA						
	ARMY					

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT	
		FORT BENNING					
		CHAPEL	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER ADDITION	280	280	280	280	
		FIRE STATION	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	
		VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	4,000	4,000	0	0	
		VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	9,100	9,100	9,100	9,100	
		FORT MCPHERSON					
		OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900	
		FT STEWART/HUNTER AAF					
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	1,550	1,550	1,550	1,550	
	NAVY						
		NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE KINGS BAY					
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	3,440	3,440	3,440	3,440	
		COMMANDER SUBMARINE GROUP OFFICE	1,550	0	1,550	1,550	
		COMMUNITY IMPACT ASSISTANCE	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100	
		DEFENSIVE ORDNANCE SUPPORT FACILITIES	3,440	3,440	3,440	3,440	
		DIESEL FUEL FACILITIES	1,960	1,960	1,960	1,960	
		DOCKSIDE HANDLING BUILDING	3,340	3,340	3,340	3,340	
		DREDGING	50,400	45,360	50,400	50,400	1/
		DRYDOCK	45,450	40,905	45,450	45,450	
		FIRE STATION	350	350	350	350	
		OFF-CREW OPERATIONS BUILDING	7,400	6,660	7,400	7,400	
		PROVISION WAREHOUSE	2,160	0	2,160	2,160	
		READY STORES FACILITY	1,270	0	1,270	1,270	
		REFIT SUPPORT FACILITY	2,950	2,950	2,950	2,950	
		REFIT WHARF	23,500	21,150	23,500	23,500	
		STRATEGIC WEAPONS MAGAZINES	16,700	15,030	16,700	16,700	
		STRATEGIC WPNS SYS SECOND LEVEL MAIN SHOPS	3,930	3,930	3,930	3,930	
		UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900	
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(10,000)	(10,000)	
	AIR FORCE						
		MOODY AFB					
		AIR FORCE COMM COMMAND MAINT FAC	900	900	900	900	
		ROBINS AFB					
		ADD TO-ALTER AVIONICS REPAIR FACILITY	6,455	6,455	6,455	6,455	
		AIRCRAFT UTILITY OUTLETS	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700	
		DANGEROUS CARGO PAD	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700	
		HIGH DENSITY STORAGE FACILITIES	3,800	3,800	3,800	3,800	
		KC135-COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER SIM FAC	660	660	660	660	
		SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	740	740	740	740	

DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES

1/ authorized at $125,600,000 and authorized for appropriations at $40,000,000 in fiscal year 1986, authorized for appropriations at $45,450,000 in fiscal year 1987

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		FORT BENNING				
		WHITE ELEM SCHOOL MODERNIZATION/ADDITION	1,080	1,080	0	0
		ROBINS AIR FORCE BASE				
		ROBINS AND LINWOOD ELEM SCHOOL ADDITIONS	2,440	2,440	0	0
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		FORT STEWART				
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	0	730	0	0 1/
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	486	486	486	486
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		DOBBINS AFB				
		ADD-ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE BLDGS-PH I	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
		ST SIMONS ISLAND				
		COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS TRAINING FAC	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
TOTAL: GEORGIA		---	230,431	211,836	212,911	212,911
HAWAII						
	ARMY					
		HAWAII VARIOUS				
		OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY	12,000	0	12,000	0
		WHEELER ARMY AIR FIELD				
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900
	NAVY					
		CAMP H M SMITH - OAHU				
		LAND ACQUISITION	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,570
		SECURITY HEADQUARTERS	500	0	500	500
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION KANEOHE BAY				
		AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	1,280	0	1,280	0
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
		COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	6,480	6,480	0	0
		COMPANY AND BATTERY OPERATIONS CENTER	9,130	9,130	9,130	9,130
		CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR	2,260	0	2,260	0
		FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
		OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY	880	880	880	880
		POWER CHECK PAD	500	500	500	500
		TACTICAL SUPPORT VAN PADS	8,840	8,840	8,840	8,840
		NAVAL AIR STATION BARBERS POINT				

1/ authorized by section 2404, hazardous waste storage

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		TACAMO SUPPORT COMPLEX	25,300	0	0	0
		NAVAL COM AREA MASTER STA EASTPAC HONOLULU				
		ANTENNA SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS	1,080	0	1,080	0
		NAVAL MAGAZINE LUALUALEI				
		MINE MAINTENANCE FACILITY MODIFICATIONS	860	860	860	860
		MISSILE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	640	640	640	640
		NAVAL STATION PEARL HARBOR				
		SEAL TEAM TRAINING AND SUPPORT BUILDING	3,240	3,240	3,240	3,240
		NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE PEARL HARBOR				
		DREDGING	690	690	690	690
		NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER PEARL HARBOR				
		COLD STORAGE FACILITY	11,820	11,820	0	0
		NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER PEARL HARBOR				
		ELECTRICAL DISTR SYS IMPROVEMENTS (PH 1)	18,200	0	18,200	0
		MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	790	790	790	790
		WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS	6,700	6,700	6,700	6,700
		PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FAC BARKING SANDS				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR & SUPPORT FACS	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900
		RANGE OPERATIONS CENTER ADDITION	2,360	2,360	2,360	2,360
	AIR FORCE					
		HICKAM AFB				
		ADD-ALTER COMMAND CENTER	4,700	4,700	0	4,700
		VEHICLE OPERATIONS-MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,150	0	1,150	0
	STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE ORGANIZATION					
		PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE				
		INTERMEDIATE BALLISTIC MISSILE LAUNCH CPLX	2,890	0	2,890	2,890
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		PEARL HARBOR				
		OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH CLINIC	9,700	9,700	9,700	9,700
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		BARKING SANDS				
		ADD-ALTER COMMUNICATIONS-ELEC TRNG COMPLEX	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
		KEAUKAHA MILITARY RESERVATION				
		MOBILITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE	180	180	180	180
TOTAL: HAWAII			158,740	96,080	110,440	79,170

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
IDAHO						
	AIR FORCE					
		MOUNTAIN HOME AFB				
		ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	520	520	520	520
		COMBAT SUPPORT CENTER	8,700	8,700	8,700	8,700
		NAVIGATION AIDS COMMUNICATION MAINT SHOP	840	840	840	840
		SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	700	700	700	700
		UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING (OTH-B)	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		MOUNTAIN HOME AFB				
		COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		GOWEN FIELD				
		TANK COMMANDERS ACADEMY COMPLEX	2,935	2,935	2,935	2,935
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		BOISE AIRPORT				
		AIRMAN DORMITORY	320	320	320	320
		BASE SUPPLY/EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		DINING HALL	150	150	150	150
TOTAL: IDAHO		--	51,265	51,265	51,265	51,265

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	COMF. AGREEMT
ILLINOIS						
	ARMY					
		SAVANNA ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	320	320	320	320
		FORT SHERIDAN				
		BARRACKS	2,050	2,050	2,050	2,050
	NAVY					
		NAVAL TRAINING CENTER GREAT LAKES				
		FIRE FIGHTING TRAINER	5,300	5,300	5,300	5,300
	AIR FORCE					
		CHANUTE AFB				
		LIQUID FUELS TRAINING FACILITY	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		WEATHER TRAINING FACILITY	8,700	8,700	8,700	8,700
		SCOTT AFB				
		ADD-ALTER CONSOLIDATED COMPUTER FACILITY	8,900	1,100	1,100	1,100
	DEFENCE COMMUNICATION AGENCY					
		SCOTT AFB				
		DECCO COMPUTER CENTER/ADMIN FACILITY	7,600	7,600	7,600	7,600
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CHICAGO				
		OMS MODIFICATIONS	346	346	346	346
		CHICAGO				
		ARMORY ALTERATION	1,573	1,573	1,573	1,573
		MARSEILLES				
		FACILITY ENGR. SHOP & STORAGE BUILDING	208	208	208	208
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAPITAL MAP				
		AIRCRAFT APRON SECURITY LIGHTING	120	120	120	120
		CHICAGO-O'HARE IAP				
		ANG/AFRES MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	800	800	800	800
		GREATER PEORIA AIRPORT				
		SITE PREPARATION-PHASE I	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
	ARMY RESERVE					
		JOLIET				
		WEEKEND TRAINING SITE/RANGES	4,765	4,765	4,765	4,765

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NAS GLENVIEW				
		AVIATION TECHNICAL TRAINING BUILDING	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
		KC-130 PARKING APRON	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RNMCB-25	175	175	175	175
		NRF GREAT LAKES				
		ASSAULT CRAFT UNIT MAINT. FACILITY	700	700	700	700
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		CHICAGO-O'HARE IAP				
		TACTICAL CLINIC (JOINT USE)	750	750	750	750
TOTAL: ILLINOIS		--	53,007	45,207	45,207	45,207
INDIANA						
	ARMY					
		INDIANA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT				
		ACCESS ROADS TO SHIPHOUSES	260	0	0	0 1/
		MODERNIZE TESTING LABORATORIES	1,300	0	0	0 1/
	NAVY					
		NAVAL WEAPONS SUPPORT CENTER CRANE				
		FLEET MICROWAVE SUPPORT CENTER	6,880	6,880	6,880	6,880
	AIR FORCE					
		GRISSOM AFB				
		COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	850	850	850	850
		MISSION OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP ATTERBURY				
		RANGE, RECORD FIRE M-16	334	334	334	334
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	318	318	318	318
	ARMY RESERVE					
		SOUTH BEND				
		ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITIES	3,511	3,511	3,511	3,511
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		GRISSOM AFB				
		AVIONICS/DCM FACILITY (A-10)	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
TOTAL: INDIANA		--	18,253	16,693	16,693	16,693
IOWA						

1/ recommended project be transferred to Army ammunition procurement account

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP DODGE				
		RANGE, FIELD FIRE	257	257	257	257
		REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TNG SITE	839	839	839	839
		SEWAGE SYSTEM MODIFICATION	806	806	806	806
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	280	280	280	280
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		DES MOINES MAP				
		LANA POD MAINTENANCE SHOP	360	360	360	360
	ARMY RESERVE					
		OTTUMWA				
		LAND ACQUISITION	60	60	60	60
TOTAL: IOWA		--	2,602	2,602	2,602	2,602
KANSAS						
	ARMY					
		KANSAS ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT				
		EMERGENCY LIGHTING & POWER FOR 300 LINE	410	0	0	0 1/
		UPGRADE COAL HANDLING SYSTEM-BUILDING 209	640	0	0	0 1/
		FORT LEAVENWORTH				
		WATER STORAGE TANK	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		FORT RILEY				
		BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	6,100	6,100	6,100	6,100
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	4,250	4,250	0	0
		PRIMARY WATER SUPPLY CONNECTION	2,150	2,150	2,150	2,150
	AIR FORCE					
		MCCONNELL AFB				
		B1B-ADD/ALTER ACFT MAINTENANCE MGMT FAC	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
		B1B-ADD/ALTER ACFT MAINTENANCE SHOPS	2,740	2,740	2,740	2,740
		B1B-ADD/ALTER OPERATIONS MAINTENANCE FACS	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
		B1B-ADD/ALTER ACFT PRECISION MEASUREMENT EQ	500	500	500	500
		B1B-ADD/ALTER ACFT SUPPORT EQUIP/STORAGE FAC	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250
		B1B-AIRFIELD PAVEMENT	2,740	2,740	2,740	2,740
		B1B-ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGARS	11,970	11,970	11,970	11,970
		B1B-BASE ENGINEER MAINT MANAGEMENT FAC	240	240	240	240
		B1B-BLAST DEFLECTORS	960	960	960	960
		B1B-CASS EQUIPMENT AND INSTALLATION	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		B1B-FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRNG FACILITY	3,660	3,660	3,660	3,660
		B1B-HEAVY EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		B1B-MOBILITY CENTER	1,950	1,950	1,950	1,950

1/ recommended project be transferred to Army ammunition procurement account

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		KC135-HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	980	980	980	980
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(2,000)	(2,000)
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		JUNCTION CITY				
		ARMORY MODIFICATION	353	353	353	353
		NICKELL BARRACKS				
		RANGE, RECORD FIRE M-16	291	291	291	291
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	335	335	335	335
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		FORBES FIELD				
		ALTER OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY	172	172	172	172
		MCCONNELL AFB				
		ADD-ALTER BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		ADDITION TO ENGINE SHOP	500	500	500	500
	ARMY RESERVE					
		KANSAS CITY				
		ADD/ALTER ARMY RESERVE CENTER	1,548	2,548	1,548	1,548
		MANHATTAN				
		ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	1,522	1,522	1,522	1,522
		PARSONS				
		ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	1,534	1,534	1,534	1,534
TOTAL: KANSAS		--	58,145	57,095	50,865	50,865
KENTUCKY						
	ARMY					
		FORT CAMPBELL				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	16,500	0	0	0
		FUEL PUMPING STATION	240	0	240	240
		VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
		FORT KNOX				
		APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	3,200	3,200	0	0
		LEXINGTON-BLUEGRASS DEP ACT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	560	0	560	0
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		FORT CAMPBELL				
		MIDDLE SCHOOL ADDITION	1,340	1,340	0	0
		FORT KNOX				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL GYMNASIUMS-SIX	4,350	4,350	0	0
TOTAL: KENTUCKY		--	30,670	13,390	5,280	4,740
LOUISIANA						
	ARMY					
		FORT POLK				
		MULTI-PURPOSE TRAINING RANGE	27,000	27,000	27,000	26,000 1/
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (583)	37,000	37,000	37,000	37,000
	AIR FORCE					
		ENGLAND AFB				
		BASE CIVIL ENGINEER ADMINISTRATIVE FAC	2,300	2,300	0	0
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		FORT POLK				
		TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		DELHI				
		60 PERSON ARMORY	859	859	859	859
		FARMERVILLE				
		60 PERSON ARMORY	835	835	835	835
		MONROE				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT.	268	268	268	268
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	300	300	300	300
		VILLE PLATTE				
		60 PERSON ARMORY	690	690	690	690
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NAVAL AIR STATION NEW ORLEANS				
		APPROACH LIGHTING SYSTEM RUNWAYS 4 AND 22	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
		NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY, NEW ORLEANS				
		ADMIN SPACE, BLDG 603-2-C	260	260	260	260
		ISMD/AUDIO VISION	275	275	275	275
		NMCRC NEW ORLEANS				
		RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING ALTERATION	700	700	700	700

1/ distributed general reduction, not authorized for appropriations

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		RNMCB-28 BARKSDALE AFB				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RNMCB-28	175	175	175	175
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		BARKSDALE AFB				
		ALTER AVIONICS/SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
TOTAL: LOUISIANA		--	76,512	76,512	74,212	73,212
MAINE						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION BRUNSWICK				
		GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	2,160	0	2,160	0
		OIL SPILL PREVENTION	450	450	450	450
		OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY ADDITION	710	710	710	710
		NAVAL SHIPYARD PORTSMOUTH KITTERY				
		ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT BUILDING	10,900	0	10,900	10,900
		HAZARDOUS MATERIAL STORAGE & HANDLING FAC	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100
		LOGISTICS SUPPORT FACILITY ALTERATIONS	980	980	980	980
		REFUELING CRANE	6,190	6,190	6,190	6,190
	AIR FORCE					
		BANGOR				
		PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY	1,250	0	1,250	0
		LORING AFB				
		AIRCRAFT APRON-REFUELING SYSTEM-PH I	12,200	0	12,200	0
		ALTER WEAPONS STORAGE AREA	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		NAS BRUNSWICK				
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	0	510	0	0 1/
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	503	503	503	503
	ARMY RESERVE					
		SACO				
		ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	1,129	1,129	1,129	1,129
	NAVY RESERVE					
		RNMCB-27 NAVAL AIR STATION BRUNSWICK				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RNMCB-27	175	175	175	175
TOTAL: MAINE		--	43,067	17,067	43,067	27,437

1/ authorized by section 2404, hazardous waste storage

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE ACTION	SENATE ACTION	CONF. AGREEMENT
MARYLAND						
	ARMY					
		ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND				
		APPLIED INSTRUCTION BUILDING	23,000	23,000	0	22,000 1/
		BARRACKS COMPLEX	19,000	19,000	19,000	18,000
		CONDENSATE RETURN	750	750	750	750
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (140)	9,700	9,700	9,700	9,700
		FAMILY HOUSING-MOBILE HOME SPACES (70)	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		HARRY DIAMOND LAB				
		COMPUTER LABORATORY ADDITION	680	680	680	680
	NAVY					
		NAVAL ACADEMY ANNAPOLIS				
		EDUCATIONAL COMPUTER CENTER	3,380	3,380	3,380	3,380
		FIRE STATION	460	460	460	460
		NAVAL AIR TEST CENTER PATUXENT RIVER				
		AIRCRAFT TEST AND EVALUATION FACILITY	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300
		LANDING SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	4,030	4,030	4,030	4,030
		MUNICIPAL SEWER CONNECTION	1,360	1,360	1,360	1,360
		STEAM AND CONDENSATE SYSTEMS	2,450	2,450	2,450	2,450
		NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION INDIAN HEAD				
		OIL SPILL PREVENTION	580	580	580	580
		PROPELLANT FACILITIES UPGRADE	1,680	950	0	0
	AIR FORCE					
		ANDREWS AFB				
		AF ONE MAINT AND SUPPORT COMPLEX	43,000	0	23,000	23,000
		ECIP-ALTER LIGHTING SYSTEMS	430	0	0	0 2/
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		ANDREWS AFB				
		ALTER MEDICAL CENTER CLINIC ANNEX	2,000	2,000	0	0
		USUHS BETHESDA				
		MEDICAL STORAGE FACILITY	900	900	900	900
	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY					
		FORT MEADE				
		CONNECTOR ROAD	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200
		R&D FACILITY	53,700	38,000	53,700	38,000 3/
		ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	4,370	0	4,370	4,370
	DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY					
		BETHESDA NAVAL HOSPITAL				

1/ distributed general reduction, not authorized for appropriations

2/ authorized to be funded with prior year energy savings

3/ authorized at $74,064,000 and authorized for appropriations at $20,364,000 in fiscal year 1986, authorized for appropriations at $38,000,000 in fiscal year 1987

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMENT
		RESEARCH SUPPORT BUILDING	790	790	790	790
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		EDGEWOOD				
		400 PERSON ARMORY	5,723	5,723	5,723	5,723
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		ANDREWS AFB				
		ALTER AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP	300	300	300	300
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		ANDREWS AFB				
		ALTER BLDG FOR MOBILE AERIAL PORT SQUADRON	110	110	110	110
		ALTER FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM HANGAR [illegible]	300	300	300	300
		ALTER FUEL SYSTEM DOCK	160	160	160	160
TOTAL: MARYLAND		--	201,193	132,963	152,083	157,383
MASSACHUSETTS						
	ARMY					
		FORT DEVENS				
		ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800
		ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	9,500	9,500	3,000	9,500
		BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
	AIR FORCE					
		CAPE COD				
		ALTER POWER PLANT	4,300	4,300	4,300	4,300
		HANSCOM AFB				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
		ALTER UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP CURTIS GUILD (READING)				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	563	563	563	563
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		OTIS ANGB				
		ALTER AIRCRAFT ENGINE SHOP	210	210	210	210
		ALTER AVIONICS/FUEL FACILITY	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
	ARMY RESERVE					
		DEVENS				
		EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE	360	360	360	360
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		WESTOVER AFB				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250
		APRON, TAXIWAY/HYDRANT FUELING SYSTEM	13,730	13,730	13,730	13,730
		CONSTRUCT FIRE STATION	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		UPGRADE HANGAR	6,505	6,505	6,505	6,505
		UPGRADE HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
TOTAL: MASSACHUSETTS		--	57,498	57,498	50,998	57,498
MICHIGAN						
	ARMY					
		DETROIT ARSENAL				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,350	1,350	1,350	1,350
	AIR FORCE					
		KI SAWYER AFB				
		ADD-ALTER COMBAT ARMS TRNG/MAINT FACS	760	760	760	760
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	990	990	990	990
		WURTSMITH AFB				
		B52-ADD TO AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	4,450	4,450	4,450	4,450
		B52-ADD TO HYDRANT REFUELING WITH CASS	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		B52-ADD/ALTER ALERT ACFT PARKING AREA	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
		B52-ADD/ALTER ALERT CREW FACILITY	1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
		ECIP-BOILER IMPROVEMENTS	330	0	0	0 1/
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	870	870	870	870
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(1,000)	(1,000)
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		AUGUSTA				
		RANGE, M60 TRANSITION	180	180	180	180
		CAMP GRAYLING				
		AUTOMATED FIELD FIRE RANGE	553	553	553	553
		AUTOMATED RECORD FIRE RANGE	665	665	665	665
		DEARBORN				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	397	397	397	397
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	345	345	345	345
	ARMY RESERVE					
		KALAMAZOO				
		LAND ACQUISITION	110	110	110	110
		SAGINAW				
		ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER	3,070	3,070	3,070	3,070

1/ authorized to be funded with prior year energy savings

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
	NAVY RESERVE					
		AFRC SAGINAW				
		RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600
		RNMCB-26 DETROIT				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RNMCB-26	175	175	175	175
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		SELFRIDGE ANGB				
		SURVIVAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	800	800	800	800
TOTAL: MICHIGAN		---	24,515	24,195	23,195	23,195
MINNESOTA						
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		ALBERT LEA				
		100 PERSON ARMORY	843	843	843	843
		CAMP RIPLEY				
		TRNG FACIL - A/A TRACKING & LIVE FIRE RANGE	592	592	592	592
		TROOP ISSUE SUBSISTANCE ACTIVITY	3,031	3,031	3,031	3,031
		DETROIT LAKES				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP ADD/ALT	374	374	374	374
		MOORHEAD				
		200 PERSON ARMORY	1,331	1,331	1,331	1,331
		WILLMAR				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINT. SHOP MODIFICATIONS	226	226	226	226
	ARMY RESERVE					
		ST CLOUD				
		LAND ACQUISITION	255	255	255	255
	NAVY RESERVE					
		RNCFSU-4 ST PAUL INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT				
		PERMANENT DRILL SITE RNCFSU-4	390	390	390	390
TOTAL: MINNESOTA		---	7,042	7,042	7,042	7,042
MISSISSIPPI						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION MERIDIAN				
		AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	3,170	3,170	3,170	3,170
		FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	650	650	650	650
		GAS GENERATING PLANT	590	590	590	590
		PHYSICAL SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	250	0	250	0

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CTR GULFPORT				
		CONTROLLED HUMIDITY STORAGE FACILITIES	14,200	14,200	14,200	14,200
		DATA PROCESSING CENTER	690	690	690	690
		FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	400	400	400	400
		SEABEE BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,670	0	1,670	1,670
		SECURITY BUILDING	690	690	690	690
		NAVAL CONSTRUCTION TRAINING CTR GULFPORT				
		SEABEES INSTRUCTION BUILDING	1,180	1,180	1,180	1,180
		SUPERVISOR OF SHIP BUILDING PASCAGOULA				
		LAND ACQUISITION & BUILDING MODERNIZATION	4,120	4,120	4,120	4,120
	AIR FORCE					
		KEESLER AFB				
		DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200 1/
		ECIP-FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	1,970	0	0	0
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		KEESLER AFB				
		ADD/ALTER RADIOLOGY LINER ACCELERATOR	3,100	3,100	3,100	3,100
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP MCCAIN				
		BARRACKS, ADM., MESS HALL	0	0	625	625
		COMBINED SUPPT. MAINT. SHOP	0	0	1,745	1,745
		ARMORY	0	0	1,410	1,410
		CAMP SHELBY				
		REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TNG SITE	1,214	1,214	1,214	1,214
		REHAB. PAHSE 21A	0	0	4,451	4,451
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		ALLEN C THOMPSON FIELD				
		ADD-ALTER ENGINE/AVIONICS SHOPS	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		GULFPORT				
		JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	4,250	4,250	4,250	4,250
TOTAL: MISSISSIPPI		--	42,644	38,754	48,905	48,655
MISSOURI						
	ARMY					
		LAKE CITY AAP				
		CONSTRUCT 2 WATER WELLS	370	0	0	0 2/
		FORT LEONARD WOOD				

1/ authorized to be funded with prior year energy savings

2/ recommended project be transferred to Army ammunition procurement account

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	COMP. AGREEMT
		APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	16,000	16,000	0	16,000
		ENGINEER SCHOOL/POST HEADQUARTERS BUILDING	14,000	14,000	14,000	13,000 1/
		UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER HOUSING	13,200	13,200	13,200	13,200
	AIR FORCE					
		WHITEMAN AFB				
		ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	9,500	9,500	9,500	9,500
		COLD STORAGE FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
	DEFENSE MAPPING AGENCY					
		DMA AEROSPACE CENTER	16,700	16,700	16,700	16,700
		DIGITAL PRODUCTION FACILITIES				
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP CLARK				
		RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	105	105	105	105
		FORT CROWDER				
		CLASSROOMS, MILITARY	123	123	123	123
		RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	105	105	105	105
		RANGE, RECORD FIRE	231	231	231	231
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	343	343	343	343
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		ROSECRANS MEMORIAL AIRPORT				
		ADD TO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHOP	180	180	180	180
		ADDITION TO SQUADRON OPERATIONS	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		ST LOUIS-LAMBERT FIELD				
		MOBILITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE	350	350	350	350
	NAVY RESERVE					
		RNMCB-15 RICHARDS GEBAUR AFB				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RNMCB-15	175	175	175	175
TOTAL: MISSOURI		--	73,782	73,412	57,412	72,412
MONTANA						
	AIR FORCE					
		MALMSTROM AFB				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,800	3,800	3,800	3,800
		KC135R-ADD TO AND ALTER FIRE STATION	570	570	570	570
		KC135R-ADD/ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	800	800	800	800
		KC135R-ALTER AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600
		KC135R-ALTER AVIONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP	210	210	210	210
		KC135R-ALTER FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE DOCK	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400

1/ distributed general reduction, not authorized for appropriations

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		KC135R-ALTER HEADQUARTERS OPERATIONS FAC	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700
		KC135R-ALTER HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500
		KC135R-ALTER SUPPORT EQUIP/STORAGE FAC	280	280	280	280
		KC135R-APRON LIGHTING	390	390	390	390
		KC135R-CASS PROVISIONS-PHASE I	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		KC135R-INSTRUMENT LANDING SYSTEM	920	920	920	920
		KC135R-RUNWAY APPROACH LIGHTING	800	800	800	800
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(3,000)	(3,000)
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		BILLINGS				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	410	410	410	410
		RANGE, MULTI-PURPOSE INDOOR	315	315	315	315
		GLENDIVE				
		RANGE, MULTI-PURPOSE INDOOR	315	315	315	315
		LIMESTONE HILLS				
		RANGE SUPPORT FACILITY	192	192	192	192
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		GREAT FALLS IAP				
		SPECIAL FUELS FACILITY	190	190	190	190
TOTAL: MONTANA			35,192	35,192	32,192	32,192
NEBRASKA						
	AIR FORCE					
		OFFUTT AFB				
		ADD TO COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	520	520	520	520
		ADD-ALTER STRATEGIC COMM DIVISION HQ FAC	2,470	2,470	2,470	2,470
		ECIP-FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	2,680	0	0	0
		ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION	4,450	4,450	4,450	4,450
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
		STRATEGIC ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS CTR	12,350	12,350	12,350	12,350
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		GERING	135	135	135	135
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP				
		HASTINGS				
		RANGE, FIELD FIRE	127	127	127	127
		RANGE, TANK TABLE VIIC	129	129	129	129
		UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE	581	581	581	581
		LINCOLN				
		U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	242	242	242	242

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	283	283	283	283
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		LINCOLN MAP				
		ADD-ALTER FLIGHTLINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	160	160	160	160
TOTAL: NEBRASKA		--	26,627	23,947	23,947	23,947
NEVADA						
	ARMY					
		HAWTHORNE AAP				
		AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY	7,000	0	0	0
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON				
		ELECTRONIC WARFARE RANGE IMPROVEMENTS	11,800	7,800	7,800	7,800
		LAND ACQUISITION	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400
		PARALLEL RUNWAY	17,000	17,000	17,000	17,000
	AIR FORCE					
		INDIAN SPRINGS				
		CONTROL TOWER	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		NELLIS AFB				
		BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX-PHASE I	7,700	7,700	0	0
		COMBAT SUPPORT CENTER	6,200	6,200	6,200	6,200
		DINING HALL	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		PARALLEL TAXIWAY/LAND ACQUISITION	7,300	7,300	7,300	7,300
	NAVY RESERVE					
		AFRC LAS VEGAS				
		RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
TOTAL: NEVADA		--	68,700	57,700	50,000	50,000
NEW HAMPSHIRE						
	AIR FORCE					
		PEASE AFB				
		ADD-ALTER AVIONICS MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150
		ADD-ALTER COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FAC	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	460	460	460	460
TOTAL: NEW HAMPSHIRE		--	2,660	2,660	2,660	2,660

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	COMP. AGREEMT
NEW JERSEY						
	ARMY	FORT MONMOUTH				
		BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900
	NAVY					
		NAVAL WEAPONS STATION EARLE				
		AMMUNITION PIER AND TRESTLE	52,500	32,500	32,500	32,500 1/
		FLEET SUPPORT FACILITIES	1,960	1,960	1,960	1,960
		SECURITY TOWER	300	300	300	300
	AIR FORCE					
		MCGUIRE AFB				
		ADD-ALTER BASE CIVIL ENGINEERING COMPLEX	5,700	5,700	0	0
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
		SATELITTLE COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	895	895	895	895
		HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE	325	325	325	325
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		MCGUIRE AFB				
		DENTAL CLINIC	3,800	3,800	3,800	3,800
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		RED BANK				
		ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIF.	211	211	211	211
		SEA GIRT				
		BAFFLED RANGES	567	567	567	567
		VINELAND				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIF.	183	183	183	183
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		ATLANTIC CITY				
		ADD-ALTER FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGER	350	350	350	350
		AVIONICS SHOP	2,060	2,060	2,060	2,060
		COMPOSITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600
		MCGUIRE AFB				
		BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
		MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FAC	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
	NAVY RESERVE					
		RNMCB-21 LAKEHURST				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RNMCB-21	175	175	175	175
TOTAL: NEW JERSEY		---	88,726	68,726	63,026	63,026
NEW MEXICO						

1/ authorized at $52,500,000 and authorized for appropriations at $32,500,000 in fiscal year 1987

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
	ARMY					
		FORT WINGATE				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	350	0	350	0
	AIR FORCE					
		CANNON AFB				
		SMALL AIRCARFT MAINTENANCE DOCK	0	0	4,700	4,700
		AIRCRAFT FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE DOCK	0	0	2,550	2,550
		HOLLOMAN AFB				
		LAND ACQUISITION	2,150	2,150	2,150	2,150
		RATSCAT MODERNIZATION-PHASE II	4,160	4,160	4,160	4,160
		SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS SECURITY	600	600	600	600
		TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTER	3,350	3,350	3,350	3,350
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
		KIRTLAND AFB				
		ALTER MAINTENANCE HANGER	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		COMMUNICATIONS DUCT SYSTEM	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		OPTICS AND BEAM CONTROL LABORATORY	8,700	0	8,700	8,700
	STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE ORGANIZATION					
		WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE				
		HIGH ENDO DEFENSE INTERCEPTOR LAUNCH CMPX	1,930	0	1,930	1,930
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		KIRTLAND AFB				
		ADD-ALTER COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY	25,550	0	16,000	16,000
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		KIRTLAND ARB				
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	0	620	0	0 1/
	DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY					
		KIRTLAND AFB				
		POWER UPGRADE BUILDING NBR 20676	900	900	900	900
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	209	209	209	209
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		KIRTLAND AFB				
		LANA POD MAINTENANCE SHOP	360	360	360	360
TOTAL: NEW MEXICO		--	55,859	19,949	53,559	53,209

NEW YORK

1/ authorized by section 2404, hazardous waste storage

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
	ARMY					
		FORT DRUM				
		10TH MOUNTAIN DIVISION FACILITIES-PHASE I	232,000	180,000	200,000	180,000 1/
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (1200)	91,000	91,000	91,000	91,000
		SENECA ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY LIGHTING	1,100	0	1,100	0
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (30)	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900
		U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY				
		ACADEMIC FACILITY MODERNIZATION	15,500	14,500	15,500	14,500
		ACADEMIC FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE II	13,000	10,000	13,000	10,000
	NAVY					
		NAVAL STATION NEW YORK				
		SHORE INTERM MAINT ACTIVITY	22,600	22,600	22,600	22,600
		SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	6,800	0	6,800	0
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS/MESS HALL	7,950	7,950	7,950	7,950
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	2,450	0	2,450	0
		UTILITIES/SITE IMPROVEMENT	22,400	22,400	22,400	22,400
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (300)	28,330	0	0	0
		DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	1,450	1,450	1,450	1,450
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(6,000)	0
	AIR FORCE					
		GRIFFISS AFB				
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150
		T9 NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	440	440	440	440
		PLATTSBURGH AFB				
		ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,200	3,200	0	0
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,000	3,000	0	0
		COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS.	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250
		KC135 COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER/MAINT FAC	760	760	760	760
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		GOVERNORS ISLAND				
		ELEM/JR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION/ROOF REPAIR	2,600	2,600	0	0
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		DRYDEN				
		200 PERSON ARMORY	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670
		GUTLERLAND				
		TRAINING FACILITIES, PHASE II	305	305	305	305
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				

1/ authorized for appropriations at $180,000,000 in fiscal year 1987, $221,000,000 in fiscal year 1988, and $214,000,000 in fiscal year 1989

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	775	775	775	775
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		HANCOCK FIELD				
		MOBILITY STORAGE WAREHOUSE	170	170	170	170
		STEWART AIRPORT				
		COMPOSITE MAINT FAC/SUPPLY COMPLEX	10,150	10,150	10,150	10,150
	ARMY RESERVE					
		NEWBURGH				
		ARMY RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITIES	5,485	5,485	5,485	5,485
		SCHENECTADY				
		MAINT ACTIVITY/KITCHEN ADDITION	165	165	165	165
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NMCFSU-1 SYRACUSE				
		PERMANENT DRILL SITE NMCFSU-1	390	390	390	390
		NMCB-13 PEEKSKILL				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY NMCB-13	175	175	175	175
TOTAL: NEW YORK		--	480,565	385,885	405,435	377,085
NORTH CAROLINA						
	ARMY					
		FORT BRAGG				
		AERIAL GUNNERY RANGE	2,350	2,350	0	0
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		OPERATIONS COMPLEX PHASE II	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200
		RANGE COMPLEX	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		SPECIAL FORCES MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY	6,500	6,500	6,500	6,500
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	8,200	8,200	0	8,200
		SUNNY POINT ARMY TERM				
		PROVOST MARSHAL FACILITY	650	650	650	650
	NAVY					
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATIONS CHERRY POINT				
		AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	500	500	500	500
		LAND ACQUISITION	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370
		OPERATIONAL TRAINER FACILITY ADDITION	980	980	980	980
		REFUELING VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	2,060	0	2,060	0
		TACTICAL AIR COMBAT TRAINING FACILITY	3,730	3,730	3,730	3,730
		TROOP AND CARGO STAGING FACILITY	6,870	6,870	6,870	6,870
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION NEW RIVER				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900
		GROUP OPERATIONS FACILITY	980	980	980	980
		MAINTENANCE HANGER MODERNIZATION	15,120	15,120	15,120	15,120
		ROAD IMPROVEMENTS	710	710	710	710
		MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP LEJUNE				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	17,700	14,700	17,700	14,700
		BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	3,250	3,250	3,250	3,250
		COMBAT VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850
		ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATIONS MAINT SHOP	4,610	4,610	4,610	4,610
		FIELD MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	5,900	5,900	0	5,900
		MESS HALL	4,810	0	4,810	0
		FAMILY HOUSING-MOBILE HOME SPACES (75)	930	930	930	930
		NAVAL AIR REWORK FACILITY CHERRY POINT				
		AIRCRAFT ENGINE BLADE REWORK FACILITY	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600
		AIRCRAFT REWORK FACILITY	21,600	21,600	21,600	21,600
		NAVAL HOSPITAL CAMP LEJUNE				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670
	AIR FORCE					
		POPE AFB				
		MUNITIONS STORAGE COMPLEX	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		UPGRADE CENTRAL HEATING PLANTS	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
		HOUSING MANAGEMENT OFFICE	300	300	300	300
		SEYMOUR JOHNSON AFB				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	2,100	2,100	0	0
		SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	660	660	660	660
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		CAMP LEJUNE				
		MEDICAL AND DENTAL CLINIC	3,900	3,900	3,900	3,900
		TARAWA TERR/STONE ST/DELALIP ELMEM ADD	1,190	1,190	0	0
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		FORT BRAGG				
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	0	825	0	0 1/
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		FORT BRAGG				
		REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TNG SITE	1,211	1,211	1,211	1,211
		MORRISVILLE				
		400 PERSON ARMORY	2,061	2,061	2,061	2,061
		ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY	3,698	3,698	3,698	3,698

1/ authorized by section 2404, hazardous waste storage

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	675	675	675	675
	ARMY RESERVE					
		FORT BRAGG				
		EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE/MAINT FAC	2,046	2,046	2,046	2,046
		DURHAM				
		LAND ACQUISITION	90	90	90	90
		STATESVILLE				
		LAND ACQUISITION	35	35	35	35
TOTAL: NORTH CAROLINA		---	165,606	156,611	163,718	167,046
NORTH DAKOTA						
	AIR FORCE					
		CAVALIER				
		ADD-ALTER COOLING SYSTEM	1,120	1,120	1,120	1,120
		ALTER SATCOM GROUND TERMINAL	1,750	1,700	1,700	1,700
		GRAND FORKS AFB				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,100	6,100	6,100	6,100
		B1B ADD/ALTER VARIOUS MAINTENANCE FACS	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		B1B ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR TRAINING FAC	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		B1B ALTER INTEGRATED MAINTENANCE FAC	550	550	550	550
		B1B BLAST DEFLECTORS	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750
		B1B CATS EQUIPMENT AND INSTALLATION	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
		B1B CROSSOVER TAXIWAY	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
		B1B JET ENGINE STORAGE FACILITY	430	430	430	430
		B1B SECONDARY FIRE STATION	590	590	590	590
		B1B SUPPORT EQUIP AND STORAGE FACILITY	3,150	3,150	3,150	3,150
		B1B TRACTOR/TRAILER STORAGE FACILITIES	930	930	930	930
		COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		ELEVATED WATER STORAGE TANK	680	680	680	680
		KC135 COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRAINER SIM FAC	780	780	780	780
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	730	730	730	730
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(2,400)	(2,400)
		MINOT AFB				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,650	6,650	6,650	6,650
		CM-ADD/ALTER MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE SHOP	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		CM-MUNITIONS UPLOAD TRAINING FACILITY	8,300	8,300	8,300	8,300
		CM-UNARMED WEAPONS STORAGE FACILITY	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		CM-UPGRADE WEAPONS STORAGE AREA	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
		CM-WEAPONS CONVOY BASES	710	710	710	710
		CM-WEAPONS STORAGE IGLOOS	11,100	11,100	11,100	11,100
		COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGRMNT
		ECIP-ALTER HEATING SYSTEM	330	0	0	0 1/
		KC135-COCKPIT PROCEDURES TRNG SIM FAC	830	890	890	890
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(3,000)	(3,000)
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		BISMARK				
		400 PERSON ARMORY	5,295	5,295	5,295	5,295
TOTAL: NORTH DAKOTA		--	64,365	64,095	58,695	58,695

1/ authorized to be funded with prior year energy savings

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
OHIO						
	AIR FORCE					
		NEWARK AFS				
		RADIAC LABORATORY	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
		WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB				
		ACCESS ROAD	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
		ADD-ALTER ACFT FIRE PROT/EXPL RESEARCH LAB	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850
		ADD-ALTER BIOCOMMUNICATIONS LABORATORY	7,400	7,400	7,400	7,400
		AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY	3,300	3,300	0	0
		HANGAR FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
		ROAD	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEFENSE CONSTRUCTION SUPPLY CENTER				
		IMPROVE LIGHTING	580	580	580	580
		IMPROVE WAREHOUSE FACILITIES	280	280	280	280
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		LIMA				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	326	326	326	326
		PIQUA				
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP	312	312	312	312
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	500	500	500	500
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		RICKENBACKER ANGB				
		ALTER AGE MAINTENANCE SHOP	160	160	160	160
		SPRINGFIELD MAP				
		WATER AND SEWER LINES	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		TOLEDO EXPRESS AIRPORT				
		ADD TO FIRE STATION	250	250	250	250
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NMCRC YOUNGSTOWN				
		RESERVE TRAINING BUILDING	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600
		NMCB-20 COLUMBUS				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY NMCB-20	175	175	175	175
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB				
		ALTER 4081ST CLSS FACILITY	200	200	200	200

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		YOUNGSTOWN MAP				
		ADD-ALTER SQUADRON OPERATIONS	360	360	360	360
TOTAL:OHIO			65,321	65,321	62,021	62,021
OKLAHOMA						
	ARMY					
		FORT SILL				
		VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	1,050	0	0	0
	AIR FORCE					
		ALTUS AFB				
		LAND ACQUISITION	310	310	310	310
		TINKER AFB				
		ADD-ALTER ENGINE TUBING/ACCESSORY SHOP	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650
		ADD-ALTER HAZARDOUS STORAGE FACILITY	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		ADD-ALTER HEAT TREATMENT FACILITY	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
		ALTER F-107 ENGINE FACILITY	1,350	1,350	1,350	1,350
		WAREHOUSE	10,800	10,800	0	10,800
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP GRUBER				
		RANGE, LT ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS	367	367	367	367
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	610	610	610	610
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		TULSA IAP				
		ADDITION FOR ECM POD MAINTENANCE SHOP	220	220	220	220
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		TINKER AFB				
		ADD TO TERMINAL FOR AERIAL PORT	830	830	830	830
TOTAL: OKLAHOMA			37,867	36,817	26,017	36,817
OREGON						
	ARMY					
		UMATILLA ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	1,050	0	1,050	0
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		ASHLAND				
		100 PERSON ARMORY	1,048	1,048	1,048	1,048

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		CAMP ADAIR				
		TARGET STORAGE/LATRINE/SAFETY BERM/ROAD	304	304	304	304
		CAMP WITHYCOMBE				
		COMM-ELEC, CANVAS & CARPENTRY SHOP	663	663	663	663
		EUGENE				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	503	503	503	503
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	277	277	277	277
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		KINGSLEY FIELD				
		ALTER PARACHUTE AND DINGHY SHOP	250	250	250	250
		PORTLAND IAP				
		BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		PORTLAND IAP				
		ADD TO BASE WAREHOUSE	400	400	400	400
		ADD-ALTER MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY	380	380	380	380
		MAINTENANCE HANGAR	3,900	3,900	3,900	3,900
TOTAL: OREGON		---	13,375	12,325	13,375	12,325
PENNSYLVANIA						
	ARMY					
		CARLISLE BARRACKS				
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	800	800	800	800
		LETTERKENNY ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	1,900	0	1,900	0
		NEW CUMBERLAND AD				
		EASTERN DISTRIBUTION CENTER-PHASE II	51,000	51,000	51,000	43,000 1/
	NAVY					
		NAVAL SHIP SYSTEM ENGR STA PHILADELPHIA				
		ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVES	400	400	400	400
		NAVY SHIPS PARTS CONTROL CTR MECHANICSBURG				
		FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	1,670	1,670	1,670	1,670
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEF REUTILIZATION & MARKETING OFC MECHANBG				

1/ authorized at $88,000,000 and authorized for appropriations at $15,000,000 in fiscal year 1986, authorized for appropriations at $43,000,000 in fiscal year 1987

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		SCRAPYARD IMPROVEMENTS	1,290	0	1,290	0
		NAVY SHIP PARTS CONTROL CTR MECHANICSBURG				
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE STORAGE FAC	0	318	0	0 1/
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		INDIANA				
		RANGE, 25 METER BAFFLED	125	125	125	125
		RIDGEWAY				
		RANGE, 25 METER BAFFLED	125	125	125	125
		WILLIAMSTOWN				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	533	533	533	533
	ARMY RESERVE					
		CLINTON				
		LOCAL TRAINING AREA	476	476	476	476
		LEWISBURG				
		ARMY RESERVE CENTER MAINT FACILITY	1,296	1,296	1,296	1,296
		SCHUYLKILL HAVEN				
		ADD/ALTER RESERVE CENTER/MAINT FACILITY	2,089	2,089	2,089	2,089
	NAVY RESERVE					
		MCRC WYOMING				
		COMBAT VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY	190	190	190	190
		NAS WILLOW GROVE				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	8,000	8,000	8,000	8,000
		AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	150	150	150	150
		RNCFSU-1 BEAVER COUNTY				
		PERMANENT DRILL SITE RNCFSU-1	390	390	390	390
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		GREATER PITTSBURGH IAP				
		ADD-ALTER AERIAL PORT TRAINING FACILITY	620	620	620	620
TOTAL: PENNSYLVANIA		---	71,054	68,182	71,054	59,864
RHODE ISLAND						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL EDUCATION & TRAINING CENTER NEWPORT				
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
		UTILITY SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900

1/ authorized by section 2404, hazardous waste storage

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		SURFACE WARFARE OFFICERS SCH CMD NEWPORT				
		SURFACE WARFARE OFFICER TRAINING FACILITY	8,840	8,840	0	8,840
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP FOGARTY				
		RANGE, RIFLE 175 METER BAFFLED	288	288	288	288
		WARWICK				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	2,880	2,880	2,880	2,880
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		NORTH SMITHFIELD ANGS				
		COMMUNICATIONS/ELECTRONICS TRNG FAC-PH I	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
TOTAL: RHODE ISLAND		--	26,108	26,108	17,268	26,108
SOUTH CAROLINA						
	ARMY					
		FORT JACKSON				
		APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		SOLDIER SERVICE CENTER	0	0	9,100	9,100
	NAVY					
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION BEAUFORT				
		AIRCRAFT RINSE FACILITY	740	0	740	740
		AUTOMOTIVE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	640	640	640	640
		FLIGHT LINE SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	1,280	1,280	1,280	1,280
		FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	1,370	1,370	1,370	1,370
		RUNWAY APPROACH LIGHTING	960	960	960	960
		MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT PARRIS ISLAND				
		RECRUIT BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,870	0	1,870	0
		CLOTHING ISSUE	0	0	2,630	2,630
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	0	0	4,620	4,620
		NAVAL SHIPYARD CHARLESTON				
		DRYDOCK PUMPHOUSE ALTERNATIONS	7,860	7,860	7,860	7,860
		HAZARDOUS & FLAMMABLE MATERIALS STOREHOUSE	2,950	2,950	2,950	2,950
		NAVAL STATION CHARLESTON				
		MOBILE MINE ASSEMBLY GROUP OPERATIONS FAC	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
		NAVAL WEAPONS STATION CHARLESTON				
		CONSOLIDATED BRIG	16,500	16,500	0	16,500
		PIER IMPROVEMENTS	6,130	6,130	6,130	6,130
	AIR FORCE					

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		CHARLESTON AFB				
		ADD-ALTER RECREATION CENTER	560	560	560	560
		CORROSION CONTROL COVERED WASHRACK	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
		MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP MAINT SHOP	730	730	730	730
		SPECIAL OPERATIONS BUILDING	0	0	1,200	1,200
		MYRTLE BEACH AFB				
		AIRCRAFT CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	3,000	0	3,000	3,000
		SHAW AFB				
		ADD-ALTER 9TH AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS	3,700	3,700	3,700	3,700
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEF FUEL SUPPORT CHARLESTON				
		FIRE PROTECTION	460	460	460	460
		DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT NSC CHARLESTON				
		FUEL TANKAGE	5,130	5,130	5,130	5,130
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		HAMPTON				
		LOGISTICAL FACILITY DAS3 CLIX	128	128	128	128
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	315	315	315	315
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		MCENTIRE				
		MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE/STORAGE FACILITY	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
	ARMY RESERVE					
		FLORENCE				
		LAND ACQUISITION	200	200	200	200
		ORANGEBURG				
		LAND ACQUISITION	75	75	75	75
		ROCK HILL				
		LAND ACQUISITION	20	20	20	20
TOTAL: SOUTH CAROLINA		--	63,918	58,308	64,968	79,598
SOUTH DAKOTA						
	AIR FORCE					
		ELLSWORTH AFB				
		B1B-ADD/ALTER WRSK STORAGE FACILITY	860	860	860	860
		COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		CONTROL TOWER	2,150	2,150	2,150	2,150

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		LIFE SUPPORT FACILITY	950	950	950	950
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	450	450	450	450
		STC-CONTROL AND OPERATIONS FACILITY	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		MITCHELL				
		RANGE, PISTOL BAFFLED	221	221	221	221
		SIOUX FALLS				
		RANGE, PISTOL BAFFLED	221	221	221	221
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	400	400	400	400
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		JOE FOSS FIELD				
		JET FUEL STORAGE COMPLEX	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
TOTAL: SOUTH DAKOTA		---	14,252	14,252	14,252	14,252
TENNESSEE						
	ARMY					
		HOLSTON ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT				
		BACKUP ELECTRIC POWER	2,950	0	0	0 1/
		MODERNIZE A-COMPOSITION FAC LINE 10	5,000	0	0	0 1/
		MODERNIZE COMPOSITION A-5 DRYING PROCESS	3,000	0	0	0 1/
		TURBINE GENERATOR FOR BUILDING B-6 (ECAM)	330	0	0	0 1/
		MILAN AAP				
		AMMUNITION STORAGE MAGAZINES FOR LINE-B	610	0	0	0 1/
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION MEMPHIS				
		AVIATION ORDNANCEMAN TRAINING BUILDING	12,800	0	12,800	12,800
		BRIG	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750
		ENTRANCE ROADS IMPROVEMENT	1,570	0	1,570	0
		WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	260	260	260	260
	AIR FORCE					
		ARNOLD ENGINEERING DEV CENTER				
		ADD-ALTER CHEMICAL LABORATORY	730	730	730	730
		FIRE DEFICIENCY CORRECTIONS	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEFENSE DEPOT MEMPHIS				
		DINING FACILITY	1,160	1,160	1,160	1,160
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					

1/ recommended project be transferred to Army ammunition procurement account

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		CATOOSA				
		RANGE, MACHINE GUN/DEMOLITION	138	138	138	138
		DYERSBURG				
		100 PERSON ARMORY	0	0	984	984
		HOHENWALD				
		60 PERSON ARMORY	656	656	656	656
		MILAN				
		TRAINING FAC. PHASE III	2,697	2,697	2,697	2,697
		MILLINGTON				
		60 PERSON ARMORY	1,013	1,013	1,013	1,013
		NASHVILLE				
		USPFO ADDITION	644	644	644	644
		PULASKI				
		100 PERSON ARMORY	650	650	650	650
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	335	335	335	335
TOTAL: TENNESSEE		--	40,093	13,833	29,187	27,617
TEXAS						
	ARMY					
		CORPUS CHRISTI ARMY DEPOT				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP	7,200	7,200	7,200	7,200
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE SHOP ADDITION	2,150	0	2,150	0
		COMPOSITE PANEL PREPARATION FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		POWER TRAINING FACILITY	0	2,250	0	2,250
		FORT HOOD				
		ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION FACILITY	2,750	2,750	0	2,750
		VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	10,600	10,600	10,600	10,600
		RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	1,350	0	1,350	0
		FORT SAM HOUSTON				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,900	2,900	0	0
		WATER STORAGE TANK	800	800	800	800
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION CORPUS CHRISTI				
		FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	690	690	690	690

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		NAVAL AIR STATION KINGSVILLE				
		FIRE ALARM SYSTEM	640	640	640	640
		JET ENGINE TEST CELL FACILITY	3,140	3,140	3,140	3,140
	AIR FORCE					
		BERGSTROM AFB				
		ADD-ALTER TACTICAL INTELL SQ FACILITY	1,260	1,260	1,260	1,260
		SOUND SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT	600	600	600	600
		TACTICAL AIR CONTROL CENTER FACILITY	3,390	0	3,390	0
		CARSWELL AFB				
		VEHICLE FUELING STATION	490	490	490	490
		DYESS AFB				
		B1B-JET ENGINE STORAGE FACILITY	590	590	590	590
		B1B-MUNITIONS EQUIPMENT STORAGE FACILITIES	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	960	960	960	960
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	750	750	750	750
		T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	780	780	780	780
		GOODFELLOW AFB				
		UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	10,000	0	10,000	0
		KELLY AFB				
		FUEL SYSTEMS ACCESSORIES TEST FACILITY	10,900	10,900	0	0
		WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT CENTER	39,000	0	39,000	0
		LACKLAND AFB				
		ACADEMIC FACILITY-SECURITY POLICE	5,500	0	5,500	0
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	16,000	16,000	16,000	16,000
		LAUGHLIN AFB				
		LAND ACQUISITION-AUXILIARY FIELD	3,700	3,700	3,700	3,700
		RANDOLPH AFB				
		AF MGMT ENGINEERING AGENCY ADMIN FAC	2,250	2,250	0	0
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		BROOKS AFB				
		ADD/ALTER DRUG ABUSE DETECTION LAB	1,850	0	1,850	1,850
		FORT HOOD				
		TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC	3,450	3,450	3,450	3,450
		FORT SAM HOUSTON				
		SITE PREPARATION	0	10,000	10,000	10,000 1/

1/ authorized at $135,000,000 and authorized for appropriations at $10,000,000 in fiscal year 1987

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		RANDOLPH AFB				
		CLINIC/DENTAL CLINIC	13,700	13,700	13,700	13,700
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		ABILENE				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	924	924	924	924
		AUSTIN				
		ARMORY MODIFICATION	400	400	400	400
		U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	400	400	400	400
		CAMP MABRY				
		RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	126	126	126	126
		CAMP SWIFT				
		RANGE, M-16 RECORD FIRE	271	271	271	271
		RANGE, M60/M2 TRANSITION	312	312	312	312
		DECATUR				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	801	801	801	801
		WAXAHACHIE				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	774	774	774	774
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		ELLINGTON ANGB				
		ALTER WEAPONS AND RELEASE SHOP	224	224	224	224
		KELLY AFB				
		JET BLAST DEFLECTOR	125	125	125	125
	ARMY RESERVE					
		CAMP BULLIS				
		MILITARY INTELLIGENCE TRAINING FACILITY	1,918	1,918	1,918	1,918
		FT SAM HOUSTON				
		RESERVE CENTER ALTERATION	145	145	145	145
		SINTON				
		RESERVE CENTER ADDITION	190	190	190	190
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NAVAL AIR STATION DALLAS				
		AIRCRAFT COOLING	250	250	250	250
		AIRFIELD APPROACH LIGHTING	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY NMCB-22	175	175	175	175
		NAVAL RESERVE CENTER CORPUS CHRISTI				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		VEHICLE MAINT FACILITY	250	250	250	250
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		CARSWELL AFB				
		COMPOSITE TRAINING FACILITY	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
TOTAL: TEXAS		--	160,825	109,835	152,025	95,635
UTAH						
	ARMY					
		DUGWAY PROVING GROUND				
		COMMUNITY CENTER	3,200	0	0	0
		MATERIAL TEST FACILITY	9,700	9,700	9,700	9,700
		TOOELE ARMY DEPOT				
		SECURITY UPGRADE	1,850	0	1,850	0
	AIR FORCE					
		HILL AFB				
		ADD TO WATER SUPPLY AND DIST SYSTEM	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		DEPOT INSTRUMENT OVERHAUL SHOP	1,550	1,550	1,550	1,550
		GAPFILLER RADAR FACILITY	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		LOGISTICS SYSTEMS OPERATIONS CENTER	11,500	11,500	0	11,500
		PEACEKEEPER-ADD/ALTER STAGE I STORAGE	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		PEACEKEEPER-SYSTEM ENGINEERING TEST SILO	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEFENSE DEPOT OGDEN				
		ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES	9,780	0	9,780	0
		TRANSIENT AND VISITOR HOUSING	620	0	620	0
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP WILLIAMS				
		BOQ/BEQ RENOVATION	139	139	139	139
		RANGE, FIELD FIRE	179	179	179	179
		RANGE, KNOWN DISTANCE	135	135	135	135
		DRAPER				
		MILITARY COMPLEX ACQUISITION	5,376	5,376	5,376	5,376
	ARMY RESERVE					
		PROVO				
		STORAGE BUILDING	110	110	110	110
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		HILL AFB				
		POWER CHECK PAD WITH SUPPRESSOR	750	750	750	750
TOTAL: UTAH		--	52,389	36,939	37,689	36,939

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
VERMONT						
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	350	350	350	350
TOTAL: VERMONT		--	350	350	350	350
VIRGINIA						
	ARMY					
		FORT BELVOIR				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT/RELIGIOUS ED FACILITY	5,600	0	0	0
		FORT EUSTIS				
		APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY	2,050	2,050	2,050	2,050
		FORT LEE				
		BARRACKS	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
		GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING ADDITION	7,600	7,600	7,600	7,600
		FORT MYER				
		PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	3,800	3,800	3,800	0 1/
		RADFORD AAP				
		ELECTRIC POWER CENTER UPGRADE	9,200	0	0	0 2/
		EXPAND 155MM STICK PROPELLANT PRODUCTION	750	0	0	0 2/
		REPLACE EXPLOSION PROTECTION BARRICADES	1,100	0	0	0 2/
		STEAM SUPPLY LINE TO HORSESHOE AREA	8,700	0	0	0 2/
		FORT STORY				
		ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM UPGRADE	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		STORAGE/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	NAVY					
		FLEET COMBAT TRAIN CTR ATLANTIC DAM NECK				
		ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	820	0	820	0
		DEFENSE ACCESS ROADS	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
		FLEET INTEL CTR EUROPE & ATLANTIC NORFOLK				
		INTELLIGENCE BUILDING ADDITION	1,880	0	1,880	1,880
		FLEET TRAINING CENTER NORFOLK				
		ELECTRICAL TRADES TRAINING BLDG ADDITION	5,400	5,400	5,400	5,400
		MARINE CORPS DEV EDUC COMM. QUANTICO				
		FAMILY HOUSING-MOBILE HOME SPACES (50)	790	790	790	790

1/ distributed general reduction, not authorized for appropriations

2/ recommended project be transferred to Army ammunition procurement account

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		HEADQUARTERS MARINE CORPS ARLINGTON				
		PHYSICAL FITNESS CENTER	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
		NAVAL AIR STATION NORFOLK				
		HELICOPTER TRAINING FACILITIES	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,570
		NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE LITTLE CREEK				
		BEACH GROUP OPERATIONS FACILITIES	5,800	5,800	5,800	5,800
		STEAM AND CONDENSATE SYSTEMS	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYS ENGR CTR PORTSMOUTH				
		ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS INTEGRATION & SPT FAC	1,870	1,870	1,870	1,870
		NAVAL GUIDED MISSILES SCHOOL DAM NECK				
		SUBMARINE TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION	3,160	3,160	3,160	3,160
		NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE OFFICE DET OCEANA				
		LEGAL SERVICES OFFICE	560	560	560	560
		NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE OFFICE NORFOLK				
		NAVAL LEGAL SERVICE BUILDING ADDITION	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		NAVAL OCEAN PROCESSING FACILITY DAM NECK				
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	560	560	560	560
		NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ANNEX QUANTICO				
		MIDWAY RESEARCH CENTER	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		NAVAL SEA COMBAT SYSTEMS ENGR STA NORFOLK				
		SHIPBOARD ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS EVAL FAC	980	980	980	980
		NAVAL SHIPYARD NORFOLK				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900
		NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER NORFOLK				
		HAZARDOUS MTRLS STRG & HANDLING FAC ADDN	3,140	3,140	3,140	3,140
		NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER DAHLGREN				
		AEGIS COMPUTER CENTER ADDITION	3,830	3,830	3,830	3,830
		AEGIS EDUCATION CENTER ADDITION	2,950	2,950	2,950	2,950
		PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITIES	5,450	5,450	5,450	5,450
		WEAPONS SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT LABORATORY ADDN	3,730	3,730	3,730	3,730
		NAVAL WEAPONS STATION YORKTOWN				
		MISSILE STORAGE FACILITIES	6,220	6,220	6,220	6,220
		NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER NORFOLK				
		MAINTENANCE STORAGE FACILITY	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		OIL SPILL PREVENTION	690	690	690	690
		STEAM PLANT IMPROVEMENTS	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
	AIR FORCE					
		LANGLEY AFB				
		ADD-ALTER DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	3,550	3,550	3,550	3,550
		BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX-PHASE III	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400
		ECIP-UPGRADE HEATING SYSTEM	1,440	0	0	0 1/
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		DAHLGREN				
		ELEMENTARY/JR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,130	1,130	0	0
		PENTAGON				
		DEMOLITION OF PARKING LOT	2,900	0	0	0
		QUANTICO				
		HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	420	420	0	0
	DEFENSE COMMUNICATIONS AGENCY					
		PENTAGON				
		JDSSC PENTAGON ALTERATION/EXPANSION	2,300	0	2,300	0
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CHARLOTTESVILLE				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	910	910	910	910
		FREDERICKSBURG				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	1,239	1,239	1,239	1,239
		ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP MODIFICAT.	191	191	191	191
		LEESBURG				
		100 PERSON ARMORY	1,036	1,036	1,036	1,036
		LEXINGTON				
		60 PERSON ARMORY	897	897	897	897
		MANASSAS				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	903	903	903	903
		STAUNTON				
		ARMORY ADD/ALT	1,302	1,302	1,302	1,302
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	460	460	460	460
	ARMY RESERVE					
		FORT LEE				

1/ authorized to be funded with prior year energy savings

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMNT
		ADD/ALTER ARMY RESERVE CENTER	2,299	2,299	2,299	2,299
	NAVY RESERVE					
		RNMCB-23 FT BELVOIR				
		OPERATIONAL STORAGE FACILITY RNMCB-23	175	175	175	175
TOTAL: VIRGINIA		--	167,500	113,010	116,460	109,560
WASHINGTON						
	ARMY					
		FORT LEWIS				
		COMSEC MAINTENANCE FACILITY	600	600	600	600
		FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	480	480	480	480
		LANDFILL UPGRADE	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
		REFUSE INCINERATOR PLANT	13,000	13,000	13,000	13,000
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	4,400	4,400	0	0
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION WHIDBEY ISLAND				
		AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON	3,510	3,510	3,510	3,510
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING ADDITION	980	980	980	980
		WATER TREATMENT FACILITY	690	690	690	690
		NAVAL STATION EVERETT				
		PIER	38,000	0	38,000	0
		DREDGING/BREAKWATER	13,820	0	13,820	0
		SHORE IMPROVE/SEAWALL	15,700	0	15,700	15,700
		UTILITIES/SITE IMPROVEMENT	19,070	0	19,070	19,070
		LAND ACQUISITION	8,810	0	8,810	8,810
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(10,000)	0
		NAVAL STATION SEATTLE				
		BRIG	2,950	2,950	2,950	2,950
		NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE BANGOR				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS	6,900	0	6,900	0
		ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVES	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
		HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY	1,280	0	1,280	1,280
		SECURITY BUILDING	2,750	0	0	0
		SEWERAGE SYSTEM	300	300	300	300
		SEWERAGE SYSTEM	400	0	400	0
		NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER BREMERTON				
		OIL SPILL PREVENTION	500	500	500	500
		NAVAL UNDERSEA WARFARE ENGR STA KEYPORT				
		MISSILE MAGAZINES	5,090	5,090	0	0

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		TRIDENT REFIT FACILITY BANGOR				
		ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	980	980	980	980
		WATER TREATMENT PLANT IMPROVEMENTS	590	590	590	590
	AIR FORCE					
		FAIRCHILD AFB				
		ALTER CONSOLIDATED BASE PERSONNEL OFFICE	1,950	1,950	1,950	1,950
		ECIP-WEATHERIZATION	1,350	0	0	0 1/
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	570	570	570	570
		SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	2,900	2,900	2,900	2,900
		T9-NOISE SUPPRESSOR SUPPORT FACILITY	750	750	750	750
		MCCHORD AFB				
		ADD TO-ALTER AIR FREIGHT TERMINAL	6,400	6,400	6,400	6,400
		SQUADRONS OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,900	0	0	0
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		FORT LEWIS				
		MADIGAN ARMY MEDICAL CENTER PHASE II	72,100	72,100	72,100	72,100 2/
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT MUKILTEO				
		FUEL PIER	6,360	0	6,360	0
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP MURRAY				
		U.S. PROPERTY & FISCAL OFFICE ADDITION	302	302	302	302
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	332	332	332	332
	ARMY RESERVE					
		BOTHELL				
		ARMY RESERVE CENTER	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400
	NAVY RESERVE					
		NAF WHIDBEY ISLAND				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	6,100	6,100	6,100	6,100
TOTAL: WASHINGTON		--	260,114	142,774	230,824	177,344
WEST VIRGINIA						
	ARMY					
		FORT DAWSON				
		STUDENT TRAINING FACILITY	8,700	8,700	8,700	8,700
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		CHARLESTON				

1/ authorized to be funded with prior year energy savings

2/ authorized at $338,000,000 and authorized for appropriations at $11,200,000 in fiscal year 1985, authorized for appropriations at $26,000,000 in fiscal year 1986, and authorized for appropriations at $72,100,000 in fiscal year 1987

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		MULTIPLE FACILITIES ADD.	0	0	625	625
		E WV REGIONAL APT (MARTINSBURG)				
		SHORTFIELD RUNWAY	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
		RAMP ADDITION, PHASE I	0	0	2,500	2,500
TOTAL: WEST VIRGINIA		--	11,200	11,200	14,325	14,325
WISCONSIN						
	ARMY					
		BADGER AAP				
		OPEN/CLOSE LANDFILL	980	980	980	980
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		FORT MCCOY				
		HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/WASTE STORAGE FACILITY	0	260	0	0 1/
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP WILLIAMS				
		LOGISTICAL FACILITY DAS3 CLIX	110	110	110	110
		PLATTEVILLE				
		ARMORY RENOVATION	0	0	570	570
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		ARMORY UNIT STORAGE BUILDINGS	290	290	290	290
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		MITCHELL ANGB				
		COMPOSITE FACILITES	0	0	2,200	2,200
		TRUAX FIELD				
		ADD TO AIRCRAFT ENGINE SHOP	900	900	900	900
		ALTER BASE ENGINEER MAINTENANCE SHOP	386	386	386	386
		COMPOSITE SQUADRON OPERATIONS	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
	ARMY RESERVE					
		FOND DU LAC				
		ARMY RESERVE CENTER TANK TRAINING FACILITY	1,562	1,562	1,562	1,562
		RACINE				
		LAND ACQUISITION	235	235	235	235
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		BILLY MITCHELL FIELD				
		CONSTRUCT SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,565	1,565	1,565	1,565
TOTAL: WISCONSIN		--	8,228	8,488	10,998	10,998

1/ authorized by section 2404, hazardous waste storage

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
WYOMING						
	AIR FORCE					
		FE WARREN AFB				
		ADD/ALTER ENERGY MONITORING/CONTROL SYS	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		COMBAT ARMS TRAINING/MAINT FACS	550	550	550	550
		PEACEKEEPER-ACCESS ROADS	14,500	14,500	14,500	14,500
		PEACEKEEPER-LAUNCH FACILITIES SITE WORK	5,000	0	3,400	0
		PEACEKEEPER-REAL ESTATE	400	400	400	400
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP GUERNSEY				
		MESS HALL REHAB	641	641	641	641
		RANGE, FIELD FIRE	175	175	175	175
TOTAL: WYOMING			23,866	18,866	22,266	18,866
CONUS CLASSIFIED						
	ARMY					
		CLASSIFIED LOCATION				
		CLASSIFIED PROJECT	7,400	2,400	7,400	7,100
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		CLASSIFIED LOCATION				
		CLASSIFIED PROJECT	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY					
		CLASSIFIED LOCATION				
		CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
		CLASSIFIED PROJECT	3,000	0	3,000	0
		CLASSIFIED PROJECT	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		AIR FORCE RESERVE				
		H-70 SERVICE AND STORAGE FACILITY	250	250	250	250
TOTAL: CONUS CLASSIFIED			18,650	10,650	18,650	15,350
CONUS UNSPECIFIED						
	AIR FORCE					
		CONUS UNSPECIFIED				
		SPECIAL TACTICAL DETACHMENT	37,056	37,056	37,056	37,056
	AIR FORCE RESERVE					
		AIR FORCE RESERVE				
		ADD-ALTER MISCELLANEOUS SHOPS	1,350	1,350	1,350	1,350
		ALTER AVIONICS SHOP	650	650	650	650
TOTAL: CONUS UNSPECIFIED			39,056	39,056	39,056	39,056

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
CONUS VARIOUS						
	AIR FORCE					
		BASE THIRTY NINE				
		F15E-ADD/ALTER FLIGHT SIMULATOR FAC	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
		WEST CONUS				
		OTH-B RADAR TRANS STANDBY POWER LINE	18,000	7,500	7,500	7,500
		OTH-B TRANSMITTER/RCVR SUPPORT FACS	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD					
		CONUS VARIOUS				
		AIRCRAFT ARRESTING SYSTEMS	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITIES	2,683	2,683	2,683	2,683
		POWER CHECK PADS WITH SUPPRESSOR	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
TOTAL: CONUS VARIOUS			31,483	20,983	20,983	20,983

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
AUSTRALIA						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION HAROLD E HOLT				
		EMERGENCY COOLING SYSTEM	310	310	310	310
		PUBLIC WORK SHOP	1,870	0	1,870	1,870
	AIR FORCE					
		WOOMERA AS				
		SOLID STATE UNINTERRUPT POWER SUPPLY SPT	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
TOTAL: AUSTRALIA		--	4,480	2,610	4,480	4,480
BAHAMAS						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CTR ANDROS ISLAND				
		CIVILIAN QUARTERS	3,730	3,730	3,730	3,730
TOTAL: BAHAMAS		--	3,730	3,730	3,730	3,730
BAHRAIN ISLAND						
	NAVY					
		ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT UNIT				
		INDOOR RECREATION FACILITY	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
TOTAL: BAHARAIN ISLAND		--	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
BELGIUM						
	AIR FORCE					
		FLORENNES				
		GLCM-AUTOMOTIVE HOBBY SHOP	520	0	0	0
		GLCM-COMMISSARY	3,500	0	0	0
		GLCM-DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		GLCM-EXCHANGE COMPLEX	2,850	0	0	0
		GLCM-YOUTH CENTER	750	0	0	0
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		SHAPE HQ - CASTEAU				
		ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	1,260	1,260	1,260	1,260
TOTAL: BELGIUM		--	10,080	2,460	2,460	2,460
BERMUDA						
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		NAVAL AIR STATION				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	4,280	4,280	4,280	4,280
TOTAL: BERMUDA		--	4,280	4,280	4,280	4,280

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
CUBA						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL STATION GUANTANAMO BAY				
		STANDBY ELECTRIC POWER GENERATORS	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
TOTAL: CUBA			2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
DIEGO GARCIA						
	AIR FORCE					
		DIEGO GARCIA				
		GLOBAL POSITIONING SYS INTERNET FACILITIES	3,900	0	0	0
		VEHICLE MAINTENANCE/STORAGE FACILITY	800	0	0	0
TOTAL: DIEGO GARCIA			4,700	0	0	0
GERMANY						
	ARMY					
		ANSBACH				
		AMMUNITION STORAGE	860	860	860	860
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	930	930	930	930
		ASCHAFFENBURG				
		HARDSTAND	3,650	3,650	3,650	3,650
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	3,350	3,350	3,350	3,350
		BAD KREUZNACH				
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION	10,200	10,200	0	0
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	900	900	900	900
		BAMBERG				
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION	18,000	18,000	0	0
		BAUMHOLDER				
		AMMUNITION STORAGE	4,400	4,400	4,400	4,400
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
		COMMAND AND CONTROL BUILDING	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE IV	12,400	0	12,400	0
		SEWER/POL SEPARATOR	2,050	2,050	2,050	2,050
		BITBURG TRAINING AREA				
		TACTICAL SITE FACILITIES	520	520	520	520
		BARRACKS	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800
		DINING FACILITY	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
		HEATING PLANT	2,550	2,550	2,550	2,550
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		CRAILSHEIN				
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (40)	4,100	4,100	4,100	4,100
		DARMSTADT				
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (40)	3,150	3,150	3,150	3,150
		EINSIEDLERHOF				
		TRAINING EXERCISE FACILITY	4,750	0	4,750	0
		ERLANGEN				
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (106)	9,400	9,400	9,400	9,400
		FRANKFURT				
		CRIME LABORATORY MODERNIZATION/ADDITION	3,500	0	3,500	0
		FULDA				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		GIESSEN GENERAL DEPOT				
		DINING FACILITY	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION	16,000	0	0	0
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE III	970	970	970	970
		FUEL STATION	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500
		GEOPPINGEN				
		SITE SUPPORT UPGRADE	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
		HANAU				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	7,100	7,100	0	7,100
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	3,700	3,700	3,700	3,700
		HARDSTAND	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
		HEIDELBERG				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
		HEILBRONN				
		BARRACKS	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
		HERZO BASE				
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (34)	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300
		HOHENFELS TNG AREA				
		COMBAT MANEUVER TRAINING COMPLEX	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
		KAISERSLAUTERN				
		PETROLEUM LABORATORY	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		KARLSRUHE				
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
		LOCATION 280				
		AIR CONDITIONING UPGRADE	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
		MAINZ				
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (20)	1,850	0	0	0
		MANNHEIM				
		RANGE COMPLEX	2,450	2,450	2,450	2,450
		NEU ULM				
		SITE SUPPORT UPGRADE	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850
		SITE SUPPORT UPGRADE	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	4,200	4,200	4,200	4,200
		NUERNBERG				
		FERRIS BARRACKS	5,500	5,500	5,500	5,500
		RHEINBERG				
		ADMINISTRATIVE SPACE ALTERATION	7,200	0	7,200	0
		AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING FACILITY	680	0	680	150
		BARRACKS WITH DINING	14,800	0	14,800	10,500
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	930	0	930	0
		COMMUNICATIONS CENTER CONVERSION	400	0	400	300
		LOGISTICAL SUPPLY FACILITY	6,700	0	6,700	6,200
		PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	4,900	0	4,900	0
		SCHWEINFURT				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	900	900	900	900
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION	16,000	0	0	0
		FACILITY MODERNIZATION PHASE IV	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	13,600	13,600	13,600	13,600
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (90)	8,400	8,400	8,400	8,400
		STUTTGART				
		SEWER SYSTEM UPGRADE	820	820	820	820
		VARIOUS SITES				
		MAINTENANCE TRAINING FACILITY	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
		SITE SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS	17,000	17,000	17,000	7,000 1/
		WARTIME HOST NATION SUPPORT	17,500	0	17,500	4,500
		VILSECK				
		BARRACKS	6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800
		CHAPEL/RELIGIOUS EDUCATION FACILITY	3,450	0	3,450	0

1/ distributed general reduction, not authorized for appropriations

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	COMP. AGREEMT
		COMMISSARY SALES	7,300	0	0	0
		EXCHANGE MAIN RETAIL STORE	8,000	0	0	0
		POST OFFICE	1,300	0	1,300	0
		PROVOST MARSHAL FACILITY	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		RELOCATE AMMUNITION AREA	920	920	920	920
		UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER HOUSING	4,050	4,050	4,050	4,050
		UTILITIES	36,000	36,000	36,000	36,000
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (224)	21,000	21,000	21,000	21,000
		WILDFLECKEN				
		VEHICLE WASH FACILITY	3,800	0	3,800	0
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (24)	2,050	2,050	2,050	2,050
		WUERZBURG				
		CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	0	(10,000)
	AIR FORCE					
		BITBURG AB				
		ADD-ALTER SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		ALTER BASE MAIN ENTRANCE	440	440	440	440
		DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		PASSIVE DEFENSE EQUIP STORAGE FACILITY	770	770	770	770
		RAPID RUNWAY REPAIR EQUIP STORAGE FAC	960	960	960	960
		WATER STORAGE TANK	480	480	480	480
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (332)	26,415	26,415	26,415	26,415
		HAHN AB				
		ADD TO VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,900	0	1,900	0
		ADD-ALTER FIRE STATION	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
		ALTERNATE LAUNCH/RECOVERY SURFACE (ALRS)	2,100	0	0	0
		POST OFFICE	980	0	0	0
		RAPID RUNWAY REPAIR EQUIP STORAGE BLDG	840	840	840	840
		SECURITY POLICE OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (150)	11,300	11,300	11,300	11,300
		HESSISCH				
		ADD-ALTER COMPOSITE RECREATION CENTER	2,000	0	2,000	0
		LINDSEY AS				
		DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		PRUEM AS				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		COMPOSITE RECREATION CENTER	1,150	0	1,150	0
		RAMSTEIN AB				
		ADD TO NONCOMMISSIONED OFFICER ACADEMY	1,850	0	0	0
		ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOPS	6,000	0	0	0
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,900	0	0	0
		F16-ADD/ALTER AEROSPACE SUPPORT EQUIP COMP	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
		F16-AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		F16-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FACILITY	2,050	2,050	2,050	2,050
		F16-MUNITIONS LOAD CREW TRAINING FACILITY	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
		F16-WAREHOUSE	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
		OUTDOOR PROCESSING-PALLET STORAGE AREA	1,350	1,350	1,350	1,350
		PROTECTED COMBAT TARGETING CENTER	36,000	0	13,000	0
		SECURITY LIGHTING	420	420	420	420
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,300	6,300	6,300	6,300
		VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	3,000	0	0	0
		WATER STORAGE TANK	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		WEAPONS STORAGE AND SECURITY SYSTEM	800	0	0	0
		RHEIN-MAIN AB				
		FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		SEMBACH AB				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,450	1,450	1,450	1,450
		VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		C130-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL	2,200	2,200	2,200	2,200
		C130-SENSITIVE COMPARTMENTED INFO FAC	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		C130-ADD/ALTER FIRE STATION	770	770	770	770
		SPANGDAHLEM AB				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,700	3,700	3,700	3,700
		CHEM WARFARE-SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		GROUND DEFENSE MUNITION STORAGE IGLOOS	560	560	560	560
		POST OFFICE	1,050	0	1,050	0
		VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
		WUSCHHEIM				
		GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENG PAVEMENTS/GROUND SHOP	310	310	310	310
		ZWEIBRUCKEN AB				
		ALTERNATE LAUNCH/RECOVERY SURFACE (ALRS)	3,550	0	0	0
		CHEM WARFARE-AIR PHOTO INTERP FACILITY	950	950	950	950
		CHEM WARFARE-SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,940	1,940	1,940	1,940
		EDS-FORWARD STORAGE WAREHOUSE	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		ASCHAFFENBERG				
		ELEMENTARY/JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	6,600	6,600	6,600	6,600
		BAD KISSINGEN				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,330	1,330	1,330	1,330
		BAUMHOLDER				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
		BOEBLINGEN				
		DISPENSARY AND DENTAL CLINIC	3,650	3,650	3,650	3,650
		DEXHEIM				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,430	2,430	2,430	2,430
		ERLANGEN				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	3,220	3,220	3,220	3,220
		GELNHAUSEN				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,130	1,130	1,130	1,130
		GRAFENWOEHR				
		DISPENSARY AND DENTAL CLINIC	3,950	3,950	3,950	3,950
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
		HAHN AIR BASE				
		HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	3,470	3,470	3,470	3,470
		HEIDELBERG				
		ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL	3,190	3,190	3,190	3,190
		HESSISCH-OLDENDORF				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	2,310	2,310	2,310	2,310
		HOHENFELS				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,190	1,190	1,190	1,190
		KAISERLAUTERN AIR BASE				
		ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	7,880	7,880	7,880	7,880
		KARLSRUHE				
		DISPENSARY AND DENTAL CLINIC	6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800
		NUERNBERG				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,500
		SCHWABISCH GMUND				
		ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION	1,040	1,040	1,040	1,040
		STUTTGART				
		ELEMENTARY AND JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	6,530	6,530	6,530	6,530
		VILSECK				
		DISPENSARY AND DENTAL CLINIC	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
		WURZBURG				
		MIDDLE SCHOOL	7,700	7,700	7,700	7,700
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEF REUTILIZATION & MARKETING OFC BITBURG				
		COVERED STORAGE	650	0	650	0
TOTAL: GERMANY			697,835	502,435	560,195	475,885
GREECE						
	ARMY					
		GREECE				
		OPERATIONS BUILDING	580	580	580	580
		OPERATIONS BUILDING MODERNIZATION	730	0	0	0
	AIR FORCE					
		IRAKLION AS				
		2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	300	300	300	300
TOTAL: GREECE			1,610	880	880	880
GREENLAND						
	AIR FORCE					
		SONDRESTROM AB				
		UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	5,800	5,800	5,800	5,800
		THULE AB				
		ALTER SATCOM GROUND TERMINAL	1,540	1,540	1,540	1,540
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
		FIRE STATION	3,900	3,900	3,900	3,900
		SOLID STATE UNINTERRUPT POWER SUPPLY SYS	2,150	2,150	2,150	2,150
TOTAL: GREENLAND			15,690	15,690	15,690	15,690
GUAM						
	NAVY					
		MOBILE CONSTR BATTALION CAMP COVINGTON				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		BACHELOR HOUSING	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
		NAVAL COMM AREA MASTER STA WESTPAC GUAM				
		FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	480	480	480	480
		NAVAL FACILITY				
		SECURITY IMPROVEMENTS	810	810	810	810
		NAVAL SECURITY GROUP DETACHMENT GUAM				
		OCEAN SURVEILLANCE BUILDING ADDITION	2,150	2,150	0	2,150
		NAVAL SUPPLY DEPOT GUAM				
		FLEET SUPPORT WAREHOUSE MODIFICATIONS	400	400	400	400
		NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER GUAM				
		POWER PLANT MODIFICATIONS	1,080	1,080	1,080	1,080
		VEHICLE SERVICE CENTER	490	490	490	490
	AIR FORCE					
		ANDERSON AFB				
		ALTER JET FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES	7,600	7,600	0	7,600
	ARMY RESERVE					
		APRA HEIGHTS				
		EQUIPMENT CONCENTRATION SITE/MAINT FAC	880	880	880	880
TOTAL: GUAM		--	29,400	29,400	19,650	29,400
HONDURAS						
	ARMY					
		PALMEROLA AB				
		CONTINGENCY FACILITIES	0	0	0	6,300 1/
TOTAL: HONDURAS		--	0	0	0	6,300
ICELAND						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION KEFLAVIK				
		AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS	13,490	13,490	13,490	13,490
		FUEL FACILITIES	13,300	0	0	0
		MAINTENANCE HANGAR ALTERATIONS	390	390	390	352
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (250)	48,642	28,642	48,642	28,642 2/
		NAVAL FACILITY KEFLAVIK				
		STANDBY GENERATOR PLANT	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,570
	AIR FORCE					
		KEFLAVIK				

1/ authorized for appropriations in lieu of extending authorization without an appropriation

2/ authorized at $48,642,000 and authorized for appropriations at $28,642,000 in fiscal year 1987

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		ACCOUNTING AND FINANCE FACILITY	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
TOTAL: ICELAND		--	78,952	65,652	65,652	65,652
ITALY						
	ARMY					
		CAMP DARBY				
		BARRACKS	610	610	610	610
		LIVORNO				
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (90)	7,700	0	0	0
	NAVY					
		NAV COM AREA MASTER STATION MED NAPLES				
		SIGONELLA TRANSMITTER FACILITY	8,250	8,250	8,250	8,250
		NAVAL AIR STATION SIGONELLA				
		CHAPEL FACILITIES	1,370	0	1,370	0
		NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY NAPLES				
		AIR CARGO TERMINAL	5,500	0	5,500	0
		CMD CONTROL COMMS & INTELLIGENCE COMPLEX	18,000	0	0	0
		SUBMARINE MOORING FACILITY	1,570	1,570	1,570	1,570
	AIR FORCE					
		AVIANO AB				
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,200	0	4,200	0
		CAMP DARBY				
		SEGREGATED MAGAZINE	270	270	270	270
		COMISO AB				
		CHEM WARFARE-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FAC	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		CHEM WARFARE-WING COMMAND POST FACILITY	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		GLCM-ARTS AND CRAFTS SHOP	370	0	0	0
		GLCM-AUTOMOTIVE HOBBY SHOP	410	0	0	0
		GLCM-RECREATION LIBRARY	230	0	230	0
		SAN VITO AS				
		2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	490	490	490	490
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300
		VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	2,450	0	0	0
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		NAPLES				
		ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL	19,390	0	0	0
		REPLACEMENT HOSPITAL	29,300	0	0	0

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
TOTAL: ITALY		--	106,610	17,490	28,790	17,490
JAPAN						
	ARMY					
		KAWAKAMI				
		MEDICAL SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
	NAVY					
		MARINE CORPS AIR STATION FUTENMA OKINAWA				
		ENGINE MAINTENANCE SHOP	3,440	3,440	3,440	3,440
		PASSENGER TERMINAL	830	830	830	830
		MARINE CORPS BASE CAMP BUTLER OKINAWA				
		BUILDING ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS	6,200	6,200	6,200	6,200
		ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATIONS MAINT SHOP	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750
		NAVAL AIR FACILITY ATSUGI				
		FIRE PROTECTION	0	0	5,300	0
	AIR FORCE					
		KADENA AB				
		ADD-ALTER HYDRANT REFUELING SYS-PHASE II	7,100	7,100	7,100	7,100
		VEHICLE CORROSION CONTROL SUPPORT FACILITY	265	265	265	265
		MISAWA AB				
		COMMANDO PORT-IV-CONCRETE ARCH IGLOO MAG	3,050	3,050	3,050	3,050
		COMMANDO PORT-IV-MUNITIONS PRELOAD COMP	7,250	7,250	7,250	7,250
		TOTSUKA				
		ADD TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	700	700	700	700
		YOKOSUKA				
		ADD TO TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	600	600	600	600
		YOKOTA AB				
		JET FUEL STORAGE	4,900	4,900	0	0
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT CHIMU WAN OKINAWA				
		FUEL TANKAGE	6,640	6,640	6,640	6,640
		DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT TSURUMI				
		FUEL TANKAGE	3,520	3,520	3,520	3,520
TOTAL: JAPAN		--	48,445	48,445	48,845	43,545

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
KOREA						
	ARMY					
		CAMP CARROLL				
		STORAGE WAREHOUSE	920	920	920	920
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	820	820	820	820
		CAMP CASEY				
		AUTOMATED FIELD FIRE RANGE	3,600	3,600	3,600	3,600
		BARRACKS	15,500	15,500	15,500	15,500
		COMMUNICATION/ELECTRONICS MAINTENANCE FACS	490	490	490	490
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP MOD/ADDN	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400
		TANK RANGE	1,950	1,950	1,950	1,950
		CAMP CASTLE				
		BARRACKS	1,950	1,950	1,950	1,950
		FLAMMABLE MATERIAL STORAGE	2,850	2,850	2,850	2,850
		CAMP ESSAYONS				
		BARRACKS	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
		PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150
		CAMP GREAVES				
		BARRACKS	2,350	2,350	2.350	2,350
		PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	340	0	0	0
		CAMP HOVEY				
		BARRACKS	4,650	4,650	4,650	4,650
		DINING FACILITY	4,350	4,350	4,350	4,350
		CAMP HOWZE				
		BARRACKS	4,050	4,050	4,050	4,050
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		CAMP HUMPHREYS				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		BARRACKS	5,700	5,700	5,700	5,700
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	5,900	5,200	5,900	5,200
		UNIT MAINTENANCE HANGAR	3,700	3,700	3,700	3,700
		CAMP JACKSON				
		BARRACKS	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		CAMP LAGUARDIA				
		AIRFIELD FIRE STATION	320	320	320	320
		BARRACKS	2,650	2,650	2,650	2,650
		CAMP LIBBY				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		CAMP LIBERTY BELL				
		BARRACKS	780	780	780	780
		CAMP LONG				
		BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	5,600	5,600	5,600	5,600
		CAMP MARKET				
		UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER HOUSING	540	540	540	540
		CAMP NIMBLE				
		BARRACKS	1,950	1,950	1,950	1,950
		CAMP PAGE				
		UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER HOUSING	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
		CAMP PELHAM				
		BARRACKS	980	980	980	980
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
		CAMP RED CLOUD				
		DINING FACILITY	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,300	2,300	2,300	2,300
		CAMP STANLEY				
		BARRACKS	2,450	2,450	2,450	2,450
		DINING FACILITY	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250
		H220				
		BARRACKS	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750
		K-16 ARMY AIRFIELD				
		FLIGHT SIMULATOR BUILDING	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	920	920	920	920
		LOC 177				
		POWER UPGRADE	1,950	1,950	1,950	1,950
		PUSAN				
		BARRACKS	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		BARRACKS	5,900	5,900	5,900	5,900
		FIRE STATION	340	340	340	340
		GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE	3,800	3,800	3,800	3,800
		PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
		SECOND INFANTRY				
		BARRACKS	2,800	2,800	2,800	2,800

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
		TAEGU				
		UNDERGROUND COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY	4,900	4,900	4,900	4,900
		YONGSON				
		BARRACKS	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
		BATTALION HEADQUARTERS	760	760	760	760
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	2,500	2,500	2,500	2,500
		TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		UPGRADE TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOP	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		WIDEN ROAD	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	AIR FORCE					
		CAMP HUMPHREYS				
		OPERATIONS/MAINT/STORAGE FACILITIES	8,300	8,300	0	0
		CAMP RED CLOUD				
		MAINTENANCE-STORAGE-VEHICLE/EQ PARKING	1,450	1,450	1,450	1,450
		KIMHAE AB				
		PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	570	570	570	570
		UNACCOMPANIED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,050	3,050	3,050	3,050
		KUNSAN AB				
		ADD TO AIRCRAFT APRON	1,050	0	1,050	0
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT FACILITY	2,050	2,050	2,050	2,050
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE UNIT FACILITY	1,800	0	1,800	0
		ALTER ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYS-PH II	2,600	2,600	2,600	2,600
		BASE CIVIL ENGINEER FACILITY	840	840	840	840
		PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	600	600	600	600
		SECURITY LIGHTING SYSTEM	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650
		UPGRADE WATER DISTRIBUTION	430	430	430	430
		KWANG-JU AB				
		PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	600	600	600	600
		OSAN AB				
		ADD-ALTER PRIMARY ELECTRICAL DIST SYSTEM	5,400	5,400	5,400	5,400
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITIES	3,000	0	3,000	0
		ALTER AIRMEN DINING HALL	1,150	0	1,150	0
		CHAPEL CENTER	2,300	0	0	0
		MUNITIONS MAINT-STORAGE FACS-PHASE II	5,950	5,950	5,950	5,950
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (1)	160	0	160	0

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		SUWON				
		MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE AND STORAGE FACS	3,250	3,250	3,250	3,250
		PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	600	600	600	600
		TAEGU AB				
		AIRMEN DINING HALL	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
		PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	1,070	1,070	1,070	1,070
		UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,750	1,750	1,750	1,750
		GENERAL REDUCTION	0	0	(4,000)	(4,000)
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		CAMP EDWARDS				
		HEALTH/DENTAL CLINIC	1,800	1,800	1,800	1,800
		CAMP LONG				
		DISPENSARY/DENTAL CLINIC	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
		CAMP PELHAM				
		TROOP AID STATION	720	720	720	720
		SEOUL				
		HIGH SCHOOL UPGRADING	510	510	510	510
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		DEF FUEL SUPPORT POINT TOEGYEWON				
		FUEL TANKAGE	1,010	1,010	1,010	1,010
		DEF REUTILIZATION & MARKETING OFC BUPYONG				
		COVERED STORAGE	1,290	1,290	1,290	1,290
TOTAL: KOREA			195,910	185,410	180,970	173,110
KWAJALEIN						
	ARMY					
		KWAJALEIN				
		HARBOR/CHANNEL DREDGING	2,100	2,100	2,100	2,100
		MISSION CONTROL CENTER MODIFICATION	5,100	5,100	5,100	5,100
		MULTIPLE OBJECT TRACKING FACILITY	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400
		UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER HOUSING	11,000	11,000	11,000	11,000
		FAMILY HOUSING NEW CONSTRUCTION (136)	23,000	23,000	23,000	23,000
	STRATEGIC DEFENSE INITIATIVE ORGANIZATION					
		MISSILE RANGE				
		TARGET LAUNCH COMPLEX	1,340	0	1,340	1,340
TOTAL: KWAJALEIN			44,940	43,600	44,940	44,940

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
MARIANA ISLANDS						
	AIR FORCE					
		SAIPAN				
		PACBAR RADAR BARRIER III	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200
TOTAL: MARIANA ISLANDS		--	5,200	5,200	5,200	5,200
MOROCCO						
	AIR FORCE					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS-MOROCCO				
		PETROLEUM RESUPPLY SYSTEM	25,400	0	25,400	25,400
TOTAL: MOROCCO		--	25,400	0	25,400	25,400
NETHERLANDS						
	AIR FORCE					
		CAMP NEW AMSTERDAM				
		ADD TO BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	2,450	0	0	0
		ADD-ALTER CHAPEL	1,300	0	1,300	0
		CHEM WARFARE-SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY	930	930	930	930
		COMMUNICATIONS MAINTENANCE FACILITY	570	570	570	570
		WOENSDRECHT AB				
		GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500
		GLCM-BASE SUPPLY COMPLEX	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
		GLCM-DINING HALL	1,600	0	0	0
		GLCM-FIRE STATION	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		GLCM-HIGH FREQUENCY FACILITY	1,600	1,600	1,600	1,600
		GLCM-OPERATIONS/MAIN TRAINING FACS	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		GLCM-SUBSISTENCE STORAGE FACILITY	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		GLCM-TELECOMMUNICATIONS CENTER	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
		GLCM-TOWER SUPPORT	350	350	350	350
		GLCM-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	7,300	5,380	5,380	5,380
		GLCM-UNACCOMP OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
		GLCM-UTILITIES, PAVEMENTS AND ROADS	8,600	8,600	8,600	8,600
		GLCM-VEHICLE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	700	700	700	700
		GLCM-VEHICLE OPERATIONS COMPLEX	550	550	550	550
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		CAMP NEW AMSTERDAM				
		CLINIC/DENTAL CLINIC	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
		WOENDRECHT				
		ELEMENTARY AND HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION	7,420	7,420	7,420	7,420
TOTAL: NETHERLANDS		--	52,970	45,700	47,000	45,700

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
OMAN						
	AIR FORCE					
		MASIRAH AB				
		SW ASIA-CARETAKER CONTRACTOR SPT FACS	3,500	3,500	3,500	3,500
		THUMRAIT AB				
		SW ASIA-CARETAKER CONTRACTOR SPT FACS	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400
TOTAL: OMAN		--	6,900	6,900	6,900	6,900
PANAMA						
	ARMY					
		FORT KOBBE				
		AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON EXTENSION	420	0	0	0
TOTAL: PANAMA		--	420	0	0	0
PHILIPPINES						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL AIR STATION CUBI POINT				
		BACHELOR OFFICER QUARTERS	5,300	0	5,300	0
		NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION SAN MIGUEL				
		FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEM	470	0	470	470
		NAVAL SHIP REPAIR FACILITY SUBIC BAY				
		ABRASIVE BLAST FACILITY	1,770	0	1,770	1,770
		NAVAL STATION SUBIC BAY				
		CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CAMP	1,710	0	1,710	1,710
		NAVAL SUPPLY DEPOT SUBIC BAY				
		DATA PROCESSING CENTER ADDITION	290	0	290	290
		NAVY PUBLIC WORKS CENTER SUBIC BAY				
		FACILITY ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS	2,100	0	0	0
	AIR FORCE					
		CLARK AFB				
		AEROSPACE SYS BRANCH/ENGINE DISPATCH FAC	1,050	0	1,050	0
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	1,850	0	1,850	0
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,150	0	3,150	0
		CHILD CARE CENTER	2,000	0	2,000	0
		COPE THUNDER OPERATIONS FACILITY	4,650	0	4,650	0

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		ESSENTIAL MAINTENANCE FACILITIES-PHASE II	4,600	0	4,600	0
		FIRE STATION	760	0	760	0
		PARALLEL RUNWAY	32,500	0	32,500	32,500
		PETROLEUM OPERATIONS FACILITY	1,650	0	1,650	0
		PORTOMOD WAREHOUSE	460	0	460	0
		UPGRADE POWER PLANT-PHASE IV	8,600	0	8,600	8,600
TOTAL: PHILIPPINES		---	72,910	0	70,810	45,340
PORTUGAL						
	AIR FORCE					
		LAJES FIELD				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,750	4,750	4,750	4,750
		HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM	8,300	0	8,300	0
		UNACCOMPANIED OFFICER PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,700	2,700	2,700	2,700
TOTAL: PORTUGAL		---	15,750	7,450	15,750	7,450
PUERTO RICO						
	NAVY					
		LANT FLT WPNS TRAINING FAC ROOSEVELT ROADS				
		LAND ACQUISITION	195	0	195	0
		RANGE OPERATIONS CENTER ADDITION	4,220	4,220	4,220	4,220
		NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY SABANA SECA				
		BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS IMPROVEMENTS	790	790	790	790
		NAVAL STATION ROOSEVELT ROADS				
		CONSTRUCTION BATTALION EQUIPMENT MAIN FAC	3,930	3,930	3,930	3,930
		SECURITY FACILITIES	2,360	2,360	2,360	2,360
		UNDERWATER DEMOLITION TEAM FACILITIES	790	790	790	790
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD					
		CAMP SANTIAGO (SALINAS)				
		BRIDGE, HIGHWAY	148	148	148	148
		RANGE, COMBAT PISTOL	156	156	156	156
		RANGE, TANK TABLE VI	190	190	190	190
	ARMY RESERVE					
		FORT ALLEN				
		ARMY RESERVE CENTER MAINT/STORAGE	7,784	7,784	7,784	7,784
TOTAL: PUERTO RICO		---	20,563	20,368	20,563	20,368
SCOTLAND						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL SECURITY GROUP ACTIVITY EDZELL				

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		OCEAN SURVEILLANCE BUILDING ADDITIONS	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250
TOTAL: SCOTLAND		--	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250
SPAIN						
	NAVY					
		NAVAL STATION ROTA				
		POL PIPELINE IMPROVEMENTS	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600
	AIR FORCE					
		TORREJON AB				
		SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS GROUND TERMINAL	1,450	0	1,450	0
		ZARAGOZA AB				
		2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	540	0	540	0
TOTAL: SPAIN		--	6,590	4,600	6,590	4,600
TURKEY						
	ARMY					
		LOCATION 276				
		BARRACKS MODERNIZATION	3,700	3,700	3,700	3,700
	AIR FORCE					
		ANKARA				
		CHAPEL CENTER	1,550	0	1,550	0
		CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER	3,050	3,050	3,050	3,050
		DINING HALL	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	930	930	930	930
		INCIRLIK AB				
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,250	2,250	2,250	2,250
		BASE SUPPLY ADMINISTRATION FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		DINING HALL	2,350	2,350	2,350	2,350
		POST OFFICE	510	0	0	0
		VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX	4,800	4,800	4,800	4,800
		VISITING OFFICER QUARTERS	3,400	0	0	0
		WAREHOUSE	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650
		PIRINCLIK				
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
TOTAL: TURKEY		--	29,640	24,180	25,730	24,180
UNITED KINGDOM						
	NAVY					

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		NAVAL ACTIVITIES LONDON				
		CONSOLIDATED PERSONNEL SUPPORT FACILITIES	1,180	0	1,180	1,180
		NAVAL COMMUNICATION STATION THURSO				
		PERIMETER SECURITY FENCE	350	350	350	350
	AIR FORCE					
		MOLESWORTH				
		CHEM WARFARE-CENTRAL SECURITY CONTROL FAC	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		CHEM WARFARE-WING COMMAND POST FACILITY	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050
		GLCM-BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX	330	330	330	330
		RAF ALCONBURY				
		AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	640	640	640	640
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,450	2,450	2,450	2,450
		DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		GLCM-ADD/ALTER PHYSICAL TRAINING FACILITY	2,100	0	2,100	0
		GLCM-BASE THEATER	1,300	0	1,300	0
		GLCM-CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT CENTER	8,800	8,800	8,800	8,800
		TR1-AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE FACILITY	680	680	680	680
		TR1-LIBRARY	1,050	0	0	0
		TR1-VISITING AIRMAN QUARTERS	1,300	0	1,300	0
		RAF BENTWATERS				
		2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250
		ALTER UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HOUSING	3,700	0	3,700	0
		CENTRAL POST OFFICE	650	0	650	0
		DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		RECREATION CENTER	2,250	0	0	0
		WATER STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
		RAF CHICKSANDS				
		ADD-ALTER WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	950	950	950	950
		RAF CROUGHTON				
		TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY	630	630	630	630
		RAF FAIRFORD				
		ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY	1,700	1,700	1,700	1,700
		ORG MAINT AND SUPPORT EQUIP COMPLEX	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750
		RAF GREENHAM COMMON				
		GLCM-TEMPORARY LIVING FACILITY	2,100	0	0	0
		RAF LAKENHEATH				
		BASE SUPPLY STORAGE SHED	500	500	500	500
		POST OFFICE	1,200	0	0	0

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
		RAF MARTLESHAM				
		DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650
		RAF MILDENHALL				
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	4,700	4,700	4,700	4,700
		RAF UPPER HEYFORD				
		ADD-ALTER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP	2,300	0	2,300	0
		DIGITAL EUROPEAN BACKBONE FACILITY	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
		UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERS HSG ALTERATION	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
		UNACCOMPANIED ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	6,000	0	6,000	0
		RAF WELFORD				
		GROUND DEFENSE MUNITIONS STORAGE IGLOOS	830	830	830	830
		MUNITION SURVEILLANCE AND INSPECTION SHOP	760	760	760	760
		RAF WETHERSFIELD				
		2ND ECHELON MEDICAL LOGISTICS STORAGE FAC	650	650	650	650
		RAF WOODBRIDGE				
		CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY	3,400	0	0	0
		SUPPLY WAREHOUSE	1,650	0	0	0
TOTAL: UNITED KINGDOM			71,400	41,220	59,750	42,400
OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED						
	ARMY					
		OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED				
		CLASSIFIED PROJECT	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
	NAVY					
		OVERSEAS CLASSIFIED				
		MAGAZINES	15,700	15,700	15,700	15,700
	AIR FORCE					
		BASE THIRTY				
		TR1-UNACCOMP ENLISTED PERSONNEL HOUSING	2,950	2,950	2,950	2,950
		US AIR FORCES IN EUROPE				
		CLASSIFIED PROJECT	11,500	11,500	11,500	11,500
	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY					
		OVERSEAS CLASSIFIES				
		FAMILY HOUSING-CLASSIFIED PROJECT	270	270	270	270
TOTAL: OVERSEAS CLASS.			34,420	34,420	34,420	34,420

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
OVERSEAS VARIOUS						
	ARMY					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS				
		PRE-POSITIONED WAR MATERIAL FACILITIES	4,900	0	4,900	0
	AIR FORCE					
		US AIR FORCES IN EUROPE				
		AUXILIARY SEISMIC NETWORK	3,953	3,953	3,953	3,953
TOTAL: OVERSEAS VARIOUS			8,853	3,953	8,853	3,953
WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED						
	DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES					
		NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	247,000	247,000	239,000	247,000
		CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
	UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION					
		ARMY	20,000	20,000	0	20,000
		NAVY	15,000	15,000	0	15,000
		AIRFORCE	16,000	16,000	0	16,000
		DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	4,000	4,000	1,000	4,000
		ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	4,647	4,647	4,647	4,647
		AIR NATIONAL GUARD	2,130	2,130	2,130	2,130
		ARMY RESERVE	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
		NAVY RESERVE	1,500	1,500	1,500	1,500
		AIR FORCE RESERVE	2,580	2,580	2,580	2,580
	PLANNING AND DESIGN					
		ARMY	131,640	131,640	130,580	131,640
		NAVY	143,770	143,770	143,770	143,770
		AIR FORCE	117,260	127,260	117,260	117,260
		DEFENSE LEVEL ACTIVITIES	100,700	88,200	100,700	88,200
		ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	19,000	19,000	19,000	19,000
		AIR NATIONAL GUARD	15,420	15,420	15,420	15,470
		ARMY RESERVE	11,300	11,300	11,300	11,300
		NAVY RESERVE	5,300	5,300	5,300	5,300
		AIR FORCE RESERVE	6,560	6,560	6,560	6,560
TOTAL: WORLDWIDE UNSPEC			869,907	867,407	806,847	857,467
WORLDWIDE VARIOUS						
	NAVY					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS-WORLDWIDE				
		HOST NATION INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	300	0	300	0

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS
OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

STATE	SERVICE	INSTALLATION AND PROJECT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMM	SENATE RECOMM	CONF. AGREEMT
	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY					
		VARIOUS LOCATIONS-WORLDWIDE				
		CONFORMING STORAGE FACILITIES	21,400	0	21,400	10,000
TOTAL: WORLDWIDE VARIOUS			21,700	0	21,700	10,000

SUMMARY
FAMILY HOUSING

ITEM	ARMY ($000)	NAVY ($000)	AIR FORCE ($000)	DEFENSE AGENCIES ($000)	TOTAL SUMMARY ($000)
NEW CONSTRUCTION	250,830	130,712	43,196 1/	270	425,008
NUMBER OF UNITS	(3,032)	(1,368)	(522)	(3)	(4,925)
NUMBER OF MOBILE HOME SPACES	(108)	(300)	(24)	0	(432)
IMPROVEMENTS	79,500	26,580	58,644	0	164,724
PLANNING AND DESIGN	24,000	2,000	7,000	0	33,000
OPERATIONS	495,466	260,515	344,615	2,794	1,103,390
MAINTENAINCE	569,852	265,846	307,684	509	1,143,891
NUMBER OF UNITS	(158,009)	(90,688)	(138,498)	(652)	(387,847)
LEASING	173,885	22,459	72,745	14,027	283,116
NUMBER OF DOMESTIC UNITS 2/	(4,825)	(1,665)	(1,463)	0	(7,953)
NUMBER OF FOREIGN UNITS	(22,581)	(1,923)	(9,200)	(916)	(34,620)
DEBT PAYMENT	8,063	9,071	7,365	0	24,499
TOTAL REQUEST..................	1,601,596	717,183	841,249	17,600	3,177,628

1/ includes $.9 million for housing management offices and $.2 million for a maintenance shop

2/ includes authorization for 7,063 lease points for the section 801 housing program

FISCAL YEAR 1987 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

MILITARY DEPARTMENT	BUDGET REQUEST	HOUSE RECOMMEND	SENATE RECOMMEND	CONFERENCE AGREEMENT
RECAPITULATION				
ARMY	3,445,216	2,913,930	2,949,746	2,870,396
NAVY	2,588,293	2,110,333	2,161,343	2,147,438
AIR FORCE	2,563,229	2,108,710	2,149,749	2,143,729
DEFENSE AGENCIES	692,200	516,403	568,500	532,030
NATO INFRASTRUCTURE	247,000	247,000	239,000	247,000
ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	121,100	121,100	118,700	133,271
AIR FORCE NATIONAL GUARD	140,000	140,000	137,000	145,325
ARMY RESERVE	86,700	86,700	83,200	86,700
NAVY AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE	44,500	44,500	43,800	44,500
AIR FORCE RESERVE	58,900	58,900	55,400	58,900
BASE CLOSURE COMMISSION	0	0	1,000	0
TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS	9,987,138	8,347,576	8,507,438	8,409,289

DIVISION C—OTHER NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

PART A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS AUTHORIZATIONS

The Senate bill, Division C, Title I, would authorize appropriations in the total amount of $7,425.225 million. The House amendment (Division C, Title I, Part A) would authorize appropriations of $7,450.99 million. The conferees agreed to an authorization of $7,450.99 million.

The budget request, the authorizations contained in the Senate bill, the authorizations contained in the House amendment, and the conference agreement are detailed in the following table.

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Total DOE Operating Expenses.............	6523.694	6080.394	6321.519	241.125	6099.819	-423.875
(Appropriation Request)	(6523.694)					
Total LOE Plant Construction Expenses....	1027.241	894.701	899.391	4.690	863.266	-163.975
(Appropriation Request)	(1158.841)					
Total DOE Capital Equipment..............	547.465	484.395	512.465	28.070	487.905	-59.560
(Appropriation Request)	(547.465)					
Less, Adjustments.....................						
General Reduction, Plant and Capital Equipment................		-11.000		11.000	0.000	0.000
Undistributed Reduction............			-300.000	-300.000	0.000	0.000
Prior Year Program Savings.........			-8.150	-8.150	0.000	0.000
Title IV--Nuclear Winter Research.........	0.000	2.500	0.000	-2.500	0.000	0.000
TOTAL, DOE DEFENSE ACTIVITIES...........	8098.400	7450.990	7425.225	-25.765	7450.990	-647.410
(Appropriation Request)	(8230.000)					

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Operating Expenses						
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES						
Research and Development................	980.700 [1]	854.200	899.400 [1]	45.200	866.000	-114.700
Materials Research-PSP................		(17.000)	(0.000)	(-17.000)	(13.000)	(13.000)
Testing..................................	633.800	517.800	564.800	47.000	517.800	-116.000
Production and Surveillance.............	1968.504	1815.604	1968.504	152.900	1859.700	-108.804
Program Direction.......................	60.550	58.850	60.550	1.700	57.379	-3.171
Weapons Program.......................	(52.450)	(50.750)	(52.450)		(49.279)	(-5.171)
Community Assistance..................	(8.100)	(8.100)	(8.100)		(8.100)	(0.000)
Total, Weapons Activities............. (Appropriation Request)	3643.554 (3643.554)	3246.454	3493.254	246.800	3300.879	-342.675
INERTIAL CONFINEMENT FUSION						
Livermore National Laboratory...........	36.000 [2]	61.000	36.000 [2]	-25.000		-36.000
Los Alamos National Laboratory..........	21.500 [2]	33.000	21.500 [2]	-11.500		-21.500
Sandia National Laboratories............	29.000 [2]	29.000	29.000 [2]	0.000		-29.000
Supporting Services.....................	22.500	25.200	23.625	-1.575		-22.500
Total, Inertial Confinement Fusion.... (Appropriation Request)	109.000 (109.000)	148.200 [3]	110.125	-38.075	145.000	36.000

[1] Excludes $86.5 M for ICF.

[2] Included in Weapons R & D.

[3] Transfer $86.5 M from Weapons R & D.

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Operating Expenses (continued)						
MATERIALS PRODUCTION						
Reactor Operations......................	616.660	616.660	616.660		586.320	-30.340
Processing of Nuclear Materials.........	499.830	489.830	499.830	10.000	489.000	-10.830
Special Isotope Separation............	(74.900)	(70.900)			(70.900)	(-4.000)
Supporting Services.....................	252.500	242.100	252.500	10.400	234.200	-18.300
Enriched Material.......................	204.500	184.500	171.500	-13.000	184.500	-20.000
Naval Reactors Uranium Enrichment.....		(170.000)			(170.000)	
Other Uranium Enrichment..............		(14.500)			(14.500)	
Program Direction.......................	22.900	22.900	22.900		22.328	-0.573
Total, Materials Production........... (Appropriation Request)	1596.390 (1596.390)	1555.990	1563.390	7.400	1516.348	-80.042
DEFENSE WASTE AND BYPRODUCT MANAGEMENT						
Interim Waste Management................	324.730	308.345	324.730	16.385	309.945	-14.785
Long-term Waste Management Technology...	88.820	72.205	88.820	16.615	80.605	-8.215
Terminal Storage........................	39.100	39.100	39.100		39.100	0.000
Program Direction.......................	2.400	2.400	2.400		2.340	-0.060
Total, Defense Nuclear Waste.......... (Appropriation Request)	455.050 (455.050)	422.050	455.050	33.000	431.990	-23.060

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Operating Expenses (continued)						
VERIFICATION AND CONTROL TECHNOLOGY						
Verification and Control Technology.....	91.100	86.100	91.100	5.000	91.100	0.000
Program Direction......................	4.400	4.400	4.400		4.290	-0.110
Total, Verification & Control Tech....	95.500	90.500	95.500	5.000	95.390	-0.110
(Appropriation Request)	(95.500)					
NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS AND SECURITY						
Nuclear Safeguards and Security.........	53.417	52.417	61.100	8.683	52.917	-0.500
Program Direction......................	7.683	7.683	0.000	-7.683	7.491	-0.192
Total, Nuclear Materials Security and Safeguards Development..........	61.100	60.100	61.100	1.000	60.408	-0.692
(Appropriation Request)	(61.100)					
SECURITY INVESTIGATIONS	33.300	33.300	33.300		33.300	0.000
(Appropriation Request)	(33.300)					

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Operating Expenses (continued)						
NAVAL REACTORS DEVELOPMENT						
Plant Development.......................	71.000	71.000	71.000		71.000	0.000
Reactor Development......................	274.000	271.000	274.000	3.000	271.000	-3.000
Reactor Operation and Evaluation.........	173.000	170.000	173.000	3.000	170.000	-3.000
Program Direction.......................	11.800	11.800	11.800		11.505	-0.295
Undistributed Reduction..................			-20.000	-20.000	-7.000	-7.000
Total, Naval Reactors Development.....	529.800	523.800	509.800	-14.000	516.505	-13.295
(Appropriation Request)	(529.800)					
TOTAL, DOE DEFENSE ACTIVITIES OPERATING EXPENSES..................	6523.694	6080.394	6321.519	241.125	6099.819	-423.875
(Appropriation Request)	(6523.694)					

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment						
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES						
87-D-101, general plant projects, various locations........................	29.000	29.000	29.000		29.000	0.000
87-D-121, general plant projects, various locations........................	32.000	32.000	32.000		30.000	-2.000
87-D-102, environmental compliance and cleanup, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, and Site 300, CA	7.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	-7.000
87-D-104, safeguards and security enhancements II, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, CA..............................	4.300	0.000	2.000	2.000	2.000	-2.300
87-D-122, short-range attack missile II (SRAM II) warhead production facilities, various locations........................	5.000	5.000	0.000	-5.000	0.000	-5.000
87-D-123, protective clothing decontamination facility, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado.........................	0.500	0.500	0.500		0.500	0.000
87-D-127, environmental, safety, and health upgrade, Mound Plant, Miamisburg, Ohio.....	2.400	0.000	0.000		2.400	0.000
87-D-130, receiving and shipping facility, Pinellas Plant, St. Petersburg, Florida....	0.300	0.000	0.300	0.300	0.300	0.000

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES (continued)						
86-D-103, decontamination and waste treatment facility, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA.........	5.300 (5.400)	5.300	0.000	-5.300	5.300	0.000
86-D-104, strategic defense facility, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico....................	13.000 (15.000)	7.000	13.000	6.000	13.000	0.000
86-D-105 instrumentation systems laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico....................	5.800 (8.900)	5.800	5.800		5.800	0.000
86-D-106, laboratory data communications center, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico....................	12.000 (14.000)	10.000	12.000	2.000	12.000	0.000
86-D-122, structural upgrade of existing plutonium facilities, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado....................	2.420 (2.600)	2.420	0.000	-2.420	2.420	0.000
86-D-123, environmental hazards elimination, various locations.............	16.840 (18.300)	16.840	0.000	-16.840	15.000	-1.840
86-D-124, safeguards and site security upgrading, Phase II, Mound Plant, Miamisburg, Ohio..........................	1.880 (3.000)	1.880	1.880		1.880	0.000

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES (continued)						
86-D-125, safeguards and site security upgrading, Phase II, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas.............................	8.410 (8.500)	8.410	8.410		8.410	0.000
86-D-130, tritium loading facility replacement, Savannah River Plant, Aiken, South Carolina......................	29.700 (30.000)	29.700	29.700		29.700	0.000
85-D-102, nuclear weapons research, development, and testing facilities revitalization, Phase I, various locations................................	29.950 (57.600)	29.950	29.950		14.085	-15.865
85-D-103, safeguards and security enhancements, Lawrence Livermore and Sandia National Labs, Livermore, CA........	6.500 (6.900)	6.500	6.500		6.500	0.000
85-D-105, combined device assembly facility, Nevada Test Site, Nevada.........	9.400 (14.000)	5.400	9.400	4.000	7.400	-2.000
85-D-106, hardened engineering test Building, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California..........	0.330 (.430)	0.330	0.330		0.330	0.000
85-D-112, enriched uranium recovery improvements, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN....	19.000 (21.800)	19.000	19.000		19.000	0.000
85-D-113, power plant and steam distribution system, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas............................	1.790 (7.600)	1.790	1.790		1.790	0.000

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES (continued)						
85-D-115, renovate plutonium building utility systems, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado..........................	13.440 (14.500)	13.440	13.440		13.440	0.000
85-D-121, air and water pollution control facilities, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee..........................	9.160 (10.000)	9.160	0.000	-9.160	9.160	0.000
85-D-125,tactical bomb production facilities, various locations..............	12.800 (14.800)	12.800	12.800		12.800	0.000
85-D-123, Safeguards and site security upgrade Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas..............	(1.000)					
84-D-102, radiation-hardened integrated circuit laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, N.M.............	3.000	3.000	3.000		3.000	0.000
84-D-107, nuclear testing facilities revitalization, various locations..........	13.300 (15.300)	13.300	13.300		13.300	0.000
84-D-112, Trident II warhead production facilities, various locations..............	14.500	14.500	14.500		14.500	0.000
84-D-113, antisubmarine warfare/standoff weapon warhead production facilties, various locations.........................	42.000 (47.000)	0.000	0.000		0.000	-42.000
84-D-113, antisubmarine warfare/standoff weapon warhead production facilties, various locations (FY 86 authorization)....	(0.000)	(0.000)	(-5.000)	(-5.000)	(-5.000)	(-5.000)

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
WEAPONS ACTIVITIES (continued)						
84-D-124, environmental improvements, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN........................	9.280 (10.000)	9.280	0.000	-9.280	9.280	0.000
84-D-211, safeguards and site security upgrading, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN.......	27.220 (30.000)	17.220	27.220	10.000	27.220	0.000
84-D-212, Safeguards and site security upgrade Pinellas Plant, FL...........................	(1.000)					
82-D-107, utilities and equipment restoration, replacement, and upgrade, Phase III, various locations................	97.904 (116.900)	97.904	97.904		97.904	0.000
82-D-109, 155mm artillery fired atomic projectile (AFAP) prod facs, var locations.	(10.000)					
82-D-144, simulation technology laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories Albuquerque, New Mexico......................	6.000	6.000	6.000		6.000	0.000
Total, Weapons Activities............. (Appropriation Request)	491.424 (588.530)	413.424	389.724	-23.700	413.419	-78.005

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
MATERIALS PRODUCTION						
87-D-146, general plant projects, various locations..........................	35.980	32.100	35.980	3.880	31.980	-4.000
87-D-149, reduced chemical discharges to process sewers, Richland, Washington.......	3.150	3.150	3.150		3.150	0.000
87-D-150, radioactive liquid effluent treatment facility, partial design only, Richland, Washington.......................	4.000	4.000	0.000	-4.000	4.000	0.000
87-D-152, environmental protection plantwide, Savannah River, South Carolina..	1.500	1.500	0.000	-1.500	1.500	0.000
87-D-156, reactor effluent cooling water thermal mitigation, Savannah River, South Carolina...................................	5.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	-5.000
87-D-159, environmental, health, and safety improvements, Phase I, Feed Materials Production Center, Fernald, Ohio...........	10.000	10.000	10.000		10.000	0.000
86-D-146, general chemical discharges, various locations..........................	(0.000)	(0.000)	(-3.150)	(-3.150)	(0.000)	(0.000)
86-D-149, productivity retention program, Phase I, various locations.................	71.625 (76.225)	65.625	71.625	6.000	42.625	-29.000
86-D-150, in-core neutron monitoring system, N reactor, Richland, Washington....	2.760 (2.090)	2.760	2.760		2.760	0.000
86-D-151, PUREX electrical system upgrade, Richland, Washington.............	3.780 (3.900)	2.480	3.780	1.300	2.480	-1.300

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
MATERIALS PRODUCTION, continued						
86-D-152, reactor electrical distribution system, Savannah River, SC....	8.410 (7.700)	8.410	8.410		5.410	-3.000
86-D-153, additional line III furnace, Savannah, River, South Carolina............	7.605 (7.300)	7.605	7.605		7.605	0.000
86-D-154, effluent treatment facility, Savannah River, South Carolina..............	15.650	15.650	0.000	-15.650	15.650	0.000
86-D-156, plantwide safeguards systems, Savannah River, South Carolina..............	13.610 (13.900)	13.610	13.610		8.550	-5.060
86-D-157, hydrofluorination system - FB-line, Savannah River, South Carolina....	2.310 (2.510)	0.000	2.310	2.310	0.000	-2.310
85-D-139, fuel processing restoration, Idaho Fuels Processing Facility, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho.....	36.530 (35.000)	32.430	36.530	4.100	32.430	-4.100
85-D-140, productivity and radiological improvements, Feed Materials Production Center, Fernald, Ohio.......................	23.500	23.500	23.500		21.000	-2.500
85-D-145, fuel production facility, Savannah River, SC..........................	33.500 (35.500)	27.500	33.500	6.000	27.500	-6.000
84-D-134, safeguards and security improvements, plantwide, Savannah River, South Carolina....................................	7.515 (14.650)	5.765	7.515	1.750	7.515	0.000

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
MATERIALS PRODUCTION, continued						
84-D-135, process facility modifications Purex, Richland, Washington................	34.475 (35.000)	24.475	34.475	10.000	24.475	-10.000
84-D-137, Facility security systems upgrade, IFPF, INEL, ID............................	(.300)					
84-D-136, enriched uranium conversion facility modifications, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee..........................	1.000 (3.000)	0.500	1.000	0.500	1.000	0.000
82-D-124, restoration of production capabilities, Phases II, III, IV and V, various locations..........................	3.511 (7.811)	3.511	3.511		3.511	0.000
Total, Materials Production............. (Appropriation Request)	325.411 (343.666)	284.571	299.261	14.690	253.141	-72.270
DEFENSE WASTE AND BYPRODUCTS MANAGEMENT						
87-D-171, General plant projects, interim waste operations and long-term waste management technology, various locations................................	26.535	24.535	26.535	2.000	24.535	-2.000
87-D-172, WESF K-3 filter upgrade, Richland Washington...............................	0.500	0.500	0.500		0.500	0.000
87-D-173, 242-A evaporator crystallizer upgrade, Richland, Washington..............	2.800	2.800	2.800		2.800	0.000

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
DEFENSE WASTE, continued						
87-D-174, 241-AQ tank farm, Richland, Washington..............................	2.100	2.100	2.100		2.100	0.000
87-D-175, steam system rehabilitation, Phase I, Richland, Washington..............	0.900	0.900	0.900		0.900	0.000
87-D-177, test reactor area liquid radioactive waste cleanup system, phase III, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), Idaho..............................	0.700	0.700	0.700		0.700	0.000
87-D-180, burial ground expansion, Savannah River, South Carolina.............	6.300	2.000	6.300	4.300	2.000	-4.300
87-D-181, diversion box and pump pit containment buildings, Savannah River, South Carolina.............................	1.360	0.960	1.360	0.400	0.960	-0.400
86-D-172, B-plant F-filter, Richland, WA...	2.949 (3.000)	2.949	2.949		2.949	0.000
86-D-174, low-level waste processing and shipping system, Feed Materials Production Center, Fernald, Ohio...........	9.872 (10.000)	8.072	9.872	1.800	8.072	-1.800
86-D-175, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory security upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), Idaho.......................................	7.258 (7.300)	5.258	7.258	2.000	5.258	-2.000
85-D-157, Seventh calcined solids storage fac, INEL, ID.............................	(.800)					

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
DEFENSE WASTE, continued						
85-D-158, central warehouse upgrade, Richland, Washington.......................	1.044 (1.300)	1.044	1.044		1.044	0.000
85-D-159, new waste transfer facilities H-area, Savannah River, S.C................	7.318 (8.200)	4.118	7.318	3.200	4.118	-3.200
85-D-160, test reactor area security system upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), Idaho.......	2.703 (2.750)	2.703	2.703		2.703	0.000
82-N-103, Waste handling and isolation facs, Richland, WA............................	(2.000)					
81-T-105, defense waste processing facility, Savannah River, S.C..............	123.967 (135.000)	123.967	123.967		123.967	0.000
Total, Defense Waste & Byproduct Mgmt. (Appropriation Request)	196.306 (213.845)	182.606	196.306	13.700	182.606	-13.700
VERIFICATION AND CONTROL TECHNOLOGY						
85-D-171, space science laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.................... (Appropriation Request)	2.000 (2.000)	2.000	2.000		2.000	0.000
NUCLEAR SAFEGUARDS AND SECURITY (Appropriation Request)	0.000	0.000			0.000	0.000
86-D-196, Nuclear safeguards technology lab, LANL, NM............................	(1.000)					

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Plant and Capital Equipment (continued)						
NAVAL REACTORS DEVELOPMENT						
87-N-101, General plant projects, various locations..........................	4.800	4.800	4.800		4.800	0.000
87-N-102, kesselring site facilities upgrade, Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, West Milton, New York......................	5.000	5.000	5.000		5.000	0.000
87-N-103, computation laboratory addition, Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory, West Mifflin, Pennsylvania......................	2.300	2.300	2.300		2.300	0.000
Total, Naval Reactors Development.....	12.100	12.100	12.100		12.100	0.000
(Appropriation Request)	(12.100)					
TOTAL, DOE DEFENSE ACTIVITIES PLANT CONSTRUCTION..................	1027.241	894.701	899.391	4.690	863.266	-163.975
(Appropriation Request)	(1158.841)					
CAPITAL EQUIPMENT						
Weapons Activities......................	313.370	273.570	287.870	14.300	278.000	-35.370
Inertial Confinement Fusion.............	9.500	9.500		-9.500	9.000	-0.500
Materials Production....................	126.090	110.120	126.090	15.970	107.200	-18.890
Defense Waste & Byproducts Management...	37.805	35.505	37.805	2.300	35.505	-2.300
Verification and Control Technology.....	4.200	4.200	4.200		4.200	0.000
Nuclear Safeguards and Security.........	4.800	4.800	4.800		4.800	0.000
Naval Reactors Development..............	51.700	46.700	51.700	5.000	49.200	-2.500
TOTAL, DOE DEFENSE ACTIVITIES CAPITAL EQUIPMENT...................	547.465	484.395	512.465	28.070	487.905	-59.560
(Appropriation Request)	(547.465)					

Fiscal Year 1987 Department of Energy National Security Programs
[Amounts in millions of dollars]

Program	FY 1987 Authorization Request	House Passed S. 2623	Senate Passed S. 2623	Difference (Senate Minus House)	Conference Agreement	Conference Change from Request
Subtotal, Operating Expenses..........	6523.694	6080.394	6321.519	241.125	6099.819	-423.875
(Appropriation Request)	(6523.694)					
Subtotal, Plant Construction..........	1027.241	894.701	899.391	4.690	863.266	-163.975
(Appropriation Request)	(1158.841)					
Subtotal, Capital Equipment...........	547.465	484.395	512.465	28.070	487.905	-59.560
(Appropriation Request)	(547.465)					
Less, Adjustments.....................						
General Reduction, Plant and Capital Equipment..................		-11.000		11.000	0.000	0.000
Undistributed Reduction.............			-300.000	-300.000	0.000	0.000
Prior Year Program Savings..........			-8.150	-8.150	0.000	0.000
Title IV--Nuclear Winter Research.........	0.000	2.500	0.000	-2.500	0.000	0.000
TOTAL, DOE DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.........	8098.400	7450.990	7425.225	-25.765	7450.990	-647.410
(Appropriation Request)	(8230.000)					

The reports by the respective Houses on the bills containing the National Defense Programs of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1987 contained details on the budget request and policy guidance and requirements with regard to many aspects of the programs. Unless modifying language is included in this statement of managers, the report language, to include guidance, recommendations and requirements, included in both the report of the House of Representatives (H. Rept. 99–718) and of the Senate (S. Rept. 99–331) is concurred in by the conferees.

Operating expenses (section 3111)

The Senate bill would authorize $6,321.519 million for operating expenses. The House amendment would authorize $6,080.394 million for operating expenses. The conferees agreed to an authorization of $6,099.819 million for operating expenses.

Plasma separation process (PSP)

The Administration requested no funds for the Plasma Separation Process. The House amendment would authorize $17 million for the continued development and operation of the Plasma Separation Process during fiscal year 1987. The Senate bill contained no funding for PSP.

The conferees note that if funding were not provided for the continued operation of the PSP facility, substantial costs, estimated to range between $10 and $13 million, would be incurred to close out all PSP activity. The conferees also note the lack of identified requirements for the isotopes produced by the PSP.

The conferees agreed that development and operations associated with PSP should continue until the Secretary of Energy submits a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives that includes an analysis of future requirements for special isotopes, the means for meeting those requirements, and the Department's decision with respect to the continued operation or close out of PSP activities. In view of budgetary constraints, the conferees agreed to authorize $13 million either for continued development and operation or for close out of Plasma Separation Process activities.

Plant and capital equipment (sec. 3112)

The Senate bill would authorize $899.391 million for plant construction and $512.465 million for capital equipment. The House amendment would authorize $894.701 million for plant construction and $484.395 million for capital equipment. The conferees agreed to an authorization of $863.266 million for plant construction and $487.905 million for capital equipment.

Project 86–D–104, strategic defense facility, Sandia National Laboratory

The conferees agree to authorize $13 million for this project for fiscal year 1987 for a total project authorization of $17 million. The conferees reiterate the direction contained in the statement of managers last year that the total cost of the facility is to be shared equitably between the Department of Energy and the SDIO.

Authorization for Strategic Defense Initiative (sec. 3113)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3013) that would provide that $279 million of funds authorized for operating expenses and plant and capital equipment could be used for the Strategic Defense Initiative.

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3114(a)) that would provide that not more than $356 million shall be available for the Strategic Defense Initiative.

The conferees agreed to a provision that would provide that $317 million of funds authorized for operating expenses and plant and capital equipment could be used for the Strategic Defense Initiative.

Reprogramming (sec. 3121)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3021) that would define and limit the authority of the Department of Energy to use funds appropriated pursuant to the various authorizations in the bill for programs in excess of certain stated amounts or for programs not previously considered by the Congress.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3121).

The Senate recedes.

Limits on general plant projects (sec. 3122)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3122) that would require a report to the appropriate committees of Congress if the Secretary of Energy finds that the estimated cost of a project will exceed $1.2 million.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3022).

The House recedes.

Limits on construction projects (sec. 3123)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3023) that would permit any construction project authorized by section 3012 and with a cost in excess of $5 million to be inititated and continued only so long as the estimated cost for the project does not exceed 125 percent of the higher of (1) the amount authorized for the project or (2) the most recent total estimated cost presented to the Congress as justification for such project. To exceed such limits, the Secretary of Energy must report in detail to the appropriate committees of Congress and the report must be before the appropriate committees for 30 legislative days unless before that time each such committee advises the Secretary that it has no objection to the proposed action.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3123).

The Senate recedes.

Fund transfer authority (sec. 3124)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3124) that would permit, subject to provisions of appropriations Acts, funds authorized to the appropriated by the bill to be transferred to other agencies of the government for performance of work for which the appropriation is made and would permit the merger of such funds with the appropriations of the agency to which they are transferred.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3.024).

The House recedes.

Authority for construction design (sec. 3125)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3025) that would permit the Secretary of Energy to use plant engineering and design funds authorized by the bill not to exceed $2 million for each project, to carry out construction design services for any construction project. Where the design cost for such planning and design project is estimated to exceed $300,000, the Secretary would be required to notify the appropriate committees of Congress at least 30 days before funds are obligated for design services.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Adjustments for pay increases (sec. 3128)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3028) that would authorize such additional or supplemental appropriations as may be necessary to fund increases authorized by law for salary, pay, retirement, or other benefits for Federal employees.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Availability of funds (sec. 3129)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3029) that would authorize, subject to a provision of an appropriation Act, amounts appropriated for "operating expenses" or for "plant and capital equipment" to remain available until expended.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision (sec. 3127).

The Senate recedes.

Protection of sensitive technical information (sec. 3131)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3031) that would require the Secretary of Energy to consider national security, the possible release of sensitive technical information to unauthorized persons, the creation of organizational conflicts of interest, and possible adverse affects on the operation of Department of Energy military activities when deciding to assign to a contractor property rights in an invention or discovery.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees concluded that inventions and discoveries originating in the military activities of the Department of Energy must be carefully reviewed to ensure that assignment of property rights in such inventions and discoveries to contractors does not violate the public interest. At the same time the conferees believe that careful consideration of the matters as set out in the House amendment should not give rise to long delays in decisions by the Department of Energy regarding the assignment of property rights to contractors. The conferees agree that the Department of Energy review process should not be, nor should it be perceived to be, a disincentive to commercialization of government funded research where such commericalization meets the criteria set forth. Moreover, the conferees agree that a reasonable time for such decision should be no more than six months from the date of the request by the contractor for the assignment of such rights.

The conferees direct that the Secretary of Energy submit a report at the end of each quarter of fiscal year 1987 indicating the reasons for any decision not to assign property rights requested by the contractor and the reasons for any failure to make a decision regardng the assignment of property rights within six months.

The Senate recedes with technical amendments.

Restriction on use of funds to pay penalties under environmental laws (sec. 3132)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3131) that would prohibit the use of funds to pay a fine, forfeiture, penalty or settlement or to perform any work or services requested by another department or agency of the Federal, State or Local government for any failure by the Department of Energy to comply with an environmental requirement in its defense activities.

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3032) that would prohibit the use of fiscal year 1987 funds to pay a fine, forfeiture, penalty or settlement for failure by the Department of Energy to comply with an environmental requirement in its defense activities if the Congress had denied funding for such compliance.

The conferees agreed to a provision that would prohibit use of funds to pay a fine, forfeiture, or penalty for failure by the Department of Energy to comply with an environmental requirement in its defense activities if the Congress had denied funding for such compliance.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Community Assistance Payments (sec. 3133)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3034) that would amend section 1532 of the Department of Energy National Security and Military Applications of Nuclear Energy Authorization Act of 1986 (Public Law 99–145). The amendment would make clear that authority to make a final financial settlement with Anderson County and Roane County, Tennessee and the City of Oak Ridge, Tennessee, and to terminate all annual assistance payments made to those entities pursuant to section 71 of the Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 does not affect any other right, function, or duty of the Secretary with respect to such Act. The amendment would further provide that the Secretary shall consider the purposes of the Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 in continuing atomic energy defense functions of the Department of Energy.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Authority for Department of Energy contractor employees to carry firearms beyond boundaries of a DOE facility (sec. 3134)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3035) that would provide authority for a contractor protective force officer to engage in hot pursuit of a suspect who may have stolen a nuclear weapon, nuclear explosive device, or special nuclear material and flees beyond the boundaries of the government facility. The section would also require the Secretary of Energy, with the approval of the Attorney General, to issue guidelines to implement the subsection.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Report on containment facilities (sec. 3135)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3133) that would require the Secretary of Energy to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the costs and effect on safety that would obtain from the construction of containment facilities for nuclear reactors of the Department of Energy as compared with the cost and effect on safety involved in the construction of a new production reactor.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Report of production reactor safety (sec. 3136)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3033) that would require an independent assessment by the National Academy of Sciences of the safety of the "N" production reactor at Hanford, Washington.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Establishment of Nuclear Weapons Council (sec. 3137)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3132), titled "Implementation of the Recommendations of the President's Blue Ribbon Task Group on Nuclear Weapons Program Management", that would establish a Joint Nuclear Weapons Council with membership drawn from the Department of Defense and the Department of Energy. The Senate provision would also abolish the Military Liaison Committee.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Extension of date for certain contract authority (sec. 3138)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3134) that would extend the authority, from June 30, 1986 to June 30, 1996 and subject to provisions in appropriations acts, for the Department of Energy to enter into contracts with the Los Alamos School District.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to include the Senate provision and to include an extension of authority, from June 30, 1987 to June 30, 1996, for the Department of Energy to enter into contracts with Los Alamos County. The contract authority provided by the conference agreement would be subject to provisions in appropriations acts.

The House recedes with an amendment.

Undistributed reductions

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3113) that would limit total authorizations for appropriations for Department of Energy national security programs to $7,425.225 million and require that necessary reductions be made in individual authorizations so that the total is not exceeded.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Balanced technology initiative

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 3114(b)) that would provide that $34 million of funds authorized to be appropriated for operating expenses be available for research on conventional munitions at DOE laboratories.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Contractor liability for claims arising out of atomic weapons testing programs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3037) that would provide that suits brought by veterans in connection with injuries resulting from nuclear tests be brought against the United States and that the United States be limited to the defenses normally available to a contractor. The provision would also repeal section 1631 of the fiscal year 1985 Defense Authorization Act. The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The conferees are most anxious that veterans with legitimate claims against the government arising from nuclear testing receive swift and fair compensation. However, the conferees were not convinced that the approach contained in section 3037 was, on balance, the appropriate remedy in view of several factors. First, the conferees were advised that the House provision would jeopardize the structure of the Federal Tort Claims Act and could threaten the viability of the *Feres* doctrine, with adverse implications for our national security. Second, it has been suggested that administrative remedies may be more appropriate and provide the opportunity for fair compensation to all injured veterans. Such remedies are in the jurisdiction of the Veterans Affairs Committees and the conferees believe that legislation dealing with veterans matters should be dealt with by the committees of jurisdiction. Third, legal remedies are primarily matters within the jurisdiction of the Committees on the Judiciary, and the conferees believe that legislation dealing with legal remedies against the government should properly be dealt with by those committees. For these reasons, the conferees agreed that section 3037 would not be included in the conference agreement.

The conferees strongly endorse the prompt consideration of legislation relating to veterans claims arising out of nuclear testing by the Committee on Veterans Affairs and/or the Judiciary.

The House recedes.

TITLE II—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

Legislative Provisions

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Extension of prohibition on reductions in stockpile goals (sec. 3201)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would prohibit the President before April 1, 1987, from reducing stockpile goals for strategic and critical materials below those in effect on October 1, 1984.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed that the National Security Council study, which recommended a dramatic reduction in stockpile goals, is inadequate for stockpile and other mobilization planning. The conferees agreed to prohibit reductions in stockpile goals until October 1, 1987 to provide sufficient time for the administration to develop and present new information in support of stockpile goals and to provide sufficient time for Congress to hold hearings on the subject.

The House recedes with an amendment.

Designation of National Defense Stockpile Manager (sec. 3203)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3103) that would transfer the management and operation of the stockpile to the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate bill contained no similar provisions.

The conferees expressed frustration concerning the fragmented management of the stockpile and the absence of a single Federal official who has responsibility for stockpile matters and who is available to testify before Congress about the entire spectrum of the stockpile program. However the Senate conferees were not convinced that the Secretary of Defense is the most appropriate official.

The conferees agreed to a provision that would require the President to designate, not later than February 15, 1987, a single Federal official appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, to be responsible for the management and operation of the National Defense Stockpile, including the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund. The conferees included the requirement that the official be a presidential appointee so that the individual could be available to testify before the Congress.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Extension of uses of stockpile transaction fund and codification of revolving fund provisions (sec. 3203)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would expand the use of the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund to allow use for transportation, storage, upgrading and studies.

The House amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3106).

The House recedes.

Authorized disposals from National Defense Stockpile (sec. 3204)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would authorize disposals notwithstanding Section 5(b)(2) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act. The Senate bill would also authorize the disposal of quantities of 26 strategic and critical materials from the stockpile.

The House amendment had no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the disposal of quantities of 13 stockpile materials which are excess to the 1984 stockpile goals, as follows:

> Antimony, 1,500 short tons; Diamonds, Industrial Stone, 1,125,000 carats; Iodine, 800,000 pounds; Mercury, 3,700 flasks; Mica, Muscovite Film, 3,000 pounds; Mica, Muscovite Splittings, 362,000 pounds; Silicon Carbide, 7,600 short tons; Silver (Coinage Program Only), 3,000,000 troy ounces; Tannin, Chestnut, 1,000 long tons; Tannin, Quebracho, 4,000 long tons; Thorium Nitrate, 10,000 pounds; Tin, 4,000 metric tons; Tungsten, 1,900,000 pounds of tungesten metal equivalent.

This authority provided is in addition to any other authority provided by law to dispose of materials from the National Defense Stockpile.

The amendment would further provide that disposal authority would be provided for fiscal year 1987, notwithstanding section 5(b)(2), to the extent that funds are obligated from the stockpile transaction fund during fiscal year 1987.

Conversion of chromium and manganese ore to high carbon ferrochromium and high carbon ferromanganese (sec. 3205)

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would provide for the conversion of chromium and manganese ores currently in the stockpile to 374,000 short tons of high carbon ferrochromium and 472,000 short tons of high carbon ferromanganese over a period of seven years beginning in fiscal year 1987.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Report on war emergency situations and mobilization requirements (sec. 3206)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3104) directing the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report detailing requirements (goals) for the national defense stockpile and national emergency planning assumptions used to determine such requirements.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed to a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a report, by February 15, 1987, which would: describe war scenarios for total mobilization of the economy for a conventional global war of not less than three years; describe the length and intensity of each scenario; describe the military force structure to be mobilized under the scenarios; estimate the defense expenditures required for each scenario; and contain the Secretary's recommendation as to which scenario or scenarios are most appropriate for National Defense Stockpile planning.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

Miscellaneous technical amendments (sec. 3207)

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 3107) that would make miscellaneous technical amendments to the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Authorization of appropriations

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1103) that would authorize the appropriation of $120 million for the acquisition of materials for the stockpile. The provision would also direct the Administrator of the General Services to acquire not less than 30,000 kilograms of germanium during fiscal year 1987.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees strongly support the prompt acquisition of needed materials for the stockpile, including germanium. However, the conferees agreed that existing law provided adequate authority for the acquisition of germanium and that additional legislation was unnecessary.

The Senate recedes.

Definition

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would define the term "National Defense Stockpile".

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agreed that a definition was unnecessary in the context of other conference agreements.

The Senate recedes.

Stockpile management

The House amendment contained a provision (sec. 1305) that would provide that appropriations for the operation of the stockpile be made to the Department of Defense. The provision would also grant authority for interagency agreements and provide for reimbursement of services performed by other agencies.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE III—CIVIL DEFENSE

The President's budget request contained $126.565 for civil defense for fiscal year 1987.

The Senate bill would authorize $111.565 million for fiscal year 1987 to carry out the provisions of the Federal Civil Defense Act of 1950. The House amendment would authorize $126.565 million for this purpose. The conferees agree to authorize $120.565 million.

The conferees are extremely disappointed that the review of future civil defense policy mandated by the fiscal year 1986 Department of Defense authorization Act was not submitted to the Congress in time for the congressional review of the fiscal year 1987 budget request. Moreover, a statement of the Administration, still has not been received. The conferees expect to receive a statement of Administration policy regarding civil defense before the fiscal year 1988 budget request is considered by the Congress.

DIVISION D—SCHOOL LUNCH AND CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS

1. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS UNDER THE CHILD NUTRITION ACT OF 1966

(a) Special supplemental food program for women, infants, and children (WIC)

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, extends the authorization of appropriations for the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC program through fiscal year 1989 at a level of $1.57 billion for fiscal year 1986, such sums as may be necessary for fiscal years 1987 and 1988, and $1.782 billion for fiscal year 1989. [Sec. 4104.]

The House recedes.

(b) State administrative expenses (SAE)

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, extends the authorization of appropriations for the State Administrative Expenses (SAE) provision through fiscal year 1989. [Sec. 4103.]

The House recedes.

(c) Nutrition education and training (NET)

The Senate Bill, but not the House Amendment, extends the authorization of appropriations for the NET program (at $5 million annually) through fiscal year 1989. [Sec. 4105.]

The House recedes.

2. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS UNDER THE NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH ACT

(a) Summer food service program

The Senate Bill, not the House amendment, extends the authorization of appropriations for the summer food service program through fiscal year 1989. [Sec. 4101.]

The House recedes.

(b) Commodity distribution program

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, extends authority for the commodity distribution program *through* fiscal year 1989. [Sec. 4102.]

The House recedes.

3. BASIS FOR COMMODITY ASSISTANCE

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, changes the dates specified in current law by which the Secretary is to make an estimate of the value of agricultural commodities to be delivered to schools and by which the Secretary must provide cash payments for any difference between the estimates of available commodities and the amount of commodity support mandate by law. Specifically, the Senate Bill requires that the Secretary make estimates for the amount of commodity support available by June 1, instead of May 15; and requires the Secretary to make cash payments for the difference between these estimates and the mandated commodity level by July 1, instead of June 15, of each school year. [Sec. 4201.]

The House recedes.

4. AUTOMATIC ELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN PROGRAMS

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, requires that a child who is a member of a household receiving food stamps, or who is a member of an AFDC assistance unit in a State where the income standard of eligibility does not exeeed\130 percent of poverty, be served a free lunch and breakfast without further application or eligibility determinations. [Sec. 4203.]

The House recedes.

Under this amendment, a parent or guardian is still required to complete an application, the local school authorities are still required to determine the applicant's eligibility, and the Secretary, States, and local school food authorities may continue to verify the data contained in the application. However, it is the conferee's intent that the application procedure under section 109(b)(2)(B) and the eligibility determination and verification procedures under section 109(b)(2)(C) be simplified by substantially reducing the number and types of inquiries made by the applicable agency.

More specifically, indication on the application of receipt of food stamps or AFDC (under the circumstances in the amendment) shall be sufficient to satisfy the eligibility determination requirement and proof of receipt of food stamps or AFDC shall be sufficient to satisfy the verification requirement.

5. LIMITATION ON MEAL CONTRACTING

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendemnt, prohibits a school or school food authority participating in the school lunch program from contracting with food service company to provide a la carte meal service unless such company offers free, reduced-price, and full price reimbursable meals to eligible children. [Sec. 4204.]

The House recedes.

6. ADMINISTRATION OF CHILD NUTRITION PROGRAMS BY CONTRACT OR DIRECT DISBURSEMENT

The Senate bill, but not the House amendment, revises the current requirement for the Secretary of Agriculture to administer directly child nutrition programs in certain schools, institutions, and service institutions by allowing the Secretary to meet this requirement by contracting with an organization to administer such programs. The direct administration of such programs by either the Secretary, or through a contract between the Secretary and an organization, is applicable to cases where such programs have ben directly administered by the Secretary continuously since October 1, 1980, or where a State educational agency is prohibited by law from doing so. The Senate bill maintains current law authority for States to assume direct administration of programs. It also expands current law provisions regarding the appropriate withholding, disbursal and use of program and administrative funds in cases where the Secretary directly administers programs to include situations where the program is administered by a contracting organization. [Sec. 4207.]

The Senate bill further authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture to carry out pilot projects in three States where the Secretary is currently administering programs, to test the concept of contracting with private nonprofit and profit organizations to administer the child nutrition programs that are currently administered by the Secretary.

The House recedes.

The conferees intend that the Comptroller General monitor the making and implementation of contracts under the pilot projects and make a report to the Senate Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry, and the House Committee Education and Labor concerning the pilot projects. The conferees express concern with the continued reluctance of some States to operate various child nutrition programs. The conferees believe that States should assume operation of these programs and urge States to do so.

(NOTE.—The Department of Agriculture has reported that 19 States do not directly administer one or more of the child nutrition programs, thus requiring the Secretary to operate such programs in those States. According to the Department, those States are: Alaska, California, Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New York, North Dakota, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington, and Wyoming.)

7. CHANGE IN TUITION LIMITATION FOR PRIVATE SCHOOLS

The Senate bill, but not the House amendment, raises from $1,500 to $2,000 the maximum tuition that a private school may charge and be eligible to participate in federally-assisted school lunch and child nutrition programs—effective October 1, 1986. The Senate bill also indexes this limit to inflation by requiring an annual adjustment each July 1, beginning July 1, 1988, to reflect changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the most recent 12-month period for which data are available. [Sec. 4205.]

The House recedes.

8. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OVERSEAS DEPENDENTS' SCHOOLS

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, deletes the authorization of appropriations for the higher Federal payment required for free and reduced-price meals served in Department of Defense Overseas Dependents' Schools when the cost of such meals is higher than the amount provided by the regular free and reduced-price meal reimbursement rates. The Senate Bill retains the authorization of appropriations for payment of the administrative costs of providing school lunches and breakfasts in such schools. [Sec. 4208.]

The House recedes.

9. RESTORATION OF CERTAIN KINDERGARTENS TO THE SPECIAL MILK PROGRAM

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, extends eligibility for the special milk program to kindergarten children in schools which are currently ineligible to participate in the program because the schools participate in the school lunch or breakfast program. [Sec. 4209.]

The House recedes.

NOTE.—The Senate Bill adopts an amendment to extend eligibility for the special milk program to kindergarten children who do not have access to either the school breakfast or school lunch program—effective October 1, 1986 [Sec. 4209.]

(The conferees intend that children attending partial day kindergartens (so-called "split sessions") and not having access to either the school breakfast or school lunch programs currently operating in schools they attend be permitted to participate in the special milk program. Full day kindergartens and other programs where children receive either breakfast or lunch would continue to be ineligible to participate in the special milk program.)

10. IMPROVEMENT OF BREAKFAST PROGRAM MEAL PATTERN

(a) Additional breakfast reimbursement

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, provides an additional 3 cents in cash reimbursement for each breakfast served in schools, child care centers, and family day care homes. Additionally, the Senate Bill provides that, subject to availability, the Secretary shall make available at least 3 cents per breakfast in commodities acquired by the Secretary or the Commodity Credit Corporation. These provisions are effective October 1, 1986. [Sec. 4210.]

The conferees emphasize that commodities to be made available under this provision are to include only those that the Secretary has acquired for price support and surplus removal reasons, and that are not necessary for other domestic and foreign support programs or activities. This commodity support provision does not require the Secretary to make purchases for the specific purposes of meeting the needs of breakfast programs.

The House recedes.

The conferees intend that both the cash and commodity assistance be used to supplement existing levels of Federal, State, and local assistance. The conferees expect the Secretary to ensure a maintenance of effort by State and local sources so that the additional Federal reimbursements are not used to displace existing levels of support.

The conferees further intend that eligible institutions would not be required to accept any or all of the full value of commodities. In order to minimize reporting and recordkeeping burdens, the Department would not require a separate accounting of commodities ordered for use in breakfasts.

(b) Nutrition requirements for breakfasts

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, requires the Secretary of Agriculture to review and revise the nutritional requirements for breakfasts to improve their nutritional quality and to promulgate regulations implementing such revisions within 180 days after enactment of the bill. In reviewing the program requirements, the Secretary is to take into consideration the findings of the National Evaluation of School Nutrition Programs, the amount of additional cash and commodity support provided, and the need to provide increased flexibility in meal planning to local school food authorities. [Sec. 4210.]

The House recedes.

11. EXTENSION OF OFFER VERSUS SERVE PROVISION TO THE SCHOOL BREAKFAST PROGRAM

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, permits local school food authorities to allow students to refuse up to one item in each school breakfast. Any such refusal may not affect the charge to students, or the amount of Federal reimbursement for the breakfast. [Sec. 4211.]

The House recedes.

12. STATE ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE STAFFING STANDARDS

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, deletes the requirement that the Secretary of Agriculture develop State staffing standards for the administration of the school lunch, school breakfast, child care food, and special milk programs. [Sec. 4212.]

The House recedes.

13. CHILD CARE FOOD PROGRAM

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, specifies that States are not required to provide a hearing to a child care food program institution when State action is taken on the basis of a Federal audit determination. [Sec. 4401.]

The House recedes.

The Senate Bill permits a hearing at the Federal level in cases where the State does not provide such a hearing. [Sec. 4401.]

14. SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS, AND CHILDREN (WIC)

(a) Costs for nutrition services and administration

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, maintains the current law definition of the types of costs for which WIC administrative funds are to be used, but changes the term "administrative costs" to "costs for nutrition services and administration" in this definition. [Sec. 4301.]

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, also deletes the costs of warehouse facilities from the list of items defining the types of costs for which WIC administrative funds are to be used. [Sec. 4301.]

The House recedes.

(b) State eligibility for WIC funds

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, makes a State ineligible to participate in the WIC program if State or local sales taxes are collected on food purchased under the WIC program.

The Senate Bill also makes the State sales tax provision applicable to a State beginning with the fiscal year commencing after the end of the first regular session of the State legislature after enactment of the bill. [Sec. 4302.]

The House recedes.

In order that States may have adequate time to take appropriate legislative action, the Conferees intend that this provision will become effective the first fiscal year beginning after the end of the first regular session of the State legislature following enactment. [Sec. 4302.]

(c) Participation report

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, requires the Secretary of Agriculture to report biennially to Congress on the income and nutritional risk characteristics of participants; migrant participation in the program; and such other matters relating to participation in the program as the Secretary deems appropriate. The Senate Bill also authorizes the Secretary to use WIC evaluation funds to pay for the costs of preparing this report. [Sec. 4303.]

The House recedes.

(d) Improving State agency administrative systems

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, adds the provision of technical assistance to improve State agency administrative systems to the types of activities the Secretary of Agriculture may conduct with WIC evaluation funds. [Sec. 4309.]

The House recedes.

(e) Plan of operation and administration

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, deletes from the current list of State plan requirements the following: (1) a description of how administrative funds are distributed and budgeted; (2) a description of methods for determining nutritional risk; (3) staffing patterns; (4) nutrition education goals; (5) plans for informing persons of eligibility; (6) a copy of the State procedure manual; (7) a list of all areas and special populations in need; and (8) plans to initiate or expand operations in areas most in need.

The Senate Bill, also adds new requirements that the State plan include a plan to provide program benefits to underserved areas if sufficient funds are available, to provide program benefits to those most in need, and to enroll eligible women in the early months of pregnancy.

The Senate Bill, also adds new requirements that a State plan describe how both the Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and maternal and child health care programs are coordinated with WIC program operations. The Senate Bill also allows States to submit only those portions of their State plans which differ from previous years. [Sec. 4304.]

The House recedes.

(f) Public comment

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, deletes specific State requirements for public hearings on the State plan one month prior to submission to the Governor, and replaces this with a general requirement that State agencies establish procedures to assure that the public has an opportunity to comment on the development of the State plan. [Sec. 4305.]

The House recedes.

(g) Availability of program benefits

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, maintains the requirement for State agencies to publicize the WIC program and distribute information to agencies and offices that deal with potentially eligible persons on an annual basis. It also adds a requirement that State and local agencies distribute information in a manner designed to provide such information to potentially eligible persons most in need of benefit. [Sec. 4306.]

The House recedes.

The conferees, in providing for a multi-year reauthorization of the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), reaffirm their commitment and support for this important health and intervention and nutrition program.

The Department of Agriculture has established through regulations nutritional risk criteria for participation in the WIC program. These regulations require that, when vacancies occur after a local agency has reached its maximum participation level, first priority be assigned to providing WIC benefits to pregnant women, breastfeeding women, and infants at nutritional risk as demonstrated by hematological or anthropometric measurements or other documented related medical conditions that demonstrate need for supplemental foods. These are the recipients for whom the WIC program is the most effective and who should be given greatest priority.

(h) Repayment of benefits by recipients

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, requires a State agency to recover the cash value of program benefits overissued to a family as a result of misrepresentation by a member of such family, unless the State agency determines, in accordance with standards developed by the Secretary of Agriculture, that the recovery of such benefits would not be cost effective. The Senate Bill also requires that a State agency recover from a person making an intentional misrepresentation the amount that the State agency determines is equal to the value of the overissued food benefits, unless the State agency determines that the recovery of the benefits would not be cost effective. [Sec. 4307.]

The House recedes.

The conferees intend that this provision impose no new requirement on the States, and that State agencies would be expected to provide a hearing for the recipient where necessary, and also to provide procedural protections, including proper notice and the opportunity for the recipient to be heard.

(i) Priority funds for WIC migrant programs

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, requires that not less than nine-tenths of 1 percent of the funds appropriated for the WIC program be first made available for services to eligible migrant populations and that migrant services be provided in a manner consistent with a State's priority system for participation. Additionally, the Senate Bill provides that to the extent possible, accountability for migrant services be conducted under regulations in effect on the date of enactment of the bill. [Sec. 4308.]

The House recedes.

(j) Paperwork reduction

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, requires the Secretary of Agriculture to keep to a minimum the documentation that States provide to be exempted from the requirement that not less than one-sixth of their WIC administrative funds be used for nutrition education activities. [Sec. 4310.]

The House recedes.

(k) Allocation standards

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, deletes the requirement that a State agency satisfy allocation guidelines established by the Secretary of Agriculture when distributing administrative funds to local agencies under allocation standards developed by the State agency in cooperation with local agencies. The Senate Bill also deletes the requirement that the State allocation standards be included in the required State plan of operation and administration. [Sec. 4311.]

The House recedes.

(l) Advance payments

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, allows instead of requires, State agencies to forward advance administrative funds to local agencies initiating WIC program operations. [Sec. 4312.]

The House recedes.

(m) Expenditure of funds for the special supplemental food program

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, allows a State to use up to 1 percent of the amount of funds allocated to the State for supplemental foods in a fiscal year to cover expenses incurred for supplemental foods in the preceding fiscal year, and specifies that this provision is not to apply to appropriations made before enactment of the bill. The Senate Bill further allows a State agency to keep up to 1 percent of the amount of the funds allocated to the agency in a fiscal year for use during the subsequent fiscal year, and specifies that use of such funds in a subsequent year may not affect the amount of funds allocated to the State agency for that year. [Sec. 4313.]

The House recedes.

The conferees do not intend that States overspend or underspend their allocations year after year. Rather, it is the intent of the provision that States should use the provision as a tool to enable the States to spend as close to 100 percent of their respective allocation as possible. Funds available for carryover to the next fiscal year are available for food and administrative expenses, while funds used to cover expenses for previous year costs are only applicable for food costs.

15. NUTRITION EDUCATION AND TRAINING PROGRAMS

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, lowers from $75,000 to $50,000 the minimum grant provided to States for the nutrition education and training programs. [Sec. 4402.]

The House recedes.

(NOTE.—The Senate Bill provision reflects language included in the fiscal year 1982 appropriations law (P.L. 97-370) that permanently lowered the minimum grant amount a State could receive from $75,000 to $50,000. This change followed the enactment of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, P.L. 97-35, which reduced the authorization for annual NET grants from $15 million to $5 million, but did not lower the $75,000 minimum grant States could receive if their allocation did not provide that amount. State grant allocations are based on student enrollment. Had the fiscal year 1982 appropriations law not reduced the minimum grant amount, States with small student enrollments which were receiving the minimum $75,000 grants would have continued to receive that amount while other States with larger student enrollments would have had to bear the full loss of funding resulting from P.L. 97-35).

16. EXTENSION OF ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF ASSISTANCE

(a) Pilot projects

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, references section 1581 of Pubic Law 99-198, the Food Security Act of 1985, with respect to the ability of school districts that participated in the pilot project on cash or commodity letters of credit in lieu of commodities to continue to receive entitlement commodity support in this alternative form through the school year ending June 30, 1987. [Sec. 4403].

The House recedes.

(NOTE. Section 1581 also provides that such school districts can receive bonus commodities to the same extent as other school districts, but only in the form of commodities (no cash or letter of credit).)

(b) Compensation to pilot projects

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, requires the Secretary of Agriculture to provide cash compensation, subject to availability of funds, to school lunch pilot project school districts for losses they incurred as a result of a change in the methodology used to conduct the study on cash or commodity letters of credit in lieu of commodities during the school year ending June 30, 1983. The Comptroller General is to be consulted with respect to the accounting procedures used to determine such losses. The total authorization of appropriations for this provision is limited to $50,000. [Sec. 4403.]

The House recedes.

(NOTE.—The change in methodology was related to a change in the form of bonus commodity assistance offered to the schools participating in the pilot project during the 1982–83 school year.)

17. NATIONAL COMMODITY PROCESSING SYSTEM

(a) Extension

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, references section 1114(a)(2) of the Agriculture and Food Act of 1981, as amended by Public Law 99-198, with respect to processing agreements. (Sec. 4404.)

The House recedes.

(NOTE.—Public Law 99-198, the Food Security Act of 1985, contains the same provision except that it is effective through June 30, 1987.)

(b) Annual settlement of accounts

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, references section 1114(a)(2) of the Agriculture and Food Act of 1981, as amended by Public Law 99-198, with respect to annual settlement of accounts. [Sec. 4404.]

The House recedes.

(NOTE.—Public Law 99-198 contains the same provision except that it requires that private companies annually settle accounts in order to be eligible to enter into and continue agreements with the Secretary. Section 1114(a)(2) of the Agriculture and Food Act of 1981, as amended by Public Law 98-198, requires private companies participating in processing agreements to annually settle all accounts with the Secretary and appropriate State agencies regarding commodities processed under such agreements in order to enter into and continue agreements with the Secretary.)

18. INCLUSION OF WHOLE MILK AS A SCHOOL LUNCH BEVERAGE

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, requires that schools participating in the school lunch program offer whole milk as a beverage, along with such other forms of milk as the Secretary might require to meet the nutritional requirements of the program. [Sec. 4202.]

The House recedes.

19. USE OF SCHOOL LUNCH FACILITIES FOR ELDERLY PROGRAMS

The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, contains provisions for local education agencies to use school lunch program facilities, equipment, and personnel to support a nonprofit nutrition program for the elderly. [Sec. 4206.]

The House recedes.

20. The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, intends that the provisions of the bill take effect as follows:

Section No. and provision	Effective date
4101—Summer food service program for children	Enactment.
4102—Commodity distribution program	Enactment.

Section No. and provision	Effective date
4103—State administrative expenses	Enactment.
4104—Special supplemental food program for women, infants, & children	Enactment.
4105—Nutrition education and training program	Enactment.
4201—Basis for commodity assistance	Enactment.
4202—Inclusion of whole milk as a school lunch beverage	July 1, 1986.
4203—Automatic eligibility for certain programs	July 1, 1986.
4204—Limitation on meal contracting	July 1, 1986.
4205—Change in tuition limitation for private schools	October 1, 1986.
4206—Use of school lunch facilities for elderly programs	Enactment.
4207—Pilot projects for administration of child nutrition programs by contract or direct disbursement	Enactment.
4208—Department of Defense overseas dependent's schools	Enactment.
4209—Restoration of certain kindergartens to the special milk program	October 1, 1986.
4210—Improvement of breakfast program meal pattern	October 1, 1986.
4211—Extension of offer versus serve provision to the school breakfast program	Enactment.
4212—Staffing standards	Enactment.
4301—Costs for nutrition services and administration	Enactment.
4302—State eligibility for WIC funds	The first fiscal year beginning after the end of the first regular session of the State legislature following the date of enactment of this Act.
4303—Participation report	Enactment.
4304—Plan of operation and administration	Enactment.
4305—Public comment	Enactment.
4306—Availability of program benefits	Enactment.
4307—Repayment of certain benefits by recipients	October 1, 1986.
4308—Priority funds for WIC migrant programs	October 1, 1986.
4309—Improving State agency administrative systems	Enactment.
4310—Paperwork reduction	Enactment.
4311—Allocation standards	Enactment.
4312—Advance payments	October 1, 1986.
4313—Availability of funds (WIC)	Enactment.
4401—Hearings on Federal audit actions under the child care food program	Enactment.
4402—Basis for nutrition education grants	Enactment.
4403—Extension of alternative means of assistance	Enactment.
4404—National donated commodity processing programs	Enactment.
4501—Obsolete provisions	Enactment.
4502—Obsolete references to Health, Education, and Welfare	Enactment.
4503—Conforming amendments	Enactment.

The House recedes.

21. TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS

(a) The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, repeals the misdesignated section 22 in the National School Lunch Act (Study of Menu Choice) as an obsolete provision. [Sec. 4501.]

The House recedes.

(b) The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, strikes out "Health, Education, and Welfare" each place it appears in sections 4(a), 17(e)(2), 17(k)(L) and (2), and 19(d)(2) and (3) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, and inserts in lieu thereof "Health and Human Services". [Sec. 30(b).]. The Senate Bill also makes the same change to sections 17(b)(6) and 17(b)(13) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 and to section 17(a) of the National School Lunch Act. [Sec. 4502.].

(c) The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, strikes out "Office of Education of the Department of Health, Education, and

Welfare, and inserts in lieu thereof "Department of Education" in section 19(j)(3) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966. [Sec. 4502.]

The House recedes.

(d) The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, redesignates section 19(j) of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 is proper alphabetical sequence as section 19(i). [Sec. 4503.]

The House recedes.

(e) The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, defines the Secretary to mean the "Secretary of Agriculture". [Sec. 4503.]

The House recedes.

22. OBSOLETE PROVISIONS AND REFERENCES

(a) The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, repeals sections 18 (Nutrition Program Staff Study) and 19 (Appropriations for the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands) of the National School Lunch Act and deletes the reference to section 19 in section 3 of such Act. [Sec. 4501.]

The House recedes.

(b) The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, redesignates sections 20, 12, and 22 of the National School Lunch Act as sections 18, 19, and 20, respectively, to conform to the Senate amendment's repeal of sections 18 and 19, and conforms references to section 20 in current law as section 19 accordingly. [Sec. 4501.]

The House recedes.

(c) The Senate Bill, but not the House amendment, deletes the provision and reference in section 20 of the National School Lunch Act relating to the authorization for the Secretary of Agriculture to conduct pilot projects during the 1979-80 school year to determine the feasibility, cost, and consequences of providing lunches free to all children. [Sec. 4501.]

The House recedes.

From the Committee on Armed Services for consideration of the entire Senate bill and House amendments (unless otherwise restricted):

Les Aspin,
Melvin Price,
Charles E. Bennett,
Samual S. Stratton,
Bill Nichols,
Dan Daniel,
G.V. Montgomery,
Pat Schroeder,
Beverly Byron,
Nick Mavroules,
Earl Hutto,
Ike Skelton,
Dave McCurdy,
Richard Ray,
John Spratt,
Wm. L. Dickinson,
G. William Whitehurst,
Floyd Spence,
Marjorie S. Holt,

Elwood Hillis,
Robert E. Badham,
Bob Stump,
Jim Courter,
Larry J. Hopkins,
Bob Davis,

From the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, solely when differences regarding intelligence-related activities are under consideration:

Lee H. Hamilton,
Louis Stokes,
Dick Cheney,

From the Committee of Foreign Affairs, solely for the consideration of sections 205(f), 952, 1205-1209, 1214-1217, 1228, 1231, and 1233 of the Senate bill and section 1024 and 1041 of the House amendments:

Dante B. Fascell,
Lee H. Hamilton,
Gus Yatron,
Stephen J. Solarz,
Benjamin A Gilman,

Solely for the consideration of section 1032 of the House amendments and modifications committed to conference:

Gus Savage,

Solely for the consideration of sections 109, 203, 205(a)(1), 1210, 1230, and 3114(a) of the Senate bill and sections 123, 128, 208, 215, 1061, 3013, and 3036 of the House amendments:

Les Aspin,
Melvin Price,
Charles E. Bennett,
Pat Schroeder,
Beverly Byron,
Nick Mavroules,
Dave McCurdy,
John Spratt,
George E. Brown, Jr.,
Richard A Gephardt,
Vic Fazio,
Thomas J. Downey,
Edward Markey,
Wm L. Dickinson,
G. William Whitehurst,
Floyd Spence,
Marjorie S. Holt,
Robert E. Badham,
Jim Courter,
Robert J. Lagomarsino,
Henry J. Hyde,

Solely for the consideration of title IX of division A of the Senate bill and sections 213, 1025, 1026, 1048, and title IX of division A of the House amendments:

Gerald Solomon,
Nicholas Mavroules,

Charles E. Bennett,
Dave McCurdy,
Dennis M. Hertel,
Norman Sisisky,
John Spratt,
Pat Schroeder,
Barbara Boxer,
Ron Wyden,
Mel Levine,
Gerry Sikorski,
John Bryant,
Jim Courter,
Wm L. Dickinson,
Bob Davis,
John R. Kasich,
Bob Stump,

Solely for the consideration of section 1002 of the Senate bill and section 2715 of the House amendments:

Melvin Price,
Ronald V. Dellums,

From the Committee on Education and labor, solely for the consideration of section 1002 of the Senate bill and section 2715 of the House amendments:

Gus Hawkins,
Austin J. Murphy,
William Clay,
Pat Williams,
Alton R. Waldon,

From the Committee on Education and Labor solely for the consideration of division F of the Senate bill and modifications committed to conference:

Augustus F. Hawkins,
William D. Ford,
Dale E. Kildee,
Pat Williams,
Matthew G. Martinez,
Major R. Owens,
Rick Boucher,
Carl C. Perkins,
Jim Jeffords,
Bill Goodling,
Rod Chandler,
John R. McKernan, Jr.,
Harris W. Fawell,

Solely for the consideration of division B of the Senate bill and division B of the House amendments:

Ronald V. Dellums,
G.V. Montgomery,
Earl Hutto,
Marvin Leath,
Dennis M. Hertel,
Ken Kramer,
Wm. L. Dickinson,
G. William Whitehurst,

Solely for the consideration of division D of the House amendments and modifications committed conference:

LES ASPIN,
BILL NICHOLS,
IKE SKELTON,
NICHOLAS MAVROULES,
WM. L. DICKINSON,
LARRY J. HOPKINS,
JOHN R. KASICK,
Managers on the Part of the House.

BARRY GOLDWATER,
STROM THURMOND,
JOHN WARNER,
GORDON J. HUMPHREY,
DAN QUAYLE,
PETE WILSON,
JEREMIAH DENTON,
JAMES T. BROYHILL,
SAM NUNN,
GARY HART,
JIM EXON,
CARL LEVIN,
EDWARD M. KENNEDY,
JEFF BINGAMAN,
ALAN J. DIXON,
JOHN GLENN,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

○